```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                   FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 2
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
 4
         Plaintiffs,
                                   3:12-cv-07758-ZNQ-JBD
 5
                                   JURY TRIAL - VOLUME 21
         v.
 6
    JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JANSSEN
 7
    PRODUCTS, L.P.
         Defendants.
 8
         Clarkson S. Fisher Building & U.S. Courthouse
 9
         402 East State Street
         Trenton, New Jersey 08608
10
         June 11, 2024
         Commencing at 9:00 a.m.
11
    BEFORE:
                             THE HONORABLE ZAHID N. QURAISHI,
12
                             UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
    APPEARANCES:
14
         REESE MARKETOS
         BY: PETE MARKETOS, ESQUIRE
15
              JOSH RUSS, ESQUIRE
              ANDREW WIRMANI, ESQUIRE
16
              ADAM SANDERSON, ESQUIRE
              WHITNEY WENDEL, ESQUIRE
17
         750 N. Saint Paul Street, Suite 600
         Dallas, Texas 75201
         For the Relators
18
19
         SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
         BY: ALLISON M. BROWN, ESQUIRE
20
              GEOFFREY M. WYATT, ESQUIRE
              BRADLEY A. KLEIN, ESQUIRE
21
         One Rodney Square
         920 King Street
22
         Wilmington, Delaware
         For the Defendants
23
         Megan McKay-Soule, Official Court Reporter
24
              Megan McKay-Soule@njd.uscourts.gov
                          (690) 815-2319
25
    Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript
           produced by computer-aided transcription.
```

```
1
              (PROCEEDINGS held in open court before The Honorable
 2
    ZAHID N. QURAISHI, United States District Judge, on June 11,
 3
    2024, at 8:00 a.m.)
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Everybody have a seat. Good morning,
 6
            Let's have appearances today, beginning with Relators.
 7
             MR. MARKETOS: Good morning. Your Honor,
 8
    Pete Marketos for the Relators.
 9
             MR. RUSS: Good morning. Josh Russ for Relators.
10
             MR. WIRMANI: Good morning. Andrew Wirmani for
11
    Relators.
12
             THE COURT: Good morning, folks.
13
             MS. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. Alli Brown for
14
    Janssen.
15
             MR. WYATT: Good morning, Your Honor. Geoff Wyatt
16
    for Janssen.
17
             MR. KLEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Brad Klein for
18
    Janssen.
19
             THE COURT: All right. Good morning, folks.
20
           I just have to get my jury instructions, which are on
21
    my desk. So let me get those, but I think for this morning
22
    all I want to address are the jury instructions. We can deal
23
    with the verdict sheet and other things during lunch break
24
    because I don't need to have those finalized and we don't need
25
    to have that discussion before I give the final instructions,
```

1 so why don't we just start off where we left off yesterday. 2 Mr. Russ, are you addressing the Relators' concerns 3 with the jury instructions or the --4 MR. RUSS: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: -- proposed revisions? All right. 6 Why don't we -- what I'd like to do is I just want to 7 hear from Relators first, go through those. I'll hear 8 Janssen's responses to those, and then I'll give Janssen an 9 opportunity to talk about some instructions that they want to 10 talk about, and then hopefully within this hour we can 11 finalize those, if that works for everybody. 12 And then what I think we're going to do is I'll give 13 the final instructions, then we'll take a ten-minute break, 14 because I think that's going to take some time. That also 15 gives Relators a chance to reboot. And then we'll take a 16 break probably for lunch for splitting the closings. And 17 after Janssen, I'm going to give a ten-minute break before 18 rebuttal, just so there's a little bit of a break between 19 That way, if the jurors need a break or counsel needs a 20 break, we have those. 21 But other than those -- Mr. Marketos, do you have 22 something to say on that? 23 MR. MARKETOS: Only this, Your Honor. 24 need time to get the special verdict form into our 25 presentation. Right? We need to make sure that we have the

1 opportunity to address how it's filled out, and so we are 2 going to be scrambling to do that. Could we --3 THE COURT: You want to talk about the verdict sheet 4 this morning, then? 5 MR. MARKETOS: If that's okay, because we obviously 6 need to walk through it, you know, and --7 THE COURT: All right. Well, hopefully you guys 8 won't take up an hour on the jury instructions, and then we 9 can talk about verdict sheets. So hold on one second. 10 Can you get me my verdict sheet. All right. Let me 11 get my -- what I propose is going to be the verdict sheet so 12 that we have a better sense of it, and then we can talk about 13 it. 14 But all right. Let's go through the jury instructions. 15 And then I appreciate that comment about -- it might be nice 16 for you all to know what the verdict sheet is going to look 17 like for purposes of closing, so let's see if we can address 18 that. 19 So Mr. Russ, where did we leave off? Let's just go to 20 the beginning since yesterday the first instruction you guys 21 talked about, I didn't have a sense of, you know -- what I'd 22 like to know is, if you guys have a proposed revision 23 somewhere, is there legal support for your proposed revision; 24 if so, what is it. If not, if it's stylistic, that's fine, 25 but let me know where you're coming from so I'm not pulling up

```
1
    regulations or statutes to figure out if I missed a word or
 2
    where you're coming from.
 3
           And also, do you guys want to approach? Because I can
    at least -- Kim, do you mind?
 4
 5
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Sure.
 6
             THE COURT: Give these guys -- I at least want to
 7
    give you a version of the verdict form that I put together
    with my folks, and then we can talk about it.
 9
           All right. But let's talk about the instructions.
10
           So, Mr. Russ, where do you want to begin?
11
             MR. RUSS: So, Your Honor, we have conferred, and all
12
    of the items that I want to discuss today, which I think will
13
    take less than five minutes, hopefully, are either stylistic
14
    or are agreed with Janssen's counsel.
15
             THE COURT: All right. So that may be quicker, but
16
    let me hear from you and then --
17
             MR. RUSS: Sure.
18
             THE COURT: -- we'll go from there.
19
           Which number am I going to?
20
             MR. RUSS: I'm looking at -- I think it's the --
21
    hopefully the red line is the right number. It's number 22 on
22
    page 27 of my copy.
23
             THE COURT:
                        Yep.
                               So let me go to the red line and
24
    then -- sure. Yep.
25
             MR. RUSS: Where it says, "Coverage for drugs may,"
```

```
1
    I've talked to Mr. Wyatt, and I think it's agreed that we
 2
    would add the word "also" after "may."
 3
             THE COURT: Right. No objection, Mr. Wyatt, if I'm
 4
    going to give that instruction?
 5
             MR. WYATT:
                        Right. Subject to our objection to the
    language overall, no problem with "also."
 6
 7
             THE COURT: All right. So for now until I hear from
 8
    Mr. Wyatt as to whether something's going to be stricken
 9
    completely out of here, I'll add "also" after "may," if that's
10
    how it remains.
11
           What else, Mr. Russ?
12
             MR. RUSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
           On page 28 -- this is Instruction Number 23 --
14
             THE COURT: Right.
15
             MR. RUSS: -- there is a reference to "record
16
    evidence." I've talked to Mr. Wyatt. I believe that language
    comes from Greenfield, but it's only in one instance in
17
18
    Greenfield, and most of the instances it does not have the
19
    word "record." I think it's because it's a summary judgment
20
    case.
21
             THE COURT: It reads, "was" -- "There was some
22
    evidence of a link." Is that what you're looking for, is to
23
    strike the word "record"?
             MR. RUSS: So to strike the word "record" and then
24
25
    add "some evidence in this case of a link."
```

```
1
             MR. WYATT: No objection.
 2
             THE COURT: All right. So it will read, "Some
 3
    evidence in this case of a link." I don't have any issue with
 4
    that change as well.
           What else, Mr. Russ?
 5
 6
             MR. RUSS: And, Your Honor, just to reflect the same
 7
    change, I believe the same language appears in Number 23.3 on
 8
    page 32.
 9
             THE COURT: Bear with me.
10
             (Brief pause.)
11
             THE COURT: Right. So you would have that read the
12
    same way, right? "There was some evidence in this case."
13
             MR. RUSS: Correct, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: All right. I made that.
15
           Keep going.
16
             MR. RUSS: Okay. Your Honor, Number 24.3 on page 41,
17
    the second line says, "submitted to the federal government."
18
    We have conferred with -- I have conferred with Mr. Wyatt
19
    about the removal of the word "federal" in that instance
20
    because some of these claims can be submitted to Medicaid,
21
    which would be a state government, and I believe that's
22
    agreed.
23
             THE COURT: Mr. Wyatt?
24
             MR. WYATT: Just one minute, Your Honor.
25
             (Brief pause.)
```

1 MR. WYATT: Yeah, no objection. 2 THE COURT: All right. I'll strike the word 3 "federal" before "government." 4 MR. RUSS: Your Honor, the next one is an unnumbered instruction on page 48 regarding the corporate integrity 5 6 agreement. 7 THE COURT: All right. So we need to add a number in 8 there, but I guess we'll do that on our end. 9 MR. RUSS: Since the time this was read during the 10 case, Your Honor, there was also discussion about a 2010 11 settlement. And so the proposal would be, on the second line, 12 "as well as settlement agreements in 2010 and 2013." And I 13 believe that's also not opposed. 14 MR. WYATT: No objection. 15 THE COURT: All right. So I will make that change. 16 So "as well as settlement agreements," plural, and then add 17 2010 in there, not just the 2013 one that I initially inserted 18 back in the day. 19 MR. MARKETOS: Correct, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. I'll make that change. 21 that the only spot where that comes up, Mr. Russ, from your 22 review? I think so. I don't think I have another instruction 23 regarding these CIAs or the settlement agreements, but I just 24 wanted to make sure. 25 MR. MARKETOS: I think you're right, Your Honor.

```
1
    the next sentence it says, "The corporate integrity
 2
    agreements" and then "settlement agreement," I guess --
 3
             THE COURT: Let's -- I'll make that plural. And then
 4
    it comes up later as well.
 5
             MR. RUSS: It comes up later --
 6
             THE COURT: All right. I'll make the universal
 7
    change that after we reference 2010 and 2013 where it
 8
    references "settlement agreement" throughout that instruction,
 9
    I will make it plural.
10
             MR. RUSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: All right.
12
             MR. RUSS: One last one that I have, Your Honor, and
    this one is opposed. It's on Instruction Number 28.
13
14
             THE COURT: Sorry. What page are we on?
15
             MR. RUSS: Instruction 28 on page 56.
16
             THE COURT: Okay, 56.
17
             MR. RUSS: This was an error, I believe, in Relators'
18
    original proposal. If you look at the top sentence,
19
    Your Honor, it says, "the amount of money that the government
20
    paid out by reason of the false claims." That's the normal
21
    test for a False Claims Act case. But if you go to the second
22
    paragraph, it then says, then, "the full amount paid to
23
    Janssen." And that should --
24
             THE COURT: Paid to the government?
25
             MR. RUSS: It should be "the full amount paid by the
```

```
1
    government."
 2
             THE COURT: Got it.
 3
             MR. RUSS: But I believe that Mr. Wyatt opposes that
 4
    change.
 5
             THE COURT: All right. Hold on one second. Let me
 6
    read it, then, if there's going to be an objection.
 7
             (Brief pause.)
 8
             THE COURT: Mr. Wyatt, let me hear from you on that
 9
    one, then.
10
             MR. WYATT: Yeah, Your Honor. This is one that is
11
    proposed by the Relators, and the issue is that there may be a
12
    difference between the amount the government paid in the first
13
    instance and the net out of pocket to the government because
14
    there were rebates. And so our argument under the case law --
15
    and this was addressed in the trial briefing -- is that the
16
    proper measure is the amount that was paid back to Janssen,
17
    because that is -- or the amount ultimately received by
18
    Janssen, because that reflects the rebates that would have
19
    been paid to the government. And so that's the proper measure
20
    here.
21
             THE COURT:
                        I mean, I remember this rebate issue.
22
    What evidence was there in the case about rebates?
23
             MR. WYATT: I believe this came up in the examination
24
    of Professor Shaked about his numbers and whether they
25
    reflected the rebates that were paid to the government by
```

1 Janssen. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Russ? 3 MR. RUSS: Your Honor, I believe they lost that 4 argument during a sidebar. But the full amount paid to 5 Janssen wouldn't even reflect the rebate. I'm not sure how 6 that makes sense, the rebates would be paid back to the 7 government. 8 I am not aware of any case that measures the False 9 Claims Act damages by the amount that is received. 10 amount paid. And that's why the first sentence is correct but 11 the second sentence was inadvertently changed, and it's not 12 the right measure. 13 THE COURT: All right. I appreciate your arguments. 14 I'm going to revise the language to read, then, "the full 15 amount paid by the government," which the Relators are 16 suggesting. I think that's consistent with my prior ruling 17 during the trial and also consistent with the rest of the 18 instructions. So that's how I'm going to leave that. But 19 Janssen's objection is noted. 20 Where else are we going next after that, Mr. Russ? 21 MR. RUSS: Your Honor, I believe that's all we have 22 on the jury instructions. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 Mr. Wyatt, where do you want to begin? Do we have a 25 lot here or --

1 MR. WYATT: It shouldn't take that long. I have a 2 little bit more, Your Honor, but I don't think it will take 3 very long. 4 THE COURT: Where do you want to take me? MR. WYATT: I just want to start just procedurally, 5 6 you know. Under Rule 51(c) we have to -- "A party who objects 7 to an instruction or the failure to give an instruction must do so on the record, stating distinctly the matter objected to 9 and the grounds for the objection." 10 I understand the Court doesn't want us to go 11 instruction by instruction, and I don't either, so we -- I 12 believe this obligation will be satisfied to the extent I 13 don't address anything specifically here by referring back 14 t.o --15 THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, Mr. Wyatt, I don't want to 16 cut you off from a record of objecting to an instruction just 17 because I've included it. 18 So if you think it's sufficient -- I think it's 19 sufficient to say, look, we're referring to all of our 20 objections in the past, even if Your Honor has ruled against 21 that as an included instruction or a rejected instruction that 22 we proposed. 23 But if you think you need more -- to do more than that, 24 then I'm going to give you the opportunity to do it this 25 morning. I just think that's sufficient for the record.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not contesting or in any way stating on the record that you've waived your prior objections by only focusing on what I provided you in the final instructions. And I'll make it very clear you have not waived those objections. Those objections They still exist in the case. If you think that's sufficient, then it can save us some time. MR. WYATT: It will save us a lot of time. I'm only going to focus on a few things, some which I think are The other thing I just want to mention is those submissions, the joint submissions the Court considered here, they are not actually on the record. They were sent by email. So what I would proposed to do with that and a couple of other email correspondences with the Court over the course of the trial is for us to submit a proffer on the docket that attaches those things, that they're there. That will sort of be the backdrop for what --THE COURT: Yes, so at least you have them on the record. MR. WYATT: Yes. THE COURT: At least in the public docket for any issue on appeal. That's fine. MR. WYATT: Right. Right. Okay. So starting out with agreed Instruction Number 14 regarding the use of depositions --THE COURT: What page? I'm still on the red line.

```
1
    What page is that?
 2
             MR. WYATT:
                         Page 18.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         All right.
                         So this -- I don't know if this is
 4
             MR. WYATT:
 5
    opposed or not. Relators said they were considering this last
 6
    night.
 7
           Do you guys have a position?
 8
           I think it should be taken out because it's really
 9
    about the affirmative use of depositions for substantive
10
    evidence. The commentary to the model says the instruction is
11
    not appropriate if answers -- meaning answers in the
12
    deposition -- are --
13
             THE COURT: I see. So, Mr. Wyatt, your take -- you
14
    don't have to read it. Your take is that, you know, say a
15
    witness was unavailable and we played that witness's
16
    deposition for purposes of trial, you think this instruction
17
    is more tailored to that scenario, not the one in which both
18
    of you are going, for purposes of impeachment or otherwise,
19
    playing deposition transcripts.
20
             MR. WYATT: Correct.
21
             THE COURT: Mr. Marketos -- I'm sorry. Mr. Russ.
22
    One of you.
23
                           Your Honor, I think it needs to stay
             MR. MARKETOS:
24
         In particular, in this case, depositions aren't just
25
    played for impeachment. They're party opponents, so they're
```

1 admissions, and so they need to understand that, when it's 2 being played, it's just as if the testimony is being offered. 3 THE COURT: Have you guys worked out which depositions or which deposition portions are admitted for 4 5 purposes of going back as evidence for the jury and which 6 haven't? 7 MR. MARKETOS: I don't think that -- I don't think 8 that -- well, I think we'll usually -- that's a good question, 9 Your Honor. We don't usually do that. I think the jurors 10 just accept what they hear. It doesn't get recorded on the 11 court's transcript, so it's just based on upon what the --12 THE COURT: No. I guess what I'm trying to say is if 13 you're telling me that there are some deposition transcripts 14 that have been admitted into evidence, right, they weren't 15 just used for purposes of impeachment, but you've somehow 16 admitted them -- I mean, every week, you guys are going 17 through which evidence goes back with the jury for 18 deliberations. If a piece of evidence is admitted, whether 19 it's video, audio, or documentary, that should go to the jury. 20 MR. MARKETOS: Yes. 21 THE COURT: I'm not clear on which depositions have 22 you all agreed on had been admitted into evidence, which then 23 mean the jury should have that in deliberations. It shouldn't 24 be something that -- well, they heard it at trial. That would 25 mean, like, you showed a document during trial and even though

1 it's been admitted, it doesn't go back to the jury to review. 2 That doesn't make any sense to me. MR. MARKETOS: No, Your Honor. It's just like 3 So we're not sending the trial transcript back 4 testimony. 5 with them. So when you play a deposition into transcript, they hear it just like they hear testimony from the witness 6 7 stand. It's not a separate exhibit. So the only point that I 8 was making there is, yes, there are instances where you would 9 play an exhibit -- excuse me -- play a deposition and that 10 would be evidence for the members of the jury just like the witness was in the courtroom. And we have instances of 11 12 deposition clips being played and used on the ELMO. So they 13 do need to understand that that's sworn testimony. I think 14 the instruction is appropriate. But it doesn't go back to the 15 members of the jury. It's just they received the testimony 16 just like they did somebody testifying from the box. 17 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wyatt. 18 I mean, this is the first I'm hearing of MR. WYATT: 19 this position. We haven't talked about whether there's any 20 deposition played here that's being proffered for the truth of 21 the matter asserted. I don't think that's the way it came in, 22 and certainly no one has designated it as an exhibit, as 23 Your Honor indicated, but ultimately, I'm just trying to 24 streamline the instructions. I think this instruction is 25 potentially confusing because I believe most of the deposition

```
1
    testimony, if not all of it, at trial was used for impeachment
 2
    purposes. But I'm not going to die on this hill if it makes
 3
    sense to give the instruction for --
 4
             THE COURT: Let me take a look at it, if you folks
 5
    will give me a moment. I just want to reread the use of
 6
    deposition instruction.
 7
             (Brief pause.)
 8
             THE COURT: Let me ask you this, Mr. Marketos.
 9
             MR. MARKETOS: Yes, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: What if I instructed on the first
11
    paragraph and not the second, which just educates the jury to
12
    what a deposition is?
13
             MR. MARKETOS: Fine, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: Because I kind of -- I understand
15
    Mr. Wyatt's argument. I don't want to confuse the jurors, but
16
    at the same time, I do think it is not inappropriate to remind
17
    them in an instruction kind of what a deposition is and it's
18
    sworn testimony and that.
19
           And so, Mr. Wyatt, my inclination -- and there seems to
20
    be no objection from Relators -- is to just remove that second
21
    paragraph but just instruct them briefly on the first
22
    paragraph.
23
             MR. WYATT:
                         No objection.
24
                         All right. So that's -- there you go.
             THE COURT:
25
    What's the next one?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.6 is not in the instructions. MR. WYATT: So 2.6 is the use of interrogatories. Among the exhibits we plan to move in -- and that's a separate issue that we may to need to address before the jury gets in here because they are opposing all of our exhibits as untimely --THE COURT: Why didn't you move -- I'm sorry. Why are you moving -- the only exhibit that I'm aware of that is pending is the FDA regulation that you guys all wrote about that I asked for Relators to respond to, and I just read it this morning. What are these additional exhibits that you guys are trying to move in after you've already rested? MR. WYATT: This came up two days ago. Yesterday sent them a list of the exhibits that we wanted to move in. We rested subject to resolving that list of exhibits. didn't get a response from them last night as to whether they were going to oppose --THE COURT: I mean, I haven't been made aware of it, It's not like you advised the Court that -- when you said you rested subject to the admissibility of evidence, the only one I was aware of is the FDA regulation. MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I do believe I raised it as at sidebar yesterday when the Court inquired if we had rested, and I said, yes, subject to exhibits. I referenced at sidebar that we had emailed them a list yesterday morning. Mr. Russ asked, you know -- understandably, they were busy

1 during the day. Could they have the night to look at them. 2 Most of them I'm surprised to hear there's an objection --3 THE COURT: Why didn't you move to admit them in your case, though? Why did you wait and rest reserving this? 4 5 while you guys had the case, did you not attempt to move in these additional pieces of evidence? 6 7 MS. BROWN: What they really are, Your Honor, is --8 for example, we moved in five of the six guidelines, and as we 9 were putting together the closing, we realized Alli forgot to 10 move in 2008. So there's a stray list of items that to be --11 were discussed, were talked about, are noncontroversial, but 12 I'm surprised to hear there's an objection. 13 THE COURT: So we -- sorry. Take me back to where we 14 are, then, Mr. Wyatt. What instruction is this? 15 MR. WYATT: It's 2.6, which is about the use of 16 interrogatories, because one of the exhibits or maybe two of 17 the exhibits we're seeking to move in are interrogatory 18 responses. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Marketos, first of all, is there an 20 objection? 21 MR. MARKETOS: Yes, Your Honor. It looks like it's a 22 list of about 30 documents, including --23 THE COURT: I'm talking about the interrogatories. 24 Isn't that what we're talking about first? I'm not talking 25 about the laundry list of documents they're looking to move to

```
1
    admit that they might have reached out to you to confer on.
 2
    What about this instruction specifically?
 3
             MR. MARKETOS: The instruction. I'm sorry.
 4
             THE COURT: What's the instruction, Mr. Wyatt?
    don't have it before me because it looks like one I wasn't
 5
 6
    provided or I didn't give you all in the final instructions.
 7
             MR. MARKETOS: There are no interrogatories in
 8
    evidence.
 9
                         May I approach?
             MR. WYATT:
10
             THE COURT:
                         You may.
11
           Mr. Marketos, I get it that there's no interrogatories
12
    in evidence. It sounds like they're moving to admit
13
    interrogatories in evidence. It sounds like they are moving
14
    to admit interrogatories in evidence and that's why they're
15
    asking to include the instructions.
16
           Mr. Wyatt, is that accurate?
17
             MR. WYATT: Correct.
18
             THE COURT:
                         Well, I think -- I have two things to say
19
    about it. If I was going to give this instruction, I think I
20
    would do exactly what I did with use of deposition. I would
21
    give the first paragraph and strike the rest of it because I
22
    think that's consistent with what we all agreed to do with use
23
    of deposition. But the threshold issue is I'm not giving this
24
    instruction if there are no interrogatories in evidence.
25
    where are we on that issue?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARKETOS: There are no interrogatories in evidence. It looks like they are trying to make up the rest of their case with late-submitted documents, and there are about 30 of them. Are we going to submit the amended There are CFRs on this list; there are responses? interrogatories on this list. It looks like basically they're trying to fill gaps in their evidence by offering a bunch of documents. I still THE COURT: I have concerns about this, too. don't understand. What is this that you guys are now trying to move into evidence? Again, my understanding was that the FDA regulation was the only evidence that we would need to address. That's the only evidence pending before the Court that's in dispute. Why didn't you submit these pieces of evidence? It's not like you just learned about the interrogatories now. MR. WYATT: We were trying to allow time for the Relators to evaluate and decide whether they had any substantive objections to the exhibits. We believe that we raised this at sidebar yesterday and said we are resting

raised this at sidebar yesterday and said we are resting pending moving additional exhibits into evidence. Most of these things are policy documents by the company which have been used at trial with various numbers of witnesses. And the guidelines. There are a few other documents.

THE COURT: Outside of the interrogatories, what are

1 the other documents? 2 MR. WYATT: The other documents are --3 MR. RUSS: Your Honor, you want me to send the email 4 to Ms. Stillman and copy Mr. Wyatt so you can see the list? 5 THE COURT: Yeah. Actually, put it to all my folks, 6 my law clerks, too. 7 MR. WYATT: So there's six additions of the 8 quidelines. There are several policy documents from Janssen 9 of the sort that we've seen at trial regarding, for example, 10 speaker bureau policy, promotion policy, et cetera. 11 THE COURT: Yeah, but here's what I don't understand. 12 If you had moved to admit these documents and the 13 Relators had an opportunity to respond, you're trying to move 14 in a myriad of documents at the closing of the case. 15 I think this is unfair to the Relators. I mean, to me this 16 doesn't make any sense. I still don't understand what 17 documents are you moving to admit now that for some reason, during your case in chief -- or I'm sorry -- your defense case 18 19 you couldn't move to admit? 20 MR. WYATT: We're just doing the same things the 21 Relators did, Your Honor, they moved in batches of documents 22 without any witness as well. I mean, this is just cleanup 23 that happens routinely in the cases that I've been in where at 24 the close of the case, there are a couple of exhibits that are 25 self-admitting, they're self-authenticating, or they are

```
1
    exceptions to the business record hearsay rule and you just
 2
    move in. There's no --
 3
             THE COURT: The interrogatories is not my concern.
    What are the additional documents other than the
 4
 5
    interrogatories?
 6
             MR. WYATT: They are largely what I've described.
 7
    number 1, various additions of the DHHS guidelines, which are
    government policy documents; and business documents like the
 9
    sort that we've seen at trial; you know, how to do a speakers'
10
    bureau; how to establish fair market value; et cetera.
11
    They're clearly business records of the company.
12
             THE COURT: What documents are in dispute,
13
    Mr. Marketos?
14
             MR. MARKETOS: Well, we really need to go through
15
    them, Your Honor. They sent them to us during trial
16
    yesterday, and we've got about -- it really is like a bit of
17
    an ambush.
18
             THE COURT: I mean, the interrogatories aren't.
    mean, those are the statements from the Relators?
19
20
             MR. WYATT: Yes, Your Honor.
21
             THE COURT:
                        I mean, that one is not a concern for me,
22
    to be candid with you. And, look, Mr. Wyatt makes some point
23
    that you all were able to move in some documents, too, but my
24
    point is, what are the other documents?
25
           And I'm still a little bit confused as to why this is
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being done now on the eve of me giving these final instructions. I just don't understand it, and I don't understand why the Court wasn't made aware of these documents, other than the FDA regulation, which I intend to address this morning. MR. MARKETOS: I don't understand it either, and are we going through now -- the only reason we admitted any documents was because Your Honor ordered them to be entered. That was the documents relating to --THE COURT: HCC compliance investigation docs. Yeah, exactly. We didn't come in with MR. MARKETOS: a whole set of documents that we wanted to introduce that, you know, hadn't come in during our case in chief. They've had all case to present this evidence, and now we're going to go line by line and counter with our own exhibits that they're trying to offer? THE COURT: Ms. Brown? Yeah, perhaps I can offer a little MS. BROWN: explanation because it's really my practice. So when I put together a closing, Your Honor -- and I have a slide that talks about the guidelines -- my folks cite the stuff on the bottom, and then they say, Alli, you only moved in these years; make sure to get this in. And so as the closing gets put together, Your Honor, where I am closing on evidence and documents and testimony

that comes into the case, but I want to properly represent on the slide that I moved in every single year. We have a running list.

THE COURT: Yeah, but that -- I appreciate that practice, but I don't think it's a good one. I mean, the practice should be, these are the documents we need to get in evidence in order to close on these issues. And that should be done while the case is going on, not, the case is closed; we're about to instruct the jury, and now we're thinking, We forgot to admit certain pieces of evidence, and I need these pieces of evidence in. I mean, I don't find that to be a best practice at all, whether it's the practice you guys do or not.

What you're telling me is, We forgot to admit evidence during the trial. And so now we want to move this evidence in because when we were formulating our closing argument, we realized that there are pieces of evidence we have not actually admitted or moved to admit before the Court. And that's troubling.

MS. BROWN: I guess not quite, Your Honor. I mean, I would say some of these exchanged as early as in opening, and counsel said no objection, and just over the course of the trial, they never formally got moved in.

I never would have rested the case, Your Honor, and that's why I rested only subject to this. Many of them have been discussed. They've been discussed with witnesses.

1 They've been discussed with counsel. They are now on a final 2 list. They are independently admissible, and we seek to admit 3 them for use in closing. 4 THE COURT: All right. Well, now we have to go 5 through these because there are objections to all of these 6 documents, correct? 7 MS. BROWN: I don't know, Your Honor. We sent --8 THE COURT: Mr. Marketos, are there objections to 9 these documents? 10 MR. MARKETOS: There are going to be, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Right. So then here we are. We're going 12 to deal with it this morning. And now the jury is going to be 13 delayed and all because this is the practice that you guys are 14 telling me somehow that should guide me. 15 That's not a good practice. Because now we are dealing 16 with these objections this morning. It was not on the agenda. 17 Nobody advised the Court about any of the particularities of 18 these documents or that there were going to be any disputes 19 other than the FDA regulations. 20 So let's go, one by one. 21 And Mr. Wyatt, this cuts into your time because I 22 thought we were going to talk about jury instructions. But 23 now we're going to be talking about 30 documents that you guys 24 are moving to admit at the end of the case, and now we have to 25 address them one by one. Right? That's what we're going to

1 do. 2 MR. WYATT: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. Let's do it. So what's the first one, interrogatories? 4 5 Mr. Marketos, do you have something you want to say? 6 Yes, Your Honor. Just to be clear, I MR. MARKETOS: 7 don't believe that they preserved the right to enter documents 8 after they closed. 9 THE COURT: Well, I do remember -- and I will be 10 clear. Ms. Brown did make that indication to the Court. I 11 think, if anything, it was misleading to me because I was not 12 aware that there were 30 other documents that you were all 13 disputing that now we're going to address this morning. 14 But there is a record of Janssen's stating that they 15 rest with that one caveat, of moving in certain exhibits. 16 Now, if I knew that this is what you meant by it, I 17 would have scrutinized this issue when it was said to me on 18 the record. But there is a record made of it, and now I have 19 to address it. 20 I'm not happy about it. I think I made that clear. 21 But I'll with deal with it. 22 MR. MARKETOS: I thought they were referring to the 23 filing because they apparently sent us an email during trial 24 with a list of exhibits. 25 THE COURT: Yeah, so did I. But the point is, there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
is a record made and I'm not going to -- I don't think it was
clear, but I'm going to review this issue, I guess, in a light
most favorable to Janssen since they're the one who placed on
the record that they were closing pending the admissibility or
moving in some documents into evidence. So let's go through
these one by one.
       Your objection is noted from the Relators, but let's go
through it.
       So what's the first document?
         MR. WYATT: The first document is 1058, and 1058,
1059, 1063, 1064, 1066, and 1067 are all editions of the DHHS
quidelines.
         THE COURT:
                    No, I thought we were on interrogatories.
                    I'm happy to start there, Your Honor.
         MR. WYATT:
                    Well, let's start there since I'm still
         THE COURT:
looking at the instruction.
         MR. WYATT: They are D-2370 and D-2371.
         THE COURT: And those are the responses from the
Relators?
         MR. WYATT: Ms. Brancaccio's responses and
Ms. Penelow's responses.
         THE COURT: All right. Mr. Marketos, what's the -- I
don't know what the objection would be to the admissibility of
those documents.
       I understand the objection to the timing of it. I get
```

it. But outside of that, these are statements of a party 1 2 opponent, no? 3 MR. MARKETOS: They normally would be, Your Honor, except that you -- that there objections to them, and I just 4 5 need to look -- I need to look at the issue. I mean, they're 6 just trying to enter in a bunch of interrogatory answers, and 7 that's not normally how they're used at trial. Normally you 8 would just impeach a witness with them or -- entering an 9 entire set of interrogatories is not normally how we do it 10 under the Rules of Civil Procedure. 11 So I just -- I mean, I need to look at it. And I 12 apologize. 13 THE COURT: All right. So what do we want to do 14 then, folks? Are we going to go through the rest of the jury 15 instructions and then we're going to wait for you all to 16 review these documents and then we'll get back on the record? 17 MR. WYATT: I can look at the specific 18 interrogatories we have in mind, if that will narrow this 19 issue. I think we can address the other documents in batch 20 because except for maybe one or two things, it really is all 21 guidelines or company policy documents. I don't think there 22 are going to be separate objections to individual documents. 23 THE COURT: All right. So let's skip on the 24 interrogatories for now. 25 What's the next batch then? You said it was what?

1	MR. WYATT: It was the batch that I mentioned
2	beginning D-1058 and continuing with some interruptions
3	through D-1067. Those are the guidelines, the DHHS
4	guidelines. Some of these are already in evidence. These are
5	just different years with the same
6	THE COURT: So what years have already been admitted?
7	MR. WYATT: I'm inferring from the documents that are
8	on my list here it's 2007, 2009, 2010, and 2013 would already
9	been in evidence.
10	THE COURT: And which ones are you looking to admit?
11	MR. WYATT: 2006, 2008, 2011, 2012, and two edditions
12	in
13	THE COURT: Are they identical to the guidelines from
14	the other years?
15	MR. WYATT: I believe that there changes from one
16	edition to the next. It gets updated with new data and new
17	medicines, et cetera, over time.
18	THE COURT: Is there anything substantive I mean,
19	is there anything substantively different, thought, about
20	these guidelines from year to year?
21	MR. WYATT: I believe there are substantive
22	differences in the guidelines I can't think of an example
23	standing here, but they are
24	THE COURT: Well, I guess what I'm trying to figure
25	out here's what I'm trying to get at, Mr. Wyatt. Is

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this -- we put in a bunch of guidelines. We're missing a few years, and so there's really no surprise there for the Relators. Right? These guidelines are in evidence, and there's just a couple of years that we're trying to fill the gap, or are you trying to put something through the back door that in this guideline in this particular year that we didn't admit says something completely different than the guidelines we've all been listening to and relying upon, and so now this is a back door to get some significantly different information into the trial that is not consistent with the other yearly quidelines? MR. WYATT: It's the former, Your Honor. We want to show the jury that these are published routinely, that they have quidelines --THE COURT: All right. Then that's a different argument. Mr. Marketos, these are guidelines, several of which have already been admitted. They're just moving in guidelines to complete, I quess, the other years during the relevant period, I presume, between 2006 and '14 or whatever it may be. MR. MARKETOS: I quess, Your Honor. I quess if it's supposed to be useful for closing, that's going to be interesting. But if, as represented, if they are merely just gap fillers, then we're not going to --THE COURT: I don't have any issue either. I'm going

1 to allow those in, based upon that representation. 2 But, Mr. Wyatt, make sure that you're not arguing --3 you are arguing something very unique about a particular 4 quideline now that I've just allowed. Mind you, it's really for continuity and consistency that these guidelines have 5 6 existed through the relevant period. 7 MR. WYATT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: They're admitted. 9 MR. WYATT: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 10 And the remaining documents, it's a longer list, but 11 they are policy documents --12 THE COURT: Janssen policy documents? 13 MR. WYATT: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. And -- well, what type of 15 policy documents? 16 MR. WYATT: They're the sort that we've seen on the 17 So there were the speakers' bureau policy, for 18 example, that shows, you know, we have these criteria for the 19 speakers, we have these criteria for the substance of the 20 program, the needs assessment and so on. It's things like 21 that with respect to other topics of relevance to the case, 22 including product promotion, fair market value, advisory 23 boards. And some of these things have been referenced just in 24 testimony or shown on the screen but have just not been 25 admitted previously.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MR. WYATT: We also did include this list, or some of 3 them, anyway, for opening, and there was no objection to them 4 at that time. THE COURT: You did? 5 6 Yeah. I can't represent here that it's MR. WYATT: 7 every single one, but many of these documents were in that 8 representation -- or that list that we provided the other side 9 for opening, and there were no objections. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MR. MARKETOS: Let me just look at it, Your Honor, 12 because, to be clear, both sides had objections to both of 13 these. We had a discussion about 8038. I keep repeating 14 myself on this issue. So I'm going to look at these documents 15 one by one. We had objections to their government documents; 16 they had objections to ours. And what we did as practice --17 because I sent over a list at the beginning of trial, and I 18 ended up having to go one by one, as Your Honor knows, for the 19 first rather than preadmitting all these documents. Now it 20 seems like we're trying to pre-admit all of the documents that 21 they wanted to but after trial's closed. So I need to look at 22 the documents one by one. 23 THE COURT: All right. Is that something that can be 24 done now or is that something you're saying we are going to 25 have to address on the lunch break?

```
1
             MR. MARKETOS: Yeah, it's something we're going to
 2
    have to address on the lunch break, Your Honor. I can't be
 3
    cavalier about this. This is --
 4
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wyatt, is there another
    batch of documents or is that it?
 5
 6
             MR. WYATT: That's it, Your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: All right. So there are two batches of
 8
    documents: There are interrogatories and those policy-related
 9
    documents that Relators are going to review.
10
           Mr. Wyatt.
11
             MR. WYATT: Sorry. There is one other issue that I
12
    forgot about. It's the CMS policy manual for Medicare Part D.
13
    That was used in opening. That was not objected to in
14
              I believe it's distinct from the regulation issue
    openina.
15
    because it's really a policy manual; it's not a government
16
    website and available for all to see. So that should be
17
    admissible as well. And there was an agreement at one time
18
    that there would be no objection to government documents
19
    because they are admissible under 8038.
20
             THE COURT: Mr. Marketos, you are aware of that
21
    exhibit specifically?
22
             MR. MARKETOS: Yeah, I am. If that's what that is,
23
    then we're not going to object to it.
24
             THE COURT: All right. That one is in.
                                                      I'll allow
25
    that to be admitted. So there are two batches of documents
```

1 that I'm going to let the Relators review, and we can deal 2 with it during lunch. 3 One is the interrogatories, and if there's something more particular, then I'm going to ask you to provide that to 4 Relators' counsel in advance, to meet and confer because there 5 may be less of a concern, if they're not looking at every 6 7 single response. And then the other is the batch of policies. 8 Fair enough. 9 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. WYATT: 10 I'll reserve on those two, and then we'll THE COURT: 11 deal with it during the lunch break. 12 MR. WYATT: I do appreciate the Court's attention to 13 this. It was not our intent to sandbag anyone. To the 14 contrary, we gave them a list, assuming that these would not 15 be very controversial, and they just became unexpectedly 16 controversial. I do apologize for the diversion and 17 appreciate the Court's attention. 18 THE COURT: Let me ask you all this, then, for 19 purposes of use of the interrogatories, because I need to give 20 final instructions this morning, and that issue is going to be 21 pending. Can I simply say, You may hear answers that have 22 been given? Can I put a "may" in there so that we can decide 23 on this instruction? Look, if there's no interrogatories in 24 evidence, this is one of several and numerous instructions 25 that the jury is just going to ignore. But what's the

1 objection to giving some kind of --2 MR. MARKETOS: This is part of the problem. 3 Normally, the way that you use an interrogatory at trial, right, and this is part of the rule -- it's Rule 33, I 4 believe, of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure -- is it 5 comes in as evidence may come in. So they didn't use it with 6 7 the Relators so that we could then cross-examine or redirect with the Relators. So they're now posttrial admitting 9 interrogatory answers that the Relators have not had a chance 10 to address. 11 THE COURT: But, Mr. Marketos, the instruction simply 12 defines what an interrogatory is. I'm not putting in that 13 second paragraph that says, You may consider the 14 interrogatories. 15 MR. MARKETOS: Instruction is fine. I'm sorry, Your 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: I'm just talking about the instruction. 18 All it's going to say is, You may have heard answers that a 19 party gave in response to written questions submitted by the 20 other side. The written questions are called interrogatories. 21 Written answers were given in writing and under oath before 22 the trial. Period, the end. 23 MR. MARKETOS: That's fine, Your Honor. I quess that 24 presupposes that the interrogatories are coming in is my 25 point.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'm not presupposing. I'm just saying I have to give the final instructions this morning. I don't believe in delaying the final instructions because we have these two issues pending. Why not give the instruction with the "may" so it's included? If I ultimately decide that I'm not going to allow these interrogatories to come in, I'm not concerned about the jury getting a superfluous instruction, some unnecessary instruction out of all the other instructions they get. But if I do decide they are admissible and I admit them, I don't have to go back and say, Members of the jury, I have to give you an additional instruction on what an interrogatory is. MR. MARKETOS: No. No objection to the use of the "may" instruction. THE COURT: All right. And I'm also going to say that the parties gave. I'm not even going to specify Relators in this instruction. I'm going to say, You may have heard answers that the parties gave in response to written questions submitted -- it's basically the first paragraph of that instruction only where I'm substituting "may" in the beginning. And I'm going to state that the parties gave in response. Any objection to that kind of general vaque instruction? MR. MARKETOS: I don't have an objection to that, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Wyatt. 2 MR. WYATT: No objection. 3 THE COURT: All right. So, for now, that's the 4 instruction that we're going to use for use of 5 interrogatories. With the caveat that I don't even know if 6 I'm going to allow these to be admitted, but we are going to 7 wait and see, and we'll adjust those during lunch. 8 So are we back on instructions? 9 Mr. Marketos, you're standing, but I don't know if you 10 have something else you want to say. 11 MR. MARKETOS: No, I don't, Your Honor. I apologize. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Wyatt. 13 MR. WYATT: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 So with respect to pages 26 and 27, which collectively 15 encompass our proposed Part D instruction and then the 16 instruction on the overview of Relators' claims, in the letter 17 last night on the rulemaking for Part D, one of the arguments 18 that was made in the letter is that the rulemaking is 19 inappropriate as evidence because it should be an instruction, 20 or there should be instruction on the law or something to that 21 effect. This highlights the issue that came up at sidebar, 22 which is that if we're not going to have evidence from the 23 regulation as to what CMS's thinking was about the regulation, 24 there needs to be instruction on that issue. 25 So if we're not going to have an instruction on Part D

1 along the lines of what we proposed, I would suggest that we 2 at least alter the language of Instruction 22 where we define 3 in two ways -- actually three ways. 4 So, first of all, right now it reads: Including Medicare Part D and Medicaid and ADAP will cover and pay for a 5 6 drug if and so on. As we showed yesterday, Medicaid and ADAP 7 have their own coverage requirement. That's actually admitted by one of the Relators' witnesses in this case. Medicaid and 9 ADAP are not subject, or at least not required, to follow the 10 medically accepted indication provision of the Medicare law. 11 So it would be incorrect as a matter of law to instruct the 12 jury that the coverage requirements are the same, and I would submit that the burden is on the Relators to show what the 13 14 coverage requirements for those other entities are. 15 THE COURT: That issue has already been raised, 16 though, by you all, correct? 17 MR. WYATT: It has been. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. WYATT: But it's been admitted by a witness at 20 trial that Medicaid has its own coverage rule. 21 THE COURT: The fact witness? MR. WYATT: Yes, Your Honor. 22 23 All right. That's the transcript that THE COURT: 24 you showed me the other day? 25 MR. WYATT: It is.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MR. WYATT: The next issue is with respect to 3 medically accepted indication where it says, "which means any 4 FDA-approved use on the label that is supported by one or more citations in certain drug compendia." We would propose that 5 instead we define medically accepted indication, because I'm 6 7 not sure where this language comes from, but it doesn't track the statute or the policy manual. 9 What the policy manual says is, "Medically accepted 10 indication refers to the diagnosis or condition for which a 11 drug is being prescribed, not the dose being prescribed for 12 such indication." We think that's how the language there should read. 13 14 THE COURT: Where would that language go? 15 Instead of "which means any FDA-approved MR. WYATT: 16 use on the label" through the end of that sentence, it should 17 say, "use for medically accepted indication," which means the 18 diagnosis --19 THE COURT: Sorry. You got to go slower, Mr. Wyatt. 20 MR. WYATT: Sure. My mistake, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: So where it reads, "which means any 22 FDA-approved use on the label," you want to substitute that 23 language with what? 24 "Which means the diagnosis or condition MR. WYATT: 25 for which a drug is being prescribed, not the dose being

```
1
    prescribed for such indication."
 2
             THE COURT:
                         "Diagnosis or condition."
 3
             MR. WYATT: "For which a drug is being prescribed,
 4
    not the dose being prescribed for such indication."
 5
             THE COURT: Let me hear from Relators.
 6
             MR. MARKETOS: Disagree, Your Honor. I think they're
 7
    trying to change the theory of the case. You don't just argue
    that a fact witness said X. I can point to their own
 9
    documents that -- compliance documents that refer to the
10
               I think these instructions have been how they have
    opposite.
11
    been since the -- they were originally proposed, and we're not
12
    changing the theory of the case away from the FDA-approved
    use. That's been the entire trial.
13
14
             THE COURT: All right.
15
             MR. WYATT:
                         I'm sorry. Do you want to hear from me
16
    or not?
17
             THE COURT: You have more to say?
18
                         It's simply there have -- the
             MR. WYATT:
    instructions have not been issued previously, so this is not a
19
20
    law --
21
             THE COURT: No, no, I understand that. I understand
22
    your point, and I understand Mr. Marketos's.
23
           Look, I will tell you, I'm going to take a look at it,
24
    but, for now, I don't intend to revisit this language, but I
25
    am going to review what you raised on the record.
```

1 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. WYATT: 2 I would also simply propose that we add the following 3 sentence also from the manual right after the current sentence 4 that ends in "certain drug compendia," which is "Part D sponsors are responsible for ensuring that coverage Part D 5 6 drugs are prescribed for medically accepted indications." THE COURT: Mr. Marketos. 7 8 MR. MARKETOS: Our definition of medically accepted 9 indication, Your Honor, tracks the language of the statute, 10 and it is starting to refer to manuals now to suggest changes 11 to the legal instruction that the Court's going to give, and 12 we don't believe that's appropriate. 13 THE COURT: All right. I'm going leave the language 14 as is, but your objection is noted, Mr. Wyatt. 15 What's the next instruction? 16 MR. WYATT: So last item on this, there's language, 17 "Coverage for drugs may be excluded." And so on that 18 sentence --19 THE COURT: Right. 20 -- under Medicare, the entity that has MR. WYATT: 21 the discretion to decide whether exclusion is appropriate on 22 this basis -- or whether to adopt that exclusionary policy, is Part D plan sponsors. So you would need to have evidence in 23 24 this case that there was, in fact, any Part D plan sponsor 25 that has a rule that would exclude drugs that are not

```
1
    reasonable or necessary, and no such evidence came in.
 2
             THE COURT: Well, then, you can argue that in
 3
    closing.
 4
             MR. WYATT:
                         Fair enough.
                         All right.
 5
             THE COURT:
 6
             MR. WYATT: Let's move on.
 7
           I appreciate that, Your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT: By the way, Mr. Wyatt, do we need to get
 9
    into this FDA regulation as well at some point?
10
             MR. WYATT: I'm going to have one proposal for that,
11
    for FDA.
12
             THE COURT: No, I'm talking about the letter you all
13
    submitted with the 300-some-page --
14
             MR. WYATT: Yes.
15
             THE COURT: -- where there's comments from third
16
    parties and --
17
             MR. WYATT: Yes, Your Honor. But I'll move quickly.
18
    There's only a couple of other issues.
19
             THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
20
             MS. BROWN: So the next one is 23.3, which is on
21
    page 32.
22
             THE COURT:
                         Right.
23
             MR. WYATT:
                         And here I just want to clarify -- the
24
    Court's already ruled, but I just want to clarify that as the
25
    Court knows, we believe Greenfield's wrongly decided, but even
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if it's not, it doesn't apply to the 2006 to 2010 time period. And I'm not sure it was completely clear in our prior submissions that that was a point we wanted to make in the jury instructions, but it is. And so we would propose that this instruction, if it's going to state Greenfield's rule, which I understand the Court is bound by it, would only apply to 2010 to 2014. MR. RUSS: Your Honor, I'm not sure that's accurate. It varies circuit to circuit in case law. There are cases that hold that that same test applied before there was a change in the law -- or the amendment to the AKS. So without any cases to review from Mr. Wyatt, I'm not sure that that's accurate from a retrospective point of view. MR. WYATT: So I rely on Greenfield for that proposition, Your Honor. THE COURT: Basically look at when the decision came out? MR. WYATT: Yeah. Well, so Greenfield came out in 2018, but what it's referring to is that the AKS was amended in 2010. And what it says is that "It was part of an overall effort to strengthen whistleblower actions based on medical care or kickbacks, and the legislative history of the provision does not explain the term 'resulting from,' but the congressional record indicates it was enacted to avert legal challenges that sometimes defeat legitimate enforcement

```
1
    efforts."
 2
           So it's definitely a change in the law in the Third
 3
    Circuit's view, one that changed the legal standard or --
 4
             THE COURT: So you think there's two separate
    standards that apply to the third element depending on what
 5
 6
    year we're talking about?
 7
             MR. WYATT: Correct.
 8
             THE COURT: Was that proposed in Janssen's initial
 9
    proposal?
10
             MR. WYATT:
                         That was -- it was part of our trial
11
            What I'm not remembering is whether the proposal's in
    brief.
12
    the instructions. Our proposal was simply to have a but-for
13
    instruction on this issue.
14
             THE COURT:
                         Right.
15
             MR. WYATT:
                         And so the sub-argument of that is --
16
             THE COURT:
                         At least give us that for --
17
             MR. WYATT:
                         Yes.
18
             THE COURT:
                         -- 2006 to 2009 or whatever it may be?
19
             MR. WYATT:
                         Yes.
20
             THE COURT: Let me hear from Mr. Russ.
21
             MR. RUSS: Your Honor, I would need to go back and
22
    look at the case law, but I know there's case law -- and I
23
    can't remember if it's in the Third Circuit or not -- that
24
    says the AKS amendment just clarifies the law. It didn't
25
    change it. And so -- and that varies from circuit to circuit.
```

```
1
           And if memory serves, when this came up in the trial
 2
    brief, I believe there was a Third Circuit case --
 3
             THE COURT: Well, when do you plan on looking at that
    since I'm instructing the jury today?
 4
 5
             MR. RUSS: I can look as quickly as possible.
 6
             THE COURT: All right. Do that. For now, I'm going
 7
    to keep the language as is, but I want to hear from Mr. Russ
 8
    so that -- I'm going to reserve on that, but for now I'm not
 9
    changing it.
10
           What's the next one?
11
             MR. WYATT: So quickly on materiality, which is on
12
    page 33 -- I'm sorry. That's not right. Give me a minute.
13
             (Brief pause.)
14
             MR. WYATT:
                         Page 46.
15
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
16
             MR. WYATT: We would propose additional language to
17
    materiality to one more sentence. "A false claim is not
18
    material to the government's payment decision if the
    government would have paid the claims with full knowledge of
19
20
    the alleged noncompliance." That's almost straight out of
21
    Petratos, and I think the concern I have is that if we're just
22
    reading this statutory language, we're sort of setting the
23
    jury up to be applying the wrong -- or misunderstanding the
24
    materiality requirement sort of in the same way that the
25
    Supreme Court clarified what materiality meant in Escobar.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It really is very general to say it has a natural tendency to influence, and I think the effect on the payment decision is a really important clarification of what the materiality standard means. THE COURT: And so the proposed language that you're -- just say it a little bit slower. The proposed language you're looking at at the end of that instruction for 24.18 is what? MR. WYATT: That's correct. It would be "A false claim is not material to the government's payment decision if the government would have paid the claims with full knowledge of the alleged noncompliance." THE COURT: All right. Mr. Russ? MR. RUSS: Your Honor, this comes up in all of our False Claims Act cases, and the other courts that we proposed instructions for have followed exactly this, the statutory language of materiality that is in the statute. I understand that there's case law on every single element, that you could go and pick and choose language to try to help your side or, you know, hurt the other side. But that is the statutory definition of material or materiality in the False Claims Act. THE COURT: All right. I mean, I think I -- there's a few circumstances where I've reviewed the proposed

1 instructions where I did not add additional language with 2 respect to definitions that were already defined in the 3 statute. So I'm going leave this instruction as is, because I 4 think that's consistent with how I ruled on other proposed instructions, not just with materiality but other terms, by 5 not adding -- and I'm not going to use the term "cherry-pick" 6 7 -- but not adding selective language from different cases to augment the description. Otherwise, we might be here for 8 9 weeks. 10 So I'm going to leave it as is, but I note the 11 objection. 12 MR. WYATT: Understood, Your Honor. Just two more. 13 On page 51, the FDA approval instruction. 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MR. WYATT: We would request an addition of "or 16 disapproved" after "approved" in the last sentence, just to 17 balance this out. 18 I previously expressed a concern that this instruction 19 could be read to say, "Disregard Dr. Patel's testimony." And 20 I think adding "or disapproved" doesn't change the meaning of 21 the instruction but does give it greater balance. 22 THE COURT: Hold on one second. One second. 23 (Brief pause.) 24 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Wyatt, before I even hear from 25 Mr. Marketos -- Mr. Marketos, are you objecting?

1 MR. MARKETOS: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Then hold on. 3 Mr. Wyatt, here's my concern about that. Okay? 4 MR. WYATT: Yeah. 5 THE COURT: It changes the meaning of that final 6 statement that I struggled with in this instruction. By the 7 way, this is a much lighter instruction than the one Relators proposed to the Court. 9 But here it says, "I am instructing you that there is 10 no statute or regulation that says that the FDA's silence 11 means that it has approved a promotional advertising 12 commission." 13 If I add the words "or disapproved," then it almost 14 defeats the entire point of this instruction and that last 15 sentence. It's basically saying, FDA silence means that 16 whatever you're doing is okay because that's not a 17 disapproval. So I'm concerned about changing the meaning of 18 that final statement. 19 I think it's much softer language, and I think that's 20 even the term Mr. Marketos used when I proposed this revised 21 instruction that the Relators gave to me, but let me hear from 22 Mr. Marketos. 23 Your Honor, obviously, we believe the MR. MARKETOS: 24 law is that the -- but based on the regulations themselves and 25 case law, that the FDA silence is affirmatively not approval.

1 It must be in writing to be approval as the regulation states. 2 So when Your Honor gave us this instruction to begin 3 with, it was a very -- very nice compromise for Janssen, from 4 our point of view. What's the point of saying "approve" or 5 "disapprove" at the end of the day? That's basically --6 THE COURT: Yeah, I agree. I think it changes the 7 meaning of what I'm intending to say there. 8 So I'm going to leave the instruction as is. I mean, 9 Janssen's objection is noted. 10 Relators' objection is also noted, that you want the 11 language to be much more definitive. But in my review of the 12 law, I was not comfortable instructing as definitively on that 13 particular issue in light of the testimony that came out 14 during trial, at least with Dr. Patel. And I was more 15 comfortable -- I will say I am comfortable instructing that 16 there's no statute or regulation that says that the FDA 17 silence means that it has approved a promotional advertising 18 submission. 19 So that's a compromise that I can see. I understand 20 that this is one that neither party agrees with, but that's --21 the instruction is going to stay as is. 22 Mr. Wyatt, where are we going next? 23 MR. WYATT: Last one, Your Honor, and thank you. 24 Page 57, the damages that parties may recover 25 instruction. The last sentences says, "In determining the

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

amount of the damages that the United States and states are entitled to, you are not to consider the possibility Relators share recovery." I have a concern that the jury will perceive that they are not to consider that at all for any purpose, and so we would suggest adding "You may, however, consider the possibility the Relators share recovery in evaluating the bias, credibility or motive of the Relators." And we did supply an example of an instruction given in another case. Didn't track that verbatim but essentially say -- said the same thing. THE COURT: I remember this issue. So I will tell you, the reason -- well, here's how I tried to address it, Mr. Wyatt. You have a credibility-of-witness instruction that encompasses that point that you want to make, and you're able to argue about credibility with this. The reason why I thought I protected that concern that Janssen has, I said, "In determining the amount of the damages." It's very specific that with respect to that determination, you're not to consider the recovery of the Relators. Let me hear from Mr. Russ, though. I know you were standing up. But I appreciated Janssen's concern there. This language was very particular, and I tried to keep it limited to determining the amount of the damages. But I also want to

make clear that under no circumstances can they not address those issues with respect to credibility of a Relator or a witness. That's a separate instruction.

And, by the way, it does talk about different factors to be considered for credibility. One of them is, you know, some financial incentive in the case or motive or bias.

So I think -- let me hear from Mr. Russ. I don't want to speak for the Relators, but I thought those concerns were addressed.

MR. RUSS: No, you know it, Your Honor. The credibility issues don't belong in this damages calculation instruction. I think it's perfect as written. There would be no reason for, in a damage instruction, for them to consider the credibility of particular witnesses, particularly when there's a concern that they may deduct from the government's recovery because there's a potential for Relators --

THE COURT: Yeah. And, Mr. Wyatt -- and here's the one other point I'll make, Mr. Wyatt. If I add that language that you want me to add in this instruction, I end up highlighting and giving attention to the jury to say, let me do this a second time. You know, I understand that you could consider the Relators' credibility with this issue once, but now I'm going to give them a second instruction when it comes to damages. Like, are you sure you don't want to consider their credibility with respect to their recovery? And I feel

1 like that tips the scale and is basically almost me implying 2 to the jury that they really need to consider that issue for 3 purposes of credibility. 4 That's not the Court's purview. I'm not going to tell 5 them what issues to deal with or what not to deal with with a 6 particular witness. So I'm going keep the language as is, but 7 I appreciate the objection. I will tell you, though, I did 8 consider that objection heavily in drafting the language, and 9 I understand you all want that additional language added, but 10 I'm going to keep it as is. 11 MR. WYATT: Understood, Your Honor. Those are the 12 only specific points I wanted to call out, in addition to the 13 ones we've already put on the record. 14 THE COURT: All right. So I -- with respect to the 15 jury instructions, have I addressed all the objections on the 16 record here? Other than your prior objections that you have 17 preserved for all time. 18 MR. RUSS: For Relators, yeah, Your Honor. 19 MR. WYATT: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: So that's separate and apart. 21 appreciate you all going through that pretty efficiently. 22 The use of interrogatories, we decided, will be 23 included for now with that tempered language a bit. 24 What's next? Should we talk about this FDA regulation, 25 or do you want to deal with that at lunch with the remaining

1 documents that you all have to review? 2 MR. MARKETOS: Yes, Your Honor. That would be my 3 preference, if we could, because I got a lot to go through. 4 THE COURT: All right. So why don't we do this, folks. 5 We are going to give -- I'm going to take a few 6 We're going to have a recess. I need to make sure 7 the final instructions are actually done consistent with what 8 we just discussed. I'll have some printouts for you all, or 9 do you want them emailed to you? How do you want this done, 10 folks? 11 Printout would be great, Your Honor. MR. WYATT: 12 THE COURT: I'll have a printout for me, because I 13 usually read off of paper. When I'm doing the instructions, 14 you'll have a printout. That way you all can just kind of go 15 along with what I'm going to be reading to the jury. And then 16 during lunch, I think I'm going to give the jurors an hour break. You will not have an hour, because we need to address 17 18 these evidentiary issues and I need those tacked down. 19 MR. WYATT: And the verdict form at lunch as well? 20 THE COURT: Have you guys had an opportunity to even 21 really review the verdict sheet? I don't know. Do you guys 22 want to talk about that now? It's not extensive. I mean, 23 it's more condensed -- it's a hybrid. It's more condensed than Janssen's proposal, but it's more than what you all 24 25 proposed from the Relators' side. Do you want to take a look

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at it? And I think one of the substantive changes -primarily, I went with the format for Relators except for the
state claims. I thought just saying, hey, folks, what do you
think about these state claims was insufficient for a verdict
form. I think you have to break down these states.

So if you look at what I've done, I've taken Janssen's proposal, or at least some hybrid of their proposal, when it comes to the state claims, because the instructions -- let me say this. Let me strike that.

The verdict sheet has to be consistent with the instructions that I'm giving. And the way I'm instructing the jury on the state claims is they have to assess the damages for each of these states. So how do I give them that type of an instruction and then the verdict sheet doesn't reflect the very instruction that I'm giving for purposes of damages? don't know if you all want to take a look at it now and talk about it or you want to add this to the lunch break. We need to finalize this verdict sheet. What I attempted to do is --I'll be candid. I thought Janssen's verdict sheet was just unbelievably confusing for the jury. So I condensed it. thought the Relators' version was more clear, but I thought Janssen was correct on you have to expand on these state The jury has to be able to allocute these damages They can't just bundle them up and say, by the appropriately. way, here's the damages. Figure it out later.

1 So if you look at the verdict sheet, that's the hybrid 2 that I proposed to both parties. 3 But I don't know if you all need some time to discuss internally or we can talk about it now. 4 5 MR. RUSS: Your Honor, I think it would be helpful 6 for us to discuss, potentially meet and confer with Janssen on 7 It would be helpful for us to kind of lock this down this. before we give a closing argument or we are going to walk the 9 jurors through the verdict sheet. 10 THE COURT: Well, then, here's my point. You guys 11 close before lunch. 12 MR. RUSS: Right. 13 THE COURT: Don't you all need to work on that issue 14 Do you want to take some time now, and I just give you 15 guys a 15-minute recess? But, I mean, I plan to give 16 instructions, and Relators will close, then we break for 17 lunch, and then Janssen will close. Then we'll break shortly 18 for the rebuttal. MR. RUSS: I think we take some time now, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. Why don't we do this. We're 21 in a -- let's say 15-minute recess. Do what you need to do 22 personally, and why don't you meet and confer on the verdict 23 sheet, and I'll come back on, and I'll inform the jurors that 24 I'm dealing with some legal issues. I'll take responsibility 25 for that. I don't think they're going to be too concerned.

```
1
    They know they're getting instructions today. They know
 2
    closing arguments are coming today. I'm sure that they're
 3
    anxiously anticipating kind of getting to that point. So I'll
    let them know we have some issues to resolve.
 4
 5
           With that, folks, we are in recess for 15 minutes.
 6
    Please use some of that time to deal with the verdict sheet
 7
    and confer. I'll come back in. We're in recess. You all may
 8
    be seated.
 9
             MR. RUSS:
                        Thank you, Your Honor.
10
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
             MR. WYATT:
11
                            Thanks, Your Honor.
             MR. MARKETOS:
12
             (A short recess occurred.)
13
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please remain seated.
14
             THE COURT: Folks, you guys have at least a hard copy
15
    of the instructions?
16
             MS. BROWN: Yes, thank you.
17
             THE COURT: All right. The final instruction, I only
18
    give that right before deliberation after closing, so that's
19
    the version that I'm going to give. I don't think there's
20
    going to be any real dispute there, but take a look at that,
21
    and we can address it at lunch, if there's a concern, but that
22
    instruction, to me, does not make sense to do four hours
23
    before they get the case. So I always reserve that final
24
    instruction for after all the closings and rebuttal.
25
    before I send them in, that's the instruction I give that
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reminds them about the basics of what they're supposed to do, including picking a foreperson. You guys can review that. We'll address it at lunch if there's a concern, after you guys break for lunch, and then we'll go from there. Folks, anything we need to discuss before I bring out the jurors to just read these instructions? MR. RUSS: Yes, Your Honor. On the verdict sheet, we've conferred. I think Mr. Wyatt has some different So let me level-set on these -- these are difficult concerns. verdict sheets because there's a lot of overlap. THE COURT: Right. MR. RUSS: So under the federal False Claims Act, we could go for the -- all Medicaid money and get what's called FMAP portion back for the United States, and that's set by Then there's a state portion that is going to be -we have been in touch with main NAMFCU coordinator which is the National Medicaid Fraud Control Unit coordinator, to get the state portions of the 27 -- or 26 states plus the District of Columbia. So we are trying to make this streamlined so that we are not overlapping. So, for instance, all the claims at issue that are federal claims and Medicaid claims will be in the false claims for both the first question and then later in the later questions, so there's some overlap. So we are

trying to figure out how to best apportion this so we don't

1 have the jury answering questions, you know, that are 2 overlapping on the number of claims --3 THE COURT: Right. I get that. MR. RUSS: So --4 5 THE COURT: Is there a proposal that you guys have? 6 MR. RUSS: One way that I think it would work, Your 7 Honor -- and there's a couple different ways we could do it, because the way that Your Honor -- I think it's appropriate 9 and correct on Instruction Number 25 -- has written that if 10 you find a violation of the federal False Claims Act, right, 11 for either the AKS or the off-label marketing portion, you 12 must also find that Janssen violated the analogous provisions 13 of all the state False Claims Acts. What that would mean is 14 there would be a bunch of yeses down the page for all the 15 states. And so one way we could do it is to streamline these 16 into basically two questions, right, or two sets of questions, 17 the off-label marketing and then the kickbacks, because the 18 number of claims would be the same and the number of -- which 19 is for penalties, and then the damages would then be 20 apportioned amongst the many plaintiffs if we were to get that 21 verdict and ultimately get that judgment. 22 That streamline, it takes out about six or seven pages 23 of the verdict sheet. 24 THE COURT: Right. Okay. That's your proposal? 25 MR. RUSS: Yes, Your Honor. I think that would be

1 the easiest way. 2 Okay. Let me hear from Mr. Wyatt. THE COURT: 3 MR. WYATT: We oppose that, Your Honor. I mean, the 4 reason that this is being brought up is because the Relators needed to put in state-specific evidence for the damages and 5 they didn't do it. So you can't get around that by saying, 6 7 well, actually, it's all just federal damages now because Medicaid is a federal program. There's a federal share that 9 maybe the federal government can go after under the FCA, but 10 then there's state shares, which is why we have 26 state 11 plaintiffs and the District of Columbia in this case. 12 have to be litigated, if at all, under the state False Claims Act claims. 13 14 By the way, this verdict form was submitted jointly by 15 There was variation on language, but there was the parties. 16 no disagreement that there would be separate blanks and 17 answers for the states. That was part of both parties' 18 submissions. 19 So this is changing because they don't like the way the 20 evidence came in or they made a strategic decision not to put 21 it in this way. But you can't do it this way --22 THE COURT: So I don't have it before me. 23 Mr. Russ, is that accurate, that this was part of the 24 joint proposal? 25 MR. RUSS: We actually proposed two different ones,

1 Your Honor. Originally, we did propose one that didn't 2 have -- so the AKS is somewhat different --3 THE COURT: What's confusing about my hybrid? 4 just trying to figure out -- what is the problem with how I 5 identified it? 6 MR. RUSS: So we do have Medicaid claims. 7 Medicaid damages. Professor Shaked testified at length about the Medicaid, the FMAP -- the FMAP is part of the 9 United States' damages because it's a federal grant. 10 have that information. What I think they're -- trying to 11 avoid is this sort of 27 -- list of duplicative questions for 12 the number of claims in that if you find a violation of the 13 federal False Claims Act, that same money, you got and go and 14 click yes to each of these and then apportion the amounts. 15 That's one of the reasons that we proposed, for the Medicaid 16 money, which is the non-FMAP portion of Medicaid, that it be 17 apportioned to the states because they are all working 18 together with the NAMFCU, and then if we get that judgment, 19 it's our verdict to hold on to, we can go back to the states 20 and say, here's your portion. 21 THE COURT: Do you have -- I guess -- do I have 22 something before me that would show me what this proposed 23 revision would look like? I'm hearing the words that you're 24 saying, but I can't visualize the verdict form. 25 MR. RUSS: Sure. It would -- I can find it very

```
1
    quickly, Your Honor. It would be the same first...
 2
             THE COURT: By the way, Mr. Wyatt, I'll hear from
 3
         I'm not saying I'm going to approve it, but I also --
    it's hard for me to address this in a vacuum without seeing
 4
    what it actually looks like.
 5
 6
           So, Mr. Russ, are you going to be able to put that
 7
    together?
 8
             MR. RUSS: I think we can put that together very
 9
    quickly, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Why don't we do that.
11
           And, Mr. Wyatt, I know you're objecting to it, but is
12
    there something else that you're proposing for me to put
13
    together that's different than mine, or are you saying mine
14
    would be acceptable? You're opposing this revised version of
15
    what I provided to you all?
16
             MR. WYATT: I do want to make one record on one
17
    thing, but yes. To your question, yes. I'm fine with the
18
    Court's proposal, subject to objections that we don't need to
19
    get into because it relates to --
20
             THE COURT: All right. What's the additional thing
21
    that you wanted to mention?
22
             MR. WYATT: So two things. I just want to respond to
23
    one thing that was said a second ago by counsel, which is that
24
    it follows as a matter of course if the jury finds a federal
25
    violation that there are also state violations.
```

We just argued this. And I believe the resolution was we can argue to the jury that they failed to establish the Medicaid coverage requirements for the states. So it does not follow that if there's a federal False Claims Act violation that there are state False Claims Act violations. Those are separate questions, and it's part of why they have to be separately broken up on the verdict form.

The only other thing I just want to mention -- and so we filed a different verdict form. Those are all on the record. I'm not going to reargue any of that. Those would just be the version that we have proposed, but, otherwise, I have no issues with this verdict form.

The only point of information I will make is that there's no separate question on this verdict form for ADAP.

And so I believe that means ADAP questions are not going to the jury. I know counsel for Relators disagrees with that, but I did want to flag that for the Court.

THE COURT: Let me hear from Mr. Russ on that.

MR. RUSS: Yeah. So a couple things, Your Honor. On Instruction Number 25, it very clearly says, "If you find Janssen liable under standards explained earlier in the False Claims Act as to any Medicaid reimbursements in a particular state, then you should find that Janssen is also liable under that state law."

So we have this overlap because this is Medicaid money

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which is mostly federal money. Nothing in the way that we have written these questions limits it to Medicare Part D. That's what Janssen proposed. They proposed that you have to limit it to the type of money, Medicare or ADAP. the way the law works. We have the FMAP portion of Medicaid payments. We have federal grant money in ADAP, and we have all the Medicare Part D money. All that goes back to the United States. Then we've got a smaller portion, which is about -- and this is -- you know, we have record evidence of this -it's -- it's the state portion -- the Medicaid money in this case is about 17 percent. So the state portion of that is going to be somewhere around 8 percent. So that 8 percent is very easily taken, and saying, If you find that they violated the federal False Claims Act, here's the money to put in this bucket rather than going down each of the states. And I'm not sure I'm aware of any requirement that you have to go through each state and apportion that money when they are co-plaintiffs trying to get the same bucket of damages. THE COURT: All right. Let me see your proposed revision. Why don't you guys take some time to do that. How long is that going to take? MR. RUSS: 15 minutes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. I'll be back in 15 minutes.

1 We're in recess. 2 (A short recess occurred.) 3 THE COURT: Off the record. (Discussion was held off the record.) 4 5 THE COURT: And, folks, we're back on the record, and 6 then I'll hear from both sides. 7 I've reviewed the revised verdict form from Relators. I'm inclined to approve that verdict form for purposes of the 9 case. But I have some concerns about how the state law claims 10 were presented during this trial, and I'm reserving on that 11 decision because I have allowed Janssen to file a Rule 50 12 motion. 13 One of the bases of that motion that they've already 14 laid on the record is the deficiency of evidence with respect 15 to the state law claims. And so I want to make sure that 16 Relators are on notice that if there is a verdict of liability 17 on those state claims, by no means are you covered by the fact 18 that I've approved this verdict sheet. If anything, you might 19 have actually caused yourself a greater issue because you've 20 condensed this verdict sheet, where you're not allowing the 21 jurors to allocate damages to each state, and you're not 22 allowing them to make a determination as to the number of 23 false claims per state. You're not even allowing them to determine the number of false claims for the states as a 24 25 group. And that's how the verdict form is shown.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So Mr. Russ, I just want to be clear about that, that don't take my acceptance of the verdict sheet as my acceptance that the evidence is not deficient. I'm going to review that issue when it's been fully briefed by the parties, because I anticipate if there's a liability verdict on any claim, but especially the state claims, chances are you raised on the record that they intend to file that motion. Are we clear? MR. RUSS: I am clear, Your Honor. One thing I just want to put on the record is we think that this streamlines it because of the overlap. Right? So any claim under the False Claims Act for a Medicaid state program, that's going to be captured by the numbers of claims in Questions 1 and 4. Right? Right, which is in there. You're right. THE COURT: You do identify the number of claims early on in the verdict form when it comes to those claims. MR. RUSS: Right, Your Honor. And so that's there; that's in the record. That's in the evidence. And so I understand Your Honor to be saying as to the apportionment of the state portion that we're going to put into a bucket that -- because, look, in any nationwide case, you're going to

have maybe sometimes upwards of 50 states. Of course it would

THE COURT: But you're saying that you presented

be to the defendants' benefit to go through and --

1 evidence that the jury could allocate damages for each state 2 and identify the number of false claims for each state. 3 MR. RUSS: We have the percentage breakdown for Medicaid payments in Janssen's own documents. 4 5 THE COURT: But if they're able to do that, why keep 6 it out of the verdict form? 7 MR. RUSS: Why keep the breakdown? Because we 8 don't -- my position is, and our position is, you don't have 9 to when you have a group of plaintiffs that are sharing in 10 that recovery. 11 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from Mr. Wyatt, 12 but you know where I'm inclined to go. But let me hear from 13 you and make sure you make your record. 14 MR. WYATT: I appreciate that, Your Honor, and part 15 of what Your Honor said captures our position so I won't 16 reiterate all that. 17 But one question I -- or one issue I will raise is on 18 further review of the form -- and I read it quickly earlier 19 when we were off the record. It's in Track Changes so I 20 missed this, but there's an additional issue, which is there's 21 no separate liability form for yes, no, you know, You violated 22 the this state law, FCA. 23 I understand Relators' position is it follows as a 24 matter of course that if the jury finds a False Claims Act 25 violation under the federal statute, so, too, must they under

1 the state statutes. But that's just not true for the reasons 2 we've discussed. 3 And I don't read Instruction 25 to say that. What Instruction 25 says is, I have given you the principles that 4 5 govern your determination of the elements of falsity, 6 causation, materiality, et cetera. But you still have to 7 evaluate that under each state's law. 8 And I don't even think it's -- or maybe it's still 9 disputed, but if it is, it's just wrong -- that states have 10 different coverage requirements and -- I mean, we'll set this 11 out in Rule 50, but this is true; that the states have 12 different coverage requirements than the federal government. 13 So you can't --14 THE COURT: So you're saying that they should still 15 be making a determination -- the question should still exist 16 in the state claims verdict sheet. 17 MR. WYATT: At least the liability question should. 18 Mr. Russ, why isn't that added there? I THE COURT: 19 don't have it before me now. Do I need to grab it or do you 20 have it? 21 MR. RUSS: The instruction, Your Honor? 22 THE COURT: No. The verdict form. 23 MR. RUSS: So because of the instruction, Your Honor. 24 The way we've adapted the verdict form is consistent with the 25 instruction that if you find earlier in the False Claims Act

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to any Medicaid reimbursement, Medicaid specifically -- that's all we're talking about -- in a particular state when we have that claims data -- and that's been presented -- then you should find that Janssen is also liable under the state's law. And so we're taking the state law violations if they -if they find that there's a violation of the federal False Claims Act as to the Medicaid reimbursement. THE COURT: I'm just trying to think about some analogy here. I've seen verdict forms where even when something is included in another claim, they're still asked the question, Mr. Russ. And by the way, that might be a problem if we had what's considered an inconsistent verdict, where they found, you know, for one or the other. But I don't see why we wouldn't propose the question. I don't know if I've ever seen a verdict form that says, you don't even have to answer the question as to the state claims. Because you said "yes" to this, we're not even going to ask you on a completely separate claim "yes" or "no." I still think that should be there. I mean, I understand that -- I don't think that's inconsistent with the instructions, but I don't see how you have state claims where you don't even ask them to make a determination about liability and you automatically presume it

within a verdict sheet. I'm not comfortable with that. And I

1 think that objection from Mr. Wyatt -- I mean, I tend to agree 2 with. 3 I'm okay with the condensed version, and I'll live with that verdict form for the jury. Like I said, if there are 4 5 issues with that posttrial, we'll address it in briefing in 6 the Rule 50 motion. But why wouldn't you -- I think you 7 should ask the question of liability to every claim you have in the case, including the state claims. And if the 9 instruction is there, you'll make sure to remind the jurors 10 and argue the jury instructions. But I'm not going to 11 preclude asking them about liability to an entire claim in the 12 case just because the argument is, Well, of course if they 13 found liability here, they must find it here. I still believe 14 that we have to ask the question. 15 MR. RUSS: So would the proposal be, Your Honor, list 16 out the states -- the 26 states and District of Columbia, yes 17 or no, did you find liability under the --18 THE COURT: Well, I don't even know if we needed to 19 I thought your condensed version has all the states 20 together. 21 MR. WYATT: It does. We can certainly do that. 22 think that would be fine. 23 THE COURT: I mean, I thought you opposed breaking 24 the states separately, yes or no. 25 MR. WYATT: Yeah, I think that that's -- I don't

1 think that's required. And so if we want to group together 2 the liability question, I think that's a great idea, .3 Your Honor. THE COURT: I don't have the hard copy before me, but 4 5 I think Mr. Wyatt -- I agree with Janssen. You're going to have to ask the liability question on the state claims at bare 6 7 minimum. It can't be presumed in the verdict sheet simply because the argument from -- you're all going to make and from the instructions that it flows that they would find liability 9 10 in A, which means they have to find liability in C and 11 therefore we're not going to ask you the question. 12 I think the jurors have to be asked to make a 13 determination by the evidentiary standard that there's 14 liability on each of these claims. 15 MR. WYATT: And I think that's fine, Your Honor. 16 to be clear, certainly not trying to get around any sort of 17 liability finding. It's the two buckets, the same claims that 18 will be false under the False Claims Act that might be false 19 under the state, and then under state law, the state's, if you 20 get a Medicaid --21 I understand that. You'll make that THE COURT: 22 argument in closing, and I'm comfortable with that. 23 What about breaking down the states for "yes" or "no" 24 versus all the states collectively? 25 MR. RUSS: So all the states listed "yes" or "no" as

1 you find them, and then a question not as to the number of 2 claims but just the number of damages. 3 THE COURT: I presume that -- right. I mean, that's 4 still consistent with what you've proposed but it's a little more elaborate than the last version. 5 6 I think that protects us, Your Honor, so MR. RUSS: 7 I'm happy to have those questions for liability in the --8 THE COURT: So, Mr. Wyatt, that only addresses some 9 of your objection, but I am going to make that change to the 10 verdict sheet. All right? I know that doesn't answer all of 11 your concerns, but it does a little bit on at least making the 12 jury get asked about liability and have that guestion 13 responded to. 14 MR. RUSS: Agree with that, Your Honor, and just one 15 more point for the record. I don't think it requires further 16 discussion, but there was a representation made so I want to 17 address it, about NAMFCU and the states can just split it all 18 up. 19 That would absolutely have to be in the record. Either 20 we would have to have somebody from NAMFCU here, we would have 21 to have somebody from the states -- they're not the states. 22 THE COURT: All right. But that argument is going to 23 be --24 But that's a Rule 50 issue. MR. KLEIN: 25 THE COURT: That's an argument for another day.

think we made it clear that this issue is going to be
revisited at that point, but, for now, we have the verdict
form done. So here's what I'm going to do. I don't want to
delay the jury anymore. That verdict sheet should be revised
consistent with our discussion so I have it during the lunch
break to review, because I don't need the verdict sheet, now
that we finalized it, handed to the jury. They even haven't
had closing arguments. So I don't want to delay the jurors
anymore. I presume Relators are going to Counsel, you're
going to revise that verdict sheet consistent with what we
just discussed, with liability being asked on the state claims
and the yes and no for each state, and then, I guess, there's
no specific identification of false claims for each state.
That's not going to be there but there's damages.
MR. RUSS: Right, because the false claims will
overlap
THE COURT: I got it.
MR. RUSS: Let me just confer with my team and make
sure everybody is okay with the proposal.
(Brief pause.)
MR. RUSS: I feel like we're delaying things. I take
blame for that, Your Honor. Can we have time just to get the
verdict sheet into our slides for the closing argument as the
Court has just
THE COURT: Yes. Why don't I do the instructions and

```
1
    someone to work on that.
 2
           I'm not going to deal with that now. I don't have
 3
    displays or demonstratives for final instructions. So we will
 4
    deal with that on the break. But I want to alert you all that
 5
    that's an issue.
 6
           I quess, Ms. Brown, is that a concern for you as well?
 7
             MS. BROWN: It is, Your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. Kim, you'll work on it?
 9
    Thank you.
10
           Why don't we get the jurors in first, though, so I can
11
    give these final instructions. Then we'll take a break to
12
    work on the technical issues and give Relators' counsel a
    little bit of time.
13
14
           But, Mr. Russ, you are going to work on the verdict
15
    sheet, correct?
16
             MR. RUSS: I'm working on it right now, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Make sure that you share it with
18
    Janssen's counsel so I'm not dealing with that issue.
19
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise.
20
           (Jury enters the courtroom.)
21
             THE COURT: Folks, everybody have a seat. Members of
    the jury, welcome back. I apologize for the delay. As I said
22
23
    to you earlier, today I'm going to give final instructions.
24
    needed to work on those a bit. I apologize for the delay this
25
    morning. But I'm going to give you final instructions. We're
```

going to take a short break because it's lengthy, and then we'll deal with closing arguments. We also have a technical issue with one of the monitors that we are going to work on during that break.

You can see, maybe, this monitor is out. We need this monitor to be able to work for some of you who rely upon this monitor more than that one, so we are going to work on that for the closing arguments.

But let me just remind you. So there are 28 instructions, so get comfortable. There are subparts to some of these instructions. So it's going to take me some time to read these on the record to you all. This is similar to the outset. These instructions, some of these, are going to be similar to what I instructed you at the beginning of the trial. Some of them are going to be repeated instructions, what I gave you during the trial, and some of these instructions you have not heard before. They're final instructions that are added in for these final instructions.

As I said before, pay attention, be mindful of the instructions I'm giving you, but you will have a few written copies of these instructions verbatim with you in deliberations. So to the extent you need to refer to these instructions or remember something that I told you in these final instructions, you're going to have them with you, and there will be a table of contents also to help guide you if

1 there's a particular instruction that you all wanted to look 2 at. 3 With that, like I said, 28 instructions. You'll know 4 when you're coming to the end, but some of them have subparts, 5 so it's a little tricky. Technically, that's more than 28. 6 So with that, I'll give you the final instructions, and 7 then we'll take a break before we begin with closing 8 arguments. I know there's a little bit of a delay, so we 9 ordered the lunch a little bit later so that we can 10 accommodate that not sitting around. 11 Instruction Number 1, Introduction and Role of Jury. 12 Now that all the evidence has been presented, I will 13 instruct you on the law, and then the attorneys will present 14 to you closing arguments to summarize and interpret the evidence in a way that is helpful to their clients' positions. 15 16 As with opening statements, closing arguments are not 17 evidence. After that, you will retire to the jury room to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

make whatever legal decisions have to be made during the course of the trial, and I'll explain to you the legal principles that must quide you in your decisions. You must follow that law whether you agree with it or not. Instruction Number 2, Summary of the Case. I'm going to give you the instruction number so that when you hear 27, you all know that you are coming to an end. Instruction Number 2, Summary of the Case. This case is brought under a federal law called the False Claims Act. Additionally, this case is brought under similar laws in 26 states and the District of Columbia, each of which have their own version of the False Claims Act. Individuals who bring a lawsuit on behalf of the government under a False Claims Act are called "Relators." In order for a private citizen to bring claims under the False Claims Act, the private citizen must disclose his or her allegations to the Department of Justice. The private citizen, known as the Relator, may then pursue his or her claims in federal court. The Department of Justice is not involved in this case for the purposes of this trial. In this lawsuit, Relators Jessica Penelow and Christine Brancaccio claim Defendant Janssen Products -- I'll identify them as Janssen -- violated federal and state False Claims Acts by knowingly causing materially false claims for reimbursement of two HIV medicines, Prezista and Intelence, to

1 be submitted to three government programs: Medicare, 2 Medicaid, and the AIDS Drug Assistance Program, referred to as .3 ADAP. 4 Relators allege that from 2006 to 2014, Janssen engaged in off-label marketing and kickback schemes related to 5 6 Prezista and Intelence. With regard to off-label marketing, 7 Relators allege that Janssen unlawfully marketed, one, 8 Prezista as being lipid neutral, lipid friendly, or as having 9 a similar impact on lipids as the competitor drug Reyataz, 10 which Relators claim was false; two, Prezista as being 11 suitable for treatment-naive patients before the FDA approved 12 it for treatment-naive patients; three, Intelence as being 13 suitable for once-a-day dosing when it was only approved for 14 twice-a-day dosing; and, four, Intelence as being suitable for 15 treatment-naive patients when it was only approved for 16 treatment-experienced patients. 17 Relators allege that these uses were contrary to the 18 drugs' FDA-approved labels and/or not medically necessary and 19 reasonable. 20 Regarding kickbacks, Relators allege that Janssen paid 21 prescribers to serve on a speaker program and paid for 22 prescribers' travel, meals, and hotel stays in order to induce 23 them to prescribe its drugs Prezista and Intelence and/or to 24 reward them for doing so. 25 Janssen denies these allegations. Janssen states that

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attention promptly.

the claims submitted to the government for reimbursement of Prezista and Intelence were appropriate, its sales representatives properly promoted Prezista and Intelence to doctors, and that it properly used speaker programs to educate doctors about Prezista, Intelence, and HIV-related issues. Janssen further states its actions did not influence physicians to improperly prescribe Prezista and Intelence and were not material to the government's reimbursement of these medicines. Instruction Number 3, Conduct of Jury. Now a few words about your conduct as jurors. First, I did instruct you that during the trial and until you have heard all the evidence and have retired to the jury room to deliberate, you are not to discuss the case with anyone. even among yourselves. If anyone should try to talk to you about the case, including a fellow juror, bring it to my

There are good reasons for this ban on discussions, but most importantly, the need for you to keep an open mind throughout the presentation of evidence.

I know that many of you use cell phones, smart phones and other portable electronic devices, laptops, notebooks and other computers, both portable and fixed, and other tools of technology to access the internet and to communicate with others. You also must not talk to anyone about this case or

use these tools to communicate electronically with anyone about the case.

This includes your family and friends. You may not communicate orally with anyone about the case on your cell phone, smart phone or portable or fixed computer or device of any kind, or use these devices to communicate electronically by messages or postings of any kind, including email, instant messages, text messages, text or instant messaging services such as Twitter, or through any blog, website, internet chat room or by way of any other social networking websites or services, including Facebook, LinkedIn, and YouTube.

If any lawyer, party, or witness does not speak to you when you pass in the hallway, ride the elevators, or the like, remember it's because they are not supposed to talk or visit with you either. That is why you are asked to we're your juror tags that show that you are someone who is not to be approached in any way.

Second, do not read or listen to anything related to this case that is not admitted into evidence. By that I mean if there's a newspaper article or radio or a television report relating to this case, do not read the article or watch or listen to the report. In addition, do not try to do any independent research or investigation on your own on matters relating to the case or this type of case.

Do not do any research on the internet, for example.

You are to decide the case upon the evidence presented at trial. In other words, you should not consult dictionaries or reference materials, search the internet, websites, blogs, or use any other electronic tools to obtain information about this case or to help you decide the case. Please do not try to find out information from any source outside the confines of this courtroom.

Again, do not reach any conclusion on the claims or defenses until all the evidence is in -- which it is in, but you're not going to deliberate yet. You're still waiting for closing arguments. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case.

Instruction Number 4. Bench conferences.

During the trial, it was necessary for me to talk with the lawyers out of your hearing by having bench conferences, or you may have heard the term "sidebar," which means the same thing. We are not trying to keep important information from you. Those conferences were necessary for me to fulfill my responsibility, which is to be sure that evidence is presented to you correctly under the law. I may not have granted an attorney's request for a conference. Do not consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my opinion of the case or of what your verdict should be.

Instruction Number 5. Evidence.

1 The evidence from which you are to find the facts 2 consists of the following: 3 The testimony of the witnesses; and, Documents and other things received as exhibits. 4 2. 5 The following things are not evidence: 6 Statements, arguments, and questions of the lawyers 7 for the parties in this case; 8 2. Objections by lawyers; 9 Any testimony I tell you to disregard; and, 10 Anything you may see or hear about this case 11 outside the courtroom. 12 You must make your decision based only on the evidence 13 that you see and hear in court. Do not let rumors, 14 suspicions, or anything else that you may see or hear outside 15 of court influence your decision in any way. 16 You should use your common sense in weighing the 17 evidence. Consider it in light of your everyday experience 18 with people and events, and give it whatever weight you 19 believe it deserves. If your experience tells you that 20 certain evidence reasonably leads to a conclusion, you are 21 free to reach that conclusion. 22 There are rules that control what can be received into 23 evidence. When a lawyer asked a question or offered an 24 exhibit into evidence, and a lawyer on the other side thought 25 that it was not permitted by the rules of evidence, that

lawyer may have objected. This simply means that the lawyer requested that I make a decision on a particular rule of evidence. You should not be influenced by the fact that an objection was made. Objections to questions are not evidence.

Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make objections when they believe that evidence being offered is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the Court's ruling on it. If the objection was sustained, ignore the question. If it was overruled, treat the answer like any other. If you were instructed that some item of evidence is received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction.

Also, certain testimony or other evidence was ordered struck from the record, and you are instructed to disregard that evidence. Do not consider any testimony or other evidence that got struck or excluded. Do not speculate about what a witness might have said or what an exhibit might have shown.

Instruction Number 6. Direct and Circumstantial Evidence.

There are two types of evidence that you may use in reaching your verdict. One type of evidence is called "direct evidence." An example of direct evidence is when a witness testifies about something that that witness knows through his or her own senses, something the witness has seen, felt,

touched, or heard or did. If a witness testified that he saw it raining outside and you believed him, that would be direct evidence that it was raining. Another form of direct evidence is an exhibit where the fact to be proved is its existence or current condition.

The other type of evidence is called "circumstantial evidence." Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you can infer another fact. If someone walked into the courtroom wearing a raincoat covered with drops of water and carrying a wet umbrella, that would be circumstantial evidence from which you could conclude that it was raining.

You should consider both types of evidence that are presented to you. The law makes no distinction in the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. You are to decide how much weight to give any evidence.

Instruction Number 7. Credibility of Witnesses.

In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You are the sole judges of the credibility of the witnesses. Credibility means whether a witness is worthy of belief. You may believe everything a witness says or only part of it or none of it. In deciding what to believe, you may consider a number of factors, including the following:

1. The opportunity and ability of the witness to see

1 or hear or know the things the witness testifies to; 2 The quality of the witness's understanding and 3 memory; 3. The witness's manner while testifying; 4 Whether the witness has an interest in the outcome 5 of the case or any motive, bias, or prejudice; 6 7 5. Whether the witness is contradicted by anything the witness said or wrote before trial or by other evidence; 9 6. How reasonable the witness's testimony is when 10 considered in the light of other evidence that you believe; 11 and, 12 Any other factors that bear on believability. 13 The weight of the evidence to prove a fact does not 14 necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testified. 15 What is more important is how believable the witnesses were 16 and how much weight you think their testimony deserves. 17 Instruction Number 8. Preponderance of the Evidence. 18 This is a civil case. Relators are the parties who 19 brought this lawsuit. Janssen is the party against which the 20 lawsuit was filed. Relators have the burden of proving their 21 case by what is called a "preponderance of the evidence." 22 That means that Relators have to prove to you, in light of all 23 the evidence, that what they claim is more likely so than not 24 so. 25 To say it differently, if you were to put the evidence

favorable to Relators and the evidence favorable to Janssen on opposite sides of the scales, Relators would have to make the scales tip somewhat on their side. If Relators fail to meet this burden, the verdict must be for Janssen. If you find, after considering all the evidence, that a claim or fact is more likely so than not so, then the claim or fact has been proved by a preponderance of the evidence.

In determining whether any fact has been proved by a preponderance of evidence in the case, you may, unless otherwise instructed, consider the testimony of all witnesses, regardless of who may have called them, and all exhibits received in evidence, regardless of who may have produced them.

You may have heard of the term "proof beyond a reasonable doubt." That is a stricter standard of proof, and it applies only to criminal cases. It does not apply in civil cases such as this, so you should put it out of your mind.

Instruction Number 9. Use of Deposition.

A deposition is a sworn testimony of a witness taken before trial. The witness is placed under oath and swears to tell the truth, and lawyers for each party may ask questions. A court reporter is present and records the questions and answers.

Instruction Number 10. Use of Interrogatories.

You may have heard answers that a party gave in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

response to written questions submitted by the other side. The written questions are called "interrogatories." The written answers were given in writing and under oath before the trial. Instruction Number 11. Use of Requests for Admission. Evidence was presented to you in the form of admissions to the truth of certain facts. These admissions were given in writing before the trial in response to requests that were submitted under established court procedures. You must treat these facts as having been proved. Instruction Number 12. Charts and Summaries in Evidence. The parties have presented exhibits in the form of charts and summaries. I decided to admit these charts and summaries in place of the underlying documents that they represent in order to save time and avoid unnecessary inconvenience. You should consider these charts and summaries as you would any other evidence. Instruction Number 13. Charts and Summaries Not Admitted in Evidence. Certain charts and summaries that have not been received in evidence have been shown to you in order to help explain or illustrate the contents of books, records, documents, testimony, or other evidence in the case. For example, you may see charts and summaries during the parties'

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

testifying in court.

opening and closing statements or during the testimony of opinion witnesses. Unless the charts or summaries were specifically admitted as trial exhibits, they are not themselves proof of any facts. They are not binding on you in If they do not correctly reflect the facts shown by the evidence in the case, you should disregard these charts and summaries and determine the facts from the evidence. Instruction Number 14. Opinion Testimony. You've heard testimony containing opinions from expert In weighing this opinion testimony, you may witnesses. consider their qualifications, the reasons for their opinions, and the reliability of the information supporting those opinions, as well as the factors I've previously mentioned for weighing the testimony of any other witness. The opinions of the expert witnesses should receive whatever weight and credit, if any, you think appropriate, given all the other evidence in the case. In deciding whether to accept or rely upon the opinions of the expert witnesses, you may consider any bias that they may have, including any bias that may arise from evidence that they have been or will be paid for reviewing the case and testifying or from evidence that the expert witnesses testify

Instruction Number 15. Transcript of Audio-Recorded

regularly and make a large portion of their income from

Conversation.

You've heard conversations that were recorded. This is proper evidence for you to consider. You have seen transcripts of some recordings to help you identify speakers and as a guide to help you listen to the recordings.

If you believe at any point that the transcript said something different from what you heard on the recording, remember, it is the recording that is the evidence, not the transcript. If at any time there was a variation between the recording and the transcript, you must be guided solely by what you heard on the recording and not by what you saw in the transcript.

Instruction Number 16. Corporate Responsibility.

The defendant, Janssen, is a corporation. The corporation is a legal entity that may act only through individuals who are called its agents. The agents of a corporation are its officers, directors, employees, and other persons who are authorized by the corporation to act for it. You must give to a corporate defendant the same impartial consideration of the evidence that you would give to any individual.

The legal responsibility of a corporation, if any, is based on the conduct of its agents. To find Janssen liable under the False Claims Act, you will need to find that the Relators proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the

elements of each claim are satisfied through the acts or omissions of officers, directors, employees, or other agents of Janssen; that such agents performed such acts within the course and scope of his or her employment; and that such acts or omissions were intended for the benefit of the corporation.

Instruction Number 17, Overview of Relators' Claims.

In this case, Relators seek recovery from Janssen under the federal and state False Claims Acts. Relators allege that Janssen is liable under the False Claims Acts due to its alleged off-label marketing. Relators allege that Janssen is liable under the False Claims Acts due to alleged violations of the Anti-Kickback Statute. Relators allege that Janssen caused submission of false claims in multiple independent ways.

First, the claim is false if it is ineligible for reimbursement under a federal health care program. In determining whether a claim is eligible for reimbursement, you must consider that federal health care programs, including Medicare Part D, Medicaid, and ADAP, will cover and pay for a drug that is used for a "medically accepted indication," which means any FDA-approved use on the label that is supported by one or more citations in certain drug compendia. Coverage for drugs may also be excluded if they are not reasonable and necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of illness or injury.

Second, a claim is also false if Janssen violated the

Anti-Kickback Statute in connection with the claim. 1 2 I will now explain the elements of the Anti-Kickback .3 Statute. Instruction Number 18, Anti-Kickback Claims. 4 I instruct you that any claim submitted to a federal 5 6 health care program resulting from a violation of the 7 Anti-Kickback Statute is a false claim under the False Claims Therefore, for the kickback claims, in determining 9 whether Janssen violated the False Claims Act, you will first 10 have to determine whether Janssen violated the Anti-Kickback 11 For you to find that Janssen violated the Statute. 12 Anti-Kickback Statute, Relators must prove each of the 13 following elements by a preponderance of the evidence: First, 14 that Janssen offered or paid any remuneration, including any 15 kickback or bribe, directly or indirectly, openly or secretly, 16 in cash or in kind. 17 Second, that one purpose of the remuneration offered or 18 paid was to induce or reward prescriptions of Prezista or 19 Intelence. 20 Third, that claims for payment for those prescriptions 21 were submitted to a federal health care program and there was 22 some evidence in this case of a link between the alleged 23 inducement and the claims submitted for reimbursement. 24 And, fourth, that Janssen acted knowingly and 25 willfully.

I will now provide you with further explanation of each of these elements.

Instruction 18.1, Anti-Kickback Claims. Elements: Remuneration.

The first element of the Anti-Kickback Statute that
Relators must prove by a preponderance of the evidence is that
Janssen offered or paid remuneration. Remuneration means the
transfer of anything of value from one person or entity to
another person or entity. Remuneration can be direct or
indirect, overt or covert, in cash or can include anything of
value in any form whatsoever.

Instruction 18.2, Anti-Kickback Claims. Elements: Inducement.

The second element of the Anti-Kickback Statute that
Relators must prove by a preponderance of the evidence is that
one of the purposes of the remuneration was to induce or
reward the referral, purchase, order, recommendation of any
item or service paid for by a federal health care program. It
is not a defense that there might have been some other reason
for the remuneration if you find by a preponderance of the
evidence that one of the purposes of the remuneration was to
induce or reward the referral, purchase, order, recommendation
of any item or service to be paid for by the federal health
care program. Unlawful inducement does not need to be the
primary purpose of the remuneration.

1 Instruction Number 18.3, Anti-Kickback Claims. 2 Elements: Federal Health Care Program. 3 The third element of the Anti-Kickback Statute that 4 Relators must prove by a preponderance of the evidence is that 5 claims for payment for those prescriptions were submitted to a 6 federal health care program and that there was some evidence 7 in this case of a link between the alleged inducement and the claims submitted for reimbursement. The term "federal health 9 care program" means any plan or program that provides health 10 benefits and is funded entirely or indirectly by the 11 United States Government. I instruct you that Medicare, 12 Medicaid, and ADAP are federal health care programs. 13 Instruction Number 18.4, Anti-Kickback Claims. 14 Elements: Knowledge and Willfulness. 15 The fourth element of the Anti-Kickback Statute that 16 Relator must prove by a preponderance of the evidence is that 17 Janssen acted knowingly and willfully. 18 Instruction Number 18.5, Anti-Kickback Claims. 19 Elements: Knowledge. 20 An act is done unknowingly for purposes of the 21 Anti-Kickback Statute if the act is done voluntarily and 22 intentionally and not because of a mistake or accident. 23 Instruction Number 18.6, Anti-Kickback Claims. 24 Elements: Willfulness. 25 The term "willfully" means acting with a purpose to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

disobey or disregard the law. An act is done willfully if Janssen knew that the act was unjustifiable or wrong, even if Janssen was not aware of the specific law or rule that they may have been violating. Relators do not have to prove that Janssen specifically intended to violate the Anti-Kickback Statute. Instruction 19, False Claims Act. Elements. As explained earlier, Relators allege that Janssen is liable under the federal False Claims Act stemming from its alleged off-label marketing and stemming from its alleged violations of the Anti-Kickback Statute. Therefore, the next thing you have to consider is whether Janssen is liable under the False Claims Act. For you to find that Janssen is liable under the federal False Claims Act, Relators must prove the following elements by a preponderance of the evidence: One, the falsity; two, causation; three, scienter, which means culpable state of mind; and, four, materiality. I will now explain each of these elements in turn. Instruction Number 19.1, False Claims Act. Elements: Falsity. First, Relators must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the claims submitted or caused to be submitted by Janssen were false. I have instructed you that a claim resulting from a violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute is

1 I've also instructed you that a claim made to a health 2 care program is false if it seeks reimbursement for a .3 prescription that is not eligible for reimbursement. Instruction 19.2, False Claims Act. Elements: 4 5 Causation. 6 Second, Relators must prove by a preponderance of the 7 evidence that Janssen caused the claims to be submitted to the government. Janssen's conduct may be found to have caused a submission of a claim for Medicare, Medicaid, or ADAP 9 10 reimbursement if, one, the conduct was a substantial factor in 11 inducing providers to submit claims for reimbursement; and, 12 two, the submission of claims for reimbursement was reasonably 13 foreseeable or anticipated as a natural consequence of Janssen's conduct. 14 15 Instruction 19.3, False Claims Act Knowledge --16 Elements: Knowledge. 17 Third, Relators must prove by a preponderance of the 18 evidence that Janssen acted knowingly. Knowingly means that 19 Janssen has actual knowledge, acted with deliberate ignorance 20 to the truth or falsity of their statements, or recklessly 21 disregarded the truth or falsity of the information. Relators 22 are not required to show proof of specific intents to defraud. 23 Instruction 19.4, False Claims Act. Elements: 24 Materiality. 25 Fourth, Relators must prove by a preponderance of the

evidence that Janssen's alleged Anti-Kickback Statute or off-label marketing violations were material to the government's payment decision. Material means that it had a natural tendency to influence or was capable of influencing the payment or receipt of money or property.

Instruction Number 20, Corporate Integrity Agreements and Settlement Agreements.

You have heard testimony that Janssen was subject to two Corporate Integrity Agreements in 2010 and 2013 as well as Settlement Agreements in 2010 and 2013 with the federal government. The Corporate Integrity Agreements and Settlement Agreements were related to the resolution of allegations brought by the government concerning alleged off-label marketing of different products and alleged kickbacks paid through speaker programs related to different products. These different products did not include Prezista and Intelence.

This evidence of the Corporate Integrity Agreements and Settlement Agreements was admitted only for limited purposes. You may consider this evidences only for the purpose of deciding whether, one, Janssen acted knowingly or willfully regarding the conduct alleged by Relators; two, Janssen had the motive or opportunity to engage in the conduct alleged by Relators; three, Janssen acted with a method of operation as evidenced by a unique pattern regarding the conduct alleged by Relators; four, Janssen's conduct was not a mistake, an

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accident, or impossibility; five, Janssen's conduct was not made in good faith; and, six, the government would deem the conduct alleged in this case to be material in deciding whether to reimburse claims for Prezista and Intelence. You may also consider whether the existence and terms of the Corporate Integrity Agreements and Settlement Agreements contradict the testimony of a witness in assessing the credibility of that witness. Do not consider this evidence for any other purpose. Of course, it is for you to determine whether you believe this evidence and, if you do believe it, whether you accept it for the purpose offered. You may give it such weight as you feel it deserves but only for the limited purposes that I described to you. There are no claims at issue in this lawsuit regarding the alleged other acts that resulted in the Corporate Integrity Agreements or Settlement Agreements. You may not consider the Corporate Integrity Agreements or the Settlement Agreements as a substitute for proof that Janssen engaged in the conduct alleged in this case. Specifically, you may not use this evidence to conclude that, because there were allegations of off-label marketing and kickbacks paid through

Instruction Number 21, Withheld Documents.

have engaged in the conduct alleged in this case.

During the course of this trial, it was discovered that

speaker programs with respect to other products, Janssen must

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Janssen withheld documents that were responsive to Relators' discovery requests and which Janssen was obligated to turn over well in advance of the trial. Specifically, Janssen withheld documents pertaining to allegations of another Janssen employee, Joanne Cesario, concerning Janssen's off-label promotion of Prezista and Intelence. You are permitted but not required to infer that Janssen withheld this evidence because it was unfavorable to Janssen. Instruction Number 22, FDA Approval. You have heard testimony from a Janssen employee, Dr. Amit Patel, about the FDA's silence in response to certain of Janssen's promotional advertising submissions regarding Prezista and lipids. You also heard him testify that Janssen considered the FDA's silence in response to those advertising submissions as the FDA's indirect or tacit approval of Janssen's advertising. I am instructing you that there is no statute or regulation that says that the FDA's silence means that it has approved a promotional advertising submission. Instruction Number 23. State Law Claims. In addition to the federal False Claims Act, Relators also assert claims on behalf of the States of New Jersey, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York,

North Carolina, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas,

Virginia, Washington, and the District of Columbia under their versions of the False Claims Act. These State False Claims Acts allow Relators like Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio to bring a lawsuit on behalf of a state government.

Earlier I gave you instructions about the law applicable to the federal False Claims Act and Anti-Kickback Statute. Those instructions apply to the states' claims as well. Each of the states operates a Medicaid program with state and federal funds. As a result, the Anti-Kickback Statute is one of the laws that is applicable to these Medicaid programs.

Most States' False Claims Act are modeled on the federal False Claims Act and have very similar or identical provisions. These state False Claims Acts impose liability on a defendant that knowingly presents or causes to be presented a false or fraudulent claim for payment. Therefore, if after following my earlier instructions you found that Janssen violated the federal False Claims Act, you must also find that Janssen violated the analogous provisions of all the states' False Claims Acts, except I will provide separate instructions for Texas.

Accordingly, if you find Janssen liable under the standards explained earlier for the False Claims Act as to any Medicaid reimbursements in a particular state other than Texas, then you should find that Janssen is also liable under

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Conversely, if you find that Janssen is not the state's law. liable under the False Claims Act for any Medicaid reimbursements in a particular state, then you should find that Janssen is not liable under that state's law. Instruction Number 24. Texas False Claims Act. For Texas, you should follow the instructions I gave in connection with the federal False Claims Act except as modified by the instructions I now give you. Janssen is liable under the Texas False Claims Act if you find that, by a preponderance of the evidence, Relators established that Janssen knowingly violated the Texas Anti-Kickback Statute law. Texas Anti-Kickback law makes it illegal to offer or pay, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, to induce a person to refer an individual to another person for the furnishing of or for arranging the furnishing of any item or service for which payment may be made, in whole or in part, under the Medical Assistance Program. The term "knowingly" as used in the Texas False Claims Act means that the defendant: Had knowledge of the information; Acted with conscious indifference to the truth or falsity of the information; or, Acted in reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information. Instruction Number 25. Damages. Overview.

.3

If you find that Janssen violated the False Claims Act or its state analog, then you must determine damages resulting from the violation.

I will now explain the law related to damages.

However, the fact that I am instructing you on the issue of damages should not be taken to mean that I have any view on whether you should find liability. If you do not find Janssen liable, then you should not assess any damages.

Relators must prove the government's damages with reasonable certainty. You may not award damages that are speculative, that is, damages that might be possible but are based solely on guesswork. Relators are not required to prove the exact amount of damages, but Relators must show sufficient facts and circumstances to permit you to make a reasonable estimate of the damages.

Instruction Number 26. Damages. Measure of Damages.

The measure of damages under the False Claims Act is the amount of money that the government paid out by reason of the false claims. If you find that Janssen submitted false claims or caused false claims to be submitted to a federal health care program during the damages period, then the full amount paid by the government as a result of those false claims is the amount of damages.

To account for the various state analogs to the False Claims Act, you must differentiate between damages suffered by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the United States, the 26 states, and the District of Columbia. Accordingly, the verdict form will ask you to specify the amount of damages, if any, that you attribute to the United States, the 26 states and the District of Columbia. Instruction Number 27. Damages - Parties That Recover. Any amount recovered under the federal False Claims Act or the state analogs goes to the union states and the states. I'm sorry. Goes to the United States. I don't know what I just did there, folks. This is -- are we getting towards the end? We're almost at 28. I don't know what the union states were there. Let me read that again. Any money recovered under the federal False Claims Act or the state analogs goes to the United States and the states. The United States and the states may then provide the Relators with a portion of that recovery. In determining the amount of damages that the United States and the states are entitled to, you are not to consider the possibility of a Relators' share of the recovery. Instruction Number 28. Number of False Claims. If you find that Janssen violated the False Claims Act, then you must also identify the number of false or fraudulent claims that were submitted to the United States, the states, and the District of Columbia. Again, the fact that I am instructing you on the issue of the number of false claims

should not be taken to mean that I have any view on whether you should find liability. If you do not find Janssen liable under the False Claims Act, then you should not assess the number of false claims.

Folks, I appreciate your patience. Those are the final instructions. And I know there's a lot in there and some of it was repetitive, but it's important that you get all these final instructions collectively at the end of the trial. Be

9 mindful, you'll have a few written copies. I'm not going to

10 bring you eight, but you'll have a few that you can share

11 | amongst yourselves in deliberations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I'd like to do is, before we get into closing arguments, I need to take a short break, and then hopefully you'll need one, too, just to ensure that the technology is working and to give counsel a few moments to just prepare.

So with that, I'm going to temporarily excuse the jurors back to the jury room, and we're going to address those issues before bringing them back.

THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise.

(The jury exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Everybody have a seat.

Just two things based on the reading of the jury instructions that I think need to be tweaked for purposes of the written instructions that are going to go back to the jury, but before I address those, does anybody else have a

```
1
    concern about the instructions I read other than what's
 2
    already been raised?
 3
             MR. RUSS: No, Your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT:
                         All right. Ms. Brown -- or Mr. Wyatt?
 5
             MR. WYATT:
                         Nothing beyond what we discussed,
 6
    Your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT:
                         They're minor. One is the title of the
 8
    Corporate Integrity Agreements and settlement agreement.
 9
    made it plural for Settlement Agreements everywhere in the
10
    instruction, but the title says, "Settlement Agreement." So
11
    that's an easy fix. I'm going to correct it to say
12
    "Agreements" in the title.
13
           Any objection to that?
14
             MR. RUSS: No objection, Your Honor.
15
             MR. WYATT: No, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: All right. Then my second one, just to
17
    be consistent with the verdict sheet -- and just bear with me.
18
    I have to go back now. I wasn't able to identify it while
    reading it, but it has to do with identifying the number of
19
20
    claims for each state.
21
           Just bear with me.
22
             (Brief pause.)
23
             THE COURT: Was there an instruction that talked
24
    about identifying the number of false claims? And maybe it's
25
    in my memory, but I thought that that instruction needed to be
```

```
1
    slightly tweaked before we give it to them in written form.
 2
    But maybe this is my imagination.
 3
             MR. WYATT: Well, it's 28, Your Honor, is the number
    of false claims.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Oh, sorry.
 6
           So this is what I just wanted to ask you folks, right,
 7
    because the verdict sheet as we've discussed that's going to
 8
    be finalized does not, I believe, require the jurors to
 9
    identify the number of false claims for each state.
10
           Is that correct?
11
                         That's correct, Your Honor.
             MR. WYATT:
12
             THE COURT: So I don't mind that I read this.
13
    didn't think there was a need to correct it, but what I'd like
14
    to do is, I'm looking at the first paragraph of Instruction
15
    Number 28. And what it says there, "If you find that Janssen
16
    violated the False Claims Act, then you must also identify the
17
    number of false or fraudulent claims that were submitted to
18
    the United States, the states, and the District of Columbia."
19
           I think to be consistent with the verdict sheet, that's
20
    not exactly what they're doing there. So is there a proposed
21
    revision just to that language?
22
             MR. RUSS: It should just be to the United States.
23
             THE COURT: Yeah. With a period, correct?
24
             MR. RUSS:
                        That's right.
25
             THE COURT: Look, I understand the objections to
```

```
1
    Janssen on the verdict form, but I also want this in written
 2
    form to be consistent with the verdict sheet.
 3
           Any objection to the consistency revision?
             MR. WYATT: I agree consistency is right, Your Honor.
 4
 5
    I just want to -- and I think we confirmed this just now, but
 6
    they're not asking for findings on the number of false claims
 7
    for the states.
 8
           Is that correct?
 9
             MR. RUSS: That's correct, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: My understanding is that proposed form is
11
    going to have a "yes" or "no," to one of your objections,
12
    Mr. Wyatt, which is they should be asked about liability for
13
    each of the state claims. So every state will be identified
14
    as "yes" and "no," and then let me just make sure about this.
15
    Then it's just going to have a damages amount.
16
             MR. RUSS: It will have a damages amount, Your Honor,
17
    and I said in -- one thing I want to clarify for the record,
18
    just in case it's not clear, the claims that are to the states
19
    for Medicaid are claims to the --
20
             THE COURT: Yeah, I know. I know that's the
21
    position. They're identifying the number of false claims, but
22
    that's earlier in the verdict sheet. It's not in the state
23
    law claim part of it.
24
           So -- and I just want to be clear, every state will be
25
    listed with "yes" or "no" as to liability, and then there is a
```

1 group number of false claims for all those states. So that's 2 just completely omitted. It's just going to have damages. 3 MR. MARKETOS: That's correct, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. So --5 MR. WYATT: Yeah. I mean, just for absolute clarity, 6 in the earlier part of the form, there is a blank for number 7 of claims. Those are the number of claims submitted to 8 Medicare. So the -- if there are claims that they want to do 9 something with in connection with Medicaid, under the state 10 Medicaid statutes, they would need a finding on that. If they 11 don't want one, that's fine, but that may have consequences on 12 other remedies available to the Relators. 13 MR. RUSS: Your Honor, I don't think it is just 14 Medicare. That was what I was trying to accomplish. 15 for any federal program. Right? So it's ADAP, Medicaid, 16 Medicare. The number of claims will be asked one time. 17 THE COURT: And how is that question proposed in that 18 first part of the verdict sheet? 19 MR. RUSS: What is the number of false claims, if 20 any, did Relators prove that Janssen caused to be submitted as 21 a result of any False Claims Acts violations you found in 22 Question 1, which would include any federal --23 THE COURT: What was Question 1? 24 MR. RUSS: Did Relators prove by a preponderance of 25 the evidence that Janssen violated the federal False Claims

1 Act by unlawfully promoting Prezista or Intelence? 2 It's not tied to a payor. 3 THE COURT: All right. I mean, I'll -- like I said, 4 this may be addressed on the Rule 50 motion, but if Mr. Russ wants to stand on that position, I'm not going to change this. 5 6 We have that verdict sheet. 7 My point was, let's make sure the written instructions are consistent with that final verdict sheet, which means I 9 should put a period after the United States. 10 MR. RUSS: That's correct, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Well, then, you're going to 12 revise that verdict sheet. We've had this discussion already. 13 I'm going to make just those two small minor revisions for 14 consistency purposes with what we've discussed, and there's no 15 other issues raised, based upon my reading of those final 16 instructions, and then we'll go from there. 17 Anything further then before we take this recess and 18 fix the technology and Relators need to get ready? 19 MR. RUSS: I don't think so, Your Honor. I've shared 20 a copy. If there's any typos or anything, obviously let me 21 know, but I don't think there's anything else from Relators. 22 MR. WYATT: So just for clarity, we read the copy as 23 conformed with the ruling the Court has made under the 24 position that the Relators have taken, so I don't have any 25 comments on that.

I do want -- I just want to be really, really crystal clear as to what our position is going to be so no one is surprised later.

We are going to oppose any effort to reverse engineer how many state claims are bundled into the count of claims on the verdict sheet later. So it's a point of law, it's a Rule 50 issue; we don't need to sort it out now. But I just -- no one is going to say that they didn't have a heads-up about this, and so I just want to be very, very clear --

THE COURT: I think you've been clear. They've separated the liability question for each state, but they have not separated, at least for purposes of the verdict sheet, separated the -- identifying the number of claims or allocating specific damages of each state. I don't think it can be any more clear. It's obvious on the face of the verdict sheet.

And I think, Mr. Wyatt, and I don't say this with any
-- I'm not being dismissive, but I think you've been very
clear on the record about what the concern is from Janssen.
So I don't see how the Relators can be confused by this. I'm
not confused by it, and I'm not even involved in this case.

You know what the objection is. And you're comfortable with this verdict sheet going forward, knowing that the Rule 50 motion will be drafted after if there's liability found on these state claims.

1 Absolutely, Your Honor. The False Claims MR. RUSS: 2 Act can be used to recover that money. Those are claims to the United States for the United States' money. 3 4 THE COURT: That's fine. And, again, folks, I'm not 5 litigating that issue today. I raised the concern there --6 and we made some revisions to the verdict sheet, but that only 7 addresses part of Janssen's concern. I'm not saying you need to concede and address all their concerns. I just want to 9 make sure the record is clear that that is the objection 10 that's still being noted about how these state claims are 11 being presented to the jury for purposes of the verdict form 12 and that that issue will be addressed, I'm sure, if there is 13 liability found on the state law claims at a later date when 14 you all get a chance to fully brief it to me. 15 But for now, this is what's going. Fair enough? 16 MR. RUSS: Yes, Your Honor. 17 MR. WYATT: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. So, folks, why don't you take 19 Mr. Marketos, I know you need a little bit of time to 20 figure out, now that you have the verdict sheet and some 21 additional things, and we need time to figure out this 22 monitor. 23 So let me work on that during this break and I'm --24 we've also -- just to give you a sense of timing, the jurors 25 will not be going to lunch until 1 o'clock. We extended it a

1 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise. 2 (Jurors enter the courtroom.) 3 THE COURT: Folks, everybody have a seat. Members of 4 the jury, we've had a technical issue. We have lost this 5 monitor, and I will tell you I have no intention of delaying anything. We're going to proceed with closings. 6 I'm going to 7 ask you to -- you've got your movie screen down here, but with only eight of you, you should be able to focus on this monitor 9 when things are being shown. If you need to adjust in the 10 back row, feel free. If you don't, you don't have to. 11 to make sure that you all can see that monitor. 12 Is that -- you want to shift over one? 13 Again, like I said, you have the monitor in front of 14 You have the one on the left. You all should be able to 15 view that. I want to make sure you know this is out, but the 16 show must go on. So we're going to move forward. I apologize 17 for that, folks, but I don't want to delay based on a 18 technical issue. 19 Can you all see that in the back? 20 THE JURY: Yes. 21 THE COURT: I'm comfortable moving forward. 22 I told you we were going to proceed with closing 23 We are going to hear from Relators' counsel this 24 morning, then break for about an hour lunch. We will hear 25 from Janssen in the afternoon and rebuttal argument from

2

.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PageID: 24553 7955

Relators in the afternoon. We'll see how much time you may have to even start talking about the case, but we'll address that then.

So, Mr. Marketos, are you prepared with your closing remarks?

MR. MARKETOS: We are, Your Honor.

THE COURT: With that, you may proceed when you're ready.

> Thank you, Your Honor. MR. MARKETOS:

Good morning. It is has been a long time since I got to talk to you directly, a long time. It is now June the 11th, and I think I last spoke to you -- to some of you directly on May the 6th. Just a few questions I had to ask from each you. And I know that you have been sitting there paying unbelievable attention to what has taken place in this courtroom for the last five weeks. Let me just say how appreciative we are of the amount of time and attention you have paid to this case. I want to thank you for your civil duty, for your civil service, for the amount of time that you've taken away from your families and your jobs and your outside lives for us, for the system of justice, for the Relators Christine Brancaccio and Jessica Penelow, for my colleagues from Berger Montague, or my colleagues from my law firm as well, Reese Marketos. And, frankly, for truth and justice. It has been a long run.

12 years ago, 12 long years, Christine and Jessica have been going after Janssen, and now it's time to finish the job.

Janssen's violation of the False Claims Act, off-label marketing, and violations of the Anti-Kickback Statute.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to walk you through the evidence in this case, and I'm going to do it methodically, the way that we did it during the case. It's important to us because the evidence matters and the evidence favors the Relators in this case, and so we're going to be methodical, like we were with the witnesses and the documents, and please just bear with me as we try to tell a story that took place over nine years, and we try to show you with evidence and testimony what actually happened. Because this is how the veil gets lifted on a company that does not want to follow the law, a company that favors profits over patients, over its own employees.

Way back in 2006, Janssen's own guidance documents told that you can't forecast, you can't build your strategies, you cannot build sales targets that are based upon off-label promotion of your drugs. And, listen, this is one of those cases where companies, like specifically Janssen in this case -- it's one of those cases where they'll come along and they will say, Listen, we make some good drugs and they help some people, and they've done very well for this segment of the population. And that's, of course, what we're addressing

with these laws, because drugs that are good for some people are bad for others if they're not used the right way. And at the end of the day, you cannot decide, because you want to make a profit, that you're going to skirt those laws. Not in this country you can't. There's a reason that we have these laws in place, and it's to make sure that companies stop and think about what the end goal is. If you want to be in a for-profit business, if you want to make and sell pharmaceuticals and make billions of dollars, so be it. That does a lot of good when it's done the right way. But when it goes awry, when it goes amuck, when profits become the sole focus, that is when our value system gets inverted and people can become hurt.

But what did Janssen end up doing in this case? Well, what they did was they started from the very beginning in 2006 and they built a sales model, a forecast, and a strategy that they realized, they knew at the time, had off-label sales of Prezista built in, and there was a reason for that. The reason is because they had not ever, at any time, decided to pursue a full label for that drug. They rushed it through an early access system. They got it as quickly as they could, and they wanted to get it on the market. And as result of that, they had a narrow label for only treatment-experienced patients. But that was not going to get the money. That wasn't going to make Mr. Mattes's forecast. He had a profit

PageID: 24556

7958

goal in mind, set from the start, and they were going to attain those profits regardless, however they had to do it. And so at the outset, as he told you, it would be wrong, I asked him, it would be off-label promotion to include off-label forecasting, correct?

Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But when we walked him through the documents, when we showed the strategies, when we showed the forecasts that, themselves, that we were able to obtain from Janssen, showed that they were incorporating off-label sales in order to make their sales goals from the very get-go, he said, Yes, because he had nowhere to go.

And that's how it got started. See, we did not wait to bring you the president of the company. The first two witnesses we called from Janssen were Mike Iacobellis, the national sales director, and Glenn Mattes, the former president. We wanted you to know from the very beginning that this was a top-down plan. And so we called them to the stand, and we put the documents in front of them, and they testified, and we found out what happened. And then they left the courtroom.

Right off the bat, from the very get-go, what you cannot do, you cannot set sales compensation targets for your sales staff that incorporates sales of off-label drugs. do you think is going to happen? That's in their own guidance

documents. But from the get-go, from the beginning, and all the way through, the sales targets for the sales representatives, the incentive compensation plan was incorporating off-label sales, and they were rewarded for it.

But here's the part that I want you to make sure we're

focusing on. There's so many discussions throughout this case about dollars, about money, about influence, about payments, cash. But what starts the process? From the very beginning, patients were telling pharmaceutical companies, living with HIV, both naive and more experienced, HIV patients are concerned about the side effects of HIV drugs. Why? We've heard about this. Because now that the drugs, thank God, the medications had advanced. Everyone's living a lot longer, and thankfully, thankfully, there is science to thank for that. But what happens now? Now you have to worry about the side effects of the drugs that you're taking. How they impact cholesterol, triglyceride levels. I did my homework, this patient said. I wanted to get my lipids down, and I found out about Reyataz before my doctor did.

These patients are worried about their hearts because they've been on these drugs for a long time, and we know what they can do.

Johnson & Johnson's credo, the parent corporation, is to protect the patient, the customer, the employees, and business partners. But this particular subsidiary, this

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particular company, they inverted the paradigm. They ended up going straight to the bottom line, straight to profits, and they skipped over their patients and they skipped over the employees.

Now, we know that the federal anti-kickback laws are in place to make sure that pharmaceutical companies like Tibotec-Janssen, specific to Tibotec-Janssen, and other pharmaceutical companies aren't able to spread their money around in a way that influences doctors. The whole concept is we don't want to have to go into the doctor, none of us do, and wonder if that doctor is making a decision because of some amount of money that he or she was paid by a pharmaceutical company with a for-profit interest. It's not a difficult But at the end of the day, Janssen, at every step, concept. no matter what their compliance documents say, no matter what they knew about paying remuneration, including anything of value, this is exactly what they did. They took the compliance documents, they took the warnings about what not to do, and they used it as a playbook. And we'll see why they did that.

Now, state and federal false claims laws, they knew also prohibit off-label marketing, so you got two types of conduct in this case that we're really worried about with these laws: when pharmaceutical companies decide that they're going to go off-label for things that their drugs are not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proven safe for, and then payments of money or anything of value to the decision-makers that the patients should not be worrying about their decision-making process being corrupted. And they knew that these laws had been enforced over Doctors. It doesn't matter if it's a cancer drug or an and over again. HIV drug or in some cases an antipsychotic or anticonvulsive. It doesn't matter if you are getting a protected class of In this country, if you get the privilege of FDA approval, if you want to play by the rules of the game, you must follow them all. You don't get to say, I'm going to make a big profit and get FDA approval to sell our drugs in this country and only pay attention to the parts that you like. They knew from the get-go that they could be caught and they would have to pay the money back if they were caught. Over and over again, the warnings came from Johnson & Johnson, but Janssen decided to ignore them. Now, at the end of the day, the people tell the story. And so what did we do in this case? Well, it's not one of those cases where you have a whistleblower who steps forward, and then the company defends themselves by bringing 20 members of the sales force and saying this didn't happen, or upper-level management and documents and say this didn't This was a case where seven former sales No. representatives, district managers, regional managers, came forward to tell you under oath what was really going on at

this company. And Janssen's method of disputing that testimony was to attack the people that were testifying, go after their credibility. The people who were at the top of their organization on the sales force all of a sudden were liars for telling the story about what took place over time, methodically with names and dates and receipts, over and over and over again. I'm going to tell you that story as fast as I can because I got to summarize a five-week trial in the next hour and 45 minutes. So bear with me and buckle up.

Speaker selection. What was going on in March of 2006? The drug Prezista was about to launch. And so what did Janssen do? Well, of course, they wanted to sell their drug. Of course, they wanted to make sales forecasts. So they did two things at the same time: They got their sales force ready to promote the drug, and they went right to a speaker bureau, a promotional speaker bureau.

Ladies and gentlemen, I think the evidence has shown during the course of this case that that type of program in itself is about the most -- it's about the most corrosive type of program you can possibly have, because it has all the auspices, all the outward appearances of doctors speaking to doctors, but, fundamentally, it is a way for the pharmaceutical companies to funnel cash to health care providers, to get them loyal to the brand, to get them prescribing the drug and, in this case, also to get them

prescribing off-label.

So when you hear from Janssen that this is about an educational program, you know, we call things what they are in life, right? Dog, cat, promotional speaker bureau. It's not an educational program. That wasn't what it was for.

You may have had a tangential benefit. You may have had an additional benefit of having some educational value associated with speaker programs. But they put 150 doctors together from the very get-go three months before this product even launched, Prezista. And they did that by identifying doctors who wrote the most prescriptions for HIV drugs.

And so they put them in buckets. The highest prescribers being A, the mid-volume being B, and then C was thought leaders associated with academia. And they went after A, speaker development names. They went after the A lists. Some of these doctors you heard from in this trial.

I mean, Mr. Wilhelm told you what they were doing. He flew here, took the witness stand, was on for over a day. He told you exactly what Janssen was doing. Top ten AIDS by state and rank. Top Aptivus. They would go ahead and see who were the top prescribers for competitive drugs, other drugs that were already out there on the market, protease inhibitors, and they would identify the doctors that were most likely to reap a profit for Janssen.

They got their 150 speakers before the drug even

PageID: 24562

7964

launched.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And finally, when Prezista's label came out in June of 2006, they were ready to go. They were going to send the sales force out with messages, and they were going to get the speaker program burning with cash.

And we know from an internal strategy, we got their internal strategy documents, that the forecasts themselves were aggressive and they weren't tied into the label. The key forecast assumptions right off the bat were that there was going to be parity with Reyataz on lipids. Well, turns out that wasn't true.

Their commercial strategy was going to be to aggressively promote Prezista's value proposition. And they already planned to ramp up prescriptions. And then it was time for the pressure cooker.

In July of 2006, what happened? The drug launched. Three weeks into it, they're not making sales. Why is that? There's pushback on the lipid profile. There's pushback on whether this drug is effective or not.

And so what happened? What was the culture like at Janssen? Ms. Sara Strand came and told you about it for a day and half herself. "It was not pleasant. At the beginning, we were so far behind goal, the president would yell at us on conference calls. He would yell at us at a national sales meeting, you know. It was a very high-stress environment.

PageID: 24563

7965

1 Just not good."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now remember who these people are. Ms. Sara Strand, Mr. Mark Wilhelm. Not only are they high up in the organization, they're highly trained sales representatives who are experts in HIV drugs. They were being barked at and yelled at by top management for a strategy that top management had itself concocted. That was the problem.

Mr. Glen Mattes, he wanted to create positive anxiety and have each and every one of his managers focused. called a meeting, and you heard everybody testify about this meeting, the brainstorming session, about how they were going to get this product to sell. And that's when the off-label took off.

Positive anxiety. I asked him What was that? Certainly wanted them to understand that that performance was not consistent with expectations.

So the guy who said the buck stops here, he passed the Instead of blaming himself for missed forecasts, buck. instead of blaming their strategy for getting a narrow label for the drug and rushing it to market, instead of going back to the one member of the board that he reported to and saying, I messed up, he took it out on the sales force and the people who reported to him.

You know, I asked Mr. Iacobellis, Was he using those words loudly? He said, Yeah. So loud disappointment?

PageID: 24564 7966

not even sure what that is, but of course he was yelling. Loud disappointment.

It only got worse from there, Sara Strand said. They had the meeting, and that's when the wheels really started to run. How can we change our messaging? That's when we really started to realize we could try naive patient, and we could also talk about it's lipid friendly. Because that's the main reason that Reyataz was getting so much business, was because of the lipid profile being so friendly.

So they're going to go in two packs. They're going to promote the drug for patients who it's not approved for, naive, and they're going to minimize the side effects by calling the drug lipid friendly for HIV patients.

So at that first meeting, I mean, Sara told us they had to go and get a drink afterwards because Glen had ripped them a new one.

And then what happens? The MIR machine kicks up. And boy, you must be the most expert group of people on medical information requests in history. There was a lot of discussion about it. But it was microcosm of what was going on in this case. Here, you have laws in place that prohibit a sales representative from talking to a doctor about off-label uses of a drug. Why? Because it's not approved, and you're a promotional representative, promoting the drug for your company. You can't have that discussion with a doctor because

you don't want the doctor to have his or her medical decision-making corrupted. So you don't do it. And if the doctor has a question, you have to have them send that form in and get the information themselves. It cannot be solicited. You cannot interfere with the process.

That's not what happened here. Right off the bat, MIR forms are being widely used to get the 48-week data into the hands of all customers. I know that Janssen is going to try to explain this one. But this is what was happening. This is what was actually happening. You heard it from all the witnesses who worked for them. They were being told that their sales performance as a metric was going to depend on the number of off-label MIR requests that doctors solicited themselves.

Selecting speakers. Well, top 25 physicians by dollars. That's how they picked them. They didn't pick them based upon how many papers they had written. They did not pick them based upon any other criteria. Don't let them fool you. They focused on the money. Top 20 Prezista writers in the nation. They would rank them, and they would track their prescriptions. Period. End of story.

What else did they do? It's time to get the off-label studies going. You've got the MIR requests. You've got the sales force delivering messages about lipid friendly, lipid neutral, minimal impact on lipids, go, go, go, go, spread the

message. But now we're going to use off-label studies, and we're going to collect them, we're going to talk about how to use them in sales meetings internally, we're going to talk about how to use them competitively with doctors.

And you heard from the witnesses who actually care about what happened. They would tell you how they used them. Off-label studies like this junk study that Mr. McSherry acknowledged, himself -- and we'll talk about him later -- was a real problem. This was a fake study. It had 48 healthy patients. It was called the DART study, and it was circulated among the sales force far and wide in New York, out to California, back and forth, to use with doctors to try to show that Prezista and Reyataz had the same level of lipid profile, which we all know what was not true.

Mr. Mattes set the culture from the top, reminding everybody of the expectation he has for all of them.

Constantly putting pressure to make forecast.

Now, the percentage of physicians writing Prezista from 2006 to 2007, they had a \$22 million number for the second half of 2006, and a \$132 million target for 2007.

And I walked through all of this with Mr. Mattes, if you recall, showing him that year after year they were ramping up sales even though they knew that off-label sales were incorporated into the forecast. Spontaneous, of course.

Right off the bat, you knew that they had a natural

playfield. That is careful communication for, This is all weare permitted to sell to.

Segment H. That's the highly treatment-experienced patients who have failed two or more. Okay. More than one. Two or more. Resistances. But right off the bat, they had to play in earlier, earlier disease phases, all the way down until eventually they were going to proceed into the treatment-naive. And they incorporated those forecasts and targeted those patients long before they ever had a label for treatment-naive.

Please start the calculation metrics at \$95 million,
Mr. Mattes said. Let's go set up some time to talk. Touch
base with Brad and keep the circle of communication small at
this point.

He had pressure to hit targets in 2007 because he'd whiffed so bad in 2006.

Now, you know, there was a doctor just -- you heard from -- I can't remember what day. I've lost track of time. I think it was yesterday we heard from Dr. Tony Mills. And just one thing he said offhand was he was at a breakfast meeting having -- with somebody from Janssen at a major HIV meeting, and they were discussing research and they mentioned they were considering doing a trial looking at using Prezista in treatment-naive patients. "And I got angry because Prezista wasn't for treatment-naive patients."

Well, of course not. And see, Dr. Mills, he didn't remember people talking to him off-label because that's how insidious it is. But that's what that was.

Now, Ms. Candice Long came along, and she started focusing on Intelence. That was approved in January of 2008.

Ms. Candice Long came over from the Risperdal side of the equation. Right? Mr. Glen Mattes, he was on the Topamax drug before he came over to start this company. And we'll talk about that later.

"The story of the oversight for QD once daily does poorly reflect on the ability of the organization to make accurate and timely decisions." This is her colleague telling her at launch, "Careful. The Prezista launch forecast is still in their heads." He's talking about the sales force.

"They're still worried about our company's strategy."

And now, what happened? They didn't get a once-daily dose approval for Intelence. And that's going to make it hard to sell because, as everyone knows, taking a drug once a day is a lot easier than taking it twice. And when you have to take it twice a day, it makes the drug harder to sell.

Back to speaker selection. Let's rank the speakers based on the number of prescriptions. That's it. Number after name is total Prezista scripts to date as of May. That was Kim Saladana. Ranking the speakers by their prescriptions.

Let's go ahead and pick them, number 1 being the highest priority. Number of scripts for Prezista. That speaker selection, do you see anything in there, by the way, do you see anything in there about number 1 being the highest priority, education, experience? No. It's how many scripts are they writing.

And then if a speaker who they had paid to speak on the program stopped writing enough of the drug, they would kick them off. That's how you know what the game is about. It's all about payments of money in exchange for prescriptions. Inducing and rewarding.

And they also knew they weren't supposed to talk about it. "I would recommend we stay away from the discussion around prescribing levels." Do you remember that one? They knew that this was -- they knew what this was. They knew what it was. A doctor who has plenty of experience writing the drug has spoken on a speaker bureau and now you kick him off because he or she is not writing enough prescriptions. What is that?

"Writing prescriptions for Prezista was a measure of whether or not a speaker would stay on the program and keep getting paid. True, Mr. Mattes?

"It was probably one of the criteria." No kidding.

We asked Sara Strand, "What about speakers whose volume fell off while they were being paid? What happened to them?

"So we had to kick some of them off. If they weren't writing enough, then we would go and tell them we're not going to renew your contract; you won't be a speaker next year."

That tells you what the game was all about.

Under the law, if one purpose, just one purpose of the speaker payments was to induce or reward doctors for prescribing Prezista and Intelence, that's a kickback. That's what you can't do. And that's exactly what they were doing.

Then they would track them. In each region, New England speaker sales performance, track the speakers by prescription for Prezista and Intelence. Sometimes they weren't so careful with their communication, and we found them.

Midwest speaker sales performance. They're tracking them by their performance. And look how they've segmented them. They have actually categorized the doctors into different segments of behavior: Pioneers, conservatives, protectors. They were tracking the doctors' actual prescribing habits and categorizing them and tracking their prescriptions.

And, of course, the witnesses who we called to testify, Sara Strand, Mark Wilhelm, Matt Grooms, Joe Holshoe, Chrissy and Jessica themselves, of course they told you this was what was going on. "We're not tracking speaker sales," they told you, except I had 400, 400 documents in evidence from their

1 experts who they paid in real time, partners in loyalty 2 marketing. 3 Excel spreadsheet with the data, tracking every 4 permutation of sales force, plus speaker, plus MedForce, plus 5 That's what that was all about. Intelence. 6 Yes, they were tracking speaker prescriptions. 7 Absolutely. And it's in evidence. That's Plaintiffs' Trial 8 Exhibit 602. 9 The purpose was to drive sales. Sara told you. 10 the regional manager, and she's telling you what they were 11 doing, under oath. "We were trying to drive the sales of the 12 speaker themselves." And that's why they were paying the 13 money. 14 Mark Wilhelm told you the same thing. Now, if you 15 think about it, that's Sara and Mark. They are over, 16 together, the entire sales force across the entire 17 United States. That's the Eastern and the Western regional 18 managers. They both come into court, neither one of them is a 19 Relator, and they told you what happened. The purpose was to 20 drive sales. No kidding. 21 Dr. Aaron Glatt. He doesn't take pharmaceutical money. He is the only designated expert in this case who is going to 22 23 testify -- who did testify -- about what is reasonable and 24 necessary for prescriptions, and he's the only expert 25 permitted to testify to you about the lipid profiles, about

whether or not this drug could be harmful to patients.

He is an infectious disease expert. He is the chief at Mount Sinai. He has been an HIV and infectious disease expert for years, and he looked at the lectures that they were giving on these speaker programs, and it's all the same thing. It was repetitive, over and over again. He said there was no educational value.

Nearly nine -- 9,000 speeches, right? 150 speakers initially; then they went up to 335. The same slide decks, multiple repeat attendees over and over again.

Where did they go? Where do you think? We didn't just pick some -- we didn't just cherry-pick. Yes, there were some that were held at hospitals during the day or in a doctor's offices during the day. And then where were they at night? Where were they during the weekends? They were at some of the nicest places in the country. Wining and dining, not just the speakers, but everyone else in attendance.

Now, I could let it run. This exhibit is in evidence. You can see the types of places that they were taking these doctors to. When we had Janssen's witness on the stand,

Ms. Kaucher from compliance, she couldn't tell you what a modest place was. Do you remember that? They were supposed to be modest and educational, and that is anything but. These were some of the finest places that they could take doctors to.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It goes on. You get the message. What was the plan? That's what this promotional speaker bureau was. Take them to fancy restaurants; pay the speakers a lot of money to give the same speech over and over again.

I'm going to wait for it to end. There was a five-prescription requirement. Remember that? Just to get on the speaker bureau. And, look, this is -- this is not something that Janssen is arguing with, believe it or not. \$17 million put into the hands of doctors, just the speakers. That doesn't account for the millions and millions of dollars, tens of millions of dollars that they spent on these fancy venues for the other attendees over the course of 2006 and beyond.

Doctors traveling to Hawaii for four days, getting paid \$5,000 for two 45-minute-to-an-hour speeches, staying at hotels. Over and over again, what were they measuring? Physicians by dollars. Now, this is Janssen's own documents, their own experts who Janssen pays money to to determine how effective their influence actually is on doctors' prescribing habits, in real time. Not in litigation. Not in a lawsuit. In real time.

Now, pharmaceutical companies, they know how to market, don't they? They spend billions on it. They know what works, and they track their performance to the nth degree. a surprise. Speaker programs are an effective way to make a

1 lasting impact on prescribing behavior. Of course it is. 2 That's why they do them. 3 Now, Intelence is an FDA label, two taken twice daily. Never changed. Not once to the end of time, from the start to 4 That's a problem. 5 the finish. That's an impediment. Dr. Mills said, "It wasn't like something that would have ever 6 7 crossed my mind to use once a day or to use in a naive 8 patient." 9 Well, it crossed Janssen's mind because that's how they 10 could increase their sale. That label was so narrow. 11 a twice-daily drug for highly treatment-experienced patients. 12 How were they going to get their sales forecasts? Well, they 13 ramped up the targets, and the sales force was comped no 14 matter whether the sale was on-label or an off-label 15 prescription. 16 And it worked. Year after year after year, they sold 17 more and more of Prezista and Intelence, until they were over 18 \$338 million in 2010. 19 \$133 million for Intelence just in 2010. Over time, 20 that went up. It got to the point where their naive share, 21 Intelence naive share, was being targeted. That's a drug 22 that's not for naive patients. But they targeted it. 23 went after the A through D segments from 2008 through 2009, 24 spontaneous, of course. 25 Now, they calculated mathematically the value of a

Prezista patient. The lifetime value was \$32,000 per prescription. Because once a patient gets on that drug, they're on it for awhile. They're on it for awhile. And they know how much every prescription is worth. It's not the 90-day bottle. They're on the regimen. They are not going to switch off of it, and they know that.

What's going on in the marketplace? Lipid friendly, low impact on lipids, low impact on cholesterol. Low lipid

profile like Reyataz, minimal impact on lipids. Over and over and over again. 620,000 times we have records of their sales force contacting doctors, and they were delivering the same messages over and over and over again. That's what all of the witnesses called by the Relators said and Janssen's own

witnesses eventually -- or eventually.

Low impact on lipids. Is that true? This was their message. And we're going to come to find out what actually took place back with the FDA.

The only written document with the FDA's response to a Prezista lipid message was a -- one that I got Mr. Patel to tell us all about. One. One document. The claim that Prezista had a lower impact on cholesterol was misleading because it minimizes the risks associated with the use of Prezista. Specifically the FDA said it suggested that patients will not experience an increase in cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein and triglycerides, when this is not

PageID: 24576

7978

the case. It's false.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And they pointed out that the drug itself on its own label shows hypercholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, and low-density lipoprotein increases. This is not small stuff. This is not a minor side effect. This is not something that you can take lightly, but what is it the -- what did the FDA say and then what did Janssen do?

The DDMAC told them this applies to this claim as well as future promotional materials containing the same or similar claims or presentations. And we remind you, sir, that only written communications from the government are considered official.

Serious adverse drug reactions for Prezista. Dr. Glatt called it a triple whammy, and, I mean, out of all the doctors that you saw in the case, he was the only expert designated or permitted by the Court to testify on the standard of care. The only one. Janssen didn't bring one. They have all the access to doctors in the world, a lot of doctors on their payroll, and they did not have a single doctor testify from the stand as an expert witness about the lipid profile. didn't put anyone up there to contradict Dr. Glatt.

So it's a real triple whammy. They're at risk for the disease from HIV itself. They're at risk from the medication, some of them that were used to treat HIV, and then, even if they get it, they're at risk for not responding as well to

some of these lipid-lowering agents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So they can't just take Crestor. They can't just take a drug to help lower the lipids because it is contraindicated for that. It is a real problem.

He said there are significant cardiovascular Blood vessels get clogged. Heart disease can complications. lead to stroke, kidney dysfunction, peripheral vascular disease, troubles with your blood vessels to your legs. Ιt can impact your entire body. Dr. Glatt told us that. expert, and he doesn't take pharmaceutical money.

Now, what else did he tell us? There's a paper published at one of the retrovirus conferences that specifically shows that outcome of cardiovascular events, no longer lipid problems, but the cardiac injuries were higher in the Prezista group than in the Reyataz group. evidence of it.

And that's what was going to happen if you minimize the side effect of this drug.

He testified about the appropriate standard of care for HIV patients between 2006 and 2014 for the lipid claims. the lipid claims, it would be inappropriate for the entire period of time. The lipid claims are lipid friendly, lipid neutral, minimal impact on lipids, all of the messages that Janssen was having its sales force deliver to the marketplace and to doctors. Was there a consensus? We asked the only

expert in this case in the medical community, during this time period, even though Prezista was preferred, if that the lipid side effects of that drug still had to be factored into a prescribing decision. Absolutely. We want to make sure they're alive, not only from their HIV, but they don't get heart disease and stroke. Cardiac injuries were higher in Prezista than in Reyataz.

In that same group of patients, lipid-impacted HIV patients, prescribing those patients Prezista violated the standard of care for HIV patients. That's those patients who had a lipid diagnosis or a lipid issue. Prescribing them Prezista instead of Reyataz violated the standard of care.

Did Reyataz, during this time period, contain the same warnings on the label? No, it did not. Now, we talked about the idea that the guidelines put Reyataz and Prezista on a preferred status. But in the majority of cases, Dr. Glatt was asked, anyone who has a lipid issue should be prescribed Prezista? Do you recall that testimony?

He said specifically -- can you assess a percentage of anybody who you might switch from Reyataz to Prezista if they had a lipid issue because they were worried about jaundice?

5 percent. 5 percent. That's it, because the lipid issues were such a big problem.

Now, do you remember this from opening statement? The FDA reviewed Janssen's promotional materials, and all

1 promotional materials were approved by the FDA? That's not 2 our slide. That was Janssen's from opening statement. 3 Here's what you were told. Every single piece that was used with a physician you're going to hear went to the FDA 4 5 approval -- for approval. All of those materials were 6 approved by the FDA. That's what you were told in the opening 7 statement by Janssen. We have a whole department. They don't get used until we hear back from the FDA. There are a number 9 of approved messages that dealt with it. Nobody disputes, 10 they said, blessed by the FDA as having a low impact on 11 lipids. Nobody disputes approved by the FDA. Minimal impact 12 on lipids. Nobody disputes proven impact on lipids. It's 13 okay. It's okay. It's okay to say. That's what they told 14 you at the outset of the case. What actually happened? I aot 15 Mr. Patel on the stand. Low impact on lipids. Never received 16 a written advisory opinion from the FDA. 17 "Do you remember that testimony for the use of the 18 message 'low impact on lipids'. 19 "Correct. 20 "Minimal impact on lipids? 21 "True. 22 "Never received a written advisory opinion approving of 23 the message 'proven lipid profile' for Prezista? 24 "True. 25 "Never received written approval for the message 'lipid

```
1
    friendly' for Prezista?
 2
           "True.
 3
           "None of those messages were approved. The only
    official communications from DDMAC are those in writing?
 4
 5
           "Correct.
 6
           "The FDA may provide comment but only those in writing
 7
    are considered official?
           "Correct."
 8
 9
           That's what he told us. Since 1985, it does not matter
10
    if you are advertising or promoting to patients, to consumers,
11
    to doctors, every message you deliver must be true and may not
12
    minimize side effects, regardless of its intended use.
13
           But what did he do? After that letter, April 2009,
14
    from the federal government, Janssen continued to put the
15
                  "Proven lipid profile to doctors. Correct?
    message out.
16
                  We did continue.
           "Yes.
17
           "After this letter was received, you continued to say
18
    low impact on lipids?
19
           "Yes, that's correct."
20
           That's what he told us.
21
           And now you're being instructed on the law by the
22
    Court. You've heard testimony from a Janssen employee,
    Dr. Patel, about the FDA silence in response. And what we
23
24
    learned from him is that they decided if they sent in
25
    materials and never heard back, that somehow that was FDA
```

approval for their messages, even though the one letter they got back said this is false and misleading. Right?

You heard him testify that Janssen considered the FDA silence in response to those submissions as the FDA's indirect or tacit approval of Janssen's advertising. You're instructed there is no statute or regulation that says the FDA's silence means it has approved of a promotional advertising submission. Of course not, because as he told you, only the opposite is true.

But the sales representatives would tell doctors

Prezista was lipid friendly. Yes. How do we know that?

Thankfully, Ms. Brancaccio had a recording of Nancy Bartnett.

Right, you had the recording. You heard it.

And then I finally asked her, "If the truth is that Prezista was not lipid friendly, if calling Prezista lipid friendly is off-label promotion because it minimizes side effects, then you were engaged in off-label promotion for a very long time?

"So, ves."

We can't do better. We can't do better in proving a scheme to promote a drug off-label than to have the people who were doing it testify under oath to you, the members of the jury, that that is what was happening. We can't do better than that.

Here's the issue, though, and this is the part that is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the most disturbing. The strategy was to eliminate the perception of Reyataz as most lipid friendly, to drive awareness of low impact on lipids. This is that message that To drive awareness of the low impact on lipids is false. claim over 96 weeks. They're going to push, push, push, push to get past Reyataz. They knew 30 percent of patients switching for tolerability reasons have hyperlipidemia. 30 percent of patients have a lipid problem, significantly elevated lipids, and they're going to go and do what? Tell doctors not to give it to those patients? No. Eliminate the perception of our competitor as the most lipid friendly and drive awareness of low impact on lipids over 96 weeks. I didn't write this document. Janssen did. They knew that the government would And they knew. take this seriously. They knew, and they risked it anyway. How? Because the plan for Mr. Mattes from the beginning was to put the same mechanisms in place that he had

How? Because the plan for Mr. Mattes from the beginning was to put the same mechanisms in place that he had put in place with a drug called Topamax right before he started this company, Tibotec. Right? He knew, and he planned to put the same marketing and the same speaker bureau programs in place.

And then in 2010, the corporate integrity agreement, the Department of Justice brought the hammer on Topamax for off-label marketing and payment of kickbacks to doctors.

They knew it was material to the government. They knew they'd have to return the money if they got caught. But they did it anyway.

Look what they did with their sales. P/I gross sales expected to reach \$2.6 billion. Prezista growth sales, almost a billion dollars in one year in 2012. They were good at selling it.

And, ladies and gentlemen, let me make sure that I'm clear about this, because the response from Janssen, of course, is going to be but this drug helped patients. It helped some patients. It helped some. And nobody from the Relators will ever say otherwise. Chrissy and Jessica won't say that. What were they having a problem with? Pushing the drug, minimizing the side effects, selling it for use with patients who it would not be good for.

And Intelence, all the way up to 233 million. In 2010, they're about to hit over a billion dollars combined. One year. One billion gross demand in sales projected for 2011.

They even show you the payor mix and what percentage was paid by Medicaid. 16 percent. Knowing that that patient population, Medicaid was paying for a large portion of their drugs, they tracked the government payors of their drugs.

And for Intelence, they did the same thing. Medicaid, 15 percent.

Now, what happened? Boy, this is an interesting story.

It's September of 2010, and Ms. Joanne Cesario, a sales representatives in a different district, from New Jersey, takes to compliance health care violations, health care compliance violations. What did she say? Well, let me just tell you, we only found out about this in the middle of this trial, because for years Chrissy and Jessica knew that this had taken place. They knew that another sales representative had reported off-label marketing concerns to Janssen, to their compliance department. And so in this lawsuit, they socked the documents.

Show us what happened to Joanne Cesario. Show us what happened to the investigation. Nothing for years. For years they hid it. We'll come back to that in a moment because that has an interesting ending.

They knew, Janssen knew -- this is Dr. Amit Patel's own compliance document -- that it's on-label if you make claims regarding the product indication, it's efficacy, dosing, and administration consistent with the FDA-approved package insert, the label. But it is false or misleading to make product claims not consistent with the FDA-approved package insert, the label, or not supported by substantial evidence or substantial clinical experience. That's not up for debate. They knew it.

If you do any of the following, if you promote a drug for a different use or indication, for a different dose, for a

different patient population, a different dosing schedule, a different stage of the disease, that is off-label, and they knew it, and they were doing it anyway.

How about this one? Where -- what are the off-label opportunities for the NNRTIs Intelence? Where is Intelence getting the business today in the earlier segments? Sometimes the careful communication slipped through the cracks.

And who came into court -- who came into court to answer for this one? He was talking about promotional opportunities off-label for Intelence because they needed to sell more drugs. Nobody ever explained it to you.

Now, what did we learn about Joanne Cesario? At a district meeting in June, she specifically told Janssen, among other things, that her superiors were distributing these off-label studies and using them, using them with the sales force. Okay? That's what they were doing. Off-label studies, using them with the sales force to help compete with other drugs.

Allegation is substantiated.

What happened to Mr. Murphy? What happened to Ms. Peterson? This one was really interesting. This shows you what happens when Janssen wants to cover something up.

Ms. Cesario said, "Look, we're getting compensated on sales of Intelence. And at the end of the day, our compensation model is not fair because we had a narrow label,

1 our opponent has a full label, and we're being penalized 2 unless we sell off-label." 3 What do they say? Hey, sorry. Your complaints are irrelevant. Your complaints are irrelevant, Ms. Cesario. 4 5 What happened to Joanne Cesario after she made these 6 complaints? Well, Janssen withheld the documents from us in 7 litigation. Jessica Penelow told you that she got fired for reporting it, and she did. 9 And then they took the stand, and they told you nothing 10 was ever substantiated. And we had to pause Ms. Kaucher's 11 testimony and get our hands on the documents, finally. And 12 that was all false. 13 "Maybe as much as 70 percent of Intelence use is off-label." 14 15 Well, no kidding. Because that's how they were pushing 16 it. 17 The DeJesus study, July of 2010, funded by Janssen, 23 18 patients, over and over and over again using that study with 19 doctors. 20 Now, they had lots of pretty compliance documents 21 telling them what they could and could not do. They knew that 22 the government did not want to cover the cost of prescription 23 drugs when they violate the Anti-Kickback Statute or the False 24 Claims Act. 25 "We want to ensure that our business is conducted in an

1 ethical manner and within the law of the Johnson & Johnson
2 credo."

See, that is Johnson & Johnson. That is Johnson & Johnson. But that was not Janssen. That was not this entity.

They knew that the government does not want to cover the cost of prescription products when they violate the Anti-Kickback Statute, exchange anything of value in return for business. False Claims Act, selling off-label, misrepresenting a product, that's this case. And they knew it was wrong.

They give examples of their own products' reimbursement rates, Topamax, Risperdal. Both of them, both of them ended up being the subject of a corporate integrity agreement, a DOJ enforcement. Both of them were in protected drug classes, both of them promoted by Mr. Glen Mattes's crew and Ms. Candice Long's crew. They knew about it. They knew this was wrong, and they did it anyway.

Ms. Virginia Evans, who specializes -- an expert witness in the subject matter, specifically told you that the government does not want to cover the cost of prescription products when they violate the following. She said it would go further than that and say the government does not cover the cost of prescription products when they have been provided in violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute or the False Claims

1 Medicare Part D and Medicaid, if they find out that 2 that's happened in a case like this, they're going to ask for their money back. And Janssen knew that. 3 4 They knew specifically because the claims that they ended up resolving were for precisely the same conduct. 5 6 knew that this was material to the government. They knew that 7 if they got caught, they would have to pay the money back. 8 And this was nationwide, ladies and gentlemen. 9 was nationwide. Look at who we called in to testify: 10 Mark Wilhelm, Donna Graham, Sara Strand, Chrissy Brancaccio, 11 Matt Grooms, Jessica Penelow, Joseph Holshoe. Why? Why, if 12 what they were saying wasn't true, would they come into this 13 courtroom and testify about what happened years ago with this 14 company? 15 "The whole country was doing it, soliciting MIRs. 16 "What would have been your concern if somebody said, 17 hey, the Janssen rep left this study here? 18 "Well, that's illegal. I don't want to lose my job," 19 Ms. Graham said. 20 This is about using off-label studies. It was common 21 practice throughout the country. Everybody felt under the gun 22 to perform. 23 Off-label promotion started happening at speaker 24 events. 25 "How many of these speaking events did you personally

1 attend? 2 "50 across the country," Sara said. 3 "What percentage of the ones you attended would you say 4 had off-label information discussed through a plant? 5 "I wouldn't want to guess at that. I'm not sure. Ιt 6 happened frequently." 7 I mean -- and think about that. You know that you're paying doctors to give speeches at these programs. And you 9 know that they're not supposed to go off-label. But you put a 10 plant in the audience to ask an off-label question, and now 20 11 other doctors get to hear about it. It's a good idea. 12 Why would a sales force want to do that? Why would the 13 sales representatives put plants in the audience? Why would 14 their managers encourage that? They were just going to be 15 able to sell this drug on-label for good uses in patients who 16 needed the drug. Why would you have to plant someone in the 17 audience to ask an off-label question? It's because they 18 couldn't sell enough of the drug on the label and they had to 19 go off-label. And that's how the sales representatives got 20 paid. 21 Mr. Wilhelm: "Yes, it was a nationwide scheme. Lots 22 of people involved, more than a hundred sales 23 representatives." The scheme went on from 2006 until he left in 2010. 24 25 Ms. Brancaccio: "They told us. They gave us the

1 off-label information, the off-label studies. They told us to 2 go out to the doctors that we called on and use those studies 3 to talk to the doctors about Prezista and how it was lipid 4 friendly. Lipid neutral. Comparable to Reyataz." False, 5 false, false. 6 "We used those studies to go out in the field and talk 7 to doctors about it, and it came from Frank Murphy," who we learned during the trial had been accused of doing exactly the 9 same thing by Joanne, by Joanne Cesario. 10 And that was substantiated because it was true. And 11 Frank Murphy kept his job, and Joanne Cesario didn't. 12 "Yes," said Ms. Penelow, "it would be basically either 13 Tony or Tim McSherry or Nancy Bartnett that was presenting. 14 They would go give out the off-label slides and presentations 15 to us to go out in the field." 16 "To your knowledge," Mr. Holshoe, different part of the 17 country, "based on your conversations with these people, what 18 went on in the district? Were they all selling these drugs 19 off-label?" 20 "From what we were saying, we were being pressured to 21 do that, yes. 22 "And based on your experience, was this limited just to 23 your district? 24 "No. Because, I mean, from national meetings, regional 25 meetings, everyone was saying it. Everyone was saying they're

2

.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

getting put in this position but we've got to do this stuff. But we know if we get caught doing it, we could lose our job, or whatever." Matt Grooms, different part of the country. "What were they telling you was happening in districts across the country?" Same message. Same concern. Did you get the impression that Matt Grooms was a straight shooter? Didn't he just tell it to you like it was? Same thing, same concern. Plants on speaker programs. Get certain information out, if we could. "Did other sales reps from different districts tell you they were selling Prezista as lipid neutral or lipid friendly? "Absolutely." Now, Janssen is not going to be able to argue with this, because who did they call? You know, at one point, they were mocking Jessica and Christine for not calling enough sales reps. How many do you have to call? Right? They have access to their former representatives. Did they put a parade of witnesses on the stand to tell you that this stuff did not happen? They put two people, one of whom still works for the company, Tim McSherry, and Nancy Bartnett, who just left. She admitted that she would engage in off-label promotion for a long time, if it turns out that lipid friendly

```
1
    was not an approved message, and it wasn't. It was false.
 2
    Tim McSherry, he's at corporate now. He said shoulder shrug,
 3
    and that's who they called. That's who Janssen called to say
 4
    that this didn't happen.
           Glen Mattes. "It's probable." Do you remember this?
 5
 6
           "It's probable that issues related to off-label
 7
    promotion of Prezista and Intelence were brought to your
 8
    attention?
 9
           "Yeah, it's probable but not certain because I don't
10
    recall.
11
           "It's more likely than not?" I asked him.
12
           "That's what probable means. Thank you for that
13
    definition. My answer is probable but not certain because I
14
    don't recall."
15
           Off-label promotion, of course, it was brought to his
16
    attention because it was part of the plan. It was part of the
17
    plan.
18
           Ms. Bartnett. Ms. Bartnett.
19
           (Audio clip played at this time.)
20
           Now, how long did we have to sit and listen to Janssen
21
    cross-examine Ms. Brancaccio about why she had made one
22
    recording? Over and over and over again attacked the
23
    messenger, attacked the witness. You recorded Ms. Bartnett
24
    with a doctor. Of course, if they didn't do that, what was
25
    Janssen going to say? You're lying, Ms. Brancaccio.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

never happened. She records one time because Nancy Bartnett goes off-label every time. And that's the message that was being delivered to doctors over and over and over again. Thank God Chrissy recorded that because they would deny it to this day. This is an interesting subject. Watch how Causation. Janssen in this case defends itself by claiming things like their marketing messages don't actually work. In real life, of course, they know it does. We changed prescribing behavior during speaker programs and on sales calls. Of course they know it does. This is what they do for a living. They'll parade somebody out here that they're paying to take the stand to say, Nope. Didn't cause anybody's behavior to change. We'll get to that in a minute. Look at what the doctors were reporting back. surveys they conducted themselves: lipid neutral, QD dosing gets the majority of attention for Intelence. Look how high QD dosing was up on the radar. Of course that's what doctors were saying because that's the message that was being delivered over and over again. Professor Sillup, he worked for Johnson & Johnson. Не carries the credo with him. He analyzed what was going on in

this case. He's the only pharmaceutical marketing expert to

off-label marketing caused physicians to prescribe Prezista

have taken the stand, and he specifically said that their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
and Intelence off-label.
                         Specific off-label messages caused
them to prescribe it for those reasons, and their off-label
messages directed at doctors had a lasting impact on their
prescribing behavior. This is not ice cream and shark
attacks. Right? Ice cream and shark attacks. No, it's not.
This is ice cream melting in the sun. Pharmaceutical
marketing works, and Janssen knows that, and they knew it
then.
       Ms. Brancaccio would tell you she'd give off-label
messages and her numbers would go up. Ms. Penelow, We were
very aware. We put a plant in the audience. We were very
aware. Did it work? Sales went up and we kept doing it.
       Mr. Grooms, delivering the lipid neutral message that
Prezista is comparable to Reyataz. Yes, it has some key
physician market shares that change.
       "Do you remember any of your doctors that you called on
increasing their Intelence prescriptions after hearing the
once-a-day message?
       "Yeah, Dr. Dietz and Dr. Todd, both."
       He's giving you the names.
       Sara Strand, same thing. The company started
disseminating off-label information.
       "Did you see an impact on sales numbers?
       "It was significant. I mean, I would guess maybe a 50
percent increase."
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Their marketing tactics, yes, I'm sure it's true." This was Dr. Frank. Right. "I'm sure it's true that people can be influenced without realizing it. It's not a really difficult concept. Sure, yes." And this is what Dr. Frank also said when we asked him, "Would it surprise you, Doctor, to learn that many doctors believe that others might be influenced but maybe not themselves? "Everyone thinks that. I'm not going to be affected by a sales rep. I'm not going to be affected by a sales call, but those guys might." Let's talk about the harm to the government as a result of this scheme. Kickbacks and off-label marketing. Janssen providing kickbacks to speakers. Damages. That was all the speakers for Prezista and Intelence prescriptions after their first speech, after they were paid to be on this promotional speaker bureau. That's what the law provides. You get paid if only one purpose, if only one purpose of Janssen's intent, if one purpose was to induce that speaker or to reward that speaker for their prescriptions of Prezista and Intelence, that's a kickback. And the damages that the government suffers are their prescriptions because the government reimburses for them. That's the law. Off-label marketing. Janssen providing off-label

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's all the influenced doctors that marketing to doctors. initiated, over attributed off-label Prezista and Intelence, and that was what Professor Shaked testified to you about. First thing that he did, he analyzed prescribing behavior. Now, Janssen called Dr. Jena to try to suggest that perhaps -well, they didn't suggest -- that perhaps professor Israel Shaked somehow had it wrong. We'll talk about that later. But the median ratio of non-speakers to speakers, look at the typical doctor who is not a speaker and their off-label rates compared to speakers. What does this tell you? Speakers who received more compensation compensation. prescribe more than speakers who received less. Is that a surprise? He's just analyzing their behavior, influenced doctors who received contact from Janssen, their sales calls, being attendees at a speaker program. The typical non-influenced doctor compared to an influenced doctor, look at the difference in their off-label prescribing rates. that's the story. Off-label marketing works. Over and over again, Professor Shaked, who is an expert in statistics, who has been teaching for 43 and a half years, he studied the data; he analyzed it; he did it Doctors who received more marketing contacts prescribe more off-label Prezista and Intelence. And that's not a surprise, is it? Stairstepped along the way, carefully measured, each segment of contacts, the more times you're

contacted by a Janssen sales representative, the more times you prescribe off-label for Prezista and Intelence.

So let's take a look at the kickback damages calculation. It's not that difficult, ladies and gentlemen. Once a speaker was paid those damages for the subsequent prescriptions that the government reimburses for are damages for kickbacks. That's how they're calculated. That's how it works. There are 435,042 kickback claims that were submitted for reimbursement to the government. Janssen will get up here continually and say, Gosh, that's a lot of money. They made a lot of money. \$3 billion in reimbursement. \$3 billion in reimbursement from the federal government. This is 327.2 that were tied -- 327 million that were tied to the speakers who were paid cash.

Off-label damages. Take a look here. This is the entire amount of money that the government paid for Prezista and Intelence sales of \$2.9 million during this time period. There are other off-label prescriptions, and off-label prescriptions can be written by doctors, specifically for their patients, but not if Janssen is responsible for promoting off-label to those doctors. And that is what Professor Shaked was careful to calculate for. \$446.7 million in prescriptions written off-label by influenced doctors who received Janssen's marketing, their sales calls and those off-label claims submitted for reimbursement to the federal

government. There were 593,996 prescriptions reimbursed by those doctors for those patients.

Now, Professor Shaked told you that he was excluding certain segments, and these were conservative estimates, because if there was any potential for overlap -- Prezista treatment naive, Prezista lipids, Intelence treatment naive, Intelence once-daily dosing -- look what he did. He just eliminated the overlap. 16.4 million and 1.9 million for the naive claims were enveloped in his total damages calculation. He didn't double count. He was conservative. He estimated downward.

Now, Medicaid pays 16 percent of these prescriptions for Prezista and Intelence. It's actually closer to 17 percent, according to the data from Ian Dew that he obtained from the federal government. These are Janssen's documents, at the time, for both Prezista and Intelence.

There are kickback damages and off-label damages but there's overlap, okay, because some doctors wrote off-label prescriptions and they were receiving kickbacks. So where there was overlap, Professor Shaked excluded those from the total. That's \$84.8 million that were taken out of the damages total.

\$327.2 million for kickbacks, \$361.9 million in off-label damages. That's a reduction of \$84.8 million and 112,731 claims.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

government that he believes satisfies his test. The problem

with it, ladies and gentlemen, is that nobody told him what

the right legal standard was. And you're about to be instructed on what that standard is in a False Claims Act case.

Remember he said you have to -- for him to be satisfied that causation happened, the improper influence caused the damages to the government, well, he said -- he was applying an economic theory on causation, and if it doesn't match with ultimately the standard that you're going to be asked to apply, it's irrelevant. It's possible he got the wrong legal standard.

So as I understand it, you say that you have to get records, sales call by sales call, doctor by doctor, patient by patient, chart by chart, prescription by prescription and figure out why each prescription was written across millions. That's what he took the stand to tell you was the standard in a False Claims Act case. That's just false. Nobody could ever do that, and companies like Janssen would get away with it. It can't be done.

Now, what you do is what you did -- he said, I think that's how I described as the gold standard. Of course Janssen's expert is going to say that. You can't catch me. You can't prove it.

He says, "Those are kind of prerequisites. That's what you need before you start seeing any differences in prescribing between one group and the other is a hundred

percent because of the conduct."

A hundred percent. Even criminal cases have a reasonable doubt standard. What kind of standard is proving a hundred percent that A caused B? Do you need to know? Does it strike you as fanciful that marketing and payment of cash causes people to change their prescribing behavior? It's not a remarkable concept. You all knew that when you first took your seat on the jury.

And guess what? They gambled. So confident were they in this doctor, the economist who took the stand and applied the wrong legal standard to the facts of the case, that he did not tell you, No, if I'm wrong on causation, here's the amount of damage to the government. It's lower than

14 Professor Shaked's. It's not quite 300 million. It's 200
15 million or 100 million.

No. He didn't do that. Unbridled arrogance by Janssen.

There is no evidence in the record other than

Professor Shaked's calculations as to what the damages are to
the government payers because Janssen decided to roll the dice
on Dr. Jena.

What he had done, by the way, to increase the race, he tried to show that the two groups were the same in prescribing habit: The noninfluenced and the influenced.

And we got Professor Shaked back on the stand. Do you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remember that? He was, like, "Yeah, he came back with his report and all of a sudden, the two groups had the same levels. The noninfluenced doctors and the influenced doctors, had the same rates of prescribing off-label." He said -- Professor Shaked said, "How is that possible?" Then he went and he looked, and he found a tiny footnote in Dr. Jena's report. And Dr. Jena had excluded thousands and thousands of doctors from the denominator. changed the ratio. He worked backward to get the ratio up and the noninfluenced physicians. He rigged the results so it would look like there's nothing to see here. And Professor Shaked said, "That's like saying if you're comparing downtown Los Angeles to Beverly Hills and you decide to say, okay, what are the cars like that people buy, but you just ignore all the secondhand and used cars. You take them out of the equation. Obviously Los Angeles, downtown, is going to look a lot more like Beverly Hills when you get rid of all the used cars." That's what Dr. Jena did. And it was the difference between the statistician and Professor Shaked and a magician who tried to make damages disappear. Now, you'll hear from Janssen. You'll hear their defenses. No doubt they know the government doesn't pay for

kickbacks or off-label. Ms. Kaucher was asked, "Do you

PageID: 24603 8005

1 understand Medicare Part D to be the portion of Medicare that 2 reimburses for prescription medications?" 3 "I do." And that would include drugs like Topamax, Risperdal, 4 5 Prezista, and Intelence, all in the protected classes, and they knew that they would have to pay the money back because 6 7 twice before they did. 2010, 2013, two protected drugs, 8 Topamax and Risperdal. 9 Guidance documents. Their own guidance documents. 10 Look what it says about Part D. I anticipate that you're 11 going to hear some shake 'n bake from Janssen when they come 12 They're going to make this argument, we've all seen 13 the little diagram on the screen where there's reimbursement, 14 little sales rep, and then there's a -- I think there's a 15 Part D plan sponsor. And it's their argument that somehow 16 that Part D plan sponsor, an insurer who works for the 17 government, is somehow going to let them off the hook. 18 Not sure what the theory is, but that's their argument. 19 Their own quidance document that -- when we went into 20 the data, Ms. Kaucher was the one who had edited it, says, 21 "Look, Part D is rife with potential fraud and abuse. 22 "Why? 23 "Because these are. Certain drugs are in protected 24 classes, and we do, as a nation, want patients to have them. 25 We want them to have them."

So it's easier for companies like Janssen to get reimbursed for those drugs. But when the government finds out about it, they always have to return the money. And that's why we're here.

And they know, this is Janssen's own document, that you cannot incentivize doctors to prescribe medically unnecessary drugs. And that's the key language. This is in their own guidance document. It is medically unnecessary to prescribe Prezista for a patient with a lipid issue. It is unnecessary and unreasonable to give that patient that drug. Why?

Dr. Glatt told you. There are safer alternatives.

Reyataz was available. And there's only one witness who testified about that subject: Dr. Glatt. It is medically unnecessary, medically unreasonable to give that patient that drug. It violates the standard of care.

And every time they push an influenced doctor and they pushed these messages on a doctor, that's what's been calculated in this case. It was a medically unnecessary, medically unreasonable prescription from an influenced physician who had been receiving their promotional messages. That's the money they had to return.

Protected class is not a license for fraud. If you get paid and it turns out that you violated the Anti-Kickback Statute, or you violated the False Claims Act by engaging in off-label promotion, you're going to get caught, and you're

1 going to have to give the money back. And, ladies and 2 gentlemen, that's you. That's what we're going to be asking .3 you to do. Dr. Glatt specifically told you about this. Look, 4 5 Risperdal was an antipsychotic. Topamax was an 6 anticonvulsant. Why did we ask him those questions? Because 7 Janssen knows those are two drugs in two protected classes, the six classes like the HIV medication. 9 And they knew it doesn't matter if you're in a 10 protected class as a pharmaceutical manufacturer. If you get 11 caught, you've got to pay the money back. 12 Glen Mattes was asked, "You're aware of the fact that 13 there were allegations brought by whistleblowers about Topamax 14 that resulted in a corporate integrity agreement that Janssen 15 entered into with the federal government, correct? 16 "Yes." 17 Mr. Mattes was overseeing Topamax before he moved on to 18 Janssen Tibotec. 19 "You don't know that they're medicines that the company 20 makes? 21 "Well, I know about Topamax and Risperdal." That was 22 Virginia Evans, the subject of these two Corporate Integrity 23 Agreements. 24 Let me take a minute to talk about compliance. Another 25 defense that we thought, and it proved out to be true, that

1 Janssen was going to take. Look at all our pretty documents. 2 We have these compliance documents, and we've all seen them up 3 on the screen throughout the course of this trial. You may not do this. You shall not do this. You shall report. 4 not disclose. Do not share. Careful communications. 5 6 out for emails. They might end up in the hands of the 7 government or us. That's what they wrote. 8 That's what compliance was in this organization. 9 Nobody could figure out who the compliance officer was from 10 2006 to 2010. We still don't know the answer. Dr. Patel says 11 it wasn't him. We don't know. It was an organization running 12 by itself. 13 And then Ms. Kaucher, well, she took the stand and she 14 told you what she told you about Joanne Cesario. We didn't 15 ask her those questions. Do you remember that? I didn't ask 16 her those questions. It was Ms. Brown. 17 "Tell us about Joanne Cesario. 18 "Well, I went and did this investigation and it was 19 unsubstantiated. Nothing happened off-label. Nothing, 20 nothing, nothing. 21 Okay, really? Go show us those investigation 22 documents. Where were they? 23 Comes back later, "Well, I didn't really remember. 24 was testifying from memory. Some of them were substantiated. 25 Some of them were not." Unbelievable.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is an important persuasion technique. because in this case, the way that Janssen has defended itself, from our perspective, it's a microcosm of the way that they distributed their promotional messages, their misleading messages out in the marketplace. They've just adopted that for the courtroom, in our view. That's what gaslighting is. It's the kind of persuasion. You all probably know somebody who can do it to Somebody in your family maybe, some of your friends. Hopefully not. Hopefully not. But it's a style of communication that makes you start to really doubt yourself. Makes you start to wonder, Hey, wait a minute. Maybe I'm the one who's in the wrong. Maybe we're doing things wrong. flip things around, and they put it back on you. That's the tactic of gaslighting. It makes you doubt your sanity. "Practice of grossly misleading someone, especially for one's own advantage." Well, what happened to Ms. Brancaccio right before this trial started? Right? You saw in opening, this is -- I mean, this is from their opening statement, Janssen's opening statement: "I thought I heard counsel in opening, Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio, describe you all as former employees of

But what you're going to hear, and what the evidence

1 That's from Janssen's opening statement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On April 26th, two weeks before the trial had started, they had fired her. They had sent her a letter saying, You're fired. And if you want any severance, sign this document, get a hundred thousand dollars and release all your claims.

12 years in preparation for this trial, Chrissy Brancaccio took the stand and told you everything that happened while she was there. And what is Janssen doing in the background? They're sending her a letter. They're firing her. And if she wants a hundred thousand dollars in severance, she's got to release her claims.

In the middle of trial, they send her a take backsie. Okay. Whoops. Maybe we'll change our mind. They send her another letter.

And then they get up in opening statement and tell you she's an employee, after they fired her. Who does that? This company does. This company who has been defending this case while Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio have been sitting there the entire time, while you have been sitting, ladies and gentlemen, listening to the evidence coming from that witness stand for five weeks, where is Janssen's executive? Where is Johnson & Johnson?

One of the things maybe you didn't see here, in whistleblower cases -- look, at some point they told you, No, you didn't have to give up your rights, Ms. Brancaccio.

That's not true. She was going to have her waiver of rights to this case in exchange for a hundred thousand dollars.

Here's another example. All right. And this stuff -look, I know you saw us jumping up and passing each other
Post-its the whole time and typing furiously on our computers,
and we're sorry for that. It's exhausting. It is exhausting
to keep up with a company that will do anything to mislead,
and we wanted to make sure that didn't happen.

That's why my colleagues and I were passing each other notes the whole time. That's why Ms. Wendel was keeping me on my toes. Anytime that something would be brought up, we would find the truth, and we would come up and we would fix it.

But this is just a perfect example. There was

Professor Sillup sitting on the witness stand. He's giving

you, as a former Johnson & Johnson employee, as an expert

witness who teaches pharmaceutical marketing, who has taught

for years, he's telling you all about the techniques that

Janssen used in this case. And in his report, he cites 82

articles, academic literature. One was from this doctor.

She doesn't like pharmaceutical representatives because they have an undue influence on doctors. That's what she's learned. And so they play a TikTok video of this doctor to convince you that somehow she's of bad character and, therefore, somehow that makes Professor Sillup less credible for citing to her Washington Post article. That's -- I mean,

PageID: 24610 8012

1 that's how the logic went.

It's one of those attack, attack, attack Professor Sillup.

Well, what actually turned out? You hear from Dr. Jena, and he's coauthored an article for her, their own expert. Their own expert has coauthored an article with that doctor. Complete waste of your time. We have no idea why we were talking about it, but they're trying to use psychological techniques on you to make sure that everybody who takes that stand to tell the truth is somehow deemed not credible. That's what that was.

How about this one? For years, for years the Relators, we were trying to get those Joanne Cesario documents. Nope.

But what does Janssen do? See, Ms. Penelow, she's really close to her mom. And she was having private, privileged communications with her lawyers, right? She was having private communications with her own lawyer, Ms. Joy Clairmont of Berger & Montague back in 2012, as she was getting ready to file this case. But because she sent the email to her mom, no longer privileged and Janssen gets ahold of it.

And so what do they do with this email between

Jessica's lawyer and Jessica? They come up here and they try

to insinuate that this was all a work and fabrication, a

1 product of lawyers. And they cross-examine her on it. 2 They're asking her questions about a private email. 3 Now, here's what I will tell you. This is the kind of 4 email that makes me proud to be a lawyer and practice with 5 people like Joy Clairmont. Look at what she actually put in 6 the email. Is this the kind of thing that you would want a 7 lawyer who represented you to ask you? Please, this is what they're doing. They're drafting the complaint in this 9 lawsuit, and Janssen got a hold of it and somehow it's going 10 to be bad. 11 "Read for accuracy and completeness. If we've gotten 12 any facts wrong or omitted anything important, please let us 13 know. You can write your comment directly into the 14 complaint." That's what you want a lawyer to do. Be 15 accurate. Be complete. 16 "Fill in the blanks if you're able. You will see 17 questions throughout the document that are highlighted in 18 brackets or bubbles." 19 And over and over again, the insinuation was 20 the lawyers had manufactured the claims. The lawyers. 21 That's the kind of thing that you want lawyers to do: 22 Be accurate, be complete, tell the truth. And somehow Janssen 23 decided to turn that against Ms. Penelow. That's gaslighting. 24 What about Sara Strand? Do you remember this exciting 25 moment when Ms. Strand was testifying about what had taken

place over the course of years and years and years while she was at Janssen, and then she was attacked for supposedly deleting documents. Something that has nothing to do with off-label marketing that she was overseeing and confessing and telling you took place.

"You went into your deposition, and you told us your documents were deleted." No, she didn't. She took her documents to the deposition and turned them over. She actually turned them over in her deposition.

And why were we being put through that if it's because after her testimony they have to spring up and attack the messenger and put them back on their heels, and then maybe you all will think that a day and a half of Sara Strand telling you what's going on at Janssen was somehow not credible, and that's the technique?

What about this one? You went to her wedding. You went to dinner with this person. These people from across the country who worked together are somehow all connected in some scheme to tell some story that is not true. Does that seem right to you guys? Does that seem right to you, ladies and gentlemen? That people from all across the country, from years ago, are going to fly into court, no connection to the Relators, no financial interest in the case, they're going to take a witness stand and they're going to tell a story in that detail about what took place at this company because one of

1 them went to the other's wedding years ago. That's the 2 technique that Janssen has employed in this case. 3 It's not about Facebook and wedding pictures and TikTok 4 videos. It's about kickbacks and off-label marketing. 5 Now, what did Ms. Kaucher tell us when she took the stand? And remember this? "I was not able to substantiate 6 7 any of the claims that she had made by any factual substance." That's sworn testimony from Ms. Kaucher, the compliance 9 officer for Janssen, to you, under oath, on the stand. Just a 10 few weeks ago. 11 What happened? We asked her, Mr. Wirmani asked her, 12 "Did you know that, since your testimony last week, we learned 13 that Janssen withheld the documents relating to those 14 allegations? Are you aware of that fact?" 15 She said, "I wasn't aware of that." 16 Allegation, substantiated. Allegation, substantiated. 17 Why would they withhold documents, put a witness on the stand, 18 tell you a story about Joanne Cesario and then think that they 19 wouldn't get found out? We're not going to miss it. 20 been chasing it for a long time. But they did it anyway. 21 Because if they can get something past you, they'll do it. 22 Because they really don't want to pay the money back. 23 really don't want to pay it back. 24 And you can assume, as the Court has instructed you, in 25 your instructions, that they withheld those documents, they

withheld those documents concerning another employee's allegations of off-label promotion of Prezista and Intelence because the evidence was unfavorable to Janssen. And that's probably obvious to you. But who does that in a case like this? That's what we're dealing with.

A funny thing happened while Ms. Graham was on the stand. Janssen was trying to cross-examine her over one part of one sentence of a 28-page, multiple-paragraph declaration, a sworn statement that she had made years ago in this case, and they decide it was going to be a good idea to cross-examine her on one sentence and then they put her declaration into evidence. That's Defendants' Exhibit -- we didn't put it into evidence. We can't. But they can, and they did, I think, by accident. It's Defendants' Exhibit 8560, Janssen's exhibit, Declaration of Donna Graham. You want to take a look at it when you're back there deliberating? It's got all the receipts, all the names, everything that took place in one document, just from Donna Graham.

Now I'm going to talk to you about the jury instructions that you're going to receive from His Honor. I want to talk to you a little bit about the law. If you'll just bear with me, I'm sure you're hungry and tired, and I'm sorry for that. I drew the black bean on timing. Okay. I apologize for that.

But if you'll just bear with me because this is really

important.

This is a civil case, as His Honor instructed you.

That means it's a preponderance of the evidence case. Not a

Dr. Jena-100-percent case. That's not what this is. It's a

civil case where if the Relators prove that something was more

likely than not. More likely than not. If the scales tip

slightly in the Relators' favor, then you have to rule in the

Relators' favor. That's the standard. It's a civil case.

Okay. Not reasonable doubt. It's not a criminal case. It's

not whatever standard Dr. Jena thought was applicable.

But the reason that's important -- of course we think the evidence has been overwhelming in this case. That's why we have taken our time to show you the story, document by document, witness by witness, brick by painful brick. We wanted to overwhelm you with the evidence because, otherwise, they will stand behind the doctors who they were paying and make it seem like we're attacking doctors and make it seem like we're being unreasonable and unfair to Janssen, and they will walk out of this courtroom and they will laugh. We're not going to let that happen. It is a preponderance of the evidence standard. Janssen violated the False Claims Act. "Did Relators prove by a preponderance of the evidence more likely than not that Janssen violated the federal False Claims Act by unlawfully promoting Prezista or Intelence?" Do you, ladies and gentlemen, you'll be asked, when you're

than not?

deliberating, feel like we've proven that that was more likely

For you to find that Janssen's liable, we have to prove the following evidence more likely than not: falsity, causation, scienter, their intent and materiality, important to the government.

Falsity, you're instructed that it's false, right, to make a claim to a federal health care program. It's false if it seeks reimbursement for a prescription that's not eligible for reimbursement. And, look, this is where Dr. Jena lost the script. This is where it would have been helpful for him to find out what the standard actually is in a civil False Claims Act case before he came in here and gave you his testimony on how he couldn't prove it, right?

Janssen caused preponderance of the evidence, more likely than not, slightly greater than 50/50 that Janssen caused claims to be submitted to the government a substantial factor, a substantial factor in inducing providers to submit claims for reimbursement. So you have defined that it's more likely than not that Janssen's conduct was a substantial factor. Not beyond a reasonable doubt, not the only thing, right? Just a substantial factor in inducing providers to submit claims for reimbursement. And that's what Dr. Jena had no clue about, the standard in a case like this. You just have to think, was it reasonably foreseeable or anticipated as

1 a natural consequence of Janssen's conduct off-label promotion
2 leads to off-label prescriptions being submitted to the
3 federal government? That's it.

They knew because they know. State of mind.

Knowingly. They had knowledge, they acted with deliberate ignorance to the truth or falsity or they recklessly disregarded the truth. If we haven't proven that, I don't know how it can be done.

Materiality. How many documents did we put up on the screen showing you how important this type of misconduct is to the federal government in Janssen's own documents, in their own compliance manuals. Their president, Mr. Mattes, admitted it. Mr. Iacobellis admitted it. Every single witness who was confronted, Is this important to the government? Does it matter to the government? Of course it does. And these claims are being brought on its behalf. And Janssen will get up there and tell you, Well, they're still paying claims. They're still paying claims for these drugs. Yeah. No kidding. Because this case enforces this law. And then if they're found liable, they will have to give the money back. That's what this case is.

"Did Relators prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Janssen violated the federal False Claims Act by unlawfully promoting Prezista or Intelence?" Ladies and gentlemen, we would ask you to find yes.

"What number of false claims" -- this is the prescriptions submitted to the government for reimbursement -- "what's the number of claims" -- it's just something that you're asked in a False Claims Act case -- "that Janssen caused to be submitted?" 481,265.

What amount of damages, if any, did Relators prove by a preponderance of the evidence, it's more likely than not, that the United States, the federal government, suffered as a result of that conduct that you found in that question?

That's the reduced damages amount. That's only for Medicare and ADAP, the AIDS Drug Assistance Program, federal funding.

300,377,000, 300,377,000 is what we're asking you to return to the government.

Janssen violated the Anti-Kickback Statute. "Did Relators prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Janssen violated the federal False Claims Act by violating the Anti-Kickback Statute?" Members of the jury, we're going to ask you to find that the answer to that question is yes.

Here is the special verdict form, and this is -- these are the elements of the actual claim. You have to find that Janssen offered or paid remuneration. Nobody can say that word, by the way. None of us can get it right. Every single time we mess it up, but it's including a kickback directly or indirectly, openly or secretly, in cash or in kind. Well, they did it openly. They paid in cash. They also wined and

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dined at fancy restaurants for their influence. That one purpose, just one purpose, right, not the purpose, not the only purpose, not a substantial purpose, not the primary purpose, just one purpose was to induce or reward prescriptions of Prezista or Intelence. That's the standard. That those claims for payment were submitted to a federal health care program and they did it knowingly and willfully. That's the standard. Remuneration has been defined for you. Of course, it's anything of value, cash, in kind, or covert. It is not a defense that there might have been some other reason: education, experience, wanting to be nice, nope. That's not a defense. If you think it's more likely than not that Janssen was paying money to these doctors to induce or reward them for their prescriptions, they're liable under the Anti-Kickback Statute, and they have to return the money. Payments for prescriptions were submitted to a federal health care program, and there was some evidence of a link, just a link between those prescriptions and their payments, a link in the conduct. Their payments to doctors, doctors write prescriptions, the prescriptions get submitted to Medicare, Medicaid, and ADAP. It's that simple. And that's for federal health care programs. it knowingly and willfully. Of course they did. All right.

They knew from the beginning they could get caught and they'd

have to do this. They've done it before. They knew it was material to the government.

And you know that, if you submit a claim to the federal health care program that is false, if it seeks reimbursement for a prescription, that's not eligible for reimbursement.

We'll talk about that in a minute. Did Relators prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Janssen violated the federal False Claims Act by violating the Anti-Kickback Statute? We hope you answer that one yes. It has been a long time coming.

What number of claims are relating to the Anti-Kickback Statute? That's the prescriptions written by doctors and attributed to those doctors for the payments to the speakers. That is just 435,042 false claims as a result of speakers who are paid by Janssen, the \$17 million that they put in their pockets.

What amount of damages are associated with the kickbacks? Well, it's 217,576,000 to the federal government. And then with respect to the state governments, that's Medicaid, that's 17 percent of the 327 million.

You're going to be asked to find that each one of the state government False Claims Acts was violated as well, ladies and gentlemen, and they're the same standard as the federal False Claims Act. You're just going to be asked to find liability with respect to those states under the same

.3

standards. We're going to ask you to find yes as to those states as well so that they get their money back from Medicaid.

And you'll have to go through them one by one, but thank you for doing that.

"What amount of damages, if any, did Relators prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the states sustained as a result of any violations you found in that question?" That's the 17 percent of Medicaid. 17 percent of the 327 million. 61,523,000 back to Medicaid.

Did Relators prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Janssen violated the state False Claims Acts for any of the following states by violating the Anti-Kickback Statute? You have to find it for the states as well.

We'd ask that you do so.

What amount of damages did Relators prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the states sustained? 55,624,000. That's Medicaid.

You can take into account Janssen's knowledge. You can take into account its plan. How many times did they get up there -- and this is really important because you'll see this in the instructions. How many times did they get up there and say, is it even possible, Mr. Iacobellis, is it even plausible that a nationwide scheme to promote off-label was taking place? Would it even seem like that's possible? They said

that over and over again with their witnesses.

No, it's not possible.

Of course it's possible. They're just putting the same playbook in place. They know it's possible because they have two Corporate Integrity Agreements in place, two separate times for doing the same thing. And you can take a look at those. The instructions tell you what you can take into account with respect to those, the evidence you can consider. They had the motive, the opportunity. They acted with an MO; they acted with a pattern, a method of operation. Paying speakers kickbacks, engaging in off-label promotion of these drugs. It wasn't a mistake, and it wasn't an impossibility. That's what that's all about.

And you can consider that for whether the government would consider this conduct to be material. Of course it is.

Think about the withheld documents, ladies and gentlemen, and why somebody in a case of this magnitude would want to keep information from being turned over to the other side. Again, this is like ice cream melting in the sun. This is like off-label marketing causes off-label promotion.

One thing I'm going to ask of you before I close -- I'm going to have one last opportunity. We are the plaintiff, the Relators. We have the burden of proof. Preponderance of the evidence. So I get to speak to you now, and then when Janssen speaks to you, I'll get to come back for a little bit

1 afterwards. 2 But I would like for you to think about one thing. 3 There is going to be a "the rest of the story" argument made 4 to you by Janssen. It's going to be, in my mind, and by my estimation, filled with a lot of the same maneuvers that 5 Janssen employed during this case. Attacking the witness. 6 7 Attack, attack, deny, deny. 8 Pay attention if you hear any ounce of acknowledgment 9 or contrition for what they did. My prediction is you won't. 10 That's our prediction. 11 Thank you for your time. I look forward to speaking to 12 you again. 13 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Marketos. 14 Folks, we're going to break for lunch. I'm going to 15 give you an hour lunch break, and then we will reconvene with 16 closing argument from Janssen. 17 With that, let's get the jurors for their break. And I 18 appreciate your attention. 19 One other thing, just as a reminder. Do not discuss 20 this case. You are not in deliberations until I instruct you 21 to be there. I've given 99 percent of the instructions, but I 22 am holding one instruction back, which is your deliberations 23 process. You get that right before you begin deliberations. 24 Don't discuss the case while you're at lunch. 25 Thank you.

1 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise. 2 (The jury exits the courtroom.) 3 THE COURT: Everybody have a seat. 4 Anything we need to address before we adjourn for Although I know that you're going to come back before 5 6 the hour so we address those documents that Janssen was 7 looking to admit. 8 No? 9 MR. MARKETOS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Wyatt. 11 MR. WYATT: I'm sorry, but, yes, one quick thing. 12 Accusing the opposing counsel of gaslighting, which is 13 what just happened here over multiple slides in a very 14 premeditated way, is completely improper argument. 15 accusing the other side, and counsel in particular, of 16 deception, which is beyond the bounds of reasonable argument, 17 and it also violates the Rules of Professional Conduct. 18 So I don't know what remedy we're seeking, but that is 19 completely inappropriate argument. And at the very least, I 20 would appreciate an instruction from the Court that we should 21 not hear that again in rebuttal. 22 THE COURT: Is there any reason why the objection was 23 reserved until now? 24 MR. WYATT: I didn't want to draw attention to it in 25 front of the jury, Your Honor. And to be honest, I think it

1 goes beyond jury argument. Attacks on the opposing counsel 2 are just fundamentally inappropriate. THE COURT: Mr. Marketos? 3 4 MR. MARKETOS: Yeah, excuse me. Your Honor, I'm not 5 going to be beaten back by Mr. Wyatt. The technique that they -- Janssen -- has used is attacking our clients 6 7 throughout the case. That is the technique we were talking about. That is closing argument. 9 Describing the techniques that they used to defend the 10 case, I didn't say one word about Ms. Brown. That is the 11 technique that they used to attack our clients. This is 12 closing argument, and you don't get to feign indignation with 13 me in a closing argument. That is what they did to our 14 clients. And I'm entitled to show the techniques that they 15 used to defend themselves in the case and attacking the 16 messenger. 17 THE COURT: All right. I'll take it under 18 advisement. 19 What was the remedy you were asking for anyway, 20 Mr. Wyatt? Since you didn't object during it, you waited for 21 the end of it. 22 MR. WYATT: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I wanted an instruction not to do that again in rebuttal. 23 24 respectfully and strongly disagree with the notion that 25 calling somebody a gaslighter, which is what happened -- and

Ms. Brown was not mentioned by name, but counsel was referenced.

That is not the same as addressing tactics. If you want to say their defense was to attack the credibility of witnesses instead of point to the evidence, that's fine. That is ordinary argument referring to techniques. But gaslighting is different. That is accusing the counsel of having an improper motive and being deceptive toward the jury, and that is completely improper.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Marketos, do you have something else you want to say? I mean, I'll take this under advisement. We're going to address it after you all get some food in your stomachs and maybe you'll be less cranky, but you tell me if you want to put anything else on the record for now.

MR. MARKETOS: I have plenty more to say to that,

Your Honor. I have plenty more to say about it. I've never

heard somebody feign indignation over our criticism in closing

argument of the manner by which a client defended a case.

THE COURT: All right. I've heard you both. I'll take it under advisement. I haven't put any thought to it, to be candid with you. There was no objection made during the close. I understand that you waited until after. I'm not saying it's untimely. I can see why, as a tactical measure, you might wait to interrupt a closing, but let me consider

1 what you've all said, and we can address it after you guys 2 come back from the lunch break. 3 But your remedy is to instruct counsel on not using 4 that terminology? 5 MR. WYATT: Yes, Your Honor. I don't want the jury 6 to be reminded of this terminology. And sorry. Just for the 7 record, my indignation is not feigned. I think that should be apparent --8 9 THE COURT: I understand. There was argument, right? 10 You're saying it's not; he says it is. You're both making 11 argument for the Court. 12 So look, I'll take it under advisement. We can talk a 13 little bit more about this after you return from the lunch 14 break. 15 Have you guys conferred on the documents yet? Is there 16 still an impasse on the admission of the two batches of 17 documents that Janssen was requesting to move in? 18 MR. MARKETOS: Your Honor, obviously with a special 19 verdict, we haven't even gotten to go through them yet, but 20 we'll do our best. 21 So why don't you all do that and then let THE COURT: 22 me know if we still need to address those issues. If so -- so 23 what do you think? Are you going to come back in 30 minutes 24 or 40 minutes? 25 MR. MARKETOS: I'm going to have to go one by one.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: Why don't you all return in 40 minutes.
That gives me 20 minutes to resolve these issues, which I
don't think I'll need more than that. And if the jurors need
a little bit longer, well, then, they can enjoy their
cannolis.
       All right. So that's how we're going to deal with
      So I'll see you back in 40 minutes.
this.
       But we only have two issues before me, those documents
that I need to make a decision on, what's before me and
whether they're admissible or not; and then, secondly, I'll
take under advisement with some maybe limited continued
argument on Mr. Wyatt's objection to the closing, and we'll go
from there.
       Thank you all. You may be seated. We're adjourned for
lunch.
         (Luncheon recess was taken from 1:20 p.m. until 2:00
p.m.)
         THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Remain seated. Court is now
in session.
         THE COURT: All right, folks.
       What are we talking about? Are we going to talk about
evidence first or -- let's talk about one issue at a time.
       Have you been able to look at the documents,
Mr. Marketos, that Janssen is looking to admit?
         MR. MARKETOS: Yeah, some of them, Your Honor.
                                                         There
```

```
1
    are a number that aren't on the exhibit list. We've tracked
 2
    down the Bates numbers for those that we can.
 3
           And forgive me, Your Honor, absolutely not. They're
 4
    trying to get in, like, CMS final rule documents that they
 5
    were going to try to get in through their expert but that
 6
    expert they didn't call. You know, CMS-related documents.
 7
    One that's got the language in it that they think that, you
 8
    know, reasonable and -- it's just -- it's long legal
 9
    documents.
10
           Absolutely not. They're trying to dump documents.
11
    fact, I don't know if Your Honor has seen the length of the
12
    list, but the documents themselves are lengthy, and in our
13
    view, it's an attempt after the close of trial to get
14
    documents in that they didn't put in through the witness --
15
             THE COURT: That you also couldn't address in your
16
    closing.
17
             MR. MARKETOS:
                            Exactly.
18
             THE COURT: Who is speaking for Janssen? Mr. Wyatt?
19
             MR. WYATT:
                         Yeah, Your Honor.
20
           And I'll make this simple. We'll withdraw all the
21
    company policy documents to make this easy. I think
22
    previously we were okay on DHHS guidelines. I don't know if
23
    we are revisiting that, but I think we had addressed --
24
             THE COURT: I made a ruling on that. Didn't I?
25
    not revisiting that ruling. I'm talking about the additional
```

```
1
    documents other than what I've already ruled on.
 2
    guidelines where you had some gap-fillers of a couple of
 3
    years, I've already ruled that that's coming in.
             MR. WYATT: Okay. So the only other thing then would
 4
    be this Medicare prescription drug benefit manual, which I
 5
 6
    thought we also previously discussed, which is Exhibit 9200 --
 7
             THE COURT: When you say "previously discussed," who
 8
    are we talking about with?
 9
             MR. WYATT: Yeah. I thought -- maybe I'm
10
    misremembering that. That was the one other example I brought
11
    up besides the policy documents.
12
             THE COURT: The only ones that I've moved in are
13
    those policy documents where you told me there were several
14
    years of policy that went in, there were some years that were
15
    gapped.
16
             MR. WYATT:
                         Right.
17
             THE COURT:
                         There may be some differences, but not
18
    one that you're making some substantive argument about it.
19
    It's really about just covering the time period of -- the
20
    relevant time period of the case, and I said those were going
21
    in.
22
           Outside of that, I haven't ruled on anything. So talk
23
    to me about the other documents, Mr. Wyatt, but --
24
             MR. WYATT: Yeah. For the sake of moving things
25
    along, I'm just going to focus it down to one document.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is.

case?

different document.

MR. WYATT:

So it's just a housekeeping issue that we never formally moved it in. That's it.

THE COURT: I mean, it doesn't sound like housekeeping, though, right? You have a strenuous objection from the Relators' counsel on the case. So, I mean, it doesn't sound like housekeeping.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
What I'm asking is you have this document the entire
defense case. You have the document the entire case, and
including when you were presenting your defense. Why didn't
that get moved in?
       And the reason why I ask is this issue gets brought up
to Relators' counsel, what, on the morning of their -- of
closing arguments?
         MR. WYATT: Well, yesterday, Your Honor. We brought
it up yesterday.
         THE COURT: Right. So it's on the eve of closing
arguments. Right? And none of these documents were provided
to the Court, so I have no idea what these documents are until
you tell me this morning, which is an hour before closing
arguments.
       So how is it right that these documents will be
admitted, Relators could not have closed or even talked about
it, and I have to presume that the reason you want them
admitted is because you're going to speak about them in
closing, correct?
         MR. WYATT: Yeah, we're down to this one last
document, but yes, we plan to --
         THE COURT: Right. I mean, you wouldn't have this
document admitted if you weren't going to talk about it.
       Is that fair?
        MR. WYATT: Yes, that's fair.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: So you're going to move to admit a
 2
    document that Relators' counsel is precluded from speaking
 3
    about in their closing. And all because of the untimeliness
 4
    of when you decided to move it in.
 5
             MR. WYATT: Your Honor, I actually -- I do take issue
 6
    with the timeliness issue because we did present this list to
 7
    them. They had it before we closed yesterday. They were
    aware that we were closing subject to this list. That was not
 9
    lost on them.
10
           They did say they needed time to consider it. It was
11
    an accommodation to them that we did not move them in one by
12
    one and to the jury, frankly, at sidebar, but we could have
    done it that way.
13
14
                        Do you have a copy of the document that
             THE COURT:
15
    we're talking about?
16
             MR. WYATT:
                        Yes.
17
             THE COURT: Can I have it?
18
           Patty, do you mind? He's going to come to you and
19
    bring it.
20
             MR. WYATT: One minute, Your Honor.
21
             (Brief pause.)
22
                         May I approach?
             MR. WYATT:
23
                         You may.
             THE COURT:
24
           And when was this referenced and by whom?
25
             MR. WYATT: So the benefit manual was referenced in
```

District of New Jersey

I've told both counsel this early on in the trial when

understand that. And if there was --

24

you may have had some agreements and then the agreements went sour -- because this happened to Relators. They had an understanding that they believed they had from Janssen that certain documents were going to come in. And then when there were objections, I looked at Mr. Marketos at sidebar and said, "This is what agreements mean to me. Nothing." Because if you have a stipulation -- unless you've entered this information into the Court and it's part of the evidence, then either side can change their minds, and that's the danger of sitting around not moving in evidence when you think you might have an agreement from the other side.

And now we are in the opposite boat. Right? Because I precluded the admissibility of those documents based on some agreement that the Relators' counsel said they had with you all. And now you're telling me what? That there was some agreement between you and Relators' counsel that this would be admissible?

MR. WYATT: Well, no, we're not resting on that.

First, it's independently admissible. It's a document. It's a government document. It's self-authenticating. It's from a website --

THE COURT: It's not independently admissible if I think it's going to be confusing to the jurors. In fact, we've had no discussion about this lengthy document on Part D drugs and formulary requirements. There's been no testimony

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
that you elicited from any witness you could have called to
talk about it. You didn't move to admit it at trial. And so
now I'm going to thump this at them in deliberations, and the
only information they're going to get about this document is
what Ms. Brown says about it in her closing argument.
         MR. WYATT: Well, two things on that, Your Honor.
mean, the Relators have a rebuttal, and they can address it if
they think it's important. It's not too late to address it.
They're aware of it. They've been aware of it from the
beginning of the case. We've cited it in numerous other
filings to the Court.
       But the only thing is this morning, this document -- I
did recall correctly. This document came up at 8:30 -- I
don't have the transcript line numbers, but 8:37-ish in the
morning, I said, "There is one other issue I forgot about.
It's the CMS policy manual" --
         THE COURT: When you say "this morning," I don't know
what day we're talking about.
         MR. WYATT:
                     Today.
         THE COURT:
                    Right. So you're talking about on the
eve of closing argument. Today was instructing the jury on
final instructions and closing arguments from Relators this
morning and Janssen in the afternoon.
       So you're saying, well, Your Honor, at 8:30 this
morning, and now, you know, 30 minutes before final
```

instructions and an hour and a half before Relators' closing 1 2 arguments, we want to move to admit this. 3 So there is a timeliness issue to it also. 4 MR. WYATT: Sure. Let me just get to the punch line, 5 though, Your Honor. 6 After I talked about the document, the Court said, 7 "Mr. Marketos, you are aware of that exhibit specifically?" 8 Mr. Marketos: "Yeah, I am. If that's what it is, then 9 we're not going to object to it." 10 So I think that we have actually crossed this bridge. 11 That's what I recalled. The Court didn't recall it, so I was 12 prepared to argue --13 THE COURT: Mr. Marketos, is this the document that 14 you didn't have an objection to this morning --15 MR. MARKETOS: No. 16 THE COURT: -- that you now have an objection to? 17 MR. MARKETOS: No. I thought he was -- if you see 18 the list of documents that he's referring to, there's another 19 one relating to CMS -- you should see the information they're 20 trying to move in. 21 THE COURT: No, no. I just want you to answer my 22 question. 23 MR. MARKETOS: The answer is no, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. Well then I'm going to take 25 Mr. Marketos at his word that although he was not objecting to

1 a particular document, he didn't believe this to be the 2 document. I don't see any reason not to believe counsel's 3 representation. 4 MR. WYATT: That's fine. So just for the record, Your Honor, if I can continue the description I gave of the 5 6 document so that the record is clear on this. 7 I said, "It's the CMS policy manual for Medicare Part D that was used in opening. That was not objected to in 9 I believe it's distinct from the regulation issue 10 because it's really a policy manual. It's not a 11 government" -- "it's on a government website and available for 12 all to see. So that should be admissible as well. And there 13 was an agreement at one time that there would be no objection 14 to government documents because they are admissible under 15 8038." 16 I think it's a fairly specific description of the 17 exhibit, but if that's not what Mr. Marketos claims he thought 18 I was talking about, then I have no basis to dispute that. 19 MR. MARKETOS: There was another document, D-8573, 20 called CMS Final Rule Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit, 21 Your Honor, and that is a different document and we -- they 22 represented that - this isn't called CMS anything. It's a 23 Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit manual. Okay? 24 even what the document is called, and it's not how it's 25 described on this list.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And listen, we have a real objection because it's exactly what Your Honor's talking about. They're not even trying to protect the record on appeal. They're trying to get documents in that didn't get in through a witness after, essentially, the entire trial is over and then arguing them in closing. Are we going to, like, call another witness? I mean, it's a real problem. And look at the length of the documents and --THE COURT: Yeah, I got it, Mr. Marketos. I don't disagree. Look, I'm not allowing this document to go in. think, one, it's been raised untimely; two, I think it's going to be unbelievably confusing to the jury, which is something that I have to consider. Whether a document is a government document or not, there's been no testimony about this document. It's being brought in at the eve of closing argument. I'm not going to allow it. I think that if anything, it's just going to cause greater confusion to the jury on the legal instructions that I've provided them already this morning. And so that document is out. What else do we have? Does that -- well, let me just make sure. That was the only document you were focused on in that batch of documents, correct?

```
1
                         That's correct, Your Honor.
             MR. WYATT:
 2
             THE COURT:
                        So what else do have to talk about?
                                                               The
 3
    300-page --
 4
             MR. WYATT:
                         Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT:
 5
                        All right. How is that coming in?
 6
    is that not going to be confusing to the jury?
 7
             MR. WYATT: Well, Your Honor, we can submit -- I
 8
    mean, there's really only two pages that we're interested in
 9
    and --
10
             THE COURT: Well, no. I'm asking you what you
11
    submitted to move in. You wanted to move in the entire
12
    document, which also has comments about things from other
13
    folks, third parties?
14
             MR. WYATT: Right. It's a comment in rulemaking
15
    where there's a comment that CMS considers, and then it
16
    responds to it. So the one comment that we did highlight is
17
    then responded to in another passage that we highlighted.
                                                                So
18
    it's relevant to context to understand the response.
19
           We are happy to address the concern about the length of
20
    the document by merely submitting --
21
             THE COURT: What about the hearsay?
22
             MR. WYATT: Well, it's not hearsay for two reasons.
23
    Number 1 is it's a government document under 8038, and also it
24
    is an admission of a party opponent --
25
             THE COURT: And you're saying it's a government
```

1 document including the third-party comments? 2 MR. WYATT: Yes, because it's context to the 3 government's response. So it's merely to understand and put into context the government's response. 4 5 The Relators responded to this by a couple things. 6 Number 1, they argued that it's not an admission because CMS 7 is not the party, the United States is the party. But in 8 United States v. American Telephone and Telegraph, 498 F. Supp 9 353, District of Columbia, District Court in 1980, the exact 10 same argument was raised by the federal government. They said 11 this case is brought by the DOJ. No statements by any other 12 agency is admissible as an admission. And the court rejected 13 that saying "It makes no sense to hold that the Department of 14 Justice, which is essentially a law office, alone comprises 15 the United States." And so other documents and statements by 16 the FCC were --17 THE COURT: Well, I didn't say anything about it not being a statement of a party opponent. So why don't we get to 18 19 my concern, which is this document is how long? 20 MR. WYATT: The full document is several hundred 21 pages long, but we would propose --22 THE COURT: Right. That's not going for sure. 23 Because I think you can at least concede that dropping 24 a 300-plus document, whether it's a CMS document with other 25 comments, is going to be unbelievably confusing to this jury.

1 I don't know what you would expect them to do with it. 2 So are you saying now that you are revising your 3 request to only move a portion of this document? 4 MR. WYATT: Yeah. I would move in the two pages that 5 we're interested in, plus the title page. I mean, the length 6 was not an objection --7 THE COURT: What are the two pages? 8 MR. WYATT: It's page -- let me find it, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And Mr. Marketos, do you have a copy of 10 this document? 11 MR. MARKETOS: We'll get one, Your Honor. It's -- it 12 is a 393-page document that has the comments in it, I think. 13 THE COURT: I don't mean that -- the whole document. 14 I mean the two pages of that document that we're about to talk 15 about. 16 MR. MARKETOS: Yeah. It's attached, as I think they 17 attached it to their letter. 18 MR. WYATT: Correct. 19 MR. MARKETOS: Yeah. I saw it attached to their 20 We are -- obviously we wrote a response to it. We're 21 obviously opposed to it. 22 This is -- these are documents that if any of this was 23 relevant at all, and Your Honor permitted it to be offered to 24 the jury, interpreters of rules and regulations and CMS 25 quidelines, they would have been through the expert witnesses,

1 neither of whom -- neither side of whom called any expert on 2 Medicare or Medicaid. They didn't call theirs. We didn't .3 call ours. And now it's just a last-minute attempt to get in a 4 5 final rule and argue that off-label is the rule to the jury. 6 That's what it is. 7 And there's no chance that the jurors should hear that for the first time in closing argument. The prejudice would 9 be to us on that front. There's absolutely no chance that 10 they're going to get to argue, essentially, jury 11 nullification, with two excerpts from a CMS final rule that 12 didn't come through a witness. 13 THE COURT: What's the general gist of the two pages? 14 Without reading them verbatim so you don't torture the court 15 reporter. 16 MR. WYATT: I won't torture the Court, Your Honor. Ι 17 mean, there are --18 No, not me. The court reporter. THE COURT: 19 The court reporter, I'm sorry. Or either MR. WYATT: 20 of you. 21 It's talking about -- it is recognizing that there is 22 prevalent off-label use in various areas of medicine, 23 including HIV. The purpose of using these statements is --24 it's not to nullify anything. It goes to the issue of 25 materiality. The government recognizes the importance of

```
1
    access to certain types of drugs, and they've argued to the
 2
    opposite --
             THE COURT: Relators' counsel -- Relators' counsel --
 3
 4
    well, not Relators' counsel. Relators aren't alleging,
 5
    though, that doctors can't prescribe drugs off-label. I mean,
 6
    that's not part of their case.
 7
             MR. WYATT: Right. But part of the statements
 8
    indicate that CMS believes that the rules of Part D will not
 9
    obstruct access to off-label medications. And so I do think
10
    that's relevant to understanding how CMS itself believes that
11
    the Part D framework is going to work.
12
             THE COURT: With no context for it and no testimony
13
    of it.
14
             MR. WYATT:
                        Well, that's --
15
             THE COURT:
                         Just whatever you guys decide it means
16
    and argues.
17
             MR. WYATT: Just as the jury would do with respect to
18
    the 300 PILM documents that no one has ever talked about
19
    either, but --
20
             THE COURT: That's different. I don't know if
21
    that's -- I don't consider that to be the same type of
22
    document, Mr. Wyatt. I don't even know what's in there that
23
    might contradict the instructions I've already provided to the
24
    jury.
25
             MR. WYATT: I don't think anything in here
```

1 contradicts it. It's consistent with the law. So the Court's 2 instructions were --3 THE COURT: With what law? The law that I gave the 4 jury or the law that you wanted me to apply? Because it's not 5 like the instructions I gave to the jury are the same pieces of law that Janssen requested me to instruct the jury. 6 7 So which one are we talking about? 8 MR. WYATT: I believe they are consistent. I have 9 not heard an example of anything in the pages that we're 10 talking about submitting that contradicts the law. 11 implements the law. So the statute says what it says, and 12 then CMS issues regulations consistent with that. 13 THE COURT: I think this is double-edged sword. 14 Look, I will tell you, for reasons that were outlined by 15 Mr. Marketos in his submission to the Court, not necessarily 16 on party opponent statement but on the confusion to the jury, 17 I agree with Relators that this document coming in with no 18 context whatsoever, basically in advance of the defense 19 closing only, right, is when you're asking me to admit it, 20 would be unbelievably confusing to the jury and prejudice to 21 Relators. 22 I also think taking two pages out of a 300-page 23 document would have the same problems. Because now we have to

figure out in what context these pages have been taken out of,

and so I don't think it's admissible either way. And I have

24

.3

concerns about putting that before the jury now when you've had no testimony or no context during the trial regarding the document.

And I still will put on the record, I do not understand why no witnesses were called or these documents weren't dealt with during -- with the Janssen's defense case. I still don't understand why this was something that was waited until the closing arguments, but I'm not going to allow it in.

MR. WYATT: Understood, Your Honor. And I just want to speak to one issue about the timing of this. This was raised in a letter on June 9th; our case was still open. If there was a concern that this needed to be contextualized to the witness, the time to raise that concern was before we closed and that did not happen.

So I'm not able to respond to that after the fact. The case did close without that objection being raised, and so now I'm trying to make up for it by responding to --

THE COURT: Yeah, but, I mean, you want to put that on the Court. That's not on the Court to figure that out. I mean, you guys present your defense case in any way that you want to present it. If you don't want to call a particular witness, I'm not going to tell you, Hey, you guys are moving to move in some evidence. I don't know how I'm going to rule on this. You didn't call a witness on it. You sure you don't want to call a witness?

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, I'm not here to assist either side in how you present your case. But what you can't do is say, Well, Judge, if we knew you were going to rule this was inadmissible, we might have called a witness. MR. WYATT: That's not what I meant at all, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, then, I don't know. What did you mean? I mean that the objection was not raised MR. WYATT: by the opposing party. They were aware of our intent before we closed our case. They waited until --THE COURT: I will tell you this, though. concerns about the admissibility of this document for this jury regardless of when the objection might have been raised. I mean, we're talking about whether this would be unbelievably confusing to a jury to drop this significantly either lengthy document or cherry-pick two pages out of a document with no context, no testimony, no discussion about it, and the only discussion these folks are going to get are not evidence. Because closing arguments aren't evidence. And so the argument you're going to make is on this document on its face with no context. And you had witnesses that you could have called to bring context to this document. And look, that may have been a different scenario. I'm not saying that if you had called a particular witness and if

PageID: 24648 8050

that witness had said A, B and C, this document would come in.

I can't decide that in a vacuum. But you had a better shot of

it doing it that way than the route you all took.

And look, and like I said, you all make the decisions you make on both sides, but I'm not allowing this document in.

MR. WYATT: Understood.

without a document that wasn't a part of this case at all. So I don't even know how it impacts your closing. Everything that's been presented before this jury you've been well aware, and you know what you can close on. So adding this one document Relators weren't able to address in their closing because they might be able to fit in their 30-minute rebuttal, I find that to be unfair to Relators. I'm not going to do that.

What else on this?

MR. WYATT: That's it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Let me just briefly, then, address -- Mr. Wyatt, look, I appreciate the issue you raised earlier but -- with respect to the closing argument, but I will tell you, folks -- and let me hear from you, but there's been mudslinging in this trial from both sides. And I want to be clear about this, because I don't believe that what Mr. Marketos argued during closing crossed any particular lines of the Rules of Professional

Conduct.

I mean, Mr. Wyatt, during this case, in the defense case of Janssen, you put before this jury, whether directly or implicitly, that these lawyers created this case. Right? You had privileged documents -- or, I'm sorry. You had documents that -- where the privilege was waived because they were forwarded to third parties, and you put before this jury that these lawyers that represent the Relators, they created this case.

And that wasn't just to impugn the credibility of the Relators, because that would be one thing. But the other implication is that these lawyers' credibility were at stake. And you guys were able to make that argument. You beat that drum with multiple witnesses. You put up documents of their communications with their clients basically saying, this complaint, these allegations come from these counsel. Not from the Relators.

So when I think about those issues over the break, I don't think what Mr. Marketos -- his mudslinging -- you may not like it, but I think, for me, it's a tit for tat. You guys have been throwing some of that same mud at Relators' counsel. And I don't think -- and I'm not saying they didn't object to it, but you guys put that into the case.

And you don't agree that that, in part, impugns the credibility of Relators' counsel before this jury?

1 MR. WYATT: Your Honor, I don't think it's the same 2 at all. I understand Your Honor --3 THE COURT: Well, isn't that a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct if you're alleging that some lawyers 4 5 just made up facts in a complaint and are just presenting to 6 this jury it doesn't come from the actual Relators? 7 MR. WYATT: The allegation is that there is a 8 financial motivation to do this, Your Honor. That is what 9 that argument is part of. That goes to basic sort of 10 credibility and bias and motivation issues. It's not the same 11 thing as pointing the finger -- I mean, he turned to us during 12 his closing. And I don't know if he actually pointed to us, 13 but the implication was clear, and he said "counsel." And he 14 used the word "gaslighting," which is directly accusing people 15 of lying. 16 That is just completely -- in my view, and I understand 17 the Court sees it differently, that is not appropriate 18 argument. 19 THE COURT: All right. Look, I appreciate it. 20 look, don't get me wrong. That term has maybe different 21 meaning outside of the courtroom. Maybe that's a hot-button 22 term to use. But if Mr. Marketos had said, Look, you've seen 23 the defense case. This is a lot of smoke and mirrors. 24 They want you to look at a shiny object here as opposed to the 25 evidence that you should be focusing on. Would that be

```
1
    improper argument by a counsel?
 2
             MR. WYATT:
                         That is less problematic.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         I know --
 4
                         They have the definition up there on the
             MR. WYATT:
 5
    screen basically saying that we're liars. I mean, that's what
 6
    the definition said.
 7
             THE COURT: Look, I'm not saying that -- I wouldn't
 8
    have chosen that term, but I don't think it's beyond the pale,
 9
    and I don't think it's a violation of the rules of
10
    professional responsibility.
11
           And I understand that you think it crosses the line,
12
    but I think based on some of the same insinuations that you've
13
    all made, not in argument, during your defense case against
14
    Relators' counsel, this has been a contentious trial. You
15
    guys have put before this jury not only the issue of the
16
    credibility of the witnesses, but, in part, you've attempted
17
    to imply that there's some issue with the credibility of the
18
    attorneys by saying this is the lawyers creating these claims,
19
    not the Relators.
20
           And I understand that you want to separate and
21
    compartmentalize that and say, Well, let's compartmentalize
22
    and say no, no. That was an attack of the credibility of the
23
    Relators. That's true. I think that is what you're doing.
24
    But you're also attacking the credibility of the lawyers.
25
    so when I see -- I'm not saying that you're better angels
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should it -- you know, you might not heed to words of your better angels here and maybe dial down the tone, but I don't think that what was argued in closing necessarily crosses that line. I understand that the term is close, and I think some of the phrases that I used, I think, were more acceptable, but that's where I stand on it. But I'm giving you both -- you know, take a breath. And, Mr. Marketos, I presume that that term is not necessarily going to be used in your rebuttal again? MR. MARKETOS: Yeah, Your Honor. I quess, you know -- we believe it describes Janssen, the company's, conduct. And we specifically used it to refer to their firing of Chrissy Brancaccio after -- while she was still on the That is exactly what we talked about. And the idea that it somehow --THE COURT: So, I mean, is it your position that that term was being used against Janssen, the party, and not Janssen attorneys? MR. MARKETOS: That's how we described their conduct in this case, in defending themselves in the case. If they're taking it like it's the lawyers because the lawyers make the argument, not one time did we get up and object, you know, when they were pointing the finger, the lawyers -- you stayed at the lawyers' hotel. You stayed with the lawyers; it's a

```
1
             THE COURT: All right. Well, then, that's where we
 2
    are on that.
 3
             MR. MARKETOS: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want
    to -- this is important to me.
 4
 5
           Not one time during the course of this case have we
 6
    taken a shot at opposing counsel, and I hope the Court
 7
    recognizes. Not one time. And that's important to me, and I
    hope that the Court recognizes that. Not one time have we
 9
    taken a shot. It's important for me and the reputation of my
10
    firm.
11
             THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that.
12
           And Mr. Wyatt, you've heard from Mr. Marketos. And to
13
    the extent you heard it differently, at least -- he's at least
14
    expressing on the record what his intentions were and what his
15
    intentions will be in his rebuttal argument. He'll ensure
16
    that any credibility attacks are focused on your client and
17
    there's not some implication that it might be about counsel.
18
    And I think that's what you asked me to talk about and that's
19
    what we've addressed. And I presume the same will go for
20
    Ms. Brown in her closing argument.
21
             MR. WYATT: Understood, Your Honor. And I hear
22
    Mr. Marketos, and my recollection is different, and the record
23
    will show what it is. But I accept the intent -- the
24
    explanation of the intent.
25
             THE COURT: All right.
```

```
1
           What else do we need address then, folks, before we get
 2
    to Janssen's closing, other than I'm going to give you a few
    minutes to -- a brief recess?
 3
 4
             MR. MARKETOS: Nothing from us. Thank you,
    Your Honor.
 5
 6
             THE COURT: Mr. Wyatt?
 7
             MR. WYATT: Yeah, nothing from us, Your Honor.
                                                             We
 8
    appreciate the -- just a short break to --
 9
             THE COURT: Absolutely. Look, we're going to take
10
    ten minutes. I'll let the jurors know. They're
11
    probably finishing up there.
12
           And then, Ms. Brown, are you going to be ready in ten
13
    minutes to proceed with your closing argument?
14
             MS. BROWN: Yes, absolutely.
15
             THE COURT: And do you have a sense of how long it
16
    is?
17
             MS. BROWN: Probably -- no more than two hours. I
18
    hope a little shorter.
19
             THE COURT: All right. It may be less, but you're
20
    going to keep to my two-hour mark.
21
             MS. BROWN:
                        Yes.
22
             THE COURT: All right. Fair enough. We're in
23
    recess, folks. You can remain seated.
24
             (A short recess occurred.)
25
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Remain seated. Court's now
```

you have had to wait for us a bunch. But you have been timely, you have been patient while we handled things at sidebars, while I ask questions again and again and again, and you were patient and attentive. And so from me, from Mr. Wyatt, from Mr. Klein, but most importantly from the folks at Janssen, thank you very much for your jury service in this case. It has allowed us to defend ourselves against allegations and accusations that we do not believe the evidence has supported.

So we're at the end. We made it. And you have seen everything they have to prove to you that they've met their burden. Relators, and Relators alone, have the burden of proving to you that we defrauded the government, we knowingly defrauded the government into paying for HIV medicines prescribed for HIV patients to treat HIV.

They haven't come close to meeting that burden or to meeting the burden of proving to you that we paid kickbacks to doctors like Ricky Hsu who came in here and told you that he finds the allegations in this lawsuit to be extraordinarily offensive. He said, "We are not in this because we're being paid by a company. We choose to do -- we choose the right drug for the right person because it's best for them. It's offensive, it's ridiculous, and you should know that yourself."

That's what Ricky Hsu had to say about the claims that

PageID: 24658

8060

are being made in this lawsuit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Relators have not come close, at the end of almost six weeks, Relators have not come close to meeting the burden of proving to you that it is more likely than not that we knowingly defrauded the government and willfully paid kickbacks to doctors. Here's what would have to be true for the Relators to come close to meeting their burden.

First, we would have to have engaged in a nationwide, coast to coast, California to New Jersey, a nationwide conspiracy to promote off-label and bribe doctors during the time period that we were part of a corporate integrity agreement, when the government was literally monitoring and checking and reviewing and meeting with us, looking at all of our promotional materials and all of our speaker materials. That would have to be true for them to have made their burden here.

What would have to be true is that claims for Prezista and Intelence to treat HIV patients were false, even though they were prescribed for HIV patients, and they were indicated to treat HIV.

What would have to be true is that our conduct caused doctors to prescribe Prezista and Intelence improperly, even though they didn't bring you a single doctor, not a single doctor to say that that's true.

What would have to be true is that we would have had to

have caused the Plan D sponsor to submit false claims to

Medicare, even though there wasn't a single Plan D sponsor who

testified in this case, and there isn't a shred of evidence in

this case about what the Plan D sponsors evaluated when

determining and certifying that a claim is reimbursable by

Medicaid.

What would have to be true for plaintiffs to meet their burden here is that the government would not have paid for Prezista and Intelence, even though we have a national strategy to make these medicines easily accessible to everyone who needs them, and not a single CMS witness came in here to say CMS would not have paid.

This is a case where plaintiffs allege a remarkable 700,000 contacts with -- by Janssen employees and physicians. You heard Dr. Shaked, 5,177 doctors contacted over the course of eight years, and nearly one million claims submitted to Medicare. That's a lot of data. That's a lot of contact over a long period of time.

And yet, to meet their burden, they brought you no one. They brought you not a single doctor to support the allegations that they're making in the case. They didn't bring you a single representative of a Plan D sponsor. We don't even know who they are. We don't know how many there are. We don't know who they are. We don't know how they decided to send claims for reimbursement to CMS because they

didn't bring that evidence in the case.

And they are the only party that has that burden. We got sued. They carry the burden of proving to you that what they claim in this lawsuit is the truth and is supported by the evidence.

They didn't bring a single CMS employee.

And we heard a lot this morning about patients. They didn't bring a single patient to support their claims. They are alleging a nationwide conspiracy for almost a decade, affecting 5,100 doctors, 700,000 times, and not one person came in here to say that that was true. Not a doctor, not a Plan D sponsor, not CMS, and not a patient.

Almost all of the testimony that we heard in this case was on the left side of the chart. Almost every piece of document and witness testimony that came in was from a sales rep or a former sales rep or a hired expert witness. We heard nothing from anyone at the pharmacies. We heard nothing about the Plan D sponsors, and we certainly heard no one from CMS.

And this is how, undisputed in the case, a claim gets to the government. It's got to be written by a doctor, sent to a pharmacy, sent to a Plan D sponsor who makes a determination about whether or not it's reimbursable, and then sent to CMS. And all of the testimony was on the left side of the graph. They didn't bring you any information on the right. And that's not a defense tactic. That's not an

argument. That's the truth of the evidence in this case.

What the evidence in this case has shown, folks, is that this lawsuit is not about helping the government. CMS to this day continues to pay for these medicines. From the moment these medicines were approved until today, CMS continues to reimburse. And what you're going to hear about it and what you heard in this trial is there a number of actions the government can take if it is concerned about the types of things that they're alleging in this case.

And no evidence came from CMS that they audited us, that they put utilization programs in place, that they stopped payment for these medicines. Nobody came to testify to you that CMS would not continue to pay for these drugs as they have done.

And one of the things that's critical, when you look at the elements and the jury instructions and you're trying to evaluate the claims that they're making in this case, is the role of the government in babysitting us during the time period in this case.

During the time period in this case, we were part of Corporate Integrity Agreements, and you have heard a ton about them. And those Corporate Integrity Agreements gave the government and an independent third-party organization tremendous oversight and tremendous monitoring power. These Corporate Integrity Agreements were set up and required a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8064

You might remember Dr. Amit Patel told you he was interviewed by the government as part of one of the Corporate Integrity Agreements, because they have the power to show up whenever they want and meet with whoever they want and request whatever documents they want. And they did, and Dr. Patel told us about that.

He also told us about a product-specific risk mitigation plan that the government required us to put in place for these medicines. Dr. Patel talked about it. As part of this corporate integrity agreement, we needed specific plans about compliance on these medicines that the government looked at and reviewed and had the ability to audit. They also looked at promotional pieces, and we'll talk about the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lipid claims and proven lipid profile. Government had unfettered access to all of that stuff as well. And you heard, when doctor -- Ms. Evans was here, the government had significant power to punish us if we were not doing what was required of us under those Corporate Integrity Agreements. They had the power to issue daily fines. Every day, if they had found us not to be in compliance, we could have been fined every single day, a number of different statutory fines. had the power, when they were babysitting us and checking up on us, they had the power to kick us out of Medicaid and Medicare and any federal health care program. If when they came in and looked at all the documents that these folks are complaining about in this lawsuit, if when they came in, they looked at those documents and had concerns, they could have said, Janssen, you can't participate in Medicare. You can't participate in any federal program. They had serious powers to punish us if we weren't complying. They could have pursued enforcement action as well. And what the evidence is is that none of that happened

And what the evidence is is that none of that happened because Janssen met its requirements under these Corporate Integrity Agreements. But what's critical about these agreements -- and we have heard so much about them in the trial, other medicines, other drugs -- but they're important because what came with those settlements, what came with those agreements was incredible oversight by the government. And

what the Relators in this case are alleging is essentially that they discovered a nationwide conspiracy that our United States Government missed, that even though the United States Government was checking on us and monitoring us as part of a written agreement, the Relators, through their own work, discovered a nationwide conspiracy.

And you heard about the ways in which the Relators went about getting evidence as part of their lawsuit. You heard about reaching out to third parties and pretending to need data that they were only collecting for a lawsuit. You heard about accessing colleagues' accounts so that they could get data that had been requested by their lawyers for part of the lawsuit. You heard and you saw with Ms. Brancaccio and Ms. Penelow the documents that they took from Janssen and emailed to their personal accounts, and you saw and you heard about secret recordings of colleagues and doctors that they made for their lawsuit.

What the evidence has shown in this case is that this is not about helping the United States Government at all.

It's about helping Ms. Brancaccio and Ms. Penelow, who stand to gain an enormous amount of money from a recovery in this case. And what was perhaps most shocking on that score is when we learned that they've already split up money they don't even know they're entitled to. But they've already had multiple discussions about how they're going to split up money

1 that they've come to ask you all for. This is not about 2 helping the government. This is not a case about taxpayers. 3 Relators' and their friends' testimony to you all under 4 oath has not been credible, has not been truthful, and has not 5 been accurate. These folks are the folks that came to testify, and they are all connected in some kind of a way. 6 7 Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio are the Relators, of course. Ms. Penelow is friends with Ms. Graham, who works with 9 Ms. Strand and is married to Mr. Wilhelm. Mr. Wilhelm and 10 Mr. Grooms are friends, and Mr. Grooms and Ms. Strand worked 11 together for a period of time. And Mr. Holshoe and 12 Ms. Penelow are friends. These are not perfect strangers. 13 These are folks who have a connection, who worked together 14 and, in many different ways, are connected. 15 At the start of this lawsuit, the Relators told you 16 that this case is going to be about the credibility of the 17 witnesses. They said, You get to ask, are what these 18 witnesses saying, is it consistent with one another and do 19 they remember the types of details that you would expect to 20 hear from someone who was telling the truth? 21 Ms. Penelow agreed. The truth doesn't change. Ιf 22 something happened, it happened, and if it didn't, it didn't. 23 But when they came in here to testify to you, the truth 24 changed a lot of times. And you were instructed by the judge 25 today that part of your job as jurors is to evaluate that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

credibility. Part of what your jury instructions say -- and you'll have them back with you in the jury room -- is that you are the judges of the credibility and you are to consider the very things like Did this witness say something different Has the witness changed his or her outside of this courtroom? testimony? And does the witness have a financial bias that might impact their testimony? So let's talk a little bit about some of the topics that led to testimony that changed right in front of you all. Everyone who came in to testify agreed that they had a responsibility under J&J policy, under Janssen training to report any potential noncompliance. Everyone knew how to do it, and everyone knew they had a responsibility to do it. There was a hotline. There was a compliance department. There was HR. There were supervisors. There were iPad apps where they could report it, and everyone told you they didn't do that, they did not raise these concerns when they were working at Janssen. And many of them continued to work, and they claim they continued to promote off-label for many, many years. Ms. Brancaccio says she did that even after she got a lawyer, even after she filed a lawsuit. And that was a problem for their case, that outside of the lawsuit, nobody ever raised their hand and said, Hey,

something's not right. And so the testimony that came in in

this courtroom to you all changed multiple times with multiple witnesses. You heard that Ms. Penelow was asked about whether she had ever reported this activity under oath in something called an interrogatory back in 2008. And she swore under oath that the truth was that, in 2012, she had met with Ms. Megan McGrath in Janssen's human resources department and complained regarding pressure to market Prezista and Intelence off-label. This is in 2018, October of 2018.

A few months later, in January of 2019, she was deposed. You guys have heard a ton about depositions, sworn testimony under oath. She said it again. She said during that time period, November of 2012, "I decided to go to human resources and report its off-label activity." She was asked in multiple spots of the deposition, and she said, "I reported it to human resources, and I have a tape. I taped the conversation."

And so we asked, "Can we have the tape? Send us the tape." When we got the tape -- and you got to listen to some of that during this trial -- it said no such thing. What she had said in the sworn statement and in her deposition about reporting to HR, it wasn't on the tape that she said it was, so she had to change her testimony. So she deleted testimony that she had reported this to human resources. And these are the types of details that you would expect somebody who is telling the truth to remember. "Did you report a nationwide

1 conspiracy to promote off-label?" That is a detail that you 2 would remember. 3 Ms. Penelow corrected and said, "Actually, I didn't report it. I didn't -- I don't have that recorded." 4 5 And then she came into this court and told you all 6 something different. She was asked in this court to confirm 7 that, actually, her testimony under oath had been wrong. She had never reported it on the tape that she produced to us, and 9 she agreed. 10 "One thing you absolutely did not do in this meeting 11 was raise any concerns that there was off-label promotion. 12 "That's correct." 13 But then she told you something that also wasn't 14 correct, that also wasn't accurate. She was asked, "So 15 earlier in 2012 or maybe 2011, you called Ms. McGrath to 16 explain what was happening with Tony Dolisi and the off-label 17 marketing." 18 She said, "Yes, I did. I told her everything." 19 We had to bring you Ms. McGrath. We had to bring you, 20 for a very, very short period of time, Ms. McGrath, who told 21 you it was physically impossible for that testimony to be true 22 because she wasn't even working at the company at that time 23 period. 24 "Is it physically impossible that any conversation 25 between you and Ms. Penelow took place?

1 "Correct, because I wasn't even working there." 2 And Ms. McGrath confirmed for you that she had never 3 had a conversation with Ms. Penelow. You will have to judge 4 that credibility. Is reporting a nationwide conspiracy to HR 5 a fact that you would expect someone to remember? 6 Ms. Penelow also had changing testimony, even before 7 you, all about whether she did or did not promote off-label and how much or how little she did. She started saying she 9 had refused to promote off-label and then changed various 10 pieces of time to say she wasn't sure, maybe not 2007, maybe 11 50 percent, maybe 70 percent. The testimony even here in this 12 trial was not consistent and certainly was not consistent with 13 prior testimony. 14 Then we heard from Ms. Strand early on in the trial, 15 and she had similar stories about reporting. She started her 16 testimony when we reminded her that we had asked her before, 17 "Have you ever reported this before?" 18 And she said, "No. I have never reported this. 19 never told anyone. I never reported anything outside of this 20 lawsuit." 21 But when she came in here to testify in front of you 22 really, really early on in the trial, she had a different 23 She said, "Now I realize that, actually, I called the 24 I didn't realize that until I went back and I looked at 25 my email trail." That's what she told you when she was here

didn't speak to anybody at the FDA. At the end of all of this

story -- and you might remember the testimony -- it sounded

24

25

like she called. She said she left a message and nobody called her back. You'll have to sort through this. Her testimony outside of the courtroom is she never reported anything, and then we got a very long, complicated story about maybe calling the FDA when maybe she looked at some emails when she came in here.

Another thing the Relators' counsel told you in their opening statement is that when you are judging credibility, you will judge whether what the witnesses are saying are consistent with one another. Is what they came in here to tell you consistent?

And before they all came in, before trial, you heard that lawyers had written up declarations for them. Lawyers representing Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio had typed up statements, and they all signed them and they were consistent. The statements that were written outside of this courtroom were consistent, and, in fact, as we showed you, in some instances they were the same. They were copied and pasted from one declaration to another.

And you heard about Ms. Brancaccio reaching out to some of the friends on the chart to say, Hey, could you please sign these declarations? They're helpful to my case.

But what happened when those witnesses came in here is that details about these allegations that you would expect to be consistent if they were the truth, they were inconsistent.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For example, Ms. Penelow -- Ms. Brancaccio testified that everybody was instructed to promote Prezista for treatment-naive. Nationwide scheme to promote Prezista for treatment-naive. But when Mr. Grooms came in here, he said he couldn't really recall that ever happening. He's not familiar with that part of the nationwide conspiracy. When Mr. Wilhelm was in here, he said every day, on a daily basis, the sales force was promoting Intelence for treatment-naive. Every day they were all instructed, every day in the field, Intelence for treatment-naive. But when his wife, Donna Graham, came in here, she said she never heard of that. Intelence for treatment naive, I don't remember that. It could have happened after I left, but I'm not sure. And Ms. Brancaccio, if you remember her videotaped deposition, she didn't remember that one either. She didn't remember this part of the conspiracy until they took a break and she met with the lawyers and she was reminded. (Video clip played at this time.) MS. BROWN: Same thing happened with lipid friendly. You heard from Ms. Brancaccio that everybody was instructed to use the term "lipid friendly." But when Mr. Holshoe came in here, he said he never remembers that. He never remembers using the term "lipid friendly."

They have alleged a nationwide conspiracy where

.3

everybody was given the same instructions to do the same things, and their testimony, when they came before you, was not consistent at all.

You saw this email that Ms. Brancaccio sent to

Ms. Penelow, I believe, and her lawyers, talking about finding
documents at Janssen and how she thought the documents she had
found would be a home run in her lawsuit. And these documents
talked about something called binding affinity, which isn't
even a claim in this lawsuit. She's sending emails about a
totally different claim that's not even at issue here.

And we said, "You believed there was a problem with binding affinity? That's a type of promotional message?" And that's no longer a problem she was raising in this lawsuit.

They're alleging a nationwide conspiracy, but the claims of what they claim were at issue were not consistent when the witnesses came in to talk to you.

The same happened with allegations about Ray Pecini. You guys might remember this. He's the medical science liaison. So when doctors have questions that sales reps couldn't answer, Mr. Pecini would be able to go in and talk about things because he has a medical background.

But Ms. Brancaccio made the allegation that nobody wanted to send Mr. Pecini in because he was too ethical. And she accused Nancy Bartnett of saying, "If somebody needs medical advice, don't send Mr. Pecini. Make sure Nancy

Bartnett goes in."

And then you all remember there was a secret recording regarding Nancy Bartnett. Ms. Brancaccio had taken in her purse her cell phone and taped Ms. Bartnett. And the only secret recording we have of Ms. Bartnett, she was inviting Mr. Pecini.

(Audio clip played at this time.)

MS. BROWN: The allegation is that Ms. Bartnett was instructing sales reps not to allow Mr. Pecini to go meet with doctors. And the only tape we have of Ms. Bartnett shows just the opposite. Shows Ms. Bartnett inviting Mr. Pecini in to meet with Dr. Turett.

And you heard from Tim McSherry, who came in here from the very same district as Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio and told you the idea that people wouldn't allow Ray to meet with doctors doesn't even make any sense. The idea that we would send Nancy Bartnett to meet with doctors she didn't know doesn't make any sense, because Ray Pecini knew these doctors, had relationship with these doctors, and if he wasn't going in to meet with the doctors, the doctors would know something was wrong.

These facts, these critical facts have not been consistent as the evidence has come to you from the group of friends who testified.

The Relators have misrepresented documents to support

their lawsuit, and it happened again this morning. Repeatedly documents have gone up on the screen in this trial where the top is blacked out, where the bottom is blacked out, where it's only zoomed in to a little part of it, and the context is missing and the documents are being misrepresented for purposes of the lawsuit, and it happened again this morning.

This document went back up on the screen during counsel's closing statement. So Dr. Sillup was here. You might remember he was their marketing expert, and he said at trial -- and he used this slide on the right-hand side and said, "Wow, this is a bold off-label marketing strategy"

And he said, "Oh, my word. I've never seen anything like this. This is a bold strategy to promote off-label."

48-week data into the hands of all customers.

because this is a document that talks about using MIRs to get

That's what he told you all from this witness stand.

But what he had said under oath at his deposition, just a few years ago, was just the opposite, because he looked at the full document in his deposition, not just the little part that counsel pulled out on the screen. He looked at the full document. And what the full document says is the reason doctors were asking for MIRs. The full document contains the context at the top. Many questions have come up around the durability of 48-week data that was presented at a conference in Toronto. The reason 48 MIRs were being used to get data

into the hands of doctors is because doctors were asking for it when they went to the conference.

And when Dr. Sillup was deposed, rather than tell you all that this was a bold off-label strategy, he said this was an appropriate use of an MIR.

But it happened again today that they pulled out just that bottom part of this document and tried to suggest to you all that it meant something that we know it doesn't and that their own expert agreed it doesn't. And that's not right and that's not fair. And in standing up and defending this document to you all and defending this company, we are not playing lawyer tricks. We are showing the truth, and we are getting all of the information in front of you all.

This is another email where Dr. Sillup did the very same thing. And you all might remember this email because it's gone up multiple times in the trial. It is an email from Frank Murphy about MIRs. And Mr. Sillup, when he was in here, said, "Oh, my goodness, this is an effort to solicit MIRs."

But that's also not fair. The document says just the opposite. The document is about a use of resources. You all can kind of see that up top. And it talks about using the MIR resource to answer unsolicited questions, not solicited, not questions we're trying to ask the doctor to get us.

Unsolicited questions.

And it goes on to say, "We want all of your commitments

to use this resource when asked by your customers. When the doctors ask you, we want you to use this resource." But we have been here for six weeks, and you guys know how many times this Frank Murphy MIR email went up with the suggestion that it was an instruction to solicit MIRs. The words of the document say "unsolicited." That is not right and it is not fair.

And what we know about the MIR process is that doctors have to sign and certify that the request was unsolicited.

Look right down here at the bottom. This is a medical information request, an MIR. And before we respond and send a doctor information, they have to sign for it. And it says,

"By signing, I certify that this is based solely on my unsolicited request for information and that I was not prompted to complete this form."

And Tim McSherry was here, and he told you how absurd the suggestion is that sales reps were just sending out MIRs by forging doctors' signatures. He said, "If I just play it out in my mind, so if I forge a doctor's signature, then the doctor gets, like, a giant package in the mail saying he requested information that he didn't request, wouldn't he call the company?"

These MIR responses were big. They included the package insert. They included scientific studies. They included a letter, a summary. The allegation that people were

just forging signatures and getting stuff sent to doctors with no doctor complaining, it doesn't even make sense.

This is another document that Dr. Sillup used to say we were -- we had a strategy to promote off-label. And you all might remember these graphs talking about market share and the addressable market. And it went up again this morning with the suggestion that this showed that our forecast included off-label sales. And the document itself shows it does not.

And when Debbie Kenworthy was here, the business analytics specialist, she explained what the document shows. She explained that if you look at the left side of the document, you see that in fiscal year 2007, in that maroon bar graph, we're forecasting 17,000 new patients.

And if you go over to the addressable market, you see that those 17,000 patients are well within the conservative part of the addressable market. But this slide was put up with multiple witnesses and misrepresented to you all as suggesting we had forecasted off-label sales. The document shows by simple math that's not true.

And it happened with this segmentation chart again and again and again. This chart went up with multiple witnesses who said, Look, anything other than segment H at the right side of this chart is off-label. So if they need more than 19,000 patients, they have to go into off-label. And it happened a lot in the beginning of the trial.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it wasn't until Ms. Kenworthy came here and showed us how you read this chart that it finally became clear that that testimony wasn't right and it wasn't accurate. explained that the way you read the chart is from left to right, and that as you move from left to right, you have to drop the patient into the bucket where the patient fits. what that could mean is that you drop a patient in simplification or you drop a patient in side effects because that's the reason that they're switching.

And it doesn't mean that those segments are off-label. And that's the correct and accurate testimony about this chart, but multiple people used this chart to try and suggest to you something that is not right and is not true.

Happened with this chart, too. Dr. Sillup told you this was evidence of Janssen analyzing speakers, doing an analysis on the profitability of speakers or analyzing speaker programs. But what he cut out of his graph is the asterisk at the bottom that shows we removed speakers from this analysis. That is a misrepresentation of this document. Doctors with more than one attendance have been removed as they are most likely the speakers.

Here's another one that Dr. Sillup used. This is one of those market surveys that you all heard about, where we would call up doctors and ask, What messages are familiar to you? What's on your mind? What's important to you?

1 these doctors gave the comment that QD, or once-daily dosing, 2 was getting a lot of attention. This made it into our 3 marketing survey. And Dr. Sillup came in here and said, based on that 4 5 comment, he knows that our off-label marketing message was working. But that wasn't true. And Ms. Kenworthy came in 6 7 here and explained to you that you have to look at the underlying data in these surveys to understand what a comment 9 like QD dosing was important means. 10 And when you look at it, you see what happened in a 11 situation like this. "Did you ask any specific questions 12 about the company, about the product?" 13 And the doctor here said, "Yes. Why won't the company 14 go after once-daily dosing now that it's only two tablets?" 15 Well, "Was the representative" -- we're in the middle 16 "Was the representative able to address your column. 17 questions? 18 Because it's not in the package insert. 19 "Well, how did you view the conversation? 20 "It was positive. My reps are knowledgeable about 21 Intelence and Prezista, but they're not allowed to give me 22 advice on using it once daily or in a naive patient." 23 That's what the underlying data of these surveys tells 24 you, what the actual information that was coming from the

doctors. And Ms. Kenworthy talked to you all about this large

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

set of data she has access to from these surveys. She told you she has looked at and reviewed surveys of thousands of doctors across the country, totally candid answers to What are people telling you? What's on your mind? questions. What did the sales rep say to you? She's looked at that data nationwide, hundreds of sales reps. She believes she looked at a representative sample, and she didn't see any red flag that there was this nationwide conspiracy that's been alleged in this lawsuit. She is looking at the data. If this was really happening, if sales reps from here to California were going in, Intelence naive, Prezista naive, lipid problems, talking about QD dosing, it would have been picked up in Deb Kenworthy's data, and it wasn't, because it wasn't happening.

Ms. Kenworthy talked about this email. You remember seeing this quite a lot in the beginning of the trial. It is an email from Ben Kozub, who was a marketing individual, and this section down here at the bottom, "What are the off-label opportunities for NNRTIs, including Intelence," was called out a lot with a lot of witnesses, and it was suggested to you all to be evidence of off-label promotion.

But when they did that, when they put this document up on the screen, when they suggested with witnesses that it's evidence of us promoting off-label, they knew there was a response, and Deb Kenworthy told you about it. They knew this document existed. "Clearly, we can't make any recommendations

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or support for off-label promotion for any of our products." And Deb Kenworthy was on the email, and she testified that was her recollection, too. That was her understanding, too. Because if there's one thing that we've seen so much in this trial is taking things out of context in an email is not right and it's not fair, and it doesn't give you the complete picture of what people were really doing and really talking about at that time. Someone responded right away and said, "Of course you don't mean that we're going to promote off-label." Then we got to the Joanne Cesario documents. talk about what happened there. There were documents that were produced in the middle of this trial, and I can only close on evidence that is in the record, so I can only talk to you about the documents and the evidence. I can't give you any more information about that except to talk to you about what the documents say and question why you were not shown the complete evidence in these documents. Here's what happened. Ms. Kaucher came back to finish her testimony once we got the documents. And she was questioned about why she

Ms. Kaucher came back to finish her testimony once we got the documents. And she was questioned about why she didn't have any notes. Where are your notes? But what about the notes? Shouldn't you have notes? You did the interview. Where are the notes? They had the notes. They had multiple pages of notes. These are the notes. They're detailed notes of interviews with multiple people as part of a robust

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8085

investigation to make sure that there wasn't any off-label promotion going on. Those -- nobody asked Ms. Kaucher about that. Where are the notes? They know because they had them. And here's what happened in the investigation. asked -- first of all, this was a joint investigation between HR and compliance. There were HR issues that were raised about compensation and other issues that weren't called out of this document. Both parties were part of the investigation, and Ms. Kaucher had a role. She had a role supporting the executives doing the HCC investigation, and this, number 2, was the area that she looked into and that she testified to you all about. Allegations of off-label promotion. I'm sorry it's kind of small for you guys over there. I hope you can see it. Let me read it. Allegation Number 2 was that "Murphy has engaged in off-label discussions with several physicians while on sales calls with Cesario. Findings, unsubstantiated. Grimes spoke with several of the physicians to whom Murphy allegedly spoke off-label. All of the physicians denied that Murphy participated in off-label discussions and, further, all the sales reps interviewed, except Cesario, stated that they had never heard Murphy engage in any off-label discussions." And these notes that weren't put in front of Ms. Kaucher, that weren't put in front of you during this trial, show in-depth interviews with these sales

representatives. Not a perfunctory, a whitewash investigation

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where somebody just checks the box. This interview reports asking this representative five times about whether or not Mr. Murphy shared off-label unapproved materials with doctors. Not once, not twice, five times as documented in these detailed notes about how long they knew Frank, what Frank does in his discussions, asking questions about detailed messages. These interview notes of multiple people that were not shown to Ms. Kaucher document the details of this very important investigation. Because what this Cesario investigation shows is that, when someone raised their hand, when someone said they thought something was going wrong, we investigated it. There are documents that show this investigation, not just of the people who work at Janssen, but of the doctors. The investigation went to the doctor and said, The allegation is someone is promoting off-label to you. Is it happening? Is it true? And none of these were put in front of Ms. Kaucher. Several times -- this was the Arnaldo Vega interview. Here are the notes also not shown to Ms. Kaucher that talk about the interview with Dr. Casey where Dr. Casey was asked in detail about the particular studies that were alleged to have been shared with her and that Dr. Casey said no information was shared by Mr. Murphy, and she doesn't recall him sharing any abstracts at all. Relators' counsel asked Ms. Kaucher if Frank Murphy was interviewed, suggesting that he wasn't. Nothing in this

document discusses that these allegations were even discussed with Mr. Murphy. Do you even see a reference to Mr. Murphy? But the notes had the documented interview with Mr. Murphy right here. Of course he was interviewed. He was the subject of the investigation. And here they were documenting the conversation with Mr. Murphy, asking Mr. Murphy what had happened, talking to Mr. Murphy about training and what doctors he had visited and what studies were at issue.

And what is enormously telling about these documents and what is enormously unfortunate is that they came after Ms. Donna Graham had already testified.

And what these documents show is that Donna Graham was untruthful. She was either untruthful with us in a health care compliance interview, or she was untruthful with you when she took the stand. Because she was interviewed as part of this investigation. And she was asked, Frank Murphy, your boss, is he promoting off-label? Do you have any concerns about Frank Murphy? No, is what she said, outside of the lawsuit when she was interviewed as part of her job, as part of the health care compliance investigation. Discussions with health care providers. This is about Frank Murphy as part of her interview. He stays on-label and approved messages. Doesn't recall him promoting off-label or answering any off-label questions. Asked several times. That's what she said during the investigation, but what she came in here and

said to you was that he may not have been the mastermind, but he was directing her and others to promote off-label.

The other part of the investigation had to do with whether or not Mr. Murphy had handed out studies for educational purposes and not instructed the sales reps, This is just FYI. Make sure this is just for educational purpose. And one of the things that wasn't pointed out to you when this investigation went up is the bottom of this investigation. They absolutely found that Frank Murphy had not written on these abstracts "education only." And you guys saw that a little bit with Tim McSherry. If you remember some of his emails, he likes to send out a lot of studies to his colleagues, and almost every time he put, FYI, education only. But there were some that he was shown where he said, I forgot. I should have put it on there. No question.

That's what happened with Mr. Murphy, but when the sales reps were interviewed, when the doctor was interviewed, they had this conclusion: All of the sales reps -- except for Cesario -- stated they were aware of the restrictions on distribution of the material and that neither Murphy nor Peterson had to provide them with those directions. And that's consistent with what all of the folks said in the interviews and what Mr. McSherry told you when he was here.

This is what these articles look like when they're sent to the sales reps. They're stamped for "educational purposes

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8089

"Don't use in a selling situation." And you remember seeing all these emails where they were being circulated with that disclaimer. FYI, don't use in the field, and Mr. McSherry told you when he sent these around, like when Frank Murphy sent them around, the intention and the understanding was not that people were going to go use them in the field. They were educational. They were background. And that was the conclusion of this investigation. But Frank Murphy was still disciplined, even though the sales rep said, We knew we couldn't use this in the field; even though the doctor to whom he allegedly promoted off-label said, He didn't use any studies with me; even though everybody said, He stays on-label, he was still disciplined. He got not only a verbal, written warning, but he was put on a performance improvement plan. Because somebody reported an allegation and Janssen took that seriously and investigated this and interviewed people, not just in the company, but

I want to talk to you about the damages model in this case, because I would suggest to you folks that the damage model in this case that was put before you all to ask for \$700 million shows what's really going on here.

off-label promotion going on, but for this infraction, for not

outside, and found the truth, which is that there was no

writing, FYI, he was disciplined.

Their damages expert testified twice. The only expert

in this trial to come back a second time is the one who was calculating the money. He was here to ask for \$700 million

3 rather than putting on a rebuttal case with a doctor, with a

4 CMS expert, with a Plan D sponsor. They brought back the

5 | damages expert to talk about his opinion.

Part of his opinion is that money should be paid to certain states. What states and why? We do not know because there is no evidence in the case. This evidence, does anyone remember anybody talking about individual states and the requirements for reimbursement? It did not happen. Donna Graham said -- had signed in her declaration saying some of these states, you know, medicines were ineligible for reimbursement, and we asked her, like, what states and what are the requirements? And she said she didn't know.

We asked Mr. Wilhelm, Each individual state has a different requirement for what they're allowed -- what they allow to be paid under Medicaid, and he said, Yes. I understand that to be true. Yes. There are different standards in each state about what is reimbursable. He said, Those different requirements change at different times. But there has been no evidence put before you all about what the individual state requirements are. They have come in this case asking for hundreds of millions of dollars, and there is no evidence in this record about what the requirement was.

How do we know if a false claim was submitted anywhere if we

don't know what Mr. Wilhelm agrees exists, individual state requirements?

Part of the millions of dollars that they are asking for in this lawsuit is based on data that doesn't even identify the doctor. You heard from Dr. Shaked about the ADAP data. It does not allow you to know who the prescribing physician was. We have no idea in this data if the physician was contacted by Janssen or not. The claims, remember, are that we caused a false claim to be submitted. We don't even know in this data that underlines their damages if the doctor ever had anything to do with us. That is the ADAP data, and it is part of their damages model.

The pharmacy data, we don't even know who paid the claims for some of this data. You heard from Dr. Shaked and from Dr. Jena, CMS doesn't consider dose. When CMS is deciding to reimburse the medicine, it does not have data on once a day, twice a day, once a week, once a month. It does not consider that as part of reimbursement. So they went out and they put together a collection of bits and pieces of pharmacy data, and some of it doesn't even tell you if a government paid. It could have been Blue Cross. It could have been some other private insurance. We don't even know. This is the data that underlines -- underlines hundreds of thousands of -- millions of dollars of requests for damages in this case.

You heard about the assumptions that underlie Professor Shaked's methodology here. He has put a damages model in that says, If you were contacted by a Janssen sales representative in 2006 and nearly ten years later, with no other contact in between, you prescribed Prezista off-label. That's a false claim. That is the model. Ten years later, nothing in between, one sales call that we don't even have notes for, and that is what they are coming to you saying is a false claim in this case.

You heard about the way he takes prescriptions written down the road by other physicians and attributes them to the first physician. So instead of this prescriber, who we had contact with, writing one prescription, Dr. Shaked says he wrote 60. He says that's reasonable. It's part of the damages model in the case. Dr. Shaked's model assumes that anybody with a prior lipid condition is an off-label prescription. And when we asked him, Well, what's that based on, he said, Dr. Glatt.

But look at what Dr. Glatt said, "Prescribing Prezista for someone with a lipid condition is not off-label.

"Correct. Nothing in the label says that this medicine can't be used in a person with a lipid condition.

"It doesn't state that you can't use it in that condition.

"Correct."

This is their expert. This is the only person they claim was brought in to talk about some of these issues, and he says it's not even off-label. That's Dr. Glatt. But this assumption underlies Professor Shaked's request for hundreds of millions of dollars.

Same here. Dr. Shaked has a theory that once a speaker speaks, all of that speaker's prescriptions until the end of time are false claims. Nobody from CMS came to tell us that that's the rule. There's no document. There's no information that the federal government does not want to reimburse.

When Dr. Ricky Hsu from the AIDS Foundation writes a prescription for HIV medicine, this is the assumption that he made up based on what the lawyers told him to assume. He assumed once somebody speaks for Janssen, they can never get a prescription reimbursed from the federal government again because they're all false, under his model. That is his assumption, and that underlies all of these hundreds of millions of dollars that were put before you all this morning.

His "influence" definition comes from lawyers in this lawsuit. There is no CMS testimony. There is no CMS manual. There is no CMS rule that says the federal government does not pay for prescriptions written by someone who has one visit from a sales rep.

That's the evidence they would have to bring you in the case to prove up what they're saying is true. If the federal

government doesn't pay when a doctor writes a prescription because they got one visit from a sales rep or they spoke at one event or they attended one event, where is the evidence of that? They have the burden of proof, and they brought to you nothing on this score. Nothing. No witness. No document. No evidence.

They told us right here, this assumption comes from the lawyers.

Same to you with this assumption that for the rest of your life, if you're a speaker, the rest of your life it's a false claim. Has anyone told these doctors? Has anyone told these doctors if you get a visit from a sales rep, the government's not going to reimburse another prescription you write for the rest of time? There is no evidence that what underlines -- underlies their damages model is true. They simply have not made their burden.

Not only are you all going to have to determine if these claims were false, which undisputedly they were not, and there is no evidence in the record that they were, but they would have to prove to you that something we did caused a physician to write a prescription and get that submitted to Medicaid and Medicare and other health care programs. And the evidence is exactly the opposite.

The evidence in the case is that doctors make individualized prescribing decisions based on what their

patients need. And this even came from Dr. Glatt, their own expert. Doctors have to make individualized decisions about how to treat their patients. It's not a one-size-fits-all situation.

And you heard a lot of testimony from a lot of different folks about how that's particularly true with HIV medicines because HIV medicines are prescribed usually in a group. You heard from Dr. Rosenberg and even Dr. Glatt about a combination therapy, a cocktail of medicines, and you heard about all of the different testing that goes into determining what is the best medicine for someone with HIV. It's not a situation where you can go into your doctor's office and get an antibiotic and walk out of there with a prescription.

We heard from Dr. Rosenberg that all of these blood tests have to be run so that a doctor can figure out the mutations in the virus, the doctor can figure out other issues that you might have going on with the disease, and the doctor can make an individualized prescribing decision about what's the best medicine for an individual patient. And that was undisputed in this case.

Dr. Glatt agreed with it. Dr. Rosenberg agreed with it. Every doctor that came in here agreed with it. That's how HIV prescriptions are written.

And Dr. Glatt even admitted -- there's a lot of testimony about Reyataz, and wouldn't it be better to put a

patient on Reyataz. Maybe in an individual physician's opinion it might be. But Dr. Glatt admitted if you get that blood work back and Reyataz -- your patient is resistant to Reyataz, meaning Reyataz isn't going to work for them, it would be medically inappropriate to write a prescription for Reyataz.

It's not one size fits all. Doctors have to test the virus and know about the patient's prior medical history and side effects and figure out what makes the most sense.

Every one of the doctors that we brought in here to speak with you, Dr. Hsu, Dr. Frank, Dr. McMeeking, Dr. Mills, they all testified that prescribing decisions are made in their own medical judgment. They are evaluating their patients, and they are making their best medical decision about what's right for the patients.

We heard it, too, folks, when we got the secret recording of Dr. Turett. This is the doctor in that Nancy Bartnett conversation where Ms. Brancaccio met -- you know, secretly recorded. And if you recall, we played clips of it a number of times throughout the trial, and he talks on that tape about the reasons he makes prescribing decisions, and it's got nothing to do with sales reps.

He talks about patient preferences. Personal experience. Lab tests. Prior medications that a patient might have failed on. The tape is about 45 minutes long, and

1 he explains all of the reasons why prescribers like himself2 make prescribing decisions.

And you heard from real doctors who weren't being paid by us, who had nothing to do with this lawsuit, who came in here and said, I don't rely on sales representatives.

Dr. McMeeking said, "If I don't know more than a sales representative, then I'm not doing something right. Hopefully I know a heck of a lot more than any sales representative."

"Dr. Frank, you generally know more about these medicines than they do?"

"Yes."

These doctors all told you sales reps might be a resource for information, particularly back in 2006 when getting on the internet wasn't as easy as it is today, but these doctors know more about HIV and medicines than sales representatives do.

And we have data that shows that these doctors are making independent decisions. 2,000 of the, quote, "influenced physicians" in Dr. Shaked's data never prescribed off-label. So these are folks that, according to his methodology, had one visit from a sales rep, one attendance at a speaker event or one speaking event. 2,000 of these folks never even prescribed in the way that they're claiming is off-label in this lawsuit.

And, in fact, when you look at the highest prescribers

.3

of these off-label indications that they're complaining about in this lawsuit, the people who prescribed the most were people who never had any contact with us.

So these are prescriber 1 and prescriber 2. If you look at total off-label percentages on the far right, 63 percent of doctor number 1's prescriptions were off-label, and 59 percent of doctor 2. These folks had nothing to do with us. Doctors write prescriptions based on what is best for their patients, whether they see a Janssen sales representative or not.

And Dr. Jena talked to you about how when you look at the data in the appropriate way, when you control for physicians being of the same type, physicians with one indication, two indications, more than ten indications, there is no difference in how physicians prescribe.

And you heard a lot this morning about Dr. Jena's -what term he uses for causation, and there was some discussion
about whether it's the legal term or the economic term. But
he told you, because we asked him, "Dr. Jena, does it matter
what you call the term? Is there any other way to look at
this data?"

He said, "No. You could call it resulting. You could call it direct cause. You could call it whatever you want.

But there is one way to do this evaluation. Substantial factor, direct cause, resulting from, call it whatever you

want, but the process and the data is the same."

And when you compare apples to apples, not apples to oranges, Dr. Jena showed you multiple times that the data itself, forget the witnesses or the testimony, the data doesn't show a difference.

He talked to you about an error that Professor Shaked made that leads to that difference that he showed you. And then you heard him say he's a hundred percent sure one of them is wrong.

Let's talk a little bit about the government and how it views reimbursement to these medicines.

Thank you guys for listening. I know it's been a long day of people talking at you, but I appreciate the attention even towards the very, very end of the case.

So this is a case about reimbursement from the government. So let's talk a little bit about how the government views reimbursement decisions.

We have a national policy in this country to make these medicines available to people who need them. This is the United States national HIV policy that says we must give unfettered access to HIV patients who need HIV care. That means, you need HIV medicine, the government, the national strategy is, we don't want it to be difficult for those patients to get that medicine.

And there's good public health reasons for that. And

PageID: 24698 8100

Dr. Rosenberg and Dr. Glatt talked to you guys about that.

Because if you don't take your HIV medicine, you can become resistant to the available treatment options. And if you become resistant, you can be more likely to spread the virus to other people who also are resistant.

And so it's not only in the individual patient that we want to have access to medicine, but there is a public health reason that we want people who need this medicine to get it, so that they can take care of themselves and they can take care of other people.

Nobody disagrees that these are terrific medicines. I mean, there were very few things I think both sides agreed about in this case, and you guys probably saw it, but this is one of them. Nobody disputes these were great medicines. They were fast-tracked approved by the FDA. They are important lifesaving medicines, and the government recognizes that.

The government guidelines, these are in evidence, they are treatment guidelines for doctors. They don't work in every single case, but they give recommendations about how experts treating HIV should navigate some of these treatment decisions.

Prezista and Intelence have been recommended in the guidelines every single year since they were approved, and Prezista was listed as a preferred protease inhibitor or a

preferred medicine in its class every year since 2008. And we looked at those guidelines with Dr. Glatt. And one of the reasons that Prezista and Reyataz were recommended as preferred had to do with their lipid profiles because they're both boosted with a hundred percent of ritonavir. And the lower the ritonavir boosting, the less lipid effect that you have.

So they are recommended by the government. You heard a lot about these HIV medicines being in a protected class, and here's why it's important for us.

We're not telling you they're in a protected class because that doesn't mean the government doesn't want people to break the law. That doesn't make any sense. They're in a protected class because it's important for you all to understand that that means that the government doesn't want any barriers to people getting these medicines.

What do I mean by that? Because these medicines are in a protected class, CMS says you cannot use utilization tools when you're putting these medicines on your formulary. So CMS tells the Plan D sponsors, who we never heard from in this case, but it tells them, Here's how we want you to make these medicines available. And what they say is when it comes to HIV medicines, you cannot put in restrictions on how people get these medicines. These are types of restrictions, step therapy.

What does that mean? That means you can't say you have to be treatment-experienced before I give you this medicine. You have to have failed another step in the process before I give this to you. CMS says no. You cannot put a step therapy in place. Dose, once a day, twice a day, CMS doesn't even consider that information.

Prior authorization. Like, do you have a prior lipid condition? Let's check with your doctor. Absolutely not.

CMS says no.

These tools that CMS can use if it really wants to restrict access to certain medicines, if it wants to kind of double-check a doctor -- and maybe there are reasons with certain medicines you want to do that. Opioids, maybe you do want to double-check with the doctor that it's indicated. Maybe you do want to know the quantity. Maybe you want a prior authorization. Maybe. There are reasons with certain medicines.

But one thing that is clear in this case and in evidence when it comes to these medicines, CMS says no way.

You can't do any of that. These are HIV medicines prescribed for HIV patients to treat HIV and that is what is important.

Critical for this case, and for you all as you sort through the definition of what is false, Medicare Part D covers off-label uses of medicines for medically accepted indications. We know CMS pays for off-label uses, and there's

a couple of ways we know that, and we'll talk about it, but it's right here in this document. Medicare Part D pays for off-label. If it is medically accepted, it doesn't matter if it's in the label or not, particularly in this class of drugs. They want people to have this medicine.

Off-label does not mean false. And I would suggest to you all that's how this case has been tried, suggesting there's something wrong with off-label. That if you're off-label, the government doesn't want to pay. That if you're off-label, you're breaking the False Claims Act. That is not the evidence, and that is not true. Off-label does not mean false.

In fact, the government guidelines recognize that sometimes the very best treatment in HIV is off-label. They talk about how science of HIV rapidly evolves. And they say information in these guidelines may not represent FDA approval or approved labeling for the particular product or indications in guestion.

Specifically, the term "safe and effective" may not be synonymous or the same with the FDA-defined legal standards.

What do they mean? Sometimes we're making recommendations that are moving faster than the FDA label.

And you heard that from many of the doctors, that sometimes the doctors have access to information before it makes its way into the FDA label. And if they, in their good

2

.3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

judgment, believe that that is appropriate for a patient, our government wants them to get it and have it because, in particular with HIV medicines, these drugs are saving people's lives. We know CMS pays off-label, not just from the document, but from the data. We know and we have the data that almost 200,000 prescriptions off-label were written for and paid for by prescribers who had nothing to do with us. Almost 36 or almost 3,700 prescribers wrote nearly 200,000 prescriptions for medicines in these uses. Intelence once a day, Prezista treatment-naive, all of the ways that these Relators are complaining about, doctors who had nothing to do with us wrote the prescriptions. The government reimbursed the prescriptions, and nobody is claiming that the government should get that money back. Nobody in this lawsuit is saying there's something wrong with the government having paid for those prescriptions. Dr. Glatt told us medically appropriate includes off-label. "In your medical judgment, it was medically appropriate to prescribe the medicine off-label. "It can be. Yes. And it has been. And, in fact, that happens a lot in the area of HIV. "Would you agree? It happens a lot in medicine." And Dr. Glatt -- we heard a lot about Dr. Glatt this morning and what he thinks is or is not appropriate. But take

a look at these -- this testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"There are certain conditions in which it is medically appropriate to prescribe Prezista to someone with a lipid condition?

"I agree with that, yes. Limited number of conditions.

"There are certain -- patients for which it is medically appropriate to prescribe Prezista to a naive patient before it made its way into the label. Dr. Glatt, your opinion is that it would have been appropriate for a physician who had knowledge to prescribe Prezista to a naive patient before it came into the label?

"Yes."

And he said the same thing about Intelence naive. spoke to you all when he was here about a particular mutation, K103N, and he said, in that situation, if a naive patient had that mutation, I would prescribe it off-label. Doctors make these prescribing decisions in their independent medical judgment, and the government reimburses for it. And there's been no information in this case that would suggest otherwise. Dr. Rosenberg gave the same testimony about this K103 mutation.

Let's talk about how Janssen promoted these medicines. We properly promoted Prezista and Intelence to doctors. will not bore you with the policies that you all saw a gazillion times during the course of this trial.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dispute we have extensive policies that ensure on-label promotion, proper compliance. Ms. Evans, when she was here, she said, Yes. The policies on their face she has no problem And these policies are going to be real important for you as you work through the jury instructions as you think about this case because the allegations in this case are that we knowingly or willfully violated these statutes. We had policies in place to intentionally make sure that we were not doing and our employees were not doing the very things that are being complained about here. Everyone agrees, all of the fact witnesses who testified agreed that we have policies that prohibited off-label promotion, and you even heard a little bit about the individual pledge of ethics that these sales representatives signed to say that they pledged that they would abide by our policies and that they would not promote off-label and that they would adhere to the policies. What is critical for the allegations in this case is The government was looking at this during the period of

What is critical for the allegations in this case is this: The government was looking at this during the period of time at issue in the case. As part of the corporate integrity agreement, our promotional activities, including the very ones that are being critiqued and allegations are being made about, the government was checking them and monitoring them. These are just a couple of things from the CIA that the government monitored that had to get updates on, cold plans for sales representatives, the independent organization had to look at

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those promotional materials, medical information requests, all of the things that they're complaining about here, the United States Government, as part of these CIAs, in connection with an independent third party, was looking at it.

When Ms. Evans was here she said, Yes, there are significant things the government could do to punish Janssen if, when it looked at all those things, it decided Janssen wasn't in compliance. She said, Yes, you could get stipulated penalties, and there's no evidence the government subjected Janssen to stipulated penalties. She said, Yes, you could be excluded from federal or state reimbursements, and there's no evidence of that either because Janssen complied with the requirements under these CIAs, and the jury instruction shows that you can consider that as it goes to our intent. do something knowingly and willfully and improperly when we were complying with what the government told us to do as part of a CIA? That's not going to make sense. How could we willfully violate the Anti-Kickback Statute when the government was checking on speaker programs? Our speaker program policies, our training decks, our criteria for getting a speaker on the board, how could we willfully do that when the government was checking it and the government has the power to punish us and did not?

Let's talk a little bit about the promotional materials that were submitted to the FDA, draft materials under subpart

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Every single one of them went before we used it. Amit Patel explained two different regimes by which promotional materials are submitted to the FDA. Subpart H is before you get the complete label. That's where you have the early access label. "When we were under subpart H, did we ever use a piece that didn't first go to the FDA. "No. After we were out of subpart H, we operated under 2253." And there was a lot of testimony and there's a jury instruction about how 2253 works. The only witness who came in here who worked at the FDA and who has practiced implementing 2253 and liaising with the FDA was Dr. Patel. And he told us how 2253 works. There is no such thing as an approval letter that comes from the FDA under 2253. It doesn't exist. And the jury instruction is going to say that. There is not a regulation that deals with silence from the FDA or approval from the FDA as it relates to this 2253 process that Dr. Patel was telling you about. We asked him, "It sounds like an approval letter is not an option." And he said, "That's right. That is how 2253 works. The government does not send an approval letter. "But enforcement is an option. "Yes. That is how the government responds.

how FDA responds if they have comments or they have problems with what you submit under 2253. No approval letter, but you could get an enforcement letter or you could get nothing."

We sent all of the internally approved messages that were going to be used in the sales force to the FDA. They're in evidence, and they include messages that had to do with lipids. Proven lipid profile with all of the graphs and all of the data in the background was packaged up and sent to the FDA as part of 2253.

And we sent consumer pieces as well, and you saw the feedback we got on a consumer piece. And what's important when you're analyzing this data -- look at the consumer piece on the right. What we had proposed -- and this piece was never used -- was to make one little bullet that says, "low impact on cholesterol." No graph. No data. No cite. Nothing.

And the FDA, rightly, if you ask Dr. Patel, said,

There's not enough information there. That could be confusing
to a consumer. They won't know how to interpret low impact on
cholesterol.

And Dr. Patel told you he spoke to the reviewer at the FDA about that feedback. And what the reviewer -- what he understood speaking to the reviewer is that you have to provide the data, and the only audience who can understand that data is a doctor. We can't put charts about the national

cholesterol cutoff in consumer pieces. We can't put all of these laboratory values in a consumer piece because that wouldn't be fair to a consumer. It wouldn't be easy for them to understand.

But when you put it in a health care provider's piece, which you are required to give to the doctor with the label, then it has context. Then it is not false and misleading.

And we know that the FDA is looking at this stuff when it comes in to them under 2253 because one of our competitors got an enforcement letter just at the same time we were sending all our stuff to the FDA. And you remember this came into evidence with Dr. Patel. Hoffmann-La Roche sent information about lipid messaging and other messaging to the FDA, just like we did, under 2253, and the FDA issued an enforcement letter.

And they said, We think parts of this are misleading. Put more information here. Change the font there. They gave feedback. That's the way the process works. We know that because it happened to Hoffmann-L Roche.

But Dr. Patel said he never got a letter like that. We sent our data just like Hoffmann-La Roche did, just like we did on the consumer piece where we did get feedback, and we got no feedback or enforcement letter on the lipid pieces.

When the FDA gets a 2253 submission that it doesn't agree with, it issues a warning letter. It issues an

enforcement letter. And Dr. Patel explained, based on the feedback we got on the consumer piece, based on his understanding from discussions with the FDA, based on the data we submitted to the FDA contextualizing all of that scientific data, that these pieces were approved internally, and, we believed, approved by the FDA as well.

And you saw the request for admission from Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio. They knew these were approved pieces to go out into the field. They knew minimal impact, low impact, proven lipid profile, they had all gone through Janssen's Promotional Review Committee. They had all been submitted to the FDA on 2253. They were approved by Janssen to use. But the claims here are that while all of these were okay, low impact, minimal impact, proven impact on lipids, lipid friendly is somehow different and constitutes fraud on the government.

Using an adjective like "friendly" does not make a claim false. You heard testimony from multiple people, including Dr. Patel. Sales representatives are not required to read word for word off of a product label. They are not required to read word for word off of an approved piece. They are required to deliver messages consistent with the approved piece, consistent with the label and consistent with the data. Using an adjective like "friendly" instead of minimal, proven lipid profile instead of low impact on lipids does not make

the claim false.

And, in fact, you heard from their own witness, one of the friends who came in to testify, he actually thinks lipid friendly is the most accurate of all of those terms.

"You said more lipid friendly is probably the better terminology to describe Prezista, right, sir?"

And he says, "When you talk about different phrases, I think more lipid friendly would have been the most accurate."

That's the testimony from their witness.

And, again, Dr. Glatt conceded, as he had to, these are not off-label messages. There is nothing in the Prezista label that says you cannot use it in somebody who has a lipid condition. It's not off-label, and their own expert, Dr. Glatt, admits that.

There was a suggestion yesterday with Dr. Mills, sort of "Why are you coming in here? We don't understand what this exercise is all about." They have alleged that we participated in a nationwide conspiracy that involved these doctors, that our sales representatives went into doctors' offices from New Jersey to California and repeatedly and continuously promoted off-label. And we brought you not one, two, three, but four doctors, some of the doctors who had the highest number of visits from sales representatives.

Dr. Hsu and Dr. Mills are top of the list in terms of doctors who had frequent contact with sales reps, and they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

told you it never happened. They do not believe anybody was in their office delivering these messages.

Dr. Hsu has a memory of Ms. Penelow specifically. we asked him specifically, "Well, didn't Ms. Penelow come in?" The sales representatives did not promote to She didn't. these doctors off-label because there was no nationwide scheme. And if it really happened, where are the doctors? Ιf what they are saying in this lawsuit is true, where are the people to dispute the four doctors we brought you? Where is the doctor, not a speaker, not someone affiliated with us, a regular doctor who got a visit from a Janssen's sales rep. this really happened, they'd be in here supporting this case. And they are not because it didn't happen.

Let's talk about the anti-kickback claim. We did not. bribe doctors, and that's what the evidence shows. This claim did not originate with Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio. is not a trick. That is not a defense. That is the evidence in the case that is going back to you in the jury room.

That's what this email shows. They are on the eve of filing their complaint, and they think, as an afterthought, let's add the kickback claim. Let's find some fancy restaurant pictures and throw it into the complaint. That is the truth of what the evidence is before you all.

You heard that we have a broad speaker program, some of which has nothing to do with individual medicines.

almost 2,000 of these programs you can't even -- excuse me -you can't even mention the name of a medicine. Disease
awareness, community speakers' bureau, all of those programs
are just about HIV. They're just about education on
scientific topics. They don't even mention brand names.

There was a suggestion that we had a lot of speaker programs, but consider the data. We are talking about two different product over eight label changes; 11 times the guidelines changed over the course of nearly a decade. And these programs covered almost every single state in the United States with 300 or 335 speakers. When you do the math, it's like one program a state a month. These programs were not multiple times a week, back to back. These were an appropriate number of programs for the changes in the label and the length of the time period that this program won -- or ran.

Importantly, this was another area that the government was checking. This was another area that was part of the corporate integrity agreement and cooperation. These are just some of the areas that the independent third party was checking and reporting to the government. Qualification of speakers. Speaker venues. Needs assessments.

Remember you saw those documents about why we had a need for programs? The government, the IRO was looking at it.

The training documents. The speaker criteria. Everything

.3

that is being alleged here to have led to a violation of the law was being looked at by the government who enforces the law.

We have a promotional speaker bureau policy that is tailored to making sure we are not violating the Anti-Kickback Statute. This is not a situation where we don't know. Payments to health care providers can be subjected to scrutiny under the anti-kickback law. For this reason, the bureau has to undergo a critical review by the SAFE committee.

And you heard every friend who testified made allegations about speakers getting on the bureau or coming off of the bureau or tracking speakers. None of them were part of the selection criteria, and they all admitted it when they were here.

Sales representatives could only recommend speakers. They could not make a decision to put a speaker on the bureau, and they could not make a decision to take a speaker off the bureau. By design, the sales representatives were kept out of that process. They made recommendations to a team. It went through the SAFE committee up until 2010, and after that, compliance fulfilled that role. They were purposely kept out of this.

You saw the criteria in our policy that we used to evaluate folks on the speaker bureau. And as you would imagine, one of those criteria is experience with the product.

PageID: 24714 8116

And all of the doctors that came in here to talk to you said,

Of course. You can't have someone speaking on the bureau

who's never used the product, who can't anticipate questions,

who can't talk about his or her experience.

And you saw in real life how we evaluated that in everyday documents. This is one of the Excel spreadsheets that listed all of the speakers and then ran their qualifications through multiple rows: Had they published? Had they investigated? Were they part of any presentations or grand rounds?

You saw in actual practice how people were evaluated according to these independent criteria, not according to prescriptions.

And to be perfectly clear, we have -- we have prescription data on all doctors. This is not a secret, and there is nothing wrong with it. And, of course, you see all these charts: New England speakers, top ten speakers, and it has prescription data for speakers. Of course. Everybody has it. Having prescription data on speakers is not a violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute. What we are not allowed to do, and what the evidence showed we did not do, is analyze whether paying a speaker to speak at an event causes that speaker to increase his or her prescriptions. You can't do an analysis of that.

And there were a bunch of documents that were shown to

you all to try and suggest we were doing that, backup PLM data, some sort of interpretation of decks. Every witness who had responsibility -- Deb Kenworthy, Candice Long, Kim Saladana -- told you that was not an analysis that Janssen did, and you didn't see any document that shows that analysis. You heard lawyer interpretation of backup charts. There is no return on investment analysis for speakers following a speaker program by design.

Ms. Long and Ms. Saladana, you heard, sort of ran the speaker bureaus or had a leadership role for the speaker

speaker bureaus or had a leadership role for the speaker bureaus for Prezista, as it relates to Ms. Saladana, and Intelence as it relates to Ms. Long. And they told you, of course, what the documents show. Prescriptions were not a criteria for selection on the bureau.

And maybe some of the best evidence of this was Ricky Hsu's testimony, because he was real candid. He said, Look, actually, I did get removed from another company's speaker bureau because I wasn't using the medicine anymore and it didn't make any sense. I didn't feel comfortable.

But he said when he came to our speaker bureau, his prescriptions increased and decreased depending on his patient population at the time and his participation on the bureau never changed.

Ricky Hsu gave you his firsthand experience with whether or not prescriptions drove participation, and he told

you it had no effect. You saw the contracts with multiple speakers. These contracts had the doctors certify that they weren't being bribed. There is a section in these contracts that says, "We are going to pay you fair market value, and you are not to view your participation as requiring you to prescribe more of our medicine."

That is evidence that we were not willfully violating any law to find us as having violated the Anti-Kickback Statute. You have to find that we did it willfully, knowingly and willfully. But you saw the policies. You saw the practice, and now you see the contracts where we specifically

They received fair market value. They used locked presentations that we had a third party hold on to to make sure we were adding an extra layer of compliance.

bribe. And everybody agreed to that.

had the speakers agree You understand, Speaker, this is not a

You've seen these speaker agreements. They also go through requirements to stay on-label.

Ms. Evans agreed there was nothing improper about the amounts we were paying the speakers to speak. The honorarium, she said, industry standard and did not raise a violation or a compliance concern. That's not an issue. The thousand dollars, \$2,000, that was the compensation for getting ready, speaking. Even their own expert has no issue with that.

You saw repeatedly a handful of pictures from a number

of places where speaker training was held, but you saw the documents that show that these were vetted through compliance. Not only was this cleared by compliance, as you can see in the email, but it was selected because they were able to negotiate a manageable price for all of the people that they had to have there.

We were not willy-nilly selecting fancy places to hold these events. They were compliance cleared, and they had negotiated prices to keep the amount of money being spent per person within the guidelines.

You heard from all of these physicians that none of them perceived an invitation to be on the speaker bureau to be contingent upon prescribing more. You heard from all of them that they would not have participated if they thought that somehow doing so meant they had to prescribe a certain number of prescriptions.

And Ms. Evans conceded she's not aware of any statement by any physician that would suggest they were influenced by the money that they received from Janssen as an honoraria or for other reasons to prescribe the product. This is their own expert's concession. No evidence from the physicians.

There was discussion about these PILM documents. There are, like, 300 of them moved into evidence. They all talk about analyses of the participants. It is a promotional speaker bureau. The participants are not getting paid. Part

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8120

of the goal is to have a speaker present education so the participants know more about the medicine and maybe they prescribe it where appropriate. And we did analyses of whether that was working, whether that made sense, whether we should keep doing that.

These analyses were overseen, and Deb Kenworthy was involved. She was the only witness who testified who had any involvement with these PILM documents, and she told you the speakers were not included in these calculations. That is uncontested evidence because nobody else was involved in these PTTMs.

And, in fact, Sara Strand, when she was here, was shown one of her emails where she says the best practice is to evaluate whether your program is having an effect on the participants. She testified it's -- nothing wrong with tracking whether or not your speaker program is effective. And if you look in the email, she says, "Below is an example of a best practice. A return on investment for the participants."

You also saw emails and heard testimony about speakers being removed from the bureau, not because of prescriptions, not because it was part of a bribe, but because they weren't following the rules, because they weren't agreeing to be compliant.

This was emails you saw about a Dr. Marsh, who was not

happy not promoting off-label. Dr. Marsh has made it clear he's not interested in participating if he can't speak off-label. We kicked him off the speaker bureau. That is what you would expect from a company that has rules in place to make sure that these programs are run in an appropriate manner to comply with policies and to be mindful that we are not violating the Anti-Kickback Statute.

You saw this compliance deck a bunch throughout the trial. And here is what I would suggest to you is real telling. There are examples in here of companies that got in trouble for real anti-kickback violations, and just look at them. The first involved sending a doctor and a guest to France in exchange for a certain number of prescriptions. That is a violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute. There are no allegations in this case that any spouse came on any of these trips. No allegations in this case that we exchanged speaker participation for a particular number of prescriptions like was done here.

Look at the second one. Bribes through unrestricted grants. Doctors were given \$65,000 to do whatever they wanted with. There are no allegations that that happened in this case. Nobody is even taking issue with the amount, the fair market value that was paid to compensate speakers for their time.

And there is certainly no allegation that we were

PageID: 24720 8122

giving, unrestricted, hundreds of thousands of dollars to speakers to do whatever they wanted with.

These are the examples in these compliance decks that you saw so many times throughout the trial.

Janssen did not violate the Anti-Kickback Statute. We have policies in place. We had government entities and an independent third party checking our policies. These are examples that are not like the facts of this case.

Great news. This is my last topic. We are at the end, and you guys have been terrific. So thank you again for your attention.

One of the things you do not have to leave at the door when you come to jury service is your common sense. And what is being alleged here does not make common sense. As you just think about these allegations of a nationwide conspiracy during the time period the government was checking on us, it's not going to make any sense. Think of all the people who would have had to have been involved in this. All of the 5,100 doctors that we visited who didn't come into the courtroom to support this, that means there are more than 5,000 doctors out there who believe they were promoted to off-label for a decade and they didn't bring you one of them to come in here and say that that was true.

Doctors would have had to be in on it. Institutions would have had to be in on it. The entire sales force would

have had to be in on it. Everybody they brought in knows each other. It's a group of seven people, two of whom stand to receive a significant financial reward in this case, two others who filed their own lawsuit that got dismissed for a significant financial reward, and the other three who are connected with them.

You heard from Ms. Strand, who was the highest ranking of all the fact witness. She had sort of the highest position. She was a regional business director. And she admitted there was no instruction to promote off-label. This conspiracy was not carried out in writing, Ms. Strand said. But she also said there was no verbal instruction. This conspiracy that they're alleging, no writing, no talking.

And then Mr. Wilhelm sort of said how it had to go down. It was carried out with a wink. That's what he said. Everybody said no documents, no instructions, it must have just been like a wink, that apparently 150 sales reps got the wink except Steve Samachia, who Ms. Penelow testified, Well, at least Steve Samachia wasn't promoting off-label. But everybody else in the country understood from a wink, not an instruction, not anything written down, that they, for a decade, were supposed to promote off-label? It doesn't make common sense.

Ms. Evans talked to you about the responsibility of the doctors under the bad ad program to report if something like

PageID: 24722 8124

this was going on. These allegations are not just New Jersey, just the East Coast. These allegation are nationwide for almost a decade involving thousands of doctors, and not one of them reported it as they are obligated to do by FDA regulations? It doesn't make sense.

This is just the list of doctors in the New York district that Ms. Brancaccio visited in one year. It's not going to make sense that this happened and they didn't bring to you anybody who could support the claims.

Ms. Evans conceded she never heard of any doctor reporting what's being alleged here.

Where's the New York team? This was the New York team just from one year. The only people that came in here all had a lawsuit for a lot of money. Where are the people who truly have nothing to gain from the New York district? They did not come in to support this claim.

You heard from Nancy Bartnett and Tim McSherry, who are from the New York district, and both of them told you this never happened. Mr. McSherry worked alongside Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio for many years in New York. He when -- he had the same managers. He visited the same doctors. He told you there was no instruction to promote off-label. He did not promote off-label. The allegations that are being made here are not truthful and accurate.

You heard from current and former employees, folks like

Deb Kenworthy who are reviewing data from physicians across the country, Mike Iacobellis, who directed the sales team for many years. You heard from Megan McGrath, HR, Kim Saladana, Candice Long, all of these different executives, many of them no longer with the company, who told you there is no support for the allegations being made here.

And this is the part that just doesn't make sense.

They weren't only promoting Prezista and Intelence. This sales force was promoting other HIV medicines, Edurant and Complera. But the testimony to you all was that they weren't promoting those off-label. Just Prezista and Intelence.

That doesn't make sense. They had the same managers, the same colleagues, going to see the same doctors, receiving the same training and they were instructed just to promote these two off-label but not the other two?

And there was some suggestion, Well, these other ones had better labels so we didn't need to. Any medicine could be promoted off-label if that's true. Why would this conspiracy only apply to two medicines of the four medicines they're going to visit?

Plaintiffs, Relators and Relators alone, have the burden of proof here. They have got to prove to you now at the end of six weeks that it is more likely than not that they can put enough evidence on that scale to get it all the way up to more than 51 percent, to prove to you that it is more

likely than not that we knowingly violated the False Claims

Act, when we have no evidence from anybody who was in charge
of submitting those claims to CMS, when we have no evidence
from CMS itself that we wilfully violated the Anti-Kickback

Statute when the government was checking on our speaker
program? They have not come close to meeting their burden in
this case, and this case is not about the government.

You heard the Court instruct you the Department of Justice is not involved in this case for this trial. This is not about the government. The government was checking on all of this. It had the ability to kick us out of Medicare if what they are alleging was true, and it didn't do that. It's not a case about the government.

Because we are the defendants, because we got sued, we don't get a rebuttal. So I'm going to sit down, and it's the last time I'll get to talk to you all. But the Relators get to come back and talk to you a little more and talk about some of the things I said. And I hope that when they do that, they can answer some of these questions for you. Because I can't come back.

Why didn't they bring a single witness from CMS? You are being asked, as part of what you're evaluating, to determine that CMS would not have wanted to pay for prescriptions written by somebody who had one contact with Janssen.

Where is the evidence to support that? Why did no one from CMS come in here to talk about their eligibility requirements, their reimbursement?

Where is the Plan D sponsor? What about a Plan D sponsor who could tell you who they are, how many of them there are, and what they do to determine if a medicine or a prescription should be submitted to CMS? No evidence in the case. Why not? How did they do it?

Why didn't they bring you a single prescribing doctor if this went on for as long as it did all over the country? Where's the doctor to support that?

I hope they tell you how the government could miss a nationwide conspiracy while carefully monitoring our promotional activities. And the answer is not that there were two CIAs, because the conduct at issue in those CIAs took place a long time ago. Well, before the time period of this case.

How could the government have missed it and Ms. Brancaccio and Ms. Penelow have discovered it? And what states are defrauded and what evidence did you hear about any individual states and how they make reimbursement decisions? How could we have violated False Claims Act states -- False Claims Act in each of these individual states when their own witness testified that they have different requirements? And we don't know what they are because they didn't come into

1 jury to do, but is there any concern from either side? 2 MR. MARKETOS: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 3 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 4 THE COURT: All right. THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise for the jury. 5 6 (The jury enters the courtroom.) 7 THE COURT: All right, folks. Everybody have a seat, 8 and we're going to continue with the rebuttal argument. 9 Mr. Marketos, whenever you are ready, you may proceed. 10 MR. MARKETOS: Good evening. Well, I have a lot to 11 Look, I took a lot of notes during that response address. 12 from Janssen. And I had -- I had Whitney Wendel ready to help 13 me out, and I had Josh Russ ready to get me some testimony. 14 had Andrew Wirmani ready to find something in the record. I 15 had everything ready to go. I really did. 16 And, boy, I was going to say, wait a minute. Now the 17 government was monitoring? The government was monitoring. 18 You started the trial, and the issue was, how could this 19 possibly have happened? And we would never be having a 20 nationwide scheme like this. And we said, what are you 21 talking about? You did it twice and you got caught for it 22 both times. 23 And then when we made that point during the trial, now 24 during closing argument, Janssen gets up and said, how could 25 we have done it, the government was watching us because we got

1 in trouble and they were monitoring our activity. So they 2 were babysitting us. So we couldn't have gotten caught. 3 You follow the argument? First it was we wouldn't have done it. We said, You 4 did do it, and you did it again and you did it again. 5 6 Then they said, Well, no, then we couldn't have done it 7 this time because they were watching us. 8 It's the kind of stuff that you just -- you just had to 9 stop for a second and say, okay. Okay. This is why we have 10 This is why we have dozens of years from the school 11 of life and the university of hard knocks in this box. Using 12 your judgment to weigh people's arguments. Because it is not 13 possible in 30 minutes to unpack new arguments that are 14 completely the opposite of what Janssen told you at the 15 beginning of the case. We have -- I'll just give you one 16 example. 17 "We had an independent review organization that was 18 monitoring our behavior." You just heard that from Janssen's 19 counsel. "So we could not have been engaging in this bad 20 activity." 21 First and foremost, that's like saying, we couldn't 22 have committed a crime because we were on probation already. 23 I mean, does that make sense to anybody? Right? Just to 24 begin with. 25 Second, that started in 2010. It started in 2010.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This activity began in 2006. So for four years, how was an independent review organization monitoring your activity from 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010? How did that happen?

Second -- third or fourth, I'm not sure which -- why didn't you call the independent review organization to come to the stand and say none of this stuff was going on? That's an agency that Janssen hires, okay, to tell the government that it's complying with the corporate integrity agreement.

And if a corporate integrity agreement could stop you from doing it again, why did they get another one in 2013 for a different drug? For a different set of nationwide, off-label sales and kickbacks? Why did it happen if the -- if by virtue of having a corporate integrity agreement in place stopped you retroactively from doing this -- it's just too difficult to unpack. And at some point we have to say to ourselves, look, they have to understand now why it's taken 12 years to get to this place. Why it's taken 12 years.

Why has it taken 12 years? Because they argue with They change their position. If you catch them on everything. something, they go somewhere else. It is like trying to kill a zombie in a movie, in a bad movie, and it keeps coming back to life. And what I mean by that -- I'll just give you I'll give you another example. another example.

Where is the evidence -- where is the IRO to testify? Here's another one. Ms. Strand had a changing story.

another one. Donna Graham had a changing story. They were on the witness stand for days. They told you everything. Donna Graham's declaration is in evidence. We've told you the number of it. You're going to be able to read it, if you want to.

But they'll find something to say, Well, they had this issue over here so just ignore all of it. This is all made up. And they drew the lines again.

I even wrote one down. Mr. Grooms is connected to Ms. Strand. They worked together. Ms. Grooms -- Mr. Grooms and Ms. Strand are connected because they worked together. Yes, everybody worked together. Everybody worked together at Janssen. So if they come into court and tell you this is what Janssen was doing, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you should not believe it happened because they were working together? That's the point.

So we can't call employees who witnessed everything that was going on to tell you what was going on because they worked together. And if they leave Janssen, now they're in cahoots. It doesn't matter what part of the country they're from, how connected they are at all. It's just impossible to catch Janssen. That's what they're going to tell you. They'll do it every time.

They just think that never on judgment day were they going to be facing two former sales representatives in a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whistleblower lawsuit and eight members of the public. jurors of theirs peers to judge them. And it is everything they can do to change the story. I have -- I have the opening statement that Janssen gave to you. We scanned it. We can put it up on the screen. Would you do that, please, Ms. Johnson? What do you think the likelihood is if we scrolled through the opening statement that you will find anything about we had an independent review organization watching over us? When the trial started, did Janssen say, this could not have happened because we had an independent review organization watching over us? No. They told you these messages were FDA approved. Right? So we go about disproving that. We put Mr. Patel on the stand. We call them. We show you that they never had any FDA approval. We get an instruction from His Honor that's in your instructions that says FDA silence. Okay? There's no statute that says FDA silence is approval. We can't do better than that. We can't do better than calling their witness, proving it was false, proving they had had no approval.

So now what is the argument? We had an independent review organization watching us appointed by the government in 2010, so we couldn't have done it.

Let's scroll through the opening statement. Do you see anything from when the trial began about how they could not

1 have done it because there was an independent review2 organization? Top to bottom.

You can go slowly, Ms. Johnson.

Find it. It's a new argument. It's a day of closing argument about how they couldn't have done it because there was a government agency supposedly babysitting them. It's a -- and that is the type of argument that a company makes when they're caught and they have to come up with something new in closing.

There's no way that I can possibly keep up with all of the stories. It's not possible. At some point I have to put my trust, we have to put our trust in you.

You can tell when someone's telling the truth. You can tell when a company is being consistent, when they're making sense. You can tell that. I'm confident of that. And I don't think that I have to spent the time to go through every single thing that Janssen's counsel just said in closing to convince you of that. Because if we haven't done it by now, we have fallen down on the job.

But a few things. Please bear with me.

Debbie Kenworthy. Let me just explain one issue to you, just as an example. We have Glen Mattes, the former president of the company, telling you that it's off-label marketing to minimize a side effect. We have Glen Mattes testifying that, yes, the sales force, the MIR request that

they generated, was a metric that was used to judge their performance.

We couldn't do better than that. You can't do better than getting the president of the company to admit something that is that bad for them.

What happens? They spend the rest of the trial pulling in people from data analytics to say that it didn't happen.

Debbie Kenworthy, she took the stand. She's very nice, I thought. She works in data analytics, and she's in marketing. That's a marketing function that helps them sell their drugs. And the argument is if Debbie Kenworthy -- if this was a nationwide scheme to promote off-label drugs, Debbie Kenworthy and her data analytics in the marketing department, not compliance, the marketing department, would have caught them.

Debbie Kenworthy came over with Candice Long from Risperdal. The same data analytics used to sell and market that drug off-label and to pay kickbacks to doctors that landed another corporate integrity agreement in 2013. You can see it for yourself. Risperdal.

So the techniques that they were using in marketing were going to catch Janssen this time when the last time they were over a drug they got caught and entered into a corporate integrity agreement with the government.

I can't -- I can't think of a worse argument to make, but I can't stamp them all out because they'll just make

1 | another one.

You know, lawyers, we're not witnesses. It's not about us. Okay? Every time there's a suggestion that someone who signed a declaration because it was written by the lawyer, what do you think we're all thinking? I mean, what do you think that implication is? The way it works in the law is you interview somebody, they write down -- they tell you all of their information. You write it for them. You give it to them. They review it. And if it's true, they swear to its accuracy under oath.

Lawyers don't make up the facts for the witness, but that's the implication every single time. Every time. There are witnesses here, witnesses there, but the lawyers did it. Witnesses don't write their own declarations. They swear to their accuracy.

Sometimes -- here's another one I'll show you.

Can you pull up the instruction on the states, please, Ms. Johnson.

You'll get an instruction in the jury verdict that the state law claims are analogous to the False Claims Act. And so if you find a violation of the False Claims Act, it's automatically a violation of the state False Claims Act.

And then the question is, why didn't we hear about all the states? We don't have to put on evidence of a violation of the False Claims Act in each and every state. Because if

they violated it nationwide, you're instructed, "Therefore, if you find that Janssen violated the federal False Claims Act, you must also find Janssen violated the analogous provisions of all of the states' False Claims Acts."

Now, Janssen knows that that instruction is in the jury verdict -- in the jury instructions. They know this, right?

It's Instruction Number 23, State Law Claims. You'll get it when you go back there.

And so the argument is, why didn't we hear anything about the states? That's just to distract you. It's a complete distraction, and they just hope we won't have time to address it. Obviously we're not going to put on evidence about what took place in Wyoming. It took five and a half weeks to prove to you what took place nationwide.

"If you find they violated the federal False Claims

Act, you must also find they violated the analogous provisions

of all the states' False Claims Act." That's the instruction

you get from the Court. So why would we talk about Colorado

or Wyoming? It's a federal False Claims Act case.

Let's go to the next slide, if we could, please.

You know, in cases like this, the only way to prove Anti-Kickback Statute violations in a nationwide off-label scheme like this is to bring in witnesses and go document by document by document internal to the company that show you what was going on from the top down. We call the president.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We call the national sales director. We show you the strategy documents, the forecast, the communications, the emails, what took place. Not parts of them. They're all in evidence. We put them into evidence. You can review them. Not this part or that part but all of it.

And at the end of the day, at the end of the day, when you prove something like that, the only way to do it is to then take the statistics, get the data from CMS, bring in a damages expert, bring in data analysts, and we did all of that. Show statistically how doctors who are contacted prescribed at a much higher rate off-label. Of course it works. Right? Of course it works.

Think about what they said. Think about what they said at one point. "Doctors make their own independent prescribing decision, nothing to do with sales reps." You heard that. Right? "Doctors make their own independent prescribing decisions, nothing to do with sales reps." She's pointing out a recording where the sales rep for Janssen is in with the doctor delivering a lipid-friendly message.

So doctors aren't influenced by sales representatives, but Janssen employs sales representatives to market to doctors. Does that make sense to you? Does any of that make sense? Who would make that argument?

Of course doctors are affected by marketing messages. That's why they do it. Of course doctors can be influenced by money. They may not even know it. That's why every institution from every one of the doctors who they called to the stand prohibits their doctors and the hospitals from joining a promotional speaker bureau. All of them. Harvard, Mass General, Boston, UCLA. You can't do it because they're worried about it corrupting a judge -- the judgment of the doctors.

And yet, at the end of the day, they'll say, Hey, we'll call these -- we'll call four doctors out of 5,177 who were influenced, we'll call four of them, and they'll convince you, they think that they weren't marketed to off-label.

And so case over.

It's Janssen's intent, it's Janssen's promotion that's illegal. Janssen's off-label promoting is what's illegal, and that's what we have to prove. So we use witnesses that worked there. We use documents from Janssen to show what they were up to. And there's no other way to do it. There's no other way.

At the end of the day, if you believe that Janssen was not engaging in the off-label conduct that they demonstrated in their documents, that their witnesses admitted to, that the witnesses who we called all said we did it -- I mean, think about that. Ms. Brown said, counsel said specifically, Ms. Penelow, Ms. Penelow did not off-label market to this doctor. Well, yes, she did. You know how you know that?

Because she did it herself daily. They all did. And they all owned up to it. We did it. That was our instructions.

So how does Janssen say, No, Ms. Penelow, you did not off-label market to that doctor? At some point, we just have to trust in the process. There's no way we're going to be able to disprove everything they say when they keep changing their story.

I'll show you the first request for admission that was sent to Janssen in this case. All right? And this is -- so you know, this is a microcosm. This is what we're dealing with. This is why Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio have been fighting this case for so long.

Look at the first request for admission that was sent to Janssen in this case. "Admit that the company promoted Prezista to doctors as being lipid neutral or lipid friendly, meaning that the drug would not increase a patient's cholesterol, low density lipoprotein or triglyceride levels."

Now just a minute ago you heard, It's fine. It's okay to use adjectives like "lipid friendly." They denied that they ever did it. The first request for admission in the case they said, We didn't do that. Now you've heard their witnesses get on the stand, we have them on recording, lipid friendly, lipid neutral. This was the message being pushed on recording. Nancy Bartnett. Yes. And now the story is it's fine.

They denied number 1 like they did everything else in this case, denied, denied. And when you prove it, they change their story and say, Well, that was okay. What about this? That was okay. What about this? At some point in this human experience, we have to just trust that you will call them out.

That's all we can do.

If we turn again to the damages in this case, what do you think every defendant in a whistleblower False Claims Act case says about the whistleblower? What do you think every one of them says? You're just in it for the money. You're just in it for the money. Every one of them says that, right? Every time. You're just in it for the money. Says the company who is just in it for the money.

They want to keep it, and they want you to focus on the fact that returning money to the government is somehow the reason and the only reason that Ms. Penelow and Ms. Brancaccio brought these claims 12 years ago. Of course. Right? Of course. And that explains what about Donna Graham? That explains what about Matt Grooms?

I can't explain it for them.

They said, Boy, some of the claims were identical.

Yes, some of the claims in the declarations were identical.

Donna Graham and Mark Wilhelm filed their own case, not knowing that Chrissy and Jessica had filed this one. They

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

took their claims, and they filed them afterwards. And so there was a first-to-file bar. They realized this was the first filed case, and they had to dismiss it. They made identical allegations, wrote up 25-page declarations, and swore to them in this case. And they say, On the one hand, boy, all of your claims were really consistent, like, that's fishy. And then in the next breath, they say, But even these people couldn't agree on everything. Well, which is it? Were they all in cahoots saying the same thing? Or were they riddled with inconsistencies telling you something different? It's whatever argument they need to make right at the time they're making it to get out of being held accountable for their conduct. And you can't catch somebody like that unless you have a jury. That's it. Another one -- here's another one. Medicare Part D. Nobody from CMS --Can you turn to 1188, please, Ms. Johnson. 1188. Why didn't you call someone from CMS? CMS does not pay for drugs that are not reasonable and necessary. That's the They don't -- they don't do it. rule. And at the end of the day, it's in their guidelines.

You can look at them yourself. We don't have to call somebody when Janssen's own documents specifically say that if the government catches you engaged in off-label promotion and

violations of the Anti-Kickback Statute, you're going to get in trouble, and you have to pay the money back. It's that simple. It's that simple.

At the end of the day, I don't know -- promoting off-label is unlawful. Okay? Writing a prescription if you're a doctor for something that is off-label is not. If it's reasonable and necessary, you can get reimbursed for it. Nobody is accusing doctors. It's Janssen's influence on the process that corrupts the doctor's medical judgment. And if we haven't made that clear, I don't know how else we can do it. The focus is on Janssen, and they keep hiding behind doctors. And they'll do that until the end of time. They'll say that doctors exercise their independent medical judgment.

Now, sales force go out and promote. I mean, they literally give them sales materials that say, "low lipid profile, go into doctors' offices," and then they come into court and say that doesn't work. Doctors ignore them. I don't know what to do about that. Does that make any sense to you at all? Of course it works.

CMS -- this is in 1188, these are the CMS guidelines -- "does not pay except for smoking cessation drugs. Part D drugs must be prescribed for the purposes allowed under 1862-A and 1927-D-2 reasonable and necessary guidelines.

And that is what is Dr. Glatt provided testimony on.

In this case, it is not reasonable and not necessary to

promote this drug and to minimize its side effects when there were other alternatives for patients with a lipid issue. It's that serious.

And what else did he say? What did he tell you, the -literally the only expert the Court admitted to testify about
these requirements? Dr. Glatt. The chief at Mount Sinai of
the -- of the virology section. For the longest time what did
he tell you? He got up there and told you their lipid message
was false. He had read the letter from DDMAC. He knew that
it was false and what they were doing was misleading to other
doctors and it offended him. It was false and misleading.

If he doesn't think that messages from a company like Janssen are going to influence doctors, why would we have -- why would he be worried about it? He saw the letter from DDMAC to Janssen saying that the slide decks and the information that they have about lipids are, in fact, misleading. That's the FDA's conclusion. That's not my conclusion alone. That's what he told you from the witness stand.

And why was he worried about this? Because patients with lipid issues were shown to have harm if they were on Prezista instead of Reyataz, and it offended him.

There's a paper in 2007 published at one of the retrovirus conferences that specifically shows that outcome of cardiac events, no longer lipid problems, but the cardiac

PageID: 24743 8145

injuries were higher in the Prezista group than in the Reyataz group, and it offended him.

Dr. Glatt does not take pharmaceutical money. He does not want misleading messages going out to doctors. At the end of the day, that's why we have laws against off-label promotion: So you don't favor money over profits.

If Janssen's promotional speaker bureau was not a vehicle for funneling cash and kickbacks to doctors, I'd ask you to think about what is. Do they think it's just where you put a satchel of cash together and hand it to a doctor in a dark alley? This is the way it works. You have seen the veil pulled back. This is the insidious side of what ought to be a celebration, science prevailing over disease. It ought to be. But, unfortunately, there's a seedy side of the business, and that's the side of the business that this business unit decided to capitalize on.

And at the end of the day, they will fall back on the drugs when they were used for people that needed them and ignore the fact that there were many people who were given these drugs who did not, for whom they were bad, and they should not have been reimbursed for that from the federal government.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to show you one more time how much money they made off of these drugs and what a small proportion of that amount the Relators are asking you to

PageID: 24744 8146

award. They made 3 billion just during this time period. And it's only the amounts tied to the kickbacks and the off-label promotion that are being asked for back from the government. Only those amounts. Less than a quarter. Less than a quarter. And they don't want to do it. They don't want to do it.

As you work your way through the instructions, you'll be asked to pick a foreperson today. Work your way through the instructions, if you possibly can, and notice -- notice how the instructions conform, how the law conforms with what we have been saying in this case from the very get-go. Just notice how the law conforms, if there's a link in the chain. If you make a payment and there's a link and the prescription is written and you make money off of it, you're liable. That has to happen. If there's a substantial factor associated with your off-label promotion and you get caught and there's reimbursement for it, you have to return the money. It's that simple.

But I can't keep lecturing you. We've been here for a long, long time, and you've been here for a long, long time. I can only send you off with our best wishes, and I can only hope that over the course of the last five and a half weeks you at least feel like we've done our best to represent our clients because, you know, it's been a long run. It's been a really long run, and we can see the finish line.

And fundamentally, there's a hope from this side of the room that justice always prevails in the long run. There's a hope that it will prevail this time, and we can see the finish line, but we need you to carry us across it. Deliver justice for Janssen. Make sure they don't do this again. Make them return the money. Don't let them do this again.

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. I appreciate your time.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Members of the jury, that time has come. All right.

I'm going to put this case in your hands. But before I do, I have very brief remarks regarding the deliberations process that I generally wait until now to tell you so you understand what you're going to do when you go to the room.

I've given you final jury instructions earlier today explaining the law regarding the testimony and other evidence and the claims Relators have brought against Janssen. Now let me explain some things about your deliberations in the jury room and your possible verdicts.

The first thing that you all need to do when you go into the jury room is select one member of the jury as your foreperson. That person will preside over the deliberations and speak for you here in open court. Folks, if you do nothing more today -- and I'll get to that later in this brief instruction -- that, I need to you do. I need you to pick

your foreperson so I have somebody who's communicating on behalf of the jury with the Court. And so that, I'm going to ask you to do before anything else is done, even if you're going to be excused for the rest of the day.

Now, you have two main duties as jurors. The first one is to decide what the facts are from the evidence that you saw and heard here in court. Deciding what the facts are is your job, not mine, and nothing that I've said or done during this trial was meant to influence your decision about the facts in any way.

Your second duty is take the law that I gave you, apply it to the facts, and decide if under the appropriate burden of proof the Relators have established their claims.

It is my job to instruct you about the law, and you are bound by the oath that you took at the beginning of this trial to follow the instructions that I give you, even if you personally disagree with them. This includes the instructions that I gave you before and during the trial and the final instructions that I gave you right before closing arguments. All the instructions are important, and you should consider them together as a whole.

Perform these duties fairly. Do not let any bias, sympathy, or prejudice that you may feel toward one side or the other influence your decision in any way.

As jurors, you have a duty to consult with each other

PageID: 24747 8149

and to deliberate with the intention of reaching a verdict.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after
a full and impartial consideration of all the evidence with
your fellow jurors. Listen to each other carefully.

In the course of your deliberations you should feel free to re-examine your own views and to change your opinion based upon the evidence. But you should not give up your honest convictions about the evidence just because of the opinions of your fellow jurors. Nor should you change your mind just for the purpose of obtaining enough votes for a verdict.

No one will be allowed to hear your discussions in the jury room, and no record will be made of what you say. You should all feel free to speak your minds.

When you start deliberating, do not talk to the jury officer or to me or to anyone but each other about the case. During your deliberations, you must not communicate with or provide any information to anyone by any means about this case. You may not use any electric device or media, such as a cell phone, smart phone, computer of any kind, the internet, any internet service, or any text or instant messaging service or any internet chat room, blog, website, or social networking service to communicate to anyone any information about this case or to conduct any research about this case until I accept your verdict.

You may not use these electronic means to investigate or communicate about the case because it is important that you decide this case based solely on the evidence presented in this courtroom. Information on the internet or available through social media might be wrong, incomplete, or inaccurate. Information that you might see on the internet or social media has not been admitted into evidence, and the parties have not had a chance to discuss it with you. You should not seek or obtain such information, and it must not influence your decision in this case.

Now, if you have any questions or messages for me, you must write them down on a piece of paper, have the foreperson sign them, and give them to the jury officer. And just to be clear, it's not going to be a random piece of paper. You are going to be provided with what's called jury communication forms. That's the document you're going to use to communicate with me if you have a question or to communicate something to the Court. Then you'll provide that to the CSO, who is going to be outside. That CSO will bring it to the courtroom deputy, who will bring it to me. And that's how you all communicate with me. And make sure that the foreperson has signed those statements.

Again, the court -- security officer will give them to me, and I will respond as soon as I can.

Now, depending on what you communicate to me, folks, I

may have to talk to the lawyers about what you've asked and so it may take me some time to get back to you.

What I would ask of you in that circumstance is, in the meantime, if possible, continue with your deliberations on some other subject until you hear back from me on the question that you've posed.

One more thing about the messages. Never write down on these jury communication forms or tell anyone how you stand on your votes. For example, do not write down or tell anyone that a certain number is voting one way or another. Your vote should stay secret until you are finished.

Additionally, your verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. In order for you as a jury to return a verdict, each juror must agree to the verdict.

Your verdict must be unanimous.

A form of verdict or a verdict sheet has been prepared for you. I will have that verdict form brought to you along with the jury instructions, exhibits, and other evidence that is going to be brought to the deliberations room. Also included are those jury communication forms that I just referenced.

The verdict form has a series of questions for you to answer. When you have reached unanimous agreement as to your verdict, you will fill it in and have your foreperson date and sign the form and then send a jury communication that states

that you have reached a verdict.

.3

Let me be clear about that, just so there's no miscommunication.

If you've reached verdict, at whatever point you do, you send me a communication that simply states, We have reached a verdict, or words to that effect. Do not send me the verdict form. That will be done in open court, and there's a process for that.

So if I receive that jury communication that you've received -- that you've reached a verdict, that will come to me. You'll then return to the courtroom, and at that point, I'm going to speak with the foreperson. I'm going to ask first if the jury's received a verdict, is it unanimous. I'm then going to ask to see the verdict form, and that form is going to be provided to me to inspect.

I'll then, as long as my inspection says it's okay, it's been completed properly, we'll return it to the foreperson, and then I'm going to ask the foreperson about the verdict. And that will be how we are going to deliver that in the courtroom.

Once again, I want to remind you -- excuse me. I'm sorry.

Unless I direct you otherwise, do not reveal your answers until you are discharged. After you have reached your verdict, you are also not required to talk with anyone about

the case unless I order you to do so.

Once again, I want to remind you that nothing about my instructions and nothing about the verdict sheet or form of verdict is intended to suggest or convey in any way or manner what I think your verdict should be. It's the sole exclusive duty and responsibility of the jury to determine the verdict.

Now, I asked that all the jurors still be in the courthouse by 9 a.m. as we have been doing that for the trial. And so depending on you guys coming in tomorrow, what I'm going to ask you to do is to come in, meet as you normally do. You'll be called into the courtroom, and then I will dismiss you to go deliberate.

What I want to make sure you all understand, too, and appreciate is you all have to be in the room to deliberate. You can't have it -- you come in in the morning and some of the jurors are there but you're waiting on some others, do not discuss the case. All eight of you have to be in the room. If somebody steps out to go to the restroom, stop talking about the case until that person returns.

So the process will be in the morning you'll be called in at 9 a.m. I'll basically tell you folks that you're dismissed to continue to deliberate, and then you'll begin your deliberations in the morning.

Also, one other point about timing. As opposed to the schedule for the trial, which ended at either 4 p.m. or 5

p.m., depending on the day, you're encouraged, but not required, but you're encouraged to continue deliberations past 5 p.m. I am going to let you know, though, there is a cut off. By 7:30 p.m., I can't permit you to continue deliberations. We have court security officers that are here until 8, and I want to make sure that all of you get out while we still have security in the building and we can close up properly.

So at no point am I going to allow you to continue to deliberate past 7:30 p.m.

One other thing, folks. If the jury wants to deliberate longer because you're discussing issues, you want to work through a certain discussion, you absolutely have that prerogative.

So that's how that will work for each day. There's no set time at which you need to conclude your deliberations other than the 7:30 p.m. time that I just told you, but I'm going to ask that you alert me each day when you do using your jury communication form, because I'm going to want to close out each day knowing when you guys are done.

For example, for this evening, I'm not asking you to do anything more for me other than to pick your foreperson. So I'm going to ask you to do two things. One is, when I excuse you, pick your foreperson, and I'm going to be sitting here waiting for a communication. That communication is either

going to say, Judge, we'd like to just start fresh in the morning, it's been a long day, or words to that effect, that you want to stop and continue deliberations in the morning, or you're going to send me a notice shortly after you go in there to pick your foreperson that says, We'd like to continue our deliberations.

If I get that, we know you're going to be in there for

If I get that, we know you're going to be in there for a bit, and then we'll wait for a communication. And if there's no other communication, then I presume there will be one that says, We're done deliberating for the day. That communication has to come to me, if not before 7:30, at 7:30 p.m. because that's when I'm telling you you need to be done.

So that's all I need you to do for today. I'm not going to ask you in the court and get a poll of who wants to stay longer, who's done for the day. You all go in there, pick your foreperson, discuss it privately, and send me a communication that tells me how do you want to proceed today. Are you done, or would you like to continue to deliberate? And you have that prerogative, and I will permit you to make that choice for yourself. I'm not going to make it for you.

At this time, I'm going to ask the jurors sitting in the box to please rise, be escorted out of the courtroom and into the jury room.

But before I do that, I'm sorry, Patty, can you swear in the court security officer.

1 You guys can stand. We're about to leave, but I need 2 the court security officer sworn in because that's the person 3 that's going to be outside your deliberations room. (COURT SECURITY OFFICER WAS SWORN AT THIS TIME.) 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Folks, you're going to be 6 escorted out by Patty. And there will be some documents and 7 exhibits and other things coming in, but for now, you do what 8 I asked. Get me a foreperson and communicate whether you're 9 going to stick around and you need to leave for the day. 10 Thank you. 11 (The jury exits the courtroom.) 12 THE COURT: Folks, everybody have a seat. wait for the door to shut. 13 14 Folks, anything we need to discuss on the record before 15 we go off and wait for the jurors to find out whether they 16 want to continue deliberations or start fresh in the morning? 17 Mr. Marketos? 18 MR. MARKETOS: No, Your Honor. Not from our side. 19 We're good. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Brown, anything from Janssen? 21 MS. BROWN: No, thank you, Judge. 22 THE COURT: All right. Why don't we do this, then. 23 We are in recess for a few minutes, but I would ask that you 24 guys stay in the courtroom or close by because that 25 instruction is probably likely to come, and I don't know if

```
1
    they're done for the day or we're going to be sticking around
 2
    for another hour or so.
 3
           So do you mind just sticking around? If you all need
    to go to the restroom or take a personal break, that's fine to
 4
 5
    step out, but everybody stick around. And I'm going to step
 6
    off the bench, and you folks can remain seated.
 7
             (A short recess occurred.)
 8
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Remain seated.
 9
             THE COURT: All right, folks, we received jury
10
    communication number 1. Do you want me to wait? I don't even
11
    know if everybody is here. It's nothing -- it's what was
12
    expected.
13
           But -- so I received jury communication number 1.
14
           "We have selected foreperson number 4. We'll be done
15
    for the day."
16
           I don't know why they told me who they selected, but
17
    they did write that in the communication, so I'm putting that
18
    on the record. And then they wrote, "We'll be done for the
19
    day."
20
           My intention, based on this communication, is to excuse
21
    them, have them come in fresh at 9 a.m., bring them into the
22
    courtroom, and then excuse them to the jury room to begin
23
    their deliberations fresh in the morning.
24
           Anything we need to chat about before I bring them in
25
    and excuse them for the day?
```

```
1
             MR. MARKETOS: No, Your Honor.
                                             Thank you.
 2
             MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Let's get them.
    And like I said, after I excuse them for the day, if there's
 4
 5
    anything more we need to talk about, we will.
 6
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise for the jury.
 7
             (The jury enters the courtroom.)
 8
             THE COURT: All right. This is going to be brief.
 9
    We received jury communication 1 that you selected foreperson
10
    number 4 and we'll be done for the day.
11
           You are excused for the day. I'll see you all here
12
    tomorrow at 9. Just make sure that you do not deliberate
13
    until you come into the courtroom, I then dismiss you to the
14
    room, and then you can begin really talking about this case
15
    fresh in the morning.
16
           Again, thank you for your attention and your time, and
17
    I appreciate that. And I'll see you all tomorrow morning.
18
    You're excused.
19
           And folks, afterwards, just remain. I just don't know
20
    if we have something we need to chat about before we adjourn
21
    for the day.
22
           (The jury is excused at 5:41 p.m.)
23
             THE COURT: Folks, have a seat.
24
           All right, folks. I don't know if we have anything to
25
    talk about now. We just should wait for the jurors tomorrow
```

1 morning, and we'll start fresh. 2 But anything we need to chat about or any new issue 3 that we need to address either tomorrow morning or otherwise? But I'm not going to address it now, but if you want to give 4 5 me a heads-up, we can talk about it tomorrow. 6 From the Relators, first, or do you guys need to confer 7 on something? 8 MR. RUSS: No, Your Honor. I wanted to say, on 9 behalf of the Relators team, thank you so much for a great 10 trial. And I'm not trying to suck up. It was a just a great 11 experience in front of you. 12 THE COURT: No, no. I appreciate that. 13 And I don't know if, Ms. Brown -- you don't even have 14 to agree with them, or Mr. Wyatt. I'm saying, is there 15 anything we need to talk about before we get back to the jury? 16 MS. BROWN: Nothing we need to talk about, but we 17 absolutely agree. Thank you and your staff as well, Judge, 18 for six weeks of helping us. We appreciate it. 19 THE COURT: All right. Well, I appreciate you guys, 20 too. 21 And look, I would be remiss if I didn't mention 22 something about counsel on the record. I'm going to do that, 23 even though I think I mentioned something earlier. I don't 24 now what day that was, but I know I commented before, but I

25

think it bears repeating.

I think counsel from both sides have really just been absolutely exceptional during the trial. I really do. I'm talking -- when I say that I'm talking about well prepared, professional, and very strong in your advocacy, especially when you're in the well in a courtroom. And I appreciate that both counsel have zealously advocated for your clients.

And it's worth also noting, and I think this is important, not just for you but for your client, the courtroom has been fairly busy the last five and a half weeks while you've been trying this case. And I know you don't pay attention a lot to that, and I don't spend a lot of time paying attention to it, but I can see behind you when you're looking at the jury or cross-examining or directing a witness.

We have begun our judicial intern program in this courthouse. I can't tell you how many judicial interns, not only from my chambers, from my colleagues' chambers, who have been in this courtroom to watch this trial. The law clerks, the judicial law clerks, not only from my courtroom, which are working on the case, but from my colleagues, both district judges and magistrate judges, have been in this courtroom to observe this trial.

Some of my own colleagues, who you may not have recognized that are judges in this courthouse, have been in this courtroom to observe the trial that you guys have been presenting in this case for the past five and a half weeks.

And I'm telling you, there's only two reasons why folks come into a courtroom. It's either because they're told, You've got to go see what never to do ever in your careers, or You really need to go see this trial. They're outstanding lawyers that are representing both sides, and it's a really educational opportunity for the courthouse, and I'm telling you it's the latter. Right? We have had folks comment about the performances of both Relators' counsel and defense counsel throughout the past five and a half weeks.

So I'm just saying on the record I have nothing invested in this verdict, I have nothing invested in who's going to raise issues on my decisions in the trial -- and that honestly means nothing to me. That's in the rearview mirror for me. But I wanted you all to know, folks in Texas, you're always welcome back into my district in my courthouse, and the folks from Skadden across the river again, I look forward -- I'm sure I'm going to see you all on a different, unrelated case, but I look forward to seeing you all as well.

And I wanted to say both of that. I didn't want to do that in the presence of the jury. I thought it was more appropriate to say it on the record for you, and also for the benefit of your clients and your colleagues who may not know what the heck you've been doing here for the last almost six weeks.

And I also know that I pushed you folks. You know, 9

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to 5 is not an easy trial schedule with a 30-minute break. And I did that, in part, because I really wanted this case to go on time to the jury. I hope you recognize that this jury is in very good spirits five and a half weeks in. And I tell you that's important because my take on a jury is it's always best so that you can get a verdict if they can work together. If they're in good spirits, they can reach some decision on a case. And so I hope you appreciate that I did push you more so than I've done other folks. I hope you also understand that I pushed you folks because I believe that you guys were capable of being pressed to try a case in that manner. So I did want to place it on the record. If there's nothing further, I'm going to adjourn for the day. But, folks, do you think we just meet at 9 a.m., or do we need to meet at 8:30 in case there are things that have come up? I'm going to defer to counsel. I have no problem meeting at 8:30, but I also think you all need some rest. So if that extra 30 minutes is important to you, we can meet at 9 o'clock. You tell me. MR. MARKETOS: 9 would be great, Your Honor. MS. BROWN: That would be terrific. Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I will see you all at 9. well. Folks, remain seated.

FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE. I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Ι /S/ Megan McKay-Soule, RDR, CRR June 11, 2024 Court Reporter Date

7862:4, 7867:23,

7868:12, 7984:7,

7984:8, 8029:23,

8038:25, 8128:11,

\$
\$132 [1] - 7968:20
\$133 [1] - 7976:19
\$17 [2] - 7975:9,
8022:15
\$2,000 [1] - 8118:23
\$22 [1] - 7968:19
\$32,000 [1] - 7977:1
\$338 [1] - 7976:18
\$5,000 [1] - 7975:15
\$65,000 [1] - 8121:20
\$700 [2] - 8089:24,
8090:2
\$95 [1] - 7969:11
1
14.4 7070.00

'14 [1] - 7872:20 **'lipid** [1] - 7981:25 **'low** [1] - 7981:18 'proven [1] - 7981:23 'resulting [1] -7885:23

1

/S[1] - 8164:11

0

08608 [1] - 7842:9

1

1 [22] **-** 7864:7, 7907:13, 7918:11, 7924:3, 7924:6, 7926:25, 7942:20, 7949:22, 7949:23, 7952:25, 7953:7, 7953:14, 7953:18, 7971:1, 7971:4, 8042:23, 8043:6, 8098:4, 8141:1, 8157:10, 8157:13, 8158:9 1's [1] - 8098:6 1.9_[1] - 8000:8 **10** [1] - 7928:24 10.6 [1] - 8036:13 **100** [1] - 8003:15 1058 [2] - 7869:10 1059 [1] - 7869:11 1063 [1] - 7869:11 1064 [1] - 7869:11 1066 [1] - 7869:11 1067 [1] - 7869:11 **11** [5] - 7843:2,

7929:5, 7953:9,

8114:8, 8164:11 112,731 [1] - 8000:25 1188 [3] - 8142:18, 8143:20 11th [1] - 7955:12 **12** [8] - 7929:11, 7956:1, 8010:6, 8131:16, 8131:17, 8131:18, 8141:18 **12:00** [1] - 7842:10 **13**[1] - 7929:19 **14** [2] - 7854:23, 7930:8 **15** [7] - 7898:5, 7905:24, 7905:25, 7930:25, 7953:10, 7953:19, 7985:24 15-minute [2] -7897:15, 7897:21 **150** [4] - 7963:8, 7963:25, 7974:8, 8123:17 **16** [3] - 7931:13, 7985:20, 8000:12 **16.4** [1] - 8000:8 **17** [9] - 7905:12, 7932:6, 8000:14, 8001:10, 8001:12, 8001:16, 8022:20, 8023:9 **17,000** [2] - 8080:13, 8080:15 **18** [2] - 7855:2, 7933:4 18.1 [1] - 7934:3 **18.2** [1] - 7934:12 **18.3** [1] - 7935:1 **18.4** [1] - 7935:13 18.5 [1] - 7935:18 18.6 [1] - 7935:23 1862-A [1] - 8143:22 **19**[1] - 7936:7 19,000 [1] - 8080:24 **19.1** [1] **-** 7936:20 **19.2** [1] - 7937:4 19.3 [1] - 7937:15 19.4 [1] - 7937:23 1927-D-2 [1] - 8143:23 **1980** [1] - 8043:9 **1985** [1] - 7982:9 **1:15** [1] - 7953:13 **1:20** [1] - 8030:16

2

1:30 [1] - 7953:16

2 [10] - 7919:5, 7919:8, 7924:4, 7924:8, 7927:2, 7942:21, 8085:10, 8085:14, 8098:4, 8098:7

2,000 [4] - 8097:18, 8097:22, 8113:25, 8114:1 **2.6** [4] - 7859:1, 7860:15, 7985:5 2.9 [1] - 7999:17 20 [5] - 7938:6, 7961:20, 7967:19, 7991:10, 8030:2 **200** [1] - 8003:14 200,000 [2] - 8104:6, 8104:8 2006 [21] - 7871:11, 7872:20, 7885:1, 7886:18, 7920:4, 7956:17, 7957:15, 7962:10, 7964:3, 7964:16, 7968:19, 7968:20, 7969:16, 7975:12, 7979:20, 7991:24, 8008:10, 8092:4, 8097:13, 8131:1, 8131:3 **2007** [8] - 7871:8, 7968:19, 7968:20, 7969:15, 8071:10, 8080:12, 8131:3, 8144:23 2008 [7] - 7860:10, 7871:11, 7970:5, 7976:23, 8069:4, 8101:1, 8131:3 **2009** [5] - 7871:8, 7886:18, 7976:23, 7982:13, 8131:3 **2010** [24] - 7849:10, 7849:12, 7849:17, 7850:7, 7871:8, 7885:1, 7885:7, 7885:20, 7938:9, 7938:10, 7976:18, 7976:19, 7984:23, 7985:16, 7986:1, 7988:17, 7991:24, 8005:7, 8008:10, 8115:20, 8130:25, 8131:3, 8133:23 2011 [3] - 7871:11, 7985:18, 8070:15 2012 [6] - 7871:11, 7985:6, 8012:19, 8069:5, 8069:12, 8070:15 2013 [9] - 7849:12, 7849:17, 7850:7, 7871:8, 7938:9,

2018 [3] - 7885:19, 30 [11] - 7860:22, 8069:8 **2019** [1] - 8069:9 2024 [3] - 7842:10, 7843:3, 8164:11 **21** [2] - 7842:5, 7939:24 217,576,000 [1] -8022:18 **22** [3] - 7846:21, 7880:2, 7940:9 **2253** [13] - 8108:9, 8108:11, 8108:13, 8108:14, 8108:15, 8108:18, 8108:22, 8109:2, 8109:9, 8110:9, 8110:14, 8110:24, 8111:12 23 [4] - 7847:13, 7940:19, 7988:17, 8137:7 **23.3** [2] - 7848:7, 7884:20 233 [1] - 7985:16 **24** [1] - 7942:5 **24.18** [1] - 7888:8 **24.3** [1] - 7848:16 **25** [6] - 7900:9, 7904:20, 7909:3, 7909:4, 7942:25, 7967:15 25-page [1] - 8142:4 26 [8] - 7879:14, 7899:18, 7901:10, 7911:16, 7919:11, 7943:16, 7944:1, 7944:4 26th [1] - 8010:2 **27** [6] - 7846:22, 7879:14, 7899:18, 7902:11, 7919:7, 7944:5 271,576,000 [1] -8001:15 28 [10] - 7847:13, 7850:13, 7850:15, 7917:9, 7918:3, 7918:5, 7944:10, 7944:20, 7947:3, 7947:15 **28-page** [1] - 8016:8 2:00 [1] - 8030:16 3 3 [7] - 7921:10, 7924:9, 7927:4, 7942:23, 7999:11,

8130:13, 8162:19 30-minute [2] -8050:13, 8162:1 **300** [5] - 8001:12, 8003:14, 8046:18, 8114:11, 8119:23 300,377,000 [3] -8001:9, 8020:12 300-page [3] -8036:17, 8042:3, 8047:22 300-plus [1] - 8043:24 300-some-page [1] -7884:13 **32** [2] - 7848:8, 7884:21 **327** [3] - 7999:13, 8022:20, 8023:9 **327.2** [2] - 7999:12, 8000:23 33 [2] - 7877:4, 7887:12 335 [2] - 7974:9, 8114:11 **353** [1] - 8043:9 36 [1] - 8104:8 361.9 [1] - 8000:23 377 [1] - 8001:12 393-page [1] - 8044:12 3:12-cv-07758-ZNQ-JBD [1] - 7842:4 4 **4** [7] **-** 7907:13,

7923:13, 7924:10, 7927:5, 8153:25, 8157:14, 8158:10 40 [3] - 8029:24, 8030:1, 8030:7 **400** [2] - 7972:25 **402** [1] - 7842:9 41 [1] - 7848:16 **43** [1] - 7998:20 **435,042** [3] - 7999:8, 8001:15, 8022:14 **446.7** [1] - 7999:22 **45** [2] - 7962:9, 8096:25 45-minute-to-anhour [1] - 7975:15 46 [1] - 7887:14 48 [3] - 7849:5, 7968:9, 8077:25

48-week [3] - 7967:7,

7938:10, 8005:7,

8131:10, 8135:18

8146.1

3,700 [1] - 8104:8

2014 [3] - 7885:7,

7920:4, 7979:20

8077:13, 8077:24	75201 [1] - 7842:17	8050:12, 8050:13,	accordingly [2] -	7988:24, 7989:9,
481,265 [2] - 8001:9,	7:30 [5] - 8154:4,	8051:13, 8075:20,	7941:22, 7944:2	7990:1, 8001:19,
8020:5	8154:10, 8154:17,	8082:16, 8119:4,	account [5] - 7943:24,	8002:2, 8002:16,
498 [1] - 8043:8	8155:11	8132:4, 8140:6	7975:10, 8023:19,	8006:24, 8017:21,
		above-entitled [1] -	8023:20, 8024:8	8017:24, 8018:13,
5	8	8164:5	accountable [1] -	8019:23, 8020:4,
F 7000 0F	0 7 005 40	absolute [1] - 7949:5	8142:13	8020:16, 8022:8,
5 [7] - 7923:25,	8 [4] - 7905:13, 7927:17, 8154:6	absolutely [16] -	accounts [2] -	8022:24, 8103:10,
7927:7, 7980:22, 8153:25, 8154:3,	8038 [4] - 7874:13,	7913:19, 7952:1,	8066:11, 8066:15	8126:2, 8127:22, 8127:23, 8136:20,
8162:1	7875:19, 8040:15,	7973:7, 7980:4,	accuracy [3] -	8136:21, 8136:22,
5,000 [1] - 8122:21	8042:23	7993:14, 8031:3, 8031:10, 8045:9,	8013:11, 8136:10, 8136:15	8136:25, 8137:2,
5,100 [2] - 8062:10,	815-2319 _[1] - 7842:24	8057:9, 8057:14,	accurate [14] -	8137:16, 8137:17,
8122:19	82 [1] - 8011:18	8070:10, 8088:9,	7861:16, 7885:8,	8137:19, 8141:9
5,177 [2] - 8061:15,	84.8 [2] - 8000:21,	8102:8, 8154:13,	7885:13, 7901:23,	act [6] - 7931:15,
8139:9	8000:24	8159:17, 8160:2	7970:12, 8013:15,	7931:18, 7935:20,
50 [11] - 7906:11,	8560 [1] - 8016:15	abstracts [2] -	8013:22, 8067:5,	7935:21, 7936:1,
7907:23, 7909:11,	8:00 [1] - 7843:3	8086:23, 8088:10	8070:14, 8081:3,	7936:2
7911:6, 7913:24,	8:30 [4] - 8038:13,	absurd [1] - 8079:16	8081:11, 8112:4,	acted [11] - 7933:24,
7950:4, 7951:7,	8038:24, 8162:15,	abuse [1] - 8005:21	8112:8, 8124:24	7935:17, 7937:18,
7951:24, 7991:2,	8162:18	academia [1] -	accusations [1] -	7937:19, 7938:20,
7996:24, 8071:11	8:37-ish [1] - 8038:14	7963:14	8059:8	7938:23, 7942:21,
50/50 [1] - 8018:16		academic [1] -	accused [2] - 7992:8,	7942:23, 8019:5,
51 [2] - 7889:13,	9	8011:19	8075:24	8024:9, 8024:10
8125:25		accept [5] - 7856:10,	accusing [5] -	acting [1] - 7935:25
51(c [1] - 7853:6	9 [10] - 7928:18,	7930:18, 7939:11,	8026:12, 8026:15,	action [1] - 8065:18
523 [1] - 8001:13	8153:8, 8153:21,	8056:23, 8149:24	8028:7, 8052:14,	ACTION [1] - 7842:3
55,624,000 [2] -	8157:21, 8158:12, 8161:25, 8162:14,	acceptable [2] -	8143:8	actions [3] - 7885:21, 7921:6, 8063:8
8001:17, 8023:18	8162:20, 8162:21,	7903:14, 8054:6	acknowledged [1] -	activities [2] -
56 [2] - 7850:15, 7850:16	8162:24	acceptance [2] - 7907:2	7968:8 acknowledgment [1] -	8106:20, 8127:14
57 [1] - 7891:24	9,000 [1] - 7974:8	accepted [10] -	8025:8	activity [6] - 8069:3,
59 [1] - 8098:7	90-day [1] - 7977:5	7880:10, 7881:3,	Act [83] - 7850:21,	8069:13, 8130:1,
593,996 [1] - 8000:1	920 [1] - 7842:21	7881:6, 7881:9,	7852:9, 7888:16,	8130:20, 8131:1,
5:41 [1] - 8158:22	9200 [1] - 8032:6	7881:17, 7883:6,	7888:23, 7899:12,	8131:2
5:46 [1] - 8163:2	96 [2] - 7984:5,	7883:8, 7932:20,	7900:10, 7901:13,	Acts [12] - 7900:13,
• •	7984:13	8102:24, 8103:3	7902:13, 7904:4,	7919:24, 7932:8,
6	99 [1] - 8025:21	access [13] - 7921:24,	7904:5, 7904:22,	7932:9, 7932:11,
	9th [3] - 8033:3,	7957:21, 7978:18,	7905:15, 7907:12,	7941:3, 7941:14,
6 [2] - 7925:19, 7927:9	8036:16, 8048:11	7993:19, 8046:1,	7908:24, 7909:25,	7941:20, 7949:21,
60 [1] - 8092:14				
	_	8046:9, 8065:2,	7910:7, 7912:18,	8022:22, 8023:12,
600 [1] - 7842:17	Α	8083:1, 8099:21,	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8		8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11,	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13	a.m [5] - 7843:3,	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] -	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21,	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] -	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] -	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21 abide [1] - 8106:15	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5 690 [1] - 7842:24	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11 accident [3] -	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20, 8052:6, 8082:24,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21 abide [1] - 8106:15 ability [4] - 7926:25,	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7940:20,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20, 8052:6, 8082:24, 8116:11
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5 690 [1] - 7842:24 6th [1] - 7955:13	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21 abide [1] - 8106:15 ability [4] - 7926:25, 7970:11, 8064:24,	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11 accident [3] - 7935:22, 7939:1,	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7940:20, 7941:2, 7941:6,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20, 8052:6, 8082:24, 8116:11 ad [1] - 8123:25
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5 690 [1] - 7842:24	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21 abide [1] - 8106:15 ability [4] - 7926:25, 7970:11, 8064:24, 8126:11	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11 accident [3] - 7935:22, 7939:1, 8016:14	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7940:20, 7941:2, 7941:13,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20, 8052:6, 8082:24, 8116:11 ad [1] - 8123:25 ADAM [1] - 7842:16
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5 690 [1] - 7842:24 6th [1] - 7955:13	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21 abide [1] - 8106:15 ability [4] - 7926:25, 7970:11, 8064:24, 8126:11 able [25] - 7864:23,	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11 accident [3] - 7935:22, 7939:1, 8016:14 accommodate [1] -	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7940:20, 7941:2, 7941:6, 7941:12, 7941:13, 7941:18, 7941:23, 7942:2, 7942:5, 7942:7, 7942:9,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20, 8052:6, 8082:24, 8116:11 ad [1] - 8123:25 ADAM [1] - 7842:16 ADAP [17] - 7880:5,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5 690 [1] - 7842:24 6th [1] - 7955:13	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21 abide [1] - 8106:15 ability [4] - 7926:25, 7970:11, 8064:24, 8126:11 able [25] - 7864:23, 7892:14, 7896:23, 7903:6, 7908:5, 7917:6, 7946:18,	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11 accident [3] - 7935:22, 7939:1, 8016:14 accommodate [1] - 7918:10 accommodation [1] - 8035:11	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7940:20, 7941:2, 7941:6, 7941:12, 7941:13, 7941:18, 7941:23, 7942:2, 7942:5, 7942:7, 7942:9, 7942:18, 7943:1,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20, 8052:6, 8082:24, 8116:11 ad [1] - 8123:25 ADAM [1] - 7842:16 ADAP [17] - 7880:5, 7880:6, 7880:9, 7904:14, 7904:15, 7905:4, 7905:7,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5 690 [1] - 7842:24 6th [1] - 7955:13 7 7 [3] - 7842:10, 7926:17, 7927:12 70 [2] - 7988:13,	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21 abide [1] - 8106:15 ability [4] - 7926:25, 7970:11, 8064:24, 8126:11 able [25] - 7864:23, 7892:14, 7896:23, 7903:6, 7908:5, 7917:6, 7946:18, 7954:8, 7954:14,	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11 accident [3] - 7935:22, 7939:1, 8016:14 accommodate [1] - 7918:10 accommodation [1] - 8035:11 accomplish [1] -	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7940:20, 7941:2, 7941:16, 7941:12, 7941:13, 7941:18, 7941:23, 7942:2, 7942:5, 7942:7, 7942:9, 7942:18, 7943:1, 7943:17, 7943:25,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20, 8052:6, 8082:24, 8116:11 ad [1] - 8123:25 ADAM [1] - 7842:16 ADAP [17] - 7880:5, 7880:6, 7880:9, 7904:14, 7904:15, 7905:4, 7905:7, 7920:3, 7932:19,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5 690 [1] - 7842:24 6th [1] - 7955:13 7 7 [3] - 7842:10, 7926:17, 7927:12 70 [2] - 7988:13, 8071:11	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21 abide [1] - 8106:15 ability [4] - 7926:25, 7970:11, 8064:24, 8126:11 able [25] - 7864:23, 7892:14, 7896:23, 7903:6, 7908:5, 7917:6, 7946:18, 7954:8, 7954:14, 7958:9, 7960:8,	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11 accident [3] - 7935:22, 7939:1, 8016:14 accommodate [1] - 7918:10 accommodation [1] - 8035:11 accomplish [1] - 7949:14	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7940:20, 7941:2, 7941:6, 7941:12, 7941:13, 7941:18, 7941:23, 7942:2, 7942:5, 7942:7, 7942:9, 7942:18, 7943:1, 7943:17, 7943:25, 7944:6, 7944:13,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20, 8052:6, 8082:24, 8116:11 ad [1] - 8123:25 ADAM [1] - 7842:16 ADAP [17] - 7880:5, 7880:6, 7880:9, 7904:14, 7904:15, 7905:4, 7905:7, 7920:3, 7932:19, 7935:12, 7937:9,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5 690 [1] - 7842:24 6th [1] - 7955:13 7 7 [3] - 7842:10, 7926:17, 7927:12 70 [2] - 7988:13, 8071:11 700,000 [2] - 8061:14,	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21 abide [1] - 8106:15 ability [4] - 7926:25, 7970:11, 8064:24, 8126:11 able [25] - 7864:23, 7892:14, 7896:23, 7903:6, 7908:5, 7917:6, 7946:18, 7954:8, 7954:14, 7958:9, 7960:8, 7991:15, 7993:15,	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11 accident [3] - 7935:22, 7939:1, 8016:14 accommodate [1] - 7918:10 accommodation [1] - 8035:11 accomplish [1] - 7949:14 according [4] -	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7940:20, 7941:2, 7941:13, 7941:12, 7941:23, 7942:2, 7942:5, 7942:7, 7942:9, 7942:18, 7943:1, 7943:17, 7943:25, 7944:6, 7944:13, 7944:21, 7945:3,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20, 8052:6, 8082:24, 8116:11 ad [1] - 8123:25 ADAM [1] - 7842:16 ADAP [17] - 7880:5, 7880:6, 7880:9, 7904:14, 7904:15, 7905:4, 7905:7, 7920:3, 7932:19, 7935:12, 7937:9, 7949:15, 8001:13,
600 [1] - 7842:17 602 [1] - 7973:8 61 [1] - 8001:13 61,523,000 [2] - 8001:12, 8023:10 620,000 [1] - 7977:10 63 [1] - 8098:5 690 [1] - 7842:24 6th [1] - 7955:13 7 7 [3] - 7842:10, 7926:17, 7927:12 70 [2] - 7988:13, 8071:11	a.m [5] - 7843:3, 8153:8, 8153:21, 8157:21, 8162:14 Aaron [1] - 7973:21 abide [1] - 8106:15 ability [4] - 7926:25, 7970:11, 8064:24, 8126:11 able [25] - 7864:23, 7892:14, 7896:23, 7903:6, 7908:5, 7917:6, 7946:18, 7954:8, 7954:14, 7958:9, 7960:8,	8083:1, 8099:21, 8100:7, 8102:11, 8103:24, 8108:5 accessible [1] - 8061:10 accessing [1] - 8066:11 accident [3] - 7935:22, 7939:1, 8016:14 accommodate [1] - 7918:10 accommodation [1] - 8035:11 accomplish [1] - 7949:14	7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7931:24, 7933:8, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7940:20, 7941:2, 7941:6, 7941:12, 7941:13, 7941:18, 7941:23, 7942:2, 7942:5, 7942:7, 7942:9, 7942:18, 7943:1, 7943:17, 7943:25, 7944:6, 7944:13,	8022:22, 8023:12, 8137:4 acts [4] - 7932:1, 7932:3, 7932:4, 7939:15 actual [6] - 7937:19, 7972:18, 8020:20, 8052:6, 8082:24, 8116:11 ad [1] - 8123:25 ADAM [1] - 7842:16 ADAP [17] - 7880:5, 7880:6, 7880:9, 7904:14, 7904:15, 7905:4, 7905:7, 7920:3, 7932:19, 7935:12, 7937:9,

adapted [1] - 7909:24 add [12] - 7847:2, 7847:9, 7847:25, 7849:7, 7849:16, 7883:2, 7889:1, 7890:13, 7893:18, 7893:19, 7896:17, 8113:21 added [3] - 7894:9, 7909:18, 7917:18 adding [6] - 7889:6, 7889:7, 7889:20, 7892:5, 8050:11, 8118:16 addition [4] - 7889:15, 7894:12, 7922:22, 7940:20 additional [13] -7859:10, 7860:6, 7862:21, 7864:4, 7878:11, 7887:16, 7889:1, 7894:9, 7903:20, 7908:20, 7952:21, 7963:7, 8031:25 additionally [2] -7919:10. 8151:12 additions [2] - 7863:7, 7864:7 address [44] -7843:22, 7845:1, 7845:17, 7853:13, 7859:4, 7862:13, 7865:4, 7867:25, 7868:13, 7868:19, 7870:19, 7874:25, 7875:2, 7877:10, 7892:12, 7893:1, 7895:17, 7898:21, 7899:3, 7903:4, 7911:5, 7913:17, 7915:12, 7945:17, 7945:25, 7952:8, 7955:2, 8026:4, 8026:6, 8028:12, 8029:1, 8029:22, 8031:15, 8038:7, 8038:8, 8042:19, 8050:12, 8050:19, 8057:1, 8082:16, 8129:11, 8137:12, 8159:3, 8159:4 addressable [3] -8080:6, 8080:14, 8080:16 addressed [7] -7851:15, 7893:9, 7894:15, 7950:4, 7952:12, 8031:23, 8056:19

addresses [2] -7913:8, 7952:7 addressing [4] -7844:2. 7956:25. 8028:3. 8055:3 adhere [1] - 8106:16 adjective [2] -8111:17, 8111:24 adjectives [2] -8055:19, 8140:19 adjourn [3] - 8026:4, 8158:20, 8162:13 adjourned [1] -8030:14 adjust [2] - 7879:7, 7954:9 administration [1] -7986:18 admissibility [5] -7859:19, 7869:4, 7869:23, 8037:13, 8049:13 admissible [14] -7867:2, 7875:17, 7875:19, 7878:9, 8030:10, 8033:16, 8033:19, 8037:17, 8037:19, 8037:22, 8040:12, 8040:14, 8043:12, 8047:25 Admission [1] -7929:5 admission [8] -8029:16, 8042:24, 8043:6, 8043:12, 8111:7, 8140:8, 8140:13, 8140:20 admissions [3] -7856:1, 7929:6, 7929:7 admit [25] - 7860:3, 7861:1, 7861:12, 7861:14, 7863:12, 7863:17, 7863:19, 7866:10, 7866:13, 7866:17, 7867:2, 7867:24, 7871:10, 7872:7, 7874:20, 7878:9, 7929:14, 8026:7, 8030:24, 8035:1, 8038:2, 8039:2, 8047:19, 8135:4, 8140:14 admits [1] - 8112:14 **Admitted** [1] - 7929:20 admitted [33] - 7856:4, 7856:14, 7856:16, 7856:18, 7856:22,

7857:1, 7865:7,

7866:17, 7871:6,

7872:18, 7873:8, 7873:25, 7875:25, 7879:6, 7880:7, 7880:19, 7922:19, 7930:3, 7938:18, 7993:24, 8019:12, 8019:13, 8033:11, 8034:16, 8034:18, 8034:23, 8095:24, 8096:2, 8115:13, 8123:10, 8139:21, 8144:5, 8150:7 admitting [2] -7863:25, 7877:8 adopt [1] - 7883:22 adopted [1] - 8009:5 advance [3] - 7876:5, 7940:3, 8047:18 advanced [1] -7959:13 advantage [1] -8009:17 adversary [1] -8055:21 adverse [1] - 7978:13 advertising [9] -7890:11, 7891:17, 7940:12, 7940:14, 7940:16, 7940:18, 7982:10, 7983:5, 7983.7 advice [2] - 8075:25, 8082:22 advised [2] - 7859:18, 7867:17 advisement [5] -8027:18, 8028:12, 8028:21, 8029:12, 8030:11 advisory [3] -7873:22, 7981:16, 7981:22 advocacy [1] - 8160:4 advocated [1] -8160:6 affected [3] - 7997:9, 7997:10, 8138:24 affecting [1] - 8062:10 affiliated [1] - 8113:10 affinity [2] - 8075:8, 8075:12 affirmatively [1] -7890:25 afternoon [5] -7954:25, 7955:1, 8038:23, 8055:6, 8058:19 afterthought [1] -8113:20 afterwards [4] -

7966:15, 8025:1, 8142:1, 8158:19 agency [5] - 8043:12, 8072:10, 8072:14, 8131:7, 8134:6 agenda [1] - 7867:16 agents [6] - 7931:16, 7931:23, 7932:2, 7932:3, 7979:1 aggressive [1] -7964:8 aggressively [1] -7964:13 ago [12] - 7859:12, 7903:23, 7956:1, 7990:13, 8014:22, 8015:1, 8015:10, 8016:9, 8077:18, 8127:16, 8140:18, 8141:18 agree [16] - 7891:6, 7911:1, 7912:5, 7913:14, 7919:4, 7948:4, 8047:17, 8051:24, 8104:22, 8105:5, 8110:25, 8118:12. 8142:8. 8151:14, 8159:14, 8159:17 agreed [17] - 7846:14, 7847:1, 7848:22, 7854:23, 7856:22, 7861:22, 8067:21, 8068:10, 8070:9, 8078:9, 8095:21, 8095:22, 8100:12, 8106:11, 8118:13, 8118:19 agreeing [1] - 8120:23 Agreement [1] -7946:10 agreement [23] -7849:6, 7850:2, 7850:8, 7875:17, 7946:8, 7984:23, 7989:14, 8007:14, 8037:11, 8037:14, 8037:16, 8040:13, 8060:12, 8064:22, 8066:5, 8106:20, 8114:19, 8131:8, 8131:9, 8131:13, 8135:18, 8135:23, 8151:23 Agreements [25] -7938:6, 7938:7, 7938:9. 7938:10. 7938:11. 7938:12. 7938:17, 7938:18,

7939:6, 7939:7,

7939:16, 7939:17, 7939:18, 7946:8, 7946:9, 7946:12, 8007:23, 8024:5, 8063:21, 8063:22, 8063:25, 8064:15, 8065:5, 8065:21 agreements [10] -7849:12, 7849:16, 7849:23, 7850:2, 8037:1, 8037:6, 8065:22, 8065:25, 8118:17 agrees [3] - 7891:20, 8091:1, 8106:10 ahead [3] - 7884:19, 7963:20, 7971:1 ahold [1] - 8012:21 aided [1] - 7842:25 AIDS [4] - 7920:2, 7963:19, 8020:11, 8093:11 AKS [5] - 7885:11, 7885:19, 7886:24, 7900:11, 7902:2 al [1] - 7842:4 alert [2] - 7916:4, 8154:18 alive [1] - 7980:5 allegation [12] -7987:19, 8015:16, 8052:7, 8075:22, 8076:8, 8079:25, 8085:14, 8086:14, 8089:16, 8121:25, 8124:2 allegations [28] -7919:16, 7920:25, 7938:12, 7939:21, 7940:4, 8007:13, 8015:14, 8016:2, 8051:16, 8059:8, 8059:19, 8061:21, 8073:24, 8075:17, 8085:12, 8087:1, 8106:6, 8106:17, 8106:21, 8115:11, 8121:15, 8121:16, 8121:21, 8122:15, 8124:1, 8124:23, 8125:6, 8142:4 allege [9] - 7920:4, 7920:7, 7920:17, 7920:20. 7932:8. 7932:10. 7932:12. 7936:8, 8061:13 alleged [25] - 7887:20, 7888:12, 7932:10, 7932:11, 7933:22, 7935:7, 7936:10,

7938:1, 7938:13, 7938:14, 7938:21, 7938:22, 7938:24, 7939:3, 7939:15, 7939:19, 7939:23, 8074:25, 8083:8, 8086:21, 8112:17, 8115:1, 8122:14, 8124:11 allegedly [2] -8085:18, 8089:11 alleging [8] - 8046:4, 8052:4, 8062:9, 8063:9, 8066:1, 8075:14, 8123:13, 8126:12 alley [1] - 8145:11 Alli [3] - 7843:13, 7860:9, 7865:22 ALLISON [1] -7842:19 allocate [2] - 7906:21, 7908:1 allocating [1] -7951:14 allocute [1] - 7896:23 allow [13] - 7862:17, 7873:1, 7875:24, 7878:6, 7879:6, 7941:3, 8041:18, 8048:8, 8076:9, 8076:15, 8090:17, 8091:6, 8154:9 allowed [8] - 7873:4, 7906:11, 8059:7, 8082:21, 8090:16, 8116:20, 8143:22, 8149:12 allowing [5] - 7906:20, 7906:22, 7906:23, 8041:12, 8050:5 almost [18] - 7887:20, 7890:13, 7894:1, 7944:10, 7985:5, 8036:16, 8060:2, 8062:9, 8062:13, 8062:14, 8088:13, 8104:6, 8104:8, 8114:1, 8114:10, 8124:3, 8161:23 alone [4] - 8043:14, 8059:12, 8125:21, 8144:18 alongside [1] -8124:19 alter [1] - 7880:2 alternatives [2] -8006:11, 8144:2 ambush [1] - 7864:17 amended [2] - 7862:4,

7885:19 amendment [2] -7885:11, 7886:24 **AMERICA**[1] - 7842:3 American [1] - 8043:8 Amit [4] - 7940:11, 7986:15, 8064:13, 8108.1 amount [36] - 7850:19, 7850:22, 7850:25, 7851:12, 7851:16, 7851:17, 7852:4, 7852:9, 7852:10, 7852:15, 7892:1, 7892:17, 7892:25, 7943:13, 7943:18, 7943:22, 7943:23, 7944:3, 7944:6, 7944:16, 7948:15, 7948:16, 7955:17, 7955:19, 7960:12, 7999:16, 8003:12, 8020:6, 8020:10, 8022:17, 8023:6, 8023:16, 8066:21, 8119:9, 8121:22, 8145:25 amounts [5] -7902:14, 8001:5, 8118:20, 8146:2, 8146:4 amuck [1] - 7957:11 analog [1] - 7943:2 analogous [5] -7900:12, 7941:19, 8136:20, 8137:3, 8137.16 analogs [3] - 7943:24, 7944:7, 7944:14 analogy [1] - 7910:9 analyses [3] -8119:24, 8120:3, 8120:6 analysis [7] - 8001:22, 8081:16, 8081:18, 8116:23, 8117:4, 8117:5, 8117:7 analysts [1] - 8138:9 analytics [5] -8080:10, 8135:7. 8135:9, 8135:13, 8135:16 analyze [1] - 8116:21 analyzed [3] -7995:22, 7998:4, 7998:21 analyzing [4] -7998:13, 8081:15,

8081:16, 8109:12

Andrew [2] - 7843:10,

8129:14 ANDREW [1] -7842:15 Angeles [2] - 8004:14, 8004:17 angels [2] - 8053:25, 8054:2 angry [1] - 7969:24 annual [1] - 8064:2 answer [15] - 7910:17, 7913:10, 7925:10, 7987:9, 7994:13, 8008:10, 8020:18, 8022:9, 8039:21, 8039:23, 8075:20, 8078:22, 8126:19, 8127:14, 8151:23 answering [2] -7900:1, 8087:23 answers [14] -7855:11, 7870:6, 7876:21, 7877:9, 7877:18, 7877:21, 7878:18, 7901:17, 7928:23, 7928:25, 7929:3. 8083:3. 8152:24 Anti [47] - 7932:12, 7933:1, 7933:2, 7933:4, 7933:7, 7933:10, 7933:12, 7934:3, 7934:5, 7934:12, 7934:14, 7935:1, 7935:3, 7935:13, 7935:15, 7935:18, 7935:21, 7935:23, 7936:5, 7936:11, 7936:25, 7938:1, 7941:6, 7941:9, 7942:12, 7956:4, 7988:23, 7989:8, 7989:25, 8006:23, 8020:14, 8020:17, 8021:15, 8022:8, 8022:11, 8023:13, 8107:18, 8115:5, 8116:20, 8118:8, 8121:7, 8121:14, 8122:5, 8126:4, 8137:22, 8143:1 anti [5] - 7960:5, 8001:14, 8113:14, 8115:8, 8121:11 Anti-Kickback [47] -7932:12, 7933:1, 7933:2, 7933:4, 7933:7, 7933:10, 7933:12, 7934:3, 7934:5, 7934:12,

7934:14, 7935:1, 7935:3, 7935:13, 7935:15, 7935:18, 7935:21, 7935:23, 7936:5, 7936:11, 7936:25, 7938:1, 7941:6, 7941:9, 7942:12, 7956:4, 7988:23, 7989:8, 7989:25, 8006:23, 8020:14, 8020:17, 8021:15, 8022:8, 8022:11, 8023:13, 8107:18, 8115:5, 8116:20, 8118:8, 8121:7, 8121:14, 8122:5, 8126:4, 8137:22, 8143:1 anti-kickback [5] -7960:5, 8001:14, 8113:14, 8115:8, 8121:11 antibiotic [1] -8095:13 anticipate [4] -7907:5, 7953:2, 8005:10, 8116:3 anticipated [2] -7937:13, 8018:25 anticipating [1] -7898:3 anticonvulsant [1] -8007:6 anticonvulsive [1] -7961.6 antipsychotic [2] -7961:6, 8007:5 anxiety [2] - 7965:8, 7965:14 anxiously [1] - 7898:3 anytime [1] - 8011:11 anyway [9] - 7874:3, 7915:4, 7984:16, 7985:3, 7987:3, 7989:18, 8015:20, 8027:19, 8055:13 apart [1] - 7894:20 apologize [7] -7870:12, 7876:16, 7879:11, 7916:22, 7916:24, 7954:16, 8016:24 apparent [1] - 8029:8 appeal [2] - 7854:21, 8041:3 appear [1] - 8055:20 appearances [2] -7843:6, 7962:21 apples [3] - 8099:2 applicable [3] -

7941:6, 7941:10, 8017:10 applied [2] - 7885:10, 8003:10 applies [2] - 7928:16, 7978:8 apply [10] - 7885:1, 7885:7, 7886:5, 7918:19, 7928:16, 7941:7, 8002:9, 8047:4, 8125:19, 8148:11 applying [2] -7887:23, 8002:6 appointed [1] -8133:22 apportion [3] -7899:25, 7902:14, 7905:18 apportioned [2] -7900:20, 7902:17 apportionment [1] -7907:20 appreciate [27] -7845:15, 7852:13, 7866:4, 7876:12, 7876:17, 7884:7, 7894:7, 7894:21, 7908:14, 7915:16, 7945:5, 8025:18, 8026:20, 8050:20, 8052:19, 8056:11, 8057:8, 8099:13, 8128:4, 8147:7, 8153:14, 8158:17, 8159:12, 8159:18, 8159:19, 8160:5, 8162:8 appreciated [1] -7892:23 appreciative [1] -7955:17 approach [3] - 7846:3, 7861:9, 8035:22 approached [1] -7922:17 appropriate [23] -7855:11, 7857:14, 7883:12, 7883:21, 7900:8, 7921:2, 7930:16, 7979:19, 8052:17, 8078:5, 8098:12, 8104:1, 8104:17, 8104:19, 8104:25, 8105:3, 8105:7, 8105:9, 8114:14, 8120:3, 8121:5, 8148:12, 8161:21 appropriately [1] -

7998:15

attention [21] -

7896:24 Approval [1] - 7940:9 approval [21] -7889:13. 7890:25. 7891:1. 7940:15. 7961:9, 7961:11, 7970:17, 7981:5, 7981:25, 7983:1, 7983:5, 8103:16, 8108:15, 8108:18, 8108:20, 8108:23, 8109:2, 8133:16, 8133:18, 8133:20 approve [3] - 7891:4, 7903:3, 7906:8 approved [39] -7881:4, 7881:15, 7881:22, 7882:12, 7889:16, 7890:11, 7891:17, 7906:18, 7920:11, 7920:13, 7920:15, 7920:18, 7932:21, 7940:18, 7966:11, 7966:23, 7970:5, 7981:1, 7981:6, 7981:9, 7981:11, 7982:3, 7983:7, 7986:18, 7986:20, 7994:1, 8063:5, 8087:22, 8100:15, 8100:24, 8103:17, 8109:4, 8111:5, 8111:6, 8111:8, 8111:12, 8111:21, 8111:22, 8133.13 approving [1] -7981:22 apps [1] - 8068:15 April [2] - 7982:13, 8010:2 Aptivus [1] - 7963:20 area [4] - 8085:11, 8104:21, 8114:17, 8114:18 areas [2] - 8045:22, 8114:20 argue [10] - 7882:7, 7884:2, 7892:15, 7904:2, 7911:10, 7993:15, 8039:12, 8045:5, 8045:10, 8131:18 argued [5] - 7904:1, 8043:6, 8046:1, 8050:24, 8054:3 argues [1] - 8046:16 arguing [5] - 7873:2, 7873:3. 7975:8. 8041:5, 8055:18

argument [62] -7851:14, 7852:4, 7858:15, 7866:15, 7872:16, 7886:15, 7897:8, 7911:12, 7912:8, 7912:22, 7913:22. 7913:25. 7914:23. 7954:25. 8005:12, 8005:15, 8005:18, 8025:3, 8025:16, 8026:14, 8026:16, 8026:19, 8027:1, 8027:8, 8027:12, 8027:13, 8028:6, 8028:19, 8029:9, 8029:11, 8030:12, 8032:18, 8038:5, 8038:21, 8041:18, 8043:10, 8045:8, 8049:21, 8050:21, 8051:13, 8052:9, 8052:18, 8053:1, 8053:13, 8054:23, 8056:15, 8056:20, 8057:13, 8058:16, 8063:1, 8129:8, 8129:24, 8130:3, 8133:21, 8134:4, 8134:5, 8134:7, 8135:11, 8135:24, 8137:9, 8138:23, 8142:12 arguments [25] -7852:13, 7879:17, 7898:2, 7914:8, 7915:20, 7917:2, 7917:8, 7918:8, 7918:14, 7918:16, 7923:11, 7924:6, 7945:13, 7954:23, 8034:7, 8034:11, 8034:14, 8038:22, 8039:2, 8048:8, 8049:20, 8058:14, 8130:12, 8130:13, 8148:19 arise [1] - 7930:20 Arnaldo [1] - 8086:17 ARPS [1] - 7842:19 arranging [1] -7942:15 arrogance [1] -8003:16 article [5] - 7922:20, 7922:21, 8011:25, 8012:5, 8012:6 articles [2] - 8011:19, 8088:24 assert [1] - 7940:21 asserted [1] - 7857:21 assess [4] - 7896:12, 7943:8, 7945:3, 7980:19 assessing [1] - 7939:7 assessment [1] -7873:20 assessments [1] -8114:22 assist [1] - 8049:1 Assistance [3] -7920:2, 7942:17, 8020:11 associated [6] -7963:8, 7963:14, 7977:22, 8001:16, 8022:17, 8146:15 assume [2] - 8015:24, 8093:13 assumed [1] - 8093:14 assumes [1] - 8092:15 assuming [1] -7876:14 assumption [5] -8093:4, 8093:12, 8093:17, 8094:7, 8094:9 assumptions [2] -7964:9, 8092:1 asterisk [1] - 8081:17 AT [1] - 8156:4 attached [3] -8044:16. 8044:17. 8044:19 attaches [1] - 7854:15 attack [12] - 7962:2, 8012:2, 8014:11, 8025:7, 8027:11, 8028:4, 8053:22, 8055:7, 8055:11 attacked [3] - 7994:22, 7994:23, 8014:2 attacking [6] -8017:17, 8025:6, 8027:6, 8027:15, 8053:24, 8055:6 attacks [4] - 7996:5, 8027:1, 8056:16 attain [1] - 7958:2 attempt [3] - 7860:5, 8031:13, 8045:4 attempted [2] -7896:18, 8053:16 attend [1] - 7991:1 attendance [3] -7974:17, 8081:20, 8097:21 attended [2] - 7991:3, 8094:3 attendees [3] -7974:10, 7975:12,

7876:12, 7876:17, 7893:20, 7917:19, 7921:17, 7955:15, 7955:17, 7961:12, 7994:8. 7994:16. 7995:17. 8025:8. 8025:18, 8026:24, 8082:2, 8099:13, 8122:11, 8128:2, 8158:16, 8160:11, 8160:12 attentive [1] - 8059:4 attorney's [1] -7923:21 attorneys [3] -7918:13, 8053:18, 8054:19 attribute [1] - 7944:3 attributed [2] -7998:2, 8022:13 attributes [1] -8092:11 audience 151 -7991:10. 7991:13. 7991:17, 7996:11, 8109:24 Audio [1] - 7930:25 audio [3] - 7856:19, 7994:19, 8076:7 Audio-Recorded [1] -7930:25 audit [3] - 8064:1, 8064:7, 8064:24 audited [1] - 8063:10 augment [1] - 7889:8 auspices [1] - 7962:21 authenticating [2] -7863:25, 8037:20 authorization [2] -8102:7, 8102:16 authorized [1] -7931:18 automatically [2] -7910:24, 8136:22 available [8] -7875:16, 7949:12, 8006:12, 8040:11, 8099:19, 8100:3, 8101:22, 8150:4 avert [1] - 7885:24 avoid [2] - 7902:11, 7929:16 award [2] - 7943:10, 8146:1 aware [22] - 7852:8, 7859:7, 7859:17,

7859:20, 7865:3,

7868:12, 7875:20,

7905:17, 7936:3, 7996:11, 7996:12, 8007:12, 8015:14, 8015:15, 8035:8, 8038:9, 8039:7, 8049:10, 8050:10, 8088:19, 8119:17 awareness [4] -7984:3, 7984:4, 7984:12, 8114:3 awhile [2] - 7977:3 awry [1] - 7957:11

В

babysitting [4] -8063:18. 8065:9. 8130:2. 8134:6 backdrop[1] -7854:16 background [4] -8010:9, 8075:21, 8089:7, 8109:8 backsie [1] - 8010:12 backup [2] - 8117:1, 8117:6 backward [1] -8004:10 bad [9] - 7957:2, 7969:16, 8011:23, 8013:10, 8123:25, 8130:19, 8131:21, 8135:5, 8145:20 bake [1] - 8005:11 balance [2] - 7889:17, 7889:21 ban [1] - 7921:18 bar [2] - 8080:12, 8142:2 bare [1] - 7912:6 barked [1] - 7965:5 barriers [1] - 8101:16 Bartnett [18] -7983:12, 7992:13, 7993:23, 7994:18, 7994:23, 7995:1, 8075:24, 8076:1, 8076:3, 8076:4, 8076:5, 8076:8, 8076:10, 8076:11, 8076:17, 8096:18, 8124:17, 8140:24 bartnett [1] - 7994:18 base [1] - 7969:13 based [31] - 7856:11, 7873:1, 7885:21, 7890:24, 7924:12, 7931:23, 7943:12, 7945:22, 7950:15,

7954:17, 7956:19,

7967:17, 7967:18, 7970:22, 7992:17, 7992:22, 8037:13, 8053:12, 8079:13, 8082:4, 8091:4, 8092:17, 8093:13, 8094:25, 8098:8, 8111:1, 8111:2, 8111:3, 8149:7, 8150:3, 8157:20 bases [1] - 7906:13 basic [1] - 8052:9 basics [1] - 7899:1 basis [3] - 7883:22, 8040:18, 8074:8 bat [5] - 7958:22, 7964:9, 7967:6, 7968:25, 7969:5 batch [6] - 7870:19, 7870:25, 7871:1, 7875:5, 7876:7, 8041:25 batches [4] - 7863:21, 7875:7, 7875:25, 8029:16 Bates [1] - 8031:2 bean [1] - 8016:23 **bear** [9] - 7848:9, 7927:12, 7946:17, 7946:21, 7956:11, 7962:9, 8016:22, 8016:25, 8134:20 bears [1] - 8159:25 beat [1] - 8051:13 beaten [1] - 8027:5 became [2] - 7876:15, 8081.2 become [4] - 7957:11, 7957:13, 8100:2, 8100.4 began [2] - 8131:1, 8133:25 begin [9] - 7846:10, 7852:24, 7891:2, 7918:7, 8025:23, 8130:24, 8153:22, 8157:22, 8158:14 beginning [18] -7843:6, 7845:20, 7871:2, 7874:17, 7878:21, 7917:14, 7957:15, 7958:17, 7959:1, 7959:8, 7964:22, 7984:18, 8021:25, 8038:10, 8080:25, 8083:15, 8130:15, 8148:15 begun [1] - 8160:14 behalf [6] - 7919:13, 7940:21, 7941:4,

8019:16, 8148:2, 8159:9 behavior [9] -7972:17, 7976:1, 7995:9, 7995:13, 7996:4, 7998:4, 7998:13, 8003:6, 8130:18 behind [4] - 7964:23, 8017:16, 8143:11, 8160:12 **belief** [1] - 7926:22 believability [1] -7927:12 believable [1] -7927:15 believes [5] - 8001:24, 8046:8, 8046:10, 8055:10, 8083:6 belong [1] - 7893:11 Below [1] - 8120:17 Ben [1] - 8083:16 bench [3] - 7923:13, 7923:15, 8157:6 Benefit [3] - 8033:1, 8040:20, 8040:23 benefit [7] - 7907:24, 7932:5, 7963:6, 7963:7, 8032:5, 8035:25, 8161:22 benefits [1] - 7935:10 Berger [2] - 7955:23, 8012:19 best [15] - 7866:11, 7899:25, 8029:20, 8059:22, 8095:11, 8095:19, 8096:14, 8098:8, 8103:14, 8117:15, 8120:13, 8120:18, 8146:21, 8146:23, 8162:6 better [14] - 7845:12, 7983:20, 7983:23, 8050:2, 8053:25, 8054:2, 8095:25, 8112:5, 8125:17, 8133:18, 8133:19, 8135:3 between [17] -7844:18, 7851:12, 7872:20, 7931:9, 7933:22, 7935:7, 7943:25, 7979:20, 8002:25, 8004:21, 8012:23, 8021:19, 8037:16, 8070:25, 8085:5, 8092:5, 8092:7 Beverly [2] - 8004:14,

8004:18

beyond [7] - 7928:14, 7946:5, 7975:13, 8018:21, 8026:16, 8027:1, 8053:8 bias [8] - 7892:7, 7893:6, 7927:6, 7930:19, 7930:20, 8052:10, 8068:6, 8148:22 **big** [3] - 7961:11, 7980:23, 8079:23 **billion** [7] - 7985:5, 7985:6, 7985:17, 7985:18, 7999:11, 8146:1 billions [2] - 7957:9, 7975:23 binding [3] - 7930:4, 8075:8, 8075:12 bit [26] - 7844:18, 7853:2, 7864:16, 7864:25, 7888:6, 7894:23, 7913:11, 7915:6, 7916:13, 7916:24, 7918:8, 7918:9, 7952:19, 7953:1. 8016:21. 8024:25, 8029:13, 8030:4, 8068:8, 8088:11, 8099:10, 8099:16, 8106:13, 8107:24, 8128:18, 8155:8 **bits** [1] - 8091:19 black [1] - 8016:23 blacked [2] - 8077:3 blame [1] - 7914:22 blaming [2] - 7965:18, 7965:19 blank [1] - 7949:6 blanks [2] - 7901:16, 8013:16 blessed [1] - 7981:10 blog [2] - 7922:9, 8149:22 blogs [1] - 7923:3 blood [4] - 7979:6, 7979:8, 8095:14, 8096:3 Blue [1] - 8091:21 board [2] - 7965:21, 8107:21 boards [1] - 7873:23 boat [1] - 8037:12 **body** [1] - 7979:9 **bold** [3] - 8077:11, 8077:15, 8078:4 books [2] - 7929:23, 8064:1 boosted [1] - 8101:5

boosting [1] - 8101:6 bore [1] - 8105:24 boss [1] - 8087:17 **Boston** [1] - 8139:5 **bottle** [1] - 7977:5 bottom [9] - 7865:22, 7960:2, 8077:3, 8078:7, 8079:10, 8081:18, 8083:17, 8088:8, 8134:2 bound [2] - 7885:7, 8148:15 bounds [1] - 8026:16 box [4] - 7857:16. 8086:1, 8130:11, 8155:22 Boy [1] - 8141:22 **boy** [4] - 7966:18, 7985:25, 8129:16, 8142:6 brackets [1] - 8013:18 **Brad** [2] - 7843:17, 7969:13 BRADLEY[1] -7842:20 brainstorming [1] -7965:11 Brancaccio [37] -7919:22, 7941:3, 7955:22, 7983:12, 7990:10. 7991:25. 7994:21, 7994:25, 7996:9, 8009:17, 8009:22, 8009:24, 8010:7, 8010:18, 8010:25, 8054:14, 8066:13, 8066:20, 8067:7, 8068:21, 8073:14, 8073:20, 8074:1, 8074:15, 8074:21, 8075:4, 8075:22, 8076:3, 8076:14, 8096:18, 8111:8, 8113:16, 8124:7, 8124:20, 8127:19, 8140:11, 8141:17 Brancaccio's [1] -7869:20 brand [2] - 7962:24, 8114:5 break [41] - 7843:23, 7844:13, 7844:16, 7844:17, 7844:18, 7844:19, 7844:20, 7874:25, 7875:2, 7876:11, 7895:17, 7896:5, 7896:17, 7897:16, 7897:17, 7899:4, 7914:6,

7915:1, 7915:3, 7915:5, 7916:4, 7916:11, 7917:1, 7917:4, 7918:7, 7945:13, 7952:23, 7954:24, 8025:14, 8025:15, 8025:17, 8029:2, 8029:14, 8051:18, 8057:8, 8058:7, 8074:17, 8101:13, 8157:4, 8162:1 breakdown [2] -7908:3, 7908:7 breakfast [1] -7969:20 breaking [3] -7911:23, 7912:23, 8103:10 breath [2] - 8054:8, 8142:8 bribe [5] - 7933:15, 8060:10, 8113:15, 8118:13, 8120:22 **bribed** [1] - 8118:3 bribes [1] - 8121:19 brick [2] - 8017:14 bridge [1] - 8039:10 Brief [10] - 7848:10, 7848:25, 7851:7, 7858:7, 7887:13, 7889:23, 7914:20, 7946:22, 7953:25, 8035:21 brief [7] - 7886:11, 7887:2, 7952:14, 8057:3, 8147:12, 8147:24, 8158:8 briefed [1] - 7907:4 briefing [2] - 7851:15, 7911:5 briefly [3] - 7858:21, 8050:19, 8128:25 bring [30] - 7899:5, 7919:13, 7919:15, 7921:16, 7941:4, 7945:10, 7958:14, 7978:17, 8035:19, 8049:23, 8060:23, 8061:22, 8062:1, 8062:6, 8062:8, 8062:24, 8070:19, 8093:24, 8122:22, 8124:8, 8126:21, 8127:9, 8137:23, 8138:8, 8138:9, 8150:19, 8150:20, 8157:21, 8157:24 bringing [2] - 7945:18, 7961:20

PageID: 24769

8003:19, 8120:9

7940:22, 7968:12,

8060:9, 8083:10,

California [5] -

8112:20

broad [1] - 8113:24 broader [1] - 8033:17 broken [1] - 7904:7 brought [29] - 7901:4, 7919:9, 7919:10, 7927:19, 7938:13, 7984:24, 7994:7, 7994:15, 8007:13, 8011:11, 8019:16, 8032:10, 8034:5, 8034:8, 8041:17, 8043:11, 8061:19, 8061:20, 8090:4, 8093:2, 8094:4, 8096:10, 8112:21, 8113:9, 8123:1, 8141:18, 8147:17, 8151:17, 8151:19 Brown [15] - 7843:13, 7865:17, 7868:10, 7916:6, 8008:16, 8027:10, 8028:1, 8038:5, 8056:20, 8057:12, 8058:15, 8128:6, 8139:23, 8156:20, 8159:13 brown [1] - 7946:4 BROWN [22] -7842:19, 7843:13, 7859:21, 7860:7, 7865:18, 7866:19, 7867:7, 7884:20, 7898:16, 7916:7, 8057:14, 8057:17, 8057:21, 8058:17, 8058:19, 8074:20, 8076:8, 8129:3, 8156:21, 8158:2, 8159:16, 8162:22 **bubbles** [1] - 8013:18 buck [2] - 7965:17, 7965:18 bucket [4] - 7905:16, 7905:19, 7907:21, 8081:6 buckets [2] - 7912:17, 7963:12 buckle [1] - 7962:9 build [2] - 7956:18, 7956:19 Building [1] - 7842:8 building [1] - 8154:7 built [2] - 7957:16, 7957:18 bullet [1] - 8109:14 bunch [7] - 7862:7, 7870:6, 7872:1, 7900:14, 8059:1, 8116:25, 8121:8

bundle [1] - 7896:24

bundled [1] - 7951:5 burden [20] - 7880:13, 7927:20, 7928:4, 8024:23, 8059:12, 8059:16, 8059:17, 8060:3, 8060:7, 8060:15, 8061:8, 8061:19, 8062:2, 8062:3, 8094:4, 8094:16, 8125:22, 8126:6, 8148:12 bureau [31] - 7863:10, 7864:10, 7873:17, 7962:15, 7962:16, 7963:4, 7971:17, 7975:2, 7975:7, 7984:21, 7997:18, 8064:6, 8114:3, 8115:4, 8115:8, 8115:11, 8115:12, 8115:16, 8115:18, 8115:24, 8116:2, 8117:14, 8117:18, 8117:20, 8117:22, 8119:12, 8119:25, 8120:21, 8121:3, 8139:4, 8145:7 bureaus [3] - 8064:9, 8117:10, 8117:11 burning [1] - 7964:5 business [14] -7864:1, 7864:8, 7864:11, 7957:8, 7959:25, 7966:8, 7987:6, 7988:25, 7989:9, 8080:9, 8123:9, 8145:14, 8145.15 busy [2] - 7859:25, 8160:9 but-for [1] - 7886:12 button [1] - 8052:21 **buy** [1] - 8004:15 BY [2] - 7842:14,

C

7842:19

cahoots [2] - 8132:20, 8142:9 calculate [1] - 7999:22 calculated [4] -7976:25, 7999:7, 8001:11, 8006:18 calculating [1] -8090:2 calculation [4] -7893:11, 7969:11, 7999:4, 8000:9 calculations [2] - cancer [1] - 7961:5 candice [1] - 7989:17 Candice [5] - 7970:4, 7970:6, 8117:3, 8125:4, 8135:15 candid [5] - 7864:22, 7896:19, 8028:22, 8083:3, 8117:16 cannolis [2] - 8030:5, 8058:20 cannot [11] - 7956:19, 7957:3, 7958:23, 7967:4, 7967:5, 8006:6, 8101:18, 8101:23, 8102:4, 8112:12 capable [2] - 7938:4, 8162:11 capitalize [1] -8145:16 caps [1] - 7915:15 captured [1] - 7907:13 **captures** [1] - 7908:15 cardiac [4] - 7979:14, 7980:6, 8144:25 cardiovascular [2] -7979:5, 7979:13 Care [1] - 7935:2 care [36] - 7885:22, 7932:16, 7932:18, 7933:6, 7933:21, 7934:18, 7934:24, 7935:6, 7935:9, 7935:12, 7937:2, 7943:21, 7962:23, 7968:5, 7978:16, 7979:19, 7980:10, 7980:12, 7986:3, 8006:15, 8018:8, 8021:7, 8021:18, 8021:23, 8022:4, 8065:11, 8087:14, 8087:20, 8087:21, 8094:22, 8099:21, 8100:9, 8100:10, 8110:5, 8115:7 careers [1] - 8161:3 Careful [1] - 7970:13 careful [5] - 7969:1, 7972:12, 7987:7, 7999:22, 8008:5 carefully [3] -7998:24, 8127:13, 8149:4 Carolina [1] - 7940:25

carried [2] - 8123:11, 8123:15 carries [1] - 7995:22 carry [2] - 8062:3, 8147:4 carrying [1] - 7926:10 cars [3] - 8004:15, 8004:16, 8004:19 Case [2] - 7919:5, 7919:8 case [260] - 7847:20, 7847:25, 7848:3, 7848:12, 7849:10, 7850:21, 7851:14, 7851:22, 7852:8, 7854:5, 7855:24, 7860:4, 7860:5, 7862:3, 7863:14, 7863:18, 7863:24, 7865:13, 7865:14, 7866:1, 7866:8, 7866:23, 7867:24, 7873:21, 7880:8, 7882:7, 7882:12, 7883:24, 7885:9, 7886:22, 7887:2, 7888:19, 7890:25, 7892:8, 7893:6, 7898:23, 7901:11, 7905:12, 7906:9, 7907:22, 7911:8, 7911:12, 7918:18, 7919:9, 7919:10, 7919:19, 7921:14, 7921:16, 7921:25, 7922:2, 7922:4, 7922:19, 7922:21, 7922:24, 7923:1, 7923:5, 7923:12, 7923:23, 7924:7, 7924:10, 7927:6, 7927:18, 7927:21, 7928:9, 7929:24, 7930:6, 7930:17, 7930:21, 7932:7, 7933:22, 7935:7, 7939:3, 7939:19, 7939:23, 7948:18, 7951:21, 7955:2, 7955:18, 7956:6, 7956:7, 7956:9, 7956:22, 7957:14, 7959:6, 7960:23, 7961:18, 7961:23, 7962:18, 7962:25, 7966:21, 7973:22, 7978:1, 7978:15, 7980:1, 7981:14, 7989:10, 7990:2, 7995:7, 7995:23,

8001:19, 8002:3, 8002:16, 8003:11, 8006:18, 8009:2, 8010:17, 8011:2, 8011:18, 8012:20, 8014:23, 8015:2, 8016:4, 8016:9, 8017:2, 8017:3, 8017:4, 8017:5, 8017:8, 8017:9, 8017:12, 8018:13, 8018:24, 8019:19, 8019:21, 8020:4, 8024:17, 8025:6, 8025:20, 8025:24, 8027:7, 8027:10, 8027:15, 8028:19, 8032:20, 8033:12, 8033:24, 8034:2, 8036:3, 8038:10, 8043:11, 8046:6, 8048:6, 8048:11, 8048:16, 8048:20, 8049:2, 8049:11, 8050:8, 8051:2, 8051:3, 8051:4, 8051:9, 8051:23, 8052:23, 8053:13, 8054:21, 8055:1, 8055:2, 8056:5, 8059:7, 8061:3, 8061:4, 8061:13, 8061:21, 8062:1, 8062:13, 8062:19, 8063:1, 8063:2, 8063:9, 8063:17, 8063:19, 8063:20, 8066:1, 8066:18, 8066:22, 8067:2, 8067:16, 8068:23, 8073:22, 8089:22, 8089:23, 8090:3, 8090:8, 8090:23, 8091:25, 8092:9, 8092:15, 8093:25, 8094:24, 8095:20, 8099:14, 8099:15, 8100:13, 8100:20, 8101:21, 8102:18, 8102:22, 8103:7, 8105:19, 8106:6, 8106:17, 8106:19, 8113:12, 8113:18, 8121:15, 8121:16, 8121:22, 8122:8, 8123:3, 8126:7, 8126:9, 8126:13, 8127:8, 8127:17, 8130:15, 8137:19, 8139:12, 8140:9, 8140:12, 8140:14,

8140:20, 8141:2, 8141:8, 8141:10, 8141:24, 8142:3, 8142:5, 8143:25, 8146:11, 8147:11, 8149:2, 8149:16, 8149:19, 8149:24, 8150:2, 8150:3, 8150:10, 8153:1, 8153:17, 8153:19, 8158:14, 8160:10, 8160:19, 8160:25, 8161:18, 8162:2, 8162:8, 8162:11, 8162:15 cases [15] - 7863:23, 7885:9, 7885:12, 7888:16, 7889:7, 7928:16, 7928:17, 7956:21, 7956:22, 7961:6, 7961:19, 7980:16, 8003:2, 8010:24, 8137:21 Casey [3] - 8086:19, 8086:21 cash [13] - 7933:16, 7934:10, 7942:13, 7959:8, 7962:23, 7964:5, 7999:14, 8003:5, 8020:24, 8020:25, 8021:10, 8145:8, 8145:10 cat [1] - 7963:4 catch [5] - 8002:21, 8131:19, 8132:22, 8135:21, 8142:14 catches [1] - 8142:25 categorized [1] -7972:16 categorizing [1] -7972:19 caught [14] - 7961:13, 7961:14, 7985:2, 7990:7, 7993:2, 8006:25, 8007:11, 8021:25, 8129:21, 8130:2, 8134:8, 8135:14, 8135:22, 8146:16 causation [10] -7909:6, 7936:17, 7937:5, 7995:6, 8001:22, 8002:5, 8002:7, 8003:12, 8018:5, 8098:17 caused [18] - 7906:19, 7932:13, 7936:23, 7937:7, 7937:8,

7943:20, 7949:20,

7995:25, 7996:1,

PageID: 24770 8002:5, 8003:4, 8018:15, 8018:17, 8020:5, 8060:21, 8061:1, 8091:9, 8094:20 causes [4] - 7941:15, 8003:6. 8024:20. 8116:22 causing [1] - 7919:24 cavalier [1] - 7875:3 caveat [2] - 7868:15, 7879:5 celebration [1] -8145:13 cell [4] - 7921:21, 7922:4, 8076:4, 8149:20 certain [27] - 7866:10, 7868:15, 7881:5, 7883:4, 7924:20, 7925:13, 7929:7, 7929:21, 7932:22, 7940:11, 7993:11, 7994:9, 7994:13, 8000:4, 8005:23, 8037:4, 8046:1, 8090:7. 8102:11. 8102:13, 8102:16, 8105:2, 8105:6, 8119:15, 8121:13, 8151:10, 8154:13 certainly [8] -7857:22, 7911:21, 7912:16, 7965:15, 8036:1, 8062:18, 8071:12, 8121:25 certainty [1] - 7943:10 CERTIFICATE [1] -8164:1 certify [4] - 8079:9, 8079:13, 8118:2, 8164:4 certifying [1] - 8061:5 Cesario [18] - 7940:5, 7986:1, 7986:11, 7987:12, 7987:23, 7988:4, 7988:5, 7992:9, 7992:11, 8008:14, 8008:17, 8012:13, 8015:18, 8084:11, 8085:16,

8085:20, 8086:9,

cetera [4] - 7863:10,

CFRs [1] - 7862:5

chain [1] - 8146:12

7864:10, 7871:17,

8088:19

8143:21

7909.6

cessation [1] -

challenges [1] -7885:25 chambers [2] -8160:16 chance [6] - 7844:15, 7877:9, 7952:14, 8045:7, 8045:9, 8150:8 chances [1] - 7907:6 change [28] - 7848:4, 7848:7, 7849:15, 7849:20, 7850:7, 7851:4, 7882:7, 7885:11, 7886:2, 7886:25, 7889:20, 7913:9, 7950:5, 7966:5, 7995:13, 7996:15, 8003:6, 8010:13, 8037:9, 8067:21, 8069:22, 8090:20, 8110:17, 8131:19, 8133:3, 8141:3, 8149:6, 8149:9 changed [12] -7852:11, 7886:3, 7976:4, 7995:9, 8004:10, 8067:24, 8068:5, 8068:9, 8069:1, 8071:9, 8114:9, 8117:23 changes [7] -7871:15, 7883:10, 7890:5, 7891:6, 7896:1, 8114:8, 8114:14 Changes [1] - 7908:19 changing [8] -7882:12, 7887:9, 7890:17, 7901:19, 8071:6, 8131:25, 8132:1, 8140:6 character [1] -8011:23 charge [1] - 8126:2 chart [12] - 8002:13, 8062:14, 8073:21, 8080:20, 8080:21, 8080:23, 8081:2, 8081:4, 8081:12, 8081:14 Charts [2] - 7929:11, 7929:19 charts [10] - 7929:14, 7929:17, 7929:21, 7929:25, 7930:2, 7930:6, 8109:25, 8116:17, 8117:6 **chasing** [1] - 8015:20 chat [5] - 7922:9,

8149:22, 8157:24, 8158:20, 8159:2 check [3] - 8102:8, 8102:12, 8102:14 checking [12] -8060:13. 8065:9. 8066:4. 8106:22. 8107:19, 8107:22, 8114:18, 8114:21, 8122:7, 8122:16, 8126:5, 8126:10 **checks** [1] - 8086:1 cherry [3] - 7889:6, 7974:12, 8049:17 cherry-pick [3] -7889:6, 7974:12, 8049:17 chief [4] - 7863:18, 7865:13, 7974:2, 8144:6 choice [1] - 8155:20 cholesterol [8] -7959:17, 7977:8, 7977:21, 7977:24, 8109:15. 8109:20. 8110:1, 8140:17 choose [3] - 7888:20, 8059:21 chosen [1] - 8053:8 Chrissy [8] - 7972:22, 7985:12, 7986:6, 7990:10, 7995:4, 8010:6, 8054:14, 8141:25 Christine [4] -7919:21, 7955:22, 7956:1, 7993:17 CIA [2] - 8106:23, 8107:17 CIAs [5] - 7849:23, 8107:3, 8107:13, 8127:15 circle [1] - 7969:13 circuit [4] - 7885:9, 7886:25 Circuit [2] - 7886:23, 7887:2 **Circuit's** [1] - 7886:3 circulated [2] -7968:10, 8089:2 circumstance [1] -8151:3 circumstances [3] -7888:25, 7893:1, 7943:14 Circumstantial [1] -7925:19 circumstantial [4] -7926:6, 7926:7, 7926:11, 7926:15

citations [2] - 7881:5, 7932:22 cite [2] - 7865:21, 8109:15 cited [1] - 8038:10 cites [1] - 8011:18 citing [1] - 8011:25 citizen [3] - 7919:15, 7919:16, 7919:17 civil [8] - 7927:18, 7928:16, 7955:18, 7955:19, 8017:2, 8017:5, 8017:8, 8018:12 Civil [2] - 7870:10, 7877:5 CIVIL [1] - 7842:3 claim [50] - 7887:17, 7888:10, 7907:5, 7907:11, 7910:10, 7910:19, 7911:7, 7911:11, 7919:22, 7920:10, 7927:23, 7928:5, 7928:6, 7932:1, 7932:15, 7932:17, 7932:25, 7933:1, 7933:5, 7933:7, 7936:24, 7937:1, 7937:9, 7941:16, 7948:23, 7977:20, 7978:8, 7984:5, 8018:8, 8020:20, 8022:3, 8061:5, 8062:4, 8062:19, 8068:19, 8075:9, 8075:10, 8075:15, 8090:25, 8091:9, 8092:6, 8092:8, 8093:2, 8094:11, 8111:18, 8112:1, 8113:14, 8113:15, 8113:21, 8124:16 claiming [3] - 7995:7, 8097:23, 8104:13 Claims [106] -7850:21, 7852:9, 7888:16, 7888:23, 7899:12, 7900:10, 7900:13, 7901:12, 7902:13, 7904:4, 7904:5, 7904:22, 7905:15, 7907:12, 7908:24, 7909:25, 7910:7, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14. 7919:15. 7919:23. 7931:24. 7932:6, 7932:8, 7932:9, 7932:11,

8173

7022-4 7022-7
7933:4, 7933:7,
7933:9, 7934:3,
7934:12, 7935:1,
7935:13, 7935:18,
7935:23, 7936:7,
7936:9, 7936:13,
7936:15, 7936:20,
7937:4, 7937:15,
7937:23, 7940:19,
7940:20, 7941:2,
7941:6, 7941:12,
7941:13, 7941:14,
7941:18, 7941:20,
7941:23, 7942:2,
7942:5, 7942:7,
7942:9, 7942:18,
7943:1, 7943:17,
7943:25, 7944:6,
7944:13, 7944:20, 7944:21, 7945:3,
7944:21, 7945:3,
7947:16, 7949:21,
7949:25, 7952:1,
7956:3, 7988:24,
7989:9, 7989:25,
8001:19, 8002:2,
8002:16, 8006:24,
8017:21, 8017:23,
8018:12, 8019:23,
8020:4, 8020:16,
8022:8, 8022:22,
8022:24, 8023:12,
8103:10, 8126:1,
8127:22, 8127:23,
8136:20, 8136:21,
8136:22, 8136:25,
8137:2, 8137:4,
8137:7, 8137:15,
8137:17, 8137:19,
8141:9
claims [145] - 7848:20,
7850:20, 7879:16,
7887:19, 7888:11,
7896:3, 7896:4,
7896:8, 7896:12,
7006.03 7000.04
7896:23, 7899:21, 7899:22, 7899:23,
7900:2, 7900:18,
7901:13, 7902:6,
7902:12, 7906:9, 7906:15, 7906:17,
7906:15, 7906:17,
7906:23, 7906:24,
7907:6, 7907:13,
7907:16, 7907:17,
7908:2, 7909:16,
7910:3, 7910:17,
7910:23, 7911:8,
7912:6, 7912:14,
7012.0, 7312.14,
7912:17, 7913:2, 7914:11, 7914:13,
7914.11, 7914.13,
7914:15, 7919:15,
7919:18, 7919:24,

7921:1, 7923:8, 7932:13, 7933:8, 7933:20, 7933:23, 7935:5, 7935:8, 7936:23, 7937:7, 7937:11, 7937:12, 7939:4, 7939:14, 7940:21, 7941:7, 7943:19, 7943:20, 7943:23, 7944:23, 7944:25, 7945:4, 7946:20, 7946:24, 7947:4, 7947:9, 7947:17, 7948:6, 7948:13, 7948:18, 7948:19, 7948:21, 7949:1, 7949:7, 7949:8, 7949:16, 7949:19, 7951:5, 7951:13, 7951:25, 7952:2, 7952:10, 7952:13, 7960:21, 7978:10, 7979:20, 7979:21, 7979:22, 7986:16, 7986:20, 7990:4, 7999:8, 7999:25, 8000:9, 8000:25, 8001:9, 8001:15, 8010:5, 8010:11, 8013:20, 8015:7, 8018:17, 8018:19, 8018:23, 8019:16, 8019:17, 8019:18, 8020:1, 8020:3, 8021:6, 8022:11, 8022:14, 8040:17, 8053:18, 8059:25, 8060:17, 8061:1, 8061:16, 8061:25, 8062:8, 8063:17, 8065:1, 8075:15, 8091:8, 8091:14, 8093:8, 8094:18, 8111:13, 8124:9, 8126:3, 8136:20, 8141:18, 8141:22, 8141:23, 8142:1, 8142:6, 8147:17, 8148:13 Clairmont [2] -8012:19, 8013:5 clarification [2] -7888:3, 7915:14 clarified [1] - 7887:25 **clarifies** [1] - 7886:24 clarify [3] - 7884:23, 7884:24, 7948:17 clarity [2] - 7949:5, 7950:22

Clarkson [1] - 7842:8

class [9] - 7961:7, 8006:22, 8007:10, 8101:1, 8101:9, 8101:11, 8101:14, 8101:18, 8103:4 classes [5] - 7989:15, 8005:5, 8005:24, 8007:7, 8007:8 **cleanup** [1] - 7863:22 clear [35] - 7854:4, 7856:21, 7868:6, 7868:10, 7868:20, 7869:2, 7874:12, 7885:2, 7893:1, 7896:21, 7907:1, 7907:8, 7907:9, 7912:16, 7914:1, 7948:18, 7948:24, 7951:2, 7951:9, 7951:10, 7951:15, 7951:19, 7952:9, 7985:9, 8040:6, 8050:23, 8052:13, 8055:10, 8081:2, 8102:18, 8116:14, 8121:1, 8143:10, 8150:14, 8152:2 cleared [2] - 8119:3, 8119:8 clearly [3] - 7864:11, 7904:20, 8083:25 CLERK [16] - 7843:4, 7846:5, 7898:13, 7916:19, 7945:19, 7953:24, 7954:1, 8026:1, 8030:18, 8057:25, 8058:10, 8128:8, 8129:5, 8157:8, 8158:6, 8163:1 clerks [3] - 7863:6, 8160:17, 8160:18 click [1] - 7902:14 client [3] - 8028:19, 8056:16, 8160:8 clients [8] - 7925:5, 8027:6, 8027:11, 8027:14, 8051:15, 8146:24, 8160:6, 8161:22 clients' [1] - 7918:15 clinical [1] - 7986:22 clip [3] - 7994:19, 8074:19, 8076:7 clips [2] - 7857:12, 8096:19 clogged [1] - 7979:6 close [22] - 7863:24, 7866:7, 7897:11, 7897:16, 7897:17,

8012:16, 8024:21, 8028:23, 8031:13, 8048:16, 8050:11, 8054:5, 8059:16, 8060:2, 8060:3, 8060:7, 8084:14, 8126:6, 8128:23, 8154:7, 8154:19, 8156:24 closed [7] - 7866:8, 7868:8, 7874:21, 8034:16, 8035:7, 8048:14, 8049:11 closer [1] - 8000:13 closing [76] - 7845:17, 7860:9, 7863:14, 7865:20, 7865:24, 7865:25, 7866:15, 7867:3, 7869:4, 7872:22, 7884:3, 7897:8, 7898:2, 7898:18, 7912:22, 7914:8, 7914:23, 7915:20, 7915:23, 7917:2, 7917:8, 7918:7, 7918:14, 7918:16, 7923:11, 7930:1, 7945:12, 7953:2, 7953:16, 7953:20, 7954:22, 7955:4, 8025:16, 8027:8, 8027:12, 8027:13, 8028:18, 8028:25, 8030:12, 8031:16, 8034:7, 8034:10, 8034:13, 8034:19, 8035:3, 8035:8, 8038:5, 8038:21, 8038:22, 8039:1, 8041:6, 8041:17, 8045:8, 8047:19, 8048:8, 8049:20, 8050:7, 8050:9, 8050:12, 8050:21, 8050:24, 8052:12, 8054:3, 8055:18, 8056:20, 8057:2, 8057:13, 8058:6, 8058:13, 8058:16, 8077:8, 8129:24, 8134:4, 8134:9, 8134:17, 8148:19 closings [3] -7844:16, 7898:24, 7954:6 clue [1] - 8018:24 **CMS** [56] - 7875:12, 8031:4, 8031:6,

8038:16, 8039:19,

8040:7, 8040:20, 8040:22, 8042:15, 8043:6, 8043:24, 8044:24, 8045:11, 8046:8, 8046:10, 8047:10, 8047:12, 8061:11, 8061:12, 8061:25, 8062:6, 8062:12, 8062:18, 8062:23, 8063:3, 8063:5, 8063:10, 8063:13, 8090:4, 8091:15, 8093:8, 8093:20, 8093:21, 8101:18, 8101:19, 8102:4, 8102:5, 8102:9, 8102:10, 8102:19. 8102:25. 8104:4, 8126:3. 8126:4, 8126:21, 8126:23, 8127:2, 8127:7, 8138:8, 8142:17, 8142:19, 8143:20 CMS's [1] - 7879:23 CMS-related [1] -8031:6 **co** [1] - 7905:19 co-plaintiffs [1] -7905:19 Coast [1] - 8124:2 coast [2] - 8060:9 coauthored [2] -8012:5, 8012:6 cocktail [1] - 8095:9 cold [1] - 8106:24 colleague [1] -7970:12 colleagues [9] -7955:23, 8011:9, 8066:16, 8088:13, 8125:13, 8160:19, 8160:22, 8161:22 colleagues' [2] -8066:11, 8160:16 collect [1] - 7968:2 collecting [1] -8066:10 collection [1] -8091:19 collectively [3] -7879:14, 7912:24, 7945:8 Colorado [2] -7940:22, 8137:18 Columbia [10] -7899:19, 7901:11, 7911:16, 7919:11, 7941:1, 7944:2,

7944:4, 7944:24,

7947:18, 8043:9 column [1] - 8082:16 combination [1] -8095:9 combined [1] -7985:17 comfortable [9] -7891:12, 7891:15, 7910:25, 7912:22, 7917:10, 7951:22, 7954:21, 8117:19 coming [18] - 7845:25, 7846:2, 7877:24, 7898:2, 7918:4, 7919:7, 8010:20, 8022:10, 8032:3, 8042:5, 8047:17, 8082:24, 8092:8, 8112:16, 8115:11, 8131:21, 8153:9, 8156:7 Commencing [1] -7842:10 comment [10] -7845:15, 7982:6, 8013:13, 8042:14, 8042:15. 8042:16. 8082:1, 8082:5, 8082:8, 8161:7 commentary [1] -7855:10 commented [1] -8159:24 comments [8] -7884:15, 7950:25, 8036:18, 8042:12, 8043:1, 8043:25, 8044:12, 8109:1 commercial [1] -7964:12 commission [1] -7890:12 commitments [1] -8078:25 committed [1] -8130:22 Committee [1] -8111:11 committee [2] -8115:9, 8115:20 common [5] -7924:16, 7990:20, 8122:13, 8122:14, 8123:23 communicate [12] -7921:24, 7922:1, 7922:4, 7922:6, 8149:17, 8149:23, 8150:2, 8150:16, 8150:17, 8150:21,

8148:1 8138:2 8121:10 8117:17

8150:25, 8156:8 - 8053:21 comped [1] - 7976:13 communicating [1] compendia [3] communication [23] -7881:5. 7883:4. 7969:1. 7969:13. 7932:22 7972:12. 7987:7. compensate [1] -8009:11, 8150:15, 8121:23 8151:8, 8151:20, compensated [1] -8151:25, 8152:5, 7987:23 8152:9, 8154:19, compensation [7] -8154:25, 8155:8, 7958:23, 7959:3, 8155:9, 8155:11, 7987:25, 7998:11, 8155:17, 8157:10, 8085:7, 8118:23 8157:13, 8157:17, compete [1] - 7987:17 8157:20, 8158:9 competitive [1] communications [7] -7963:21 7978:11, 7982:4, competitively [1] -8008:5, 8012:17, 7968:4 8012:18, 8051:15, competitor [2] -7920:9, 7984:12 community [2] competitors [1] -7980:1, 8114:3 8110:9 companies [11] complained [2] -7956:21, 7957:6, 8069:7, 8106:10 7959:9, 7960:6, complaining [7] -7960:8, 7960:24, 8064:4, 8064:10, 7962:23, 7975:22, 8065:13, 8080:2, 8002:17, 8006:1, 8098:1, 8104:11, 8107:2 company [39] complaint [6] -7862:22, 7864:11, 8013:8, 8013:14, 7870:21, 7956:14, 8051:16, 8052:5, 7956:15, 7958:14, 8113:20, 8113:22 7960:1, 7960:13, complaints [3] -7961:20, 7962:1, 7988:3, 7988:4, 7966:25, 7970:8, 7988:6 7984:20, 7990:14, Complera [1] -7993:22. 7996:21. 8125:10 8007:19. 8010:17. complete [9] -8011:7, 8014:25, 7872:19, 8012:7, 8031:21, 8059:21, 8013:15, 8013:22, 8070:22, 8078:11, 8079:15, 8084:6, 8079:22, 8082:12, 8084:18, 8108:4, 8082:13, 8089:17, 8137:11 8121:4, 8125:5, completed [1] -8134:7, 8134:14, 8152:17 8134:23, 8135:4, completely [10] -8137:24, 8140:14, 7847:9, 7872:7, 8141:14, 8144:12 7885:2, 7910:19, company's [3] -7949:2, 8026:14, 7970:15, 8054:12, 8026:19, 8028:9, 8052:16, 8130:14 comparable [2] completeness [1] -7992:4, 7996:14 8013:11 compare [1] - 8099:2 compliance [33] compared [2] -7865:10, 7882:9, 7998:10, 7998:16 7960:15, 7960:18, comparing [1] -7974:21, 7986:3, 8004:14 7986:4, 7986:9,

compartmentalize [2]

8007:24, 8008:2, 8008:8, 8008:9, 8015:8, 8019:12, 8064:23, 8065:7, 8068:14, 8085:6, 8087:14, 8087:20, 8106:2, 8107:8, 8115:21, 8118:16, 8118:22, 8119:2, 8119:3, 8119:8, 8121:8, 8122:3, 8135:14 compliant [1] -8120:24 complicated [1] -8073:4 complications [1] -7979:6 complied [1] -8107:12 comply [1] - 8121:6 complying [3] -8065:17, 8107:16, 8131:8 comprises [1] -8043:14 compromise [2] -7891:3, 7891:19 computer [3] -7842:25, 7922:5, 8149:20 computer-aided [1] -7842:25 computers [2] -7921:23, 8011:5 concede [2] - 7952:8, 8043:23 conceded [3] -8112:10, 8119:17, 8124:10 concept [4] - 7960:9, 7960:14, 7997:4, 8003:7 concern [29] - 7864:3, 7864:21, 7876:6, 7887:21, 7889:18, 7890:3, 7892:3, 7892:16, 7892:23, 7893:15, 7898:21, 7899:3, 7916:6, 7946:1, 7951:19, 7952:5, 7952:7, 7990:16, 7993:7, 7993:10, 8036:13, 8036:15, 8042:19, 8043:19, 8048:12, 8048:13, 8118:22, 8128:24, 8129:1 concerned [5] -

7897:25, 7959:11, 8063:8 concerning [3] -7938:13, 7940:5, 8016:1 concerns [14] -7844:2, 7862:9, 7893:8, 7899:9, 7906:9, 7913:11, 7952:8, 7986:8, 8048:1, 8049:13, 8065:14, 8068:17, 8070:11, 8087:17 concession [1] -8119:21 conclude [3] -7926:11, 7939:20, 8154:16 concludes [1] -8163:2 conclusion [7] -7923:8, 7924:20, 7924:21, 8088:18, 8089:8, 8144:17, 8144:18 concocted [1] -7965:7 condensed [6] -7895:23, 7896:20, 7906:20, 7911:3, 7911:19 condition [11] -7881:10, 7881:24, 7882:2, 7926:5, 8092:16, 8092:20, 8092:22, 8092:24, 8102:8, 8105:4, 8112:13 conditions [2] -8105:2, 8105:5 Conduct [4] -7921:10, 8026:17, 8051:1, 8052:4 conduct [30] -7921:11, 7931:23, 7937:8, 7937:10, 7937:14, 7938:21, 7938:22, 7938:24, 7938:25, 7939:1, 7939:3, 7939:19, 7939:23, 7960:23, 7990:5, 8001:5, 8003:1, 8018:20, 8019:1, 8020:9, 8021:20, 8024:15, 8054:13, 8054:20, 8055:7. 8060:21. 8127:15. 8139:20. 8142:14, 8149:24 conducted [2] -7878:7, 7890:17,

7986:16, 7988:20,

7988:25, 7995:16 confer [7] - 7861:1, 7876:5, 7897:6, 7897:22, 7898:7, 7914:18, 8159:6 conference [5] -7923:21, 7923:22, 7964:24, 8077:24, 8078:2 conferences [5] -7923:13, 7923:15. 7923:18, 7979:12, 8144:24 conferred [5] -7846:11, 7848:18, 7899:8, 8029:15 confessing [1] -8014:4 confident [2] - 8003:9, 8134:15 confines [1] - 7923:6 confirm [1] - 8070:6 confirmed [2] -7948:5, 8071:2 conform [1] - 8146:10 conformed [1] -7950:23 conforms [2] -8146:10, 8146:12 confronted [1] -8019:14 confuse [1] - 7858:15 confused [3] -7864:25, 7951:20, 7951:21 confusing [11] -7857:25, 7896:20, 7902:3, 8036:19, 8037:23, 8041:14, 8042:6, 8043:25, 8047:20, 8049:16, 8109:18 confusion [2] -8041:20, 8047:16 congressional [1] -7885:24 connected [7] -8014:18, 8067:6, 8067:14, 8123:6, 8132:9, 8132:11, 8132:21 Connecticut [1] -7940:22 connection [7] -7933:1, 7942:7, 7949:9, 8014:22, 8036:9, 8067:13, 8107:3 conscious [1] -

7942:21

consensus [1] -7979:25 consequence [2] -7937:13, 8019:1 consequences [1] -7949-11 conservative [3] -8000:4, 8000:10, 8080:15 conservatives [1] -7972:17 consider [41] -7877:13, 7892:2, 7892:4, 7892:5, 7892:19, 7893:13, 7893:22, 7893:24, 7894:2, 7894:8, 7923:21, 7924:17, 7925:15, 7926:13, 7926:24, 7928:10, 7929:17, 7930:11, 7930:19, 7931:3, 7932:18, 7936:12, 7938:19, 7939:5, 7939:8, 7939:17, 7944:18, 8024:8, 8024:14, 8024:15, 8028:25, 8035:10, 8041:15, 8046:21, 8068:3, 8091:15, 8091:18, 8102:6, 8107:14, 8114:7, 8148:20 consideration [2] -7931:20, 8149:3 considered [9] -7854:10, 7893:5, 7910:13, 7927:10, 7940:14, 7978:11, 7982:7. 7983:3. 8151:13 considering [3] -7855:5, 7928:5, 7969:23 considers [1] -8042:15 consistency [4] -7873:5, 7948:3, 7948:4, 7950:14 consistent [39] -7852:16, 7852:17, 7861:22, 7872:10, 7889:4, 7895:7, 7896:10, 7909:24, 7913:4, 7914:5, 7914:10, 7946:17, 7947:19. 7948:2. 7950:8. 7965:16. 7986:18, 7986:20, 8033:17, 8047:1,

8047:8, 8047:12, 8067:18, 8071:12, 8073:10, 8073:11, 8073:15, 8073:17, 8073:25, 8075:3, 8075:15, 8076:23, 8088:22, 8111:22, 8111:23, 8134:14, 8142:7 consists [1] - 7924:2 conspiracy [17] -8060:10, 8062:9, 8066:2, 8066:6, 8070:1, 8071:4, 8074:6, 8074:17, 8074:25, 8075:14, 8083:8, 8112:18, 8122:15, 8123:11, 8123:13, 8125:18, 8127:13 constantly [1] -7968:17 constitutes [1] -8111:15 consult [2] - 7923:2, 8148:25 consumer [9] -8109:10, 8109:11, 8109:12, 8109:19, 8110:1, 8110:2, 8110:3, 8110:22, 8111:2 consumers [1] -7982:10 contact [7] - 7998:14, 8061:17, 8092:4, 8092:13, 8098:3, 8112:25, 8126:24 contacted [5] -7999:1, 8061:15, 8091:8, 8092:3, 8138:10 contacting [1] -7977:11 contacts [3] -7998:22, 7998:25, 8061:14 contain [1] - 7980:13 containing [2] -7930:9, 7978:9 contains [1] - 8077:22 contentious [1] -8053:14 contents [2] -7917:25, 7929:23 contested [1] - 7854:9 contesting [1] -7854:1 context [14] - 8042:18, 8043:2, 8043:4,

8046:12, 8047:18, 8047:24, 8048:2, 8049:18, 8049:22, 8049:23, 8077:4, 8077:23, 8084:5, 8110:7 contextualized [1] -8048:12 contextualizing [1] -8111:4 contingent [1] -8119:13 continually [1] -7999:10 continue [14] -7982:16, 8040:5, 8058:13, 8063:13, 8129:8, 8151:4, 8153:22, 8154:2, 8154:4, 8154:9, 8155:3, 8155:5, 8155:18, 8156:16 continued [5] -7982:14, 7982:17, 8030:11, 8068:19, 8068:20 continues [2] -8063:4, 8063:6 continuing [1] -7871:2 continuity [1] - 7873:5 continuously [1] -8112:21 contract [1] - 7972:3 contracts [4] - 8118:1, 8118:2, 8118:3, 8118:11 contradict [3] -7939:7, 7978:21, 8046:23 contradicted [1] -7927:7 contradicts [2] -8047:1, 8047:10 contraindicated [1] -7979:3 contrary [2] - 7876:14, 7920:17 contrition [1] - 8025:9 Control [1] - 7899:17 control [2] - 7924:22, 8098:12 controversial [2] -7876:15, 7876:16 conversation [6] -8069:16, 8070:24, 8071:3, 8082:19, 8087:6, 8096:18 Conversation [1] -7931:1

conversations [2] -7931:2, 7992:17 conversely [1] -7942:1 convey[1] - 8153:4 convictions [1] -8149.8 convince [3] -8011:23, 8134:18, 8139.10 cooker [1] - 7964:15 cooperation [1] -8114:19 coordinator [2] -7899:16, 7899:17 copied [1] - 8073:18 copies [2] - 7917:21, 7945:9 copy [8] - 7846:22, 7863:4, 7898:14, 7912:4, 7950:20, 7950:22, 8035:14, 8044:9 Corporate [17] -7931:13, 7938:6, 7938:9, 7938:11, 7938:17, 7939:6, 7939:15, 7939:17, 7946:8, 8007:22, 8024:5, 8063:21, 8063:22, 8063:25, 8064:14, 8065:5, 8065:20 corporate [16] -7849:5, 7850:1, 7931:19, 7984:23, 7989:14, 7994:2, 8007:14, 8060:11, 8064:22, 8106:19, 8114:19, 8131:8, 8131:9, 8131:13, 8135:18, 8135:22 corporation [7] -7931:14. 7931:15. 7931:17, 7931:18. 7931:22, 7932:5, 7959:23 correct [41] - 7848:13, 7849:19, 7852:10, 7855:20, 7861:17, 7867:6, 7880:16, 7886:7, 7888:9, 7896:22, 7900:9, 7916:15, 7946:11, 7947:10, 7947:11, 7947:13, 7947:23, 7948:8, 7948:9, 7949:3, 7950:10, 7958:5, 7958:6, 7981:19, 7982:5,

7982:8, 7982:15,
7982:19, 8007:15,
8033:5, 8034:19,
8041:25, 8042:1,
8044:18, 8070:12,
8070:14, 8071:1,
8081:11, 8092:21,
8092:25, 8164:4
corrected [1] - 8070:3
correctly [3] -
7923:20, 7930:5,
8038:13
correspondences [1]
- 7854:13
corrosive[1] -
7962:19
corrupted [2] -
7961:3, 7967:2
corrupting [1] -
8139:6
corrupts [1] - 8143:9
cost [4] - 7988:22,
7989:7, 7989:21,
7989:24
Counsel [1] - 7914:9
counsel [51] -
7844:19, 7846:14,
7866:21, 7867:1,
7876:5, 7903:23,
7904:16, 7916:12,
7916:18, 7945:15,
7954:23, 8009:21,
8026:12, 8026:15,
8027:1, 8028:1,
8028:7, 8029:3,
8033:24, 8034:6,
8035:2, 8036:25,
8037:14, 8037:16,
8046:3, 8046:4,
8051:16, 8051:22,
8051:25, 8052:13,
8053:1, 8053:14,
8055:7, 8055:10,
8055:12, 8055:21,
8056:6, 8056:17,
8073:7, 8077:20,
8086:24, 8130:19,
8134:17, 8139:23,
8159:22, 8160:1,
8160:6, 8161:8,
8162:17
counsel's [2] - 8040:2,
8077:8
count [2] - 7951:5,
8000:10
counter [1] - 7865:15
country [18] - 7957:5,
7961:8, 7961:12, 7974:16, 7990:15,
7974.16, 7990.15,
1000.21, 1001.2,
i

7992:17, 7993:4,
7993:6, 8014:18,
8014:21, 8083:3,
8099:18, 8123:20,
8125:2, 8127:10,
8132:20
couple [10] - 7854:12,
7863:24, 7872:4,
7884:18, 7900:7,
7904:19, 8032:2,
8043:5, 8103:1,
8106:23
course [58] - 7854:13,
7866:21, 7903:24,
7907:23, 7908:24,
7911:12, 7919:2,
7932:4, 7939:9,
7939:25, 7956:25,
7962:12, 7962:13,
7962:18, 7966:1,
7968:24, 7970:1,
7972:21, 7972:23,
7975:12, 7976:1,
7976:24, 7983:8,
7085·10 7004·15
7985:10, 7994:15, 7994:24, 7995:9,
7995:10, 7995:18,
8002:20, 8008:3,
8014:1, 8017:11,
8019:15, 8021:9,
8021:24, 8024:3,
8024:15, 8056:5,
8061:15, 8067:7,
8084:9, 8087:4,
8105:25, 8114:9,
8116:2, 8116:16,
8116:18, 8117:13,
8138:11, 8138:12,
8138:24, 8138:25,
8141:18, 8141:19,
8143:19, 8146:22, 8149:5
COURT [356] - 7842:1,
7843:4, 7843:5,
7843:12, 7843:19,
7844:5, 7845:3,
7845:7, 7846:5, 7846:6, 7846:15,
7846:18, 7846:23,
7847:3, 7847:7,
7847:14, 7847:21, 7848:2, 7848:9,
7848:2, 7848:9, 7848:11, 7848:14,
7848:23, 7849:2,
7849:7, 7849:15, 7849:20, 7850:3,
7850:6, 7850:11,
7850:14, 7850:16,
7850:24, 7851:2,
7851:5, 7851:8, 7851:21 7852:2

7851:21, 7852:2,

7852:13, 7852:23,
7853:4, 7853:15, 7854:17, 7854:20,
7854:25, 7855:3,
7855:13, 7855:21,
7856:3, 7856:12, 7856:21, 7857:17,
7858:4, 7858:8,
7858:10, 7858:14, 7858:24, 7859:6,
7859:17, 7860:3,
7859:17, 7860:3, 7860:13, 7860:19,
7860:23, 7861:4, 7861:10, 7861:18,
7862:9, 7862:25,
7862:9, 7862:25, 7863:5, 7863:11,
7864:3, 7864:12, 7864:18, 7864:21,
7865:10, 7865:17,
7866:4, 7867:4,
7867:8, 7867:11, 7868:3, 7868:9,
7868:25, 7869:13,
7868:25, 7869:13, 7869:15, 7869:18, 7869:22, 7870:13,
7869:22, 7870:13, 7870:23, 7871:6,
7871:10, 7871:13,
7871:18, 7871:24, 7872:15, 7872:25,
7872:15, 7872:25, 7873:8, 7873:12,
7873:14, 7874:1,
7874:5, 7874:10, 7874:23, 7875:4,
7875:7, 7875:20,
7875:24, 7876:10,
7876:18, 7877:11,
7877:17, 7878:1, 7878:15, 7879:1,
7879:3, 7879:12,
7880:15, 7880:18, 7880:21, 7880:23,
7881:1, 7881:14,
7881:19, 7881:21,
7882:2, 7882:5,
7882:14, 7882:17, 7882:21, 7883:7,
7883:13, 7883:19,
7884:2, 7884:5, 7884:8, 7884:12,
7884:15, 7884:19,
7884:22, 7885:16,
7886:4, 7886:8, 7886:14, 7886:16,
7886:18, 7886:20,
7887:3, 7887:6,
7887:15, 7888:5, 7888:13, 7888:24,
7889:14, 7889:22, 7889:24, 7890:2,
7889:24, 7890:2,
7890:5, 7891:6, 7892:11, 7893:17,
,,

7894:14, 7894:20, 7895:4, 7895:12, 7895:20, 7897:10, 7897:13, 7897:20, 7898:13, 7898:14, 7898:17, 7899:11, 7900:3, 7900:5, 7900:24, 7901:2, 7901:22, 7902:3, 7902:21, 7903:2, 7903:10, 7903:20, 7904:18, 7905:21, 7905:25, 7906:3, 7906:5, 7907:15, 7907:25, 7908:5, 7908:11, 7909:14, 7909:18, 7909:22, 7910:8, 7911:18, 7911:23, 7912:4, 7912:21, 7913:3, 7913:8, 7913:22, 7913:25, 7914:17, 7914:25, 7915:12, 7915:16, 7915:25, 7916:8, 7916:17, 7916:19, 7916:21, 7945:19, 7945:21, 7946:4, 7946:7, 7946:16, 7946:23, 7947:5, 7947:12, 7947:23, 7947:25, 7948:10, 7948:20, 7949:4, 7949:17, 7949:23, 7950:3, 7950:11, 7951:10, 7952:4, 7952:18, 7953:8, 7953:10, 7953:14, 7953:19, 7953:22, 7953:24, 7954:1, 7954:3, 7954:21, 7955:7, 8025:13, 8026:1, 8026:3, 8026:10, 8026:22, 8027:3, 8027:17, 8028:10, 8028:20, 8029:9, 8029:21, 8030:1, 8030:18, 8030:20, 8031:15, 8031:18, 8031:24, 8032:7, 8032:12, 8032:17, 8033:2, 8033:6, 8033:11, 8033:22, 8034:10, 8034:22, 8035:1, 8035:14, 8035:17, 8035:23, 8036:2, 8036:11, 8036:15, 8037:22, 8038:17, 8038:20, 8039:13, 8039:16, 8039:21, 8039:24,

8041:10, 8042:2, 8042:5, 8042:10, 8042:21, 8042:25, 8043:17, 8043:22, 8044:7, 8044:9, 8044:13, 8045:13, 8045:18, 8046:3, 8046:12, 8046:15, 8046:20, 8047:3, 8047:13, 8048:18, 8049:7, 8049:12, 8050:7, 8050:18, 8052:3, 8052:19, 8053:3, 8053:7, 8054:17, 8055:4, 8055:9, 8055:16, 8055:24, 8056:1, 8056:11, 8056:25, 8057:6, 8057:9, 8057:15, 8057:19, 8057:22, 8057:25, 8058:2, 8058:5, 8058:9, 8058:10, 8058:12, 8058:18, 8128:6, 8128:8, 8128:10, 8128:13, 8128:17, 8128:20, 8128:22, 8129:4, 8129:5, 8129:7, 8147:9, 8156:4, 8156:5, 8156:12, 8156:20, 8156:22, 8157:8, 8157:9, 8158:3, 8158:6, 8158:8, 8158:23, 8159:12, 8159:19, 8162:24, 8163:1, 8164:1 Court [44] - 7842:23, 7853:10, 7854:10, 7854:13, 7859:18, 7859:22, 7862:13, 7865:3, 7866:17, 7867:17, 7868:10, 7884:25, 7885:6, 7887:25, 7890:8, 7904:17, 7914:24, 7950:23, 7978:16, 7982:22, 8015:24, 8026:20, 8029:11, 8033:10, 8034:12, 8037:8, 8038:11, 8039:6, 8039:11, 8043:9, 8045:16, 8047:15, 8048:19, 8052:17, 8056:6, 8056:8, 8126:8, 8137:18, 8144:5, 8148:2, 8150:18, 8163:2, 8164:12 court [30] - 7843:1,

7915:15, 7919:18,
7924:13, 7924:15,
7928:22, 7929:9,
7930:24, 7973:18,
7987:8, 8014:22,
8030:18, 8036:5,
8043:12, 8045:14,
8045:18, 8045:19,
8070:5, 8070:6,
8132:13, 8143:17,
8147:23, 8148:7,
8150:23, 8152:7,
8154:5, 8155:14,
8155:25, 8156:2
Court's [8] - 7876:12,
7876:17, 7883:11,
7884·24 7894·4
7884:24, 7894:4, 7903:18, 7925:8,
8047:1
court's [2] - 7856:11,
8057:25
courthouse [5] -
8153:8, 8160:15,
8160:23, 8161:6,
8161:15
Courthouse [1] -
7842:8
courtroom [40] -
7857:11, 7916:20,
7923:7, 7924:11,
7926:9, 7945:20,
7954:2, 7955:16,
7050:21 7000:12
7958:21, 7990:13,
8009:6, 8017:19,
8026:2, 8052:21,
8058:11, 8068:5,
8069:1, 8073:3,
8073:16, 8122:20,
8128:9, 8129:6,
8150:4, 8150:19,
8152:11, 8152:20,
8153:11, 8155:22,
8156:11, 8156:24,
8157:22, 8158:7,
8158:13, 8160:5,
8160:8, 8160:17,
8160:18, 8160:20,
8160:24, 8161:2
courts [1] - 7888:16
cover [7] - 7880:5,
7932:19, 7987:22,
7988:22, 7989:6,
7989:21, 7989:23
Coverage [2] -
7846:25, 7883:17
coverage [9] - 7880:7,
7880:12, 7880:14,
7880:12, 7880:14, 7880:20, 7883:5,
7904:3, 7909:10,
7909:12, 7932:22
,

covered [3] - 7906:17, 7926:9, 8114:10 covering [1] - 8032:19 covers [1] - 8102:24 covert [2] - 7934:10, 8021.10 cracks [1] - 7987:7 cranky [1] - 8028:13 cream [4] - 7996:4, 7996:5, 7996:6, 8024:19 create [1] - 7965:8 created [2] - 8051:4, 8051:8 creating [1] - 8053:18 Credibility [1] -7926:17 credibility [30] -7892:7, 7892:13, 7892:15, 7893:2, 7893:5, 7893:11, 7893:14, 7893:22, 7893:25, 7894:3, 7926:20, 7926:21, 7939:8, 7962:3, 8028:4, 8051:10, 8051:12, 8051:25, 8052:10, 8053:16, 8053:17, 8053:22, 8053:24, 8055:12, 8056:16, 8067:16, 8068:1, 8068:3, 8071:4, 8073:8 credibility-ofwitness [1] - 7892:13 credible [4] - 8011:24, 8012:10, 8014:14, 8067:4 credit [1] - 7930:16 credo [3] - 7959:23, 7989:2, 7995:22 Crestor [1] - 7979:2 crew [2] - 7989:16, 7989:17 crime [1] - 8130:22 criminal [3] - 7928:16, 8003:2, 8017:9 criteria [11] - 7873:18, 7873:19. 7967:18. 7971:23, 8107:20, 8114:25, 8115:13, 8115:23, 8115:25, 8116:12, 8117:14 critical [6] - 8063:15, 8065:21, 8076:22, 8102:22, 8106:17, 8115:9 criticism [1] - 8028:18 critiqued [1] - 8106:21

Cross [1] - 8091:21

cross [6] - 7877:7, 7994:21, 8013:1, 8016:7, 8016:11, 8160:13 cross-examine [5] -7877:7, 7994:21, 8013:1, 8016:7, 8016:11 cross-examining [1] -8160:13 crossed [4] - 7976:7, 7976:9, 8039:10, 8050:25 crosses [2] - 8053:11, 8054:3 CRR [1] - 8164:11 crystal [1] - 7951:1 CSO [2] - 8150:18, 8150:19 culpable [1] - 7936:17 culture [2] - 7964:20, 7968:15 current [3] - 7883:3, 7926:5, 8124:25 customer [1] -7959:24 customers [3] -7967:8, 8077:13, 8079:1 cut [4] - 7853:16, 7953:19, 8081:17, 8154:3 cutoff [1] - 8110:1 cuts [1] - 7867:21 D

D-1058[1] - 7871:2 **D-1067**[1] - 7871:3 D-2370 [1] - 7869:17 D-2371 [1] - 7869:17 D-8573 [1] - 8040:19 daily [11] - 7970:10, 7970:16, 7976:3, 7976:11, 8000:7, 8065:6, 8074:8, 8082:1, 8082:14, 8082:22, 8140:1 **Dallas** [1] - 7842:17 damage [3] - 7893:13, 8003:13, 8089:22 damages [77] -7852:9, 7891:24, 7892:1, 7892:18, 7892:25, 7893:11, 7893:24, 7896:12, 7896:15, 7896:23, 7896:25, 7900:19, 7901:5, 7901:7, 7902:7, 7902:9,

7905:20, 7906:21, 7908:1, 7913:2, 7914:14, 7942:25, 7943:2, 7943:4, 7943:6, 7943:8, 7943:9, 7943:10, 7943:11, 7943:13, 7943:15, 7943:17, 7943:21, 7943:23, 7943:25, 7944:3, 7944:17, 7948:15, 7948:16, 7949:2, 7951:14, 7997:15, 7997:22, 7999:3, 7999:5, 7999:6, 7999:15, 8000:9, 8000:17, 8000:22, 8000:24, 8001:8, 8001:10, 8001:14, 8001:15, 8001:16, 8002:6, 8003:19, 8004:22, 8020:6, 8020:10, 8022:17, 8023:6, 8023:16, 8089:21, 8089:25, 8090:5, 8091:10, 8091:12, 8091:24, 8092:2, 8092:15, 8094:15, 8138:9,

8141:8 Damages [3] -7943:16, 7944:5 danger [1] - 8037:9 dark [1] - 8145:11 **DART**[1] - 7968:10 data [60] - 7871:16, 7910:3, 7967:7, 7973:3, 7998:21, 8000:14, 8001:10, 8005:20, 8061:17, 8066:10, 8066:12, 8077:13, 8077:24, 8077:25, 8082:8, 8082:23, 8083:1, 8083:5, 8083:9, 8083:13, 8091:4, 8091:6, 8091:7, 8091:10, 8091:11, 8091:13, 8091:14, 8091:16, 8091:20, 8091:23, 8097:17, 8097:19, 8098:12, 8098:21, 8099:1, 8099:3, 8099:4, 8104:5, 8109:8, 8109:12, 8109:15, 8109:24, 8109:25, 8110:21, 8111:3, 8111:5, 8111:23, 8114:7, 8116:15,

8116:18, 8116:19, 8117:2, 8125:1, 8135:7, 8135:9, 8135:13, 8135:16, 8138:8, 8138:9 date [3] - 7952:13, 7970:23. 8151:24 **Date** [1] - 8164:12 dates [1] - 7962:6 days [3] - 7859:12, 7975:14, 8132:2 **DDMAC** [4] - 7978:8, 7982:4, 8144:9, 8144:15 deal [14] - 7843:22, 7867:12, 7868:21, 7876:1, 7876:11, 7894:5, 7894:25, 7898:6, 7916:2, 7916:4, 7917:2, 8030:6, 8033:19 dealing [5] - 7867:15, 7897:24, 7916:18, 8016:5, 8140:10 deals [1] - 8108:17 dealt [2] - 7981:9, 8048:5 **Deb** [6] - 8083:12, 8083:24, 8084:2, 8117:3, 8120:6, 8125:1 **debate** [1] - 7986:22 **Debbie** [6] - 8080:9, 8134:21, 8135:8, 8135:11, 8135:12, 8135:15 decade [5] - 8062:9, 8114:9, 8122:22, 8123:22, 8124:3 deception [1] -8026:16 deceptive [1] - 8028:8 decide [21] - 7862:18, 7876:22, 7878:5, 7878:9, 7883:21, 7918:18, 7918:21, 7923:1, 7923:5, 7926:16, 7926:18, 7957:3, 7960:24, 8004:15, 8016:10, 8046:15, 8050:2, 8148:6, 8148:12, 8149:2, 8150:3 decided [13] -7884:25, 7894:22, 7929:14, 7957:19, 7961:16, 7982:24, 8003:20, 8013:23, 8035:4, 8061:25,

8069:12, 8107:7,

8145:16 deciding [7] -7926:18, 7926:23, 7930:18, 7938:20, 7939:3, 8091:16, 8148:7 decision [25] -7885:16, 7887:18, 7888:3, 7888:10, 7901:20, 7906:11, 7924:12, 7924:15, 7925:2, 7938:3, 7960:11, 7961:2, 7961:3, 7967:2, 7980:4, 8030:9, 8095:18, 8096:14, 8115:16, 8115:17, 8138:15, 8148:9, 8148:24, 8150:10, 8162.7 decision-makers [1] -7961:2 decision-making [2] -7961:3, 7967:2 decisions [16] -7919:1, 7919:3, 7970:12, 8050:4, 8094:25, 8095:2, 8096:12, 8096:21, 8097:2, 8097:18, 8099:17, 8100:22, 8105:17, 8127:21, 8138:17, 8161:12 deck [1] - 8121:8 decks [6] - 7974:9, 8064:5, 8107:20, 8117:2. 8122:3. 8144 15 declaration [6] -8016:8, 8016:12. 8073:19, 8090:11, 8132:3, 8136:4 Declaration [1] -8016:15 declarations [5] -8073:13, 8073:22, 8136:14, 8141:23, 8142:4 decreased [1] -8117:21 deduct [1] - 7893:15 deem [1] - 7939:2 deemed [1] - 8012:10 defeat [1] - 7885:25 defeats [1] - 7890:14 defend [3] - 8027:9, 8027:15, 8059:7 Defendant [1] -7919:22 defendant [5] -

7931:14, 7931:19, 7941:15, 7942:19, 8141.9 Defendants [2] -7842:7, 7842:22 defendants [1] -8126:14 defendants' [1] -7907:24 Defendants' [2] -8016:12, 8016:14 defended [2] - 8009:2, 8028:19 defending [4] -8010:17, 8054:21, 8078:10, 8078:11 defends [2] - 7961:20, 7995:7 defense [18] -7863:18, 7934:19, 8007:25, 8021:11, 8021:13, 8028:4, 8034:2, 8034:3, 8047:18. 8048:6. 8048:20. 8051:2. 8052:23, 8053:13, 8055:2, 8062:25, 8113:17, 8161:8 defenses [2] - 7923:9, 8004:24 defer [1] - 8162:17 deficiency [1] -7906:14 deficient [1] - 7907:3 define [2] - 7880:2, 7881:6 defined [4] - 7889:2, 8018:19, 8021:9, 8103:20 defines [1] - 7877:12 definitely [1] - 7886:2 definition [7] - 7883:8, 7888:22, 7994:13, 8053:4, 8053:6, 8093:19, 8102:23 definitions [1] -7889:2 definitive [1] -7891:11 definitively [1] -7891:12 defraud [1] - 7937:22 defrauded [4] -8059:13, 8059:14, 8060:5, 8127:20 degree [1] - 7975:24 DeJesus [1] - 7988:17

Delaware [2] -

7842:22, 7940:22

delay [6] - 7914:4,

7914:8, 7916:22, 7916:24, 7918:8, 7954:17 delayed [1] - 7867:13 delaying [3] - 7878:3, 7914:21, 7954:5 deleted [2] - 8014:7, 8069:22 deleting [1] - 8014:3 deliberate [13] -7918:18, 7921:14, 7923:10, 7937:19, 8019:5, 8149:1, 8153:12, 8153:14, 8153:22, 8154:10, 8154:12, 8155:18, 8158:12 deliberating [4] -8016:16, 8018:1, 8149:15, 8155:10 deliberation [1] -7898:18 deliberations [26] -7856:18. 7856:23. 7917:22, 7923:12, 7945:11, 8025:20, 8025:22, 8025:23, 8036:23, 8038:3, 8147:12, 8147:18, 8147:22, 8149:5, 8149:17, 8151:4, 8151:19, 8153:23, 8154:2, 8154:5, 8154:16, 8155:3, 8155:6, 8156:3, 8156:16, 8157:23 deliver [5] - 7979:24, 7982:11, 8111:22, 8147:4, 8152:19 delivered [2] - 7995:3, 7995:20 delivering [5] -7967:24, 7977:11, 7996:13, 8113:2, 8138:19 demand [1] - 7985:18 demonstrated [1] -8139:20 demonstratives [1] -7916:3 denied [6] - 8085:18, 8140:19, 8141:1, 8141:2 denies [1] - 7920:25 denominator [1] -8004:9 density [3] - 7977:25, 7978:4, 8140:17 deny [3] - 7995:4, 8025:7

PageID: 24776

denying [1] - 7923:22 department [6] -7981:7, 7986:9, 8068:14, 8069:6, 8135:13, 8135:14 Department [5] -7919:17, 7919:19, 7984:24, 8043:13, 8126:8 deposed [2] -8069:10, 8078:3 deposition [25] -7855:12, 7855:16, 7855:19, 7856:4, 7856:13, 7857:5, 7857:9, 7857:12, 7857:20, 7857:25, 7858:6, 7858:12, 7858:17, 7861:20, 7861:23, 7928:19, 8014:6, 8014:8, 8014:9, 8069:14, 8069:20, 8072:1, 8074:16, 8077:17, 8077:19 Deposition [1] -7928:18 depositions [6] -7854:24, 7855:9, 7855:24, 7856:4, 7856:21, 8069:10 depth [1] - 8085:24 deputy [1] - 8150:20 **DEPUTY** [16] - 7843:4, 7846:5, 7898:13, 7916:19, 7945:19, 7953:24, 7954:1, 8026:1, 8030:18, 8057:25, 8058:10, 8128:8, 8129:5, 8157:8, 8158:6, 8163:1 describe [2] -8009:22, 8112:6 described [5] -7864:6, 7939:13, 8002:20, 8040:25, 8054:20 describes [1] -8054:12 describing [1] -8027:9 description [3] -7889:8, 8040:5, 8040:16 deserves [3] -7924:19, 7927:16,

designated [3] -7857:22, 7973:22, 7978:15 desk [1] - 7843:21 detail [3] - 8014:25, 8070:1, 8086:20 detailed [3] - 8084:24, 8086:5, 8086:6 details [4] - 8067:19, 8069:24, 8073:24, 8086:8 determination [7] -7892:19, 7906:22, 7909:5, 7909:15, 7910:24, 7912:13, 8062:22 determine [10] -7906:24, 7930:7, 7933:10, 7939:10, 7943:2, 7975:19, 8094:17, 8126:23, 8127:6, 8153:6 determining [9] -7891:25, 7892:17, 7892:25, 7928:8, 7932:17, 7933:8, 7944:16, 8061:5, 8095:10 development [1] -7963:15 device [2] - 7922:5, 8149:19 devices [2] - 7921:22, 7922:6 Dew [2] - 8000:14, 8001:11 DHHS [4] - 7864:7, 7869:11, 7871:3, 8031:22 diagnosis [6] -7881:10, 7881:18, 7881:24, 7882:2, 7932:24. 7980:11 diagram [1] - 8005:13 dial [1] - 8054:2 dice [1] - 8003:20 dictionaries [1] -7923:2 die [1] - 7858:2 Dietz [1] - 7996:19 difference [6] -7851:12, 7998:17, 8004:20, 8098:15, 8099:5, 8099:7 differences [3] -7871:22, 8002:24, 8032:17 different [60] - 7871:5, 7871:19, 7872:7, 7872:9, 7872:15,

7939:12

8117:8

design [2] - 8115:18,

7889:7, 7893:4, 7899:8, 7900:7, 7901:25, 7902:2, 7903:13, 7904:9, 7909:10, 7909:12, 7931:7, 7938:14, 7938:15, 7938:16, 7972:17, 7986:2, 7986:25, 7987:1, 7987:2, 7992:16, 7993:4, 7993:12, 8028:7, 8033:4, 8033:15, 8033:18, 8040:21, 8046:20, 8049:24, 8052:20, 8056:22, 8065:8, 8067:14, 8068:4, 8070:6. 8071:22. 8075:10. 8090:16. 8090:18, 8090:20, 8095:6, 8095:10, 8108:2, 8111:15, 8112:7, 8114:8, 8125:4, 8127:24, 8131:11, 8142:11, 8161.17 differentiate [1] -7943:25 differently [3] -7927:25, 8052:17, 8056:13 difficult [6] - 7899:9, 7960:13, 7997:4, 7999:4, 8099:23, 8131:15 dined [1] - 8021:1 dining [1] - 7974:16 dinner [1] - 8014:17 Direct [1] - 7925:19 direct [9] - 7925:22, 7925:23, 7926:2, 7926:3, 7926:15, 7934:9, 8098:23, 8098:25, 8152:23 directed [3] - 7996:3, 8055:17, 8125:2 directing [2] - 8088:2, 8160:13 directions [1] -8088:21 directly [9] - 7933:15, 7942:13, 7955:11, 7955:13, 8013:13, 8020:23, 8036:7, 8051:3, 8052:14 director [3] - 7958:16, 8123:9, 8138:1 directors 121 -7931:17, 7932:2 disagree [4] - 7882:6,

8027:24, 8041:11, 8148:17 disagreement [1] -7901:16 disagrees [2] -7904:16. 8100:11 disappear [1] -8004:22 disappointment [2] -7965:25, 7966:2 disapproval [1] -7890:17 disapprove [1] -7891:5 disapproved [3] -7889:16, 7889:20, 7890:13 discharged [1] -8152:24 disciplined [3] -8089:9. 8089:13. 8089:20 disclaimer [1] -8089:3 disclose [2] - 7919:16, 8008:5 discovered [5] -7939:25, 8066:2, 8066:6, 8072:6, 8127:19 discovery [1] - 7940:2 discretion [1] -7883:21 discuss [12] -7846:12, 7897:3, 7897:6, 7899:5, 7921:14, 8025:19, 8025:24, 8036:21, 8150:8, 8153:17, 8155:16, 8156:14 discussed [14] -7860:11, 7866:25, 7867:1, 7895:8, 7909:2, 7914:11, 7946:5, 7947:7, 7950:14, 7991:4, 8032:6, 8032:7, 8087:1 discusses [1] - 8087:1 discussing [2] -7969:22, 8154:12 discussion [17] -7843:25, 7849:10, 7874:13, 7906:4, 7913:16, 7914:5, 7950:12, 7966:20, 7966:25, 7971:13, 8036:20, 8037:24,

8049:18, 8049:19,

8098:17, 8119:22,

8154:13 discussions [10] -7921:18, 7959:6, 8066:25, 8085:15, 8085:19, 8085:21, 8086:6, 8087:20, 8111:3. 8149:12 disease [11] - 7969:6, 7974:2, 7974:3, 7978:23, 7979:6, 7979:8, 7980:6, 7987:2, 8095:17, 8114:2, 8145:13 dismiss [3] - 8142:3, 8153:11, 8158:13 dismissed [2] -8123:4, 8153:22 dismissive [1] -7951:18 disobey [1] - 7936:1 displays [1] - 7916:3 disprove [1] - 8140:6 disproving [1] -8133:14 dispute [6] - 7862:14, 7864:12, 7898:20, 8040:18, 8106:1, 8113:9 disputed [1] - 7909:9 disputes [5] -7867:18, 7981:9, 7981:11, 7981:12, 8100:14 disputing [2] -7868:13, 7962:1 Disregard [1] -7889:19 disregard [5] - 7924:9, 7925:14, 7930:6, 7936:1, 7942:23 disregarded [2] -7937:21, 8019:7 disseminating [1] -7996:22 distinct [2] - 7875:14, 8040:9 distinction [1] -7926:14 distinctly [1] - 7853:8 distract [1] - 8137:10 distraction [1] -8137:11 distributed [1] -8009:4 distributing [1] -7987:14 distribution [1] -8088:20 district [11] - 7961:24, 7986:2, 7987:13,

7992:18, 7992:23, 8076:14, 8124:7, 8124:15, 8124:18, 8160:19, 8161:15 **DISTRICT** [3] - 7842:1, 7842:1, 7842:12 District [12] - 7843:2, 7899:18, 7901:11, 7911:16, 7919:11, 7941:1, 7944:1, 7944:4, 7944:24, 7947:18, 8043:9 districts [2] - 7993:5, 7993:12 disturbing [1] -7984:1 diversion [1] -7876:16 docket [2] - 7854:14, 7854:20 docs [1] - 7865:10 doctor [63] - 7959:19, 7960:10, 7960:11, 7966:22, 7966:25, 7967:1, 7967:3, 7969:17, 7971:16, 7978:19, 7994:24, 7998:9, 7998:16, 8002:12, 8003:10, 8006:16, 8006:17, 8011:19, 8011:22, 8012:7, 8060:23, 8060:24, 8061:20, 8062:11, 8062:20, 8065:3, 8078:23, 8079:12, 8079:20, 8080:2, 8082:13, 8086:14, 8088:17, 8089:11, 8090:3, 8091:5, 8091:10, 8094:1, 8095:15, 8095:16, 8095:17, 8095:22, 8096:17, 8098:6, 8098:7, 8102:8, 8102:12, 8102:14, 8109:25, 8110:6, 8113:10, 8113:11, 8121:12, 8124:10, 8127:9, 8127:11, 8138:19, 8139:25, 8140:4, 8143:6, 8145:10 **Doctor** [1] - 7997:6 doctor's [4] - 7974:13, 8079:19, 8095:12, 8143:9 doctors [150] - 7921:4, 7921:5, 7960:9, 7961:4, 7962:21, 7962:22, 7963:8,

7963:11, 7963:16, 7963:23, 7967:13, 7968:4, 7968:12, 7972:6, 7972:16, 7974:20, 7974:24, 7975:9, 7975:14, 7977:11, 7978:14, 7978:18, 7979:25, 7982:11, 7982:15, 7983:10, 7984:10, 7984:25, 7988:19, 7991:8, 7991:11, 7992:2, 7992:3, 7992:7, 7995:3, 7995:15, 7995:18, 7996:3, 7996:16, 7997:6, 7998:1, 7998:14. 7998:22. 7999:19. 7999:21. 7999:23, 8000:2, 8000:18, 8004:3, 8004:9, 8006:6, 8011:21, 8017:16, 8017:17, 8021:14, 8021:20, 8022:12, 8022:13, 8046:5, 8059:18, 8060:6, 8060:10, 8060:22, 8061:15, 8062:10, 8066:16, 8075:19, 8076:10, 8076:16, 8076:17, 8076:18, 8076:19, 8076:20, 8077:22, 8078:1, 8079:2, 8079:8, 8080:1, 8081:19, 8081:24, 8082:1, 8082:25, 8083:3, 8086:3, 8086:13, 8087:8, 8094:11, 8094:12, 8094:24, 8095:2, 8096:7, 8096:10, 8097:3, 8097:12, 8097:15, 8097:17, 8098:8, 8100:19, 8103:23, 8103:24, 8104:11, 8105:16, 8105:23, 8112:19, 8112:22, 8112:25, 8113:6, 8113:7, 8113:9, 8113:15, 8116:1, 8116:15, 8118:2, 8121:20, 8122:19, 8122:21, 8122:24, 8123:25, 8124:3, 8124:6, 8124:21, 8125:13, 8135:17, 8138:10, 8138:14, 8138:16, 8138:20, 8138:22, 8138:24,

8138:25, 8139:2, 8139:3, 8139:7, 8139:9, 8140:15, 8143:8, 8143:12, 8143:13, 8143:17, 8144:11, 8144:13, 8145:4, 8145:8 doctors' [5] - 7972:18. 7975:20, 8079:18, 8112:19, 8143:16 document [107] -7856:25, 7869:9, 7869:10, 7915:9, 7977:18, 7977:20, 7984:14, 7986:16, 8005:19, 8006:5, 8006:8, 8010:4, 8013:17, 8016:18, 8017:13, 8017:14, 8032:25, 8033:2, 8033:4, 8033:6, 8033:8, 8034:1, 8034:2, 8034:21, 8034:23, 8035:2, 8035:14, 8036:9, 8036:11, 8037:19, 8037:20, 8037:24, 8038:4, 8038:12, 8038:13, 8039:6, 8039:13, 8040:1, 8040:2, 8040:6, 8040:19, 8040:21, 8040:24, 8041:12, 8041:15, 8041:16, 8041:17, 8041:21, 8041:24, 8042:12, 8042:20, 8042:23, 8043:1, 8043:19, 8043:20, 8043:24, 8044:3, 8044:10, 8044:12, 8044:13, 8044:14, 8046:22, 8047:17, 8047:23, 8048:3, 8049:13, 8049:17, 8049:18, 8049:22, 8049:23, 8050:1, 8050:5, 8050:8, 8050:12, 8062:15, 8077:7, 8077:12, 8077:19, 8077:21, 8077:22, 8078:7, 8078:11, 8078:19, 8078:20, 8079:6, 8080:3, 8080:8, 8080:10, 8080:12, 8080:18, 8081:19, 8083:21, 8083:25, 8085:8, 8086:8, 8087:1, 8093:9, 8094:5, 8103:2, 8104:5,

8117:5, 8137:23, 8137:24, 8150:16 documentary [1] -7856:19 documented [2] -8086:4. 8087:3 documenting [1] -8087:5 Documents [1] -7939:24 documents [166] -7860:22, 7860:25, 7862:3, 7862:8, 7862:22, 7862:24, 7863:1, 7863:2, 7863:8, 7863:12, 7863:14, 7863:17, 7863:21, 7864:4, 7864:8, 7864:12, 7864:23, 7864:24, 7865:3, 7865:8, 7865:9, 7865:12, 7865:25, 7866:6, 7867:6, 7867:9, 7867:18, 7867:23, 7868:7, 7868:12, 7869:5, 7869:24, 7870:16, 7870:19, 7870:21, 7870:22, 7871:7, 7873:10, 7873:11, 7873:12, 7873:15, 7874:7, 7874:14, 7874:15, 7874:19, 7874:20, 7874:22, 7875:5, 7875:8, 7875:9, 7875:18, 7875:25, 7882:9, 7895:1, 7908:4, 7924:4, 7929:15. 7929:24. 7940:1, 7940:4, 7956:10, 7956:17, 7958:7, 7958:19, 7959:1, 7960:15, 7960:18, 7961:22, 7964:7, 7972:25, 7975:18, 7986:10, 7988:6, 7988:11, 7988:20, 8000:16, 8005:9, 8008:1, 8008:2, 8008:22, 8012:13, 8014:3, 8014:7, 8014:8, 8015:13, 8015:17, 8015:25, 8016:1, 8019:9, 8019:11, 8024:16, 8026:6, 8029:15, 8029:17, 8030:8, 8030:23, 8031:4, 8031:6,

8031:9, 8031:10, 8031:12, 8031:14, 8031:21, 8032:1, 8032:11, 8032:13, 8032:23, 8033:18, 8033:19, 8034:11, 8034:12, 8034:15, 8036:8, 8037:4, 8037:13, 8039:18, 8040:14, 8041:4, 8041:9, 8041:25, 8043:15, 8044:22, 8046:18, 8048:5, 8051:5, 8051:14, 8064:2, 8064:3, 8064:8, 8064:17, 8065:12, 8065:14, 8066:14, 8075:6, 8075:7. 8076:25. 8077:2, 8077:5, 8084:11, 8084:12, 8084:15, 8084:17, 8084:18, 8084:20, 8086:12, 8087:9, 8087:12, 8114:23, 8114:25, 8116:6, 8116:25, 8117:13, 8119:2, 8119:22, 8120:8, 8123:16, 8138:2, 8139:16, 8139:21, 8142:24, 8156:6 dog [1] - 7963:4 DOJ [2] - 7989:14, 8043:11 Dolisi [1] - 8070:16 dollars [18] - 7957:9, 7959:7, 7967:16, 7975:10, 7975:11, 7975:17, 7985:6, 7985:17, 8010:5, 8010:10, 8011:2, 8090:23, 8091:3, 8091:24, 8093:5, 8093:18, 8118:23, 8122:1 done [42] - 7865:1, 7866:8, 7874:24, 7895:7, 7895:9, 7896:6, 7914:3, 7915:1, 7935:20, 7935:21, 7936:1, 7953:2, 7956:24, 7957:10, 8002:18, 8003:22, 8019:8, 8022:1, 8035:13, 8063:14, 8121:18, 8129:25, 8130:4, 8130:6, 8133:23, 8134:1, 8134:5,

8134:18, 8146:23, 8148:3, 8148:8, 8152:7, 8154:20, 8155:10, 8155:12, 8155:15, 8155:18, 8157:1, 8157:14, 8157:18, 8158:10, 8162:9 Donna [11] - 7990:10, 8016:15, 8016:18, 8074:11, 8087:11, 8087:12, 8090:10, 8132:1, 8132:2, 8141:19, 8141:24 door [4] - 7872:5, 7872:9, 8122:12, 8156:13 dose [7] - 7881:11, 7881:25, 7882:4, 7970:17, 7986:25, 8091:15, 8102:5 dosing [11] - 7920:13, 7920:14, 7986:17, 7987:1, 7995:16, 7995:18, 8000:7, 8082:1, 8082:9, 8082:14, 8083:12 double [5] - 8000:10, 8036:17, 8047:13, 8102:12, 8102:14 double-check [2] -8102:12, 8102:14 double-edged [1] -8047:13 doubt [7] - 7928:15, 8003:3, 8004:24, 8009:11, 8009:15, 8017:9, 8018:21 down [27] - 7895:18, 7896:5, 7897:7, 7900:14, 7905:16, 7912:23, 7954:7, 7958:18, 7959:18, 7969:6, 8031:2, 8032:25, 8034:20, 8054:2, 8079:10, 8083:17, 8092:11, 8123:15, 8123:21, 8126:15, 8132:9, 8134:19, 8136:7, 8137:25, 8150:12, 8151:7, 8151:9 downtown [2] -8004:14, 8004:18 downward [1] -8000:11 dozens [1] - 8130:10 Dr [105] - 7889:19, 7891:14, 7940:11, 7969:19, 7970:1,

7973:21, 7976:6, 7978:13, 7978:21, 7979:9, 7980:16, 7982:23, 7986:15, 7996:19, 7997:2, 7997:5, 7998:5, 8001:18, 8001:19, 8003:21, 8004:8, 8004:20, 8006:11, 8006:13, 8007:4, 8008:10, 8012:5, 8017:4, 8017:10, 8018:10, 8018:23, 8061:15, 8064:13, 8064:17, 8064:21, 8076:12, 8077:8, 8078:3, 8078:14, 8080:3, 8081:14, 8081:22, 8082:4, 8086:19, 8086:21, 8091:5, 8091:14, 8091:15, 8092:13, 8092:15, 8092:18, 8092:19, 8093:3, 8093:6, 8093:11, 8095:1, 8095:8, 8095:14, 8095:21, 8095:24, 8096:2, 8096:11, 8096:17, 8097:6, 8097:9, 8097:19, 8098:11, 8098:16, 8098:19, 8099:3, 8100:1, 8101:2, 8104:17, 8104:24, 8105:8, 8105:20, 8108:13, 8108:19, 8109:17, 8109:21, 8110:12, 8110:20, 8111:1, 8111:19, 8112:10, 8112:14, 8112:15, 8112:24, 8113:3, 8120:25, 8121:1, 8143:24, 8144:6, 8145:3 draft [1] - 8107:25 drafted [1] - 7951:24 drafting [2] - 7894:8, 8013.8 draw [1] - 8026:24 drew [2] - 8016:23, 8132:8 drink [1] - 7966:15 drive [6] - 7973:9, 7973:11, 7973:20, 7984:2, 7984:4, 7984:12 driven [1] - 8055:1 drop [4] - 8049:16, 8081:6, 8081:7,

9091-9
8081:8
dropping [1] - 8043:23
drops [1] - 7926:10
drove [1] - 8117:25
Drug [5] - 7920:2,
8020:11, 8033:1,
8040:20, 8040:23
drug [57] - 7880:6,
7881:5, 7881:11,
7881:25, 7882:3,
7883:4, 7920:9,
7932:20, 7932:22,
7957:20, 7961:5,
7961:6, 7961:8,
7962:11, 7962:12,
7962:15, 7962:25,
7963:25, 7964:16,
7964:19, 7965:20,
7 300.11, 7 300.13,
7966:23, 7966:24,
7970:7, 7970:18,
7970:20, 7971:8, 7971:17, 7974:1,
7971:17, 7974:1,
7976:11, 7976:21,
7977:2, 7978:2,
7978:13, 7979:3,
7979:18, 7980:3,
7983:21, 7984:19,
7985:10, 7985:14,
7986:24, 7989:15,
7991:15, 7991:16,
7991:18, 8006:10,
8006:15, 8032:5,
8059:22, 8131:11,
8135:17, 8135:22, 8140:16, 8144:1
drugs [50] - 7846:25,
7883:6, 7883:17,
7883:25, 7920:23,
7932:23, 7956:20,
7932:23, 7956:20, 7956:23, 7957:1,
7958:24, 7959:11,
7959:12, 7959:16,
7959:21, 7960:25, 7961:11, 7963:11,
7963:21, 7965:5,
7985:22, 7987:11,
7987:18, 7988:23,
7992:18, 8001:2,
8005:4, 8005:7,
8005:23, 8006:2,
8006:7, 8007:7,
8019:18, 8024:12,
8037:25, 8046:1,
8046:5, 8063:13,
8065:23, 8103:4,
8104:3, 8135:10,
8135:12, 8142:20,
8143:21, 8143:22,
i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

8145:18, 8145:20, 8145:24 drugs' [1] - 7920:18 drum [1] - 8051:14 due [2] - 7932:9, 7932:11 dump [1] - 8031:10 duplicative [1] -7902:11 durability [1] -8077:24 during [73] - 7843:23, 7849:9, 7852:4, 7852:17, 7856:25, 7860:1, 7863:18, 7864:15, 7865:13, 7866:14, 7868:23, 7872:19, 7876:2, 7876:11, 7879:7, 7891:14, 7895:16, 7906:10, 7914:5, 7915:5, 7917:4, 7917:16, 7918:23, 7919:1, 7921:12, 7923:14, 7929:25, 7930:1, 7939:25, 7943:21, 7952:23, 7956:7, 7962:18, 7974:13, 7974:14, 7974:15, 7980:1, 7980:13, 7992:8, 7995:10, 7999:17, 8025:6, 8027:20, 8028:22, 8036:10, 8048:2, 8048:6, 8050:24, 8051:2, 8052:11, 8053:13, 8056:5, 8060:10, 8063:18, 8063:20, 8064:12, 8069:11, 8069:19, 8077:7, 8085:23, 8087:25, 8105:25, 8106:18, 8122:16, 8128:2, 8129:11, 8129:23, 8129:24, 8146:1, 8148:8, 8148:18, 8149:17, 8160:2 duties [2] - 8148:5, 8148:22 duty [4] - 7955:19, 8148:11, 8148:25, 8153:6 dysfunction [1] -7979:7

Ε

early [7] - 7866:20, 7907:16, 7957:21,

8036:25, 8071:14, 8071:22, 8108:4 easier [2] - 7970:19, 8006:1 easiest [1] - 7901:1 easily [2] - 7905:14, 8061:10 **East** [2] - 7842:9, 8124:2 Eastern [1] - 7973:17 easy [5] - 7946:11, 8031:21, 8097:14, 8110:3, 8162:1 economic [2] -8002:7, 8098:18 economist [1] -8003:10 edditions [1] -7871:11 edged [1] - 8047:13 edited [1] - 8005:20 edition [1] - 7871:16 editions [1] - 7869:11 educate [1] - 7921:4 educates [1] - 7858:11 education [6] -7971:5, 8021:12, 8088:10, 8088:13, 8114:4, 8120:1 educational [10] -7963:3, 7963:5, 7963:7, 7974:7, 7974:23, 8088:5, 8088:6, 8088:25, 8089:7, 8161:6 Edurant [1] - 8125:9 effect [10] - 7879:21, 7888:2, 7978:5, 7979:18, 8101:6, 8118:1, 8120:14, 8134:24, 8152:6, 8155:2 effective [5] - 7964:19, 7975:19, 7975:25, 8103:19, 8120:16 effects [10] - 7959:11, 7959:16, 7966:12, 7980:3, 7982:12, 7983:17, 7985:14, 8081:8, 8096:9, 8144:1 efficacy [1] - 7986:17 efficiently [1] -7894:21 effort [3] - 7885:21, 7951:4, 8078:18 efforts [1] - 7886:1 eight [7] - 7945:10, 7954:8, 8061:16,

PageID: 24779

8153:17 either [23] - 7846:13, 7853:11, 7865:6, 7872:25, 7900:11, 7913:19, 7922:15, 7926:15, 7992:12, 8037:9. 8045:19. 8046:19. 8047:25. 8049:1, 8049:17, 8074:16, 8087:13, 8107:12, 8129:1, 8153:25, 8154:25, 8159:3, 8161:2 elaborate [1] - 7913:5 electric [1] - 8149:19 electronic [3] -7921:22, 7923:4, 8150:1 electronically [2] -7922:1, 7922:6 element [6] - 7886:5, 7888:20, 7934:5, 7934:14, 7935:3, 7935:15 **Elements** [5] - 7934:3, 7934:12, 7935:14, 7935:19. 7935:24 elements [15] -7909:5, 7932:1, 7933:2, 7933:13, 7934:2, 7935:2, 7936:7, 7936:16, 7936:19, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:16, 7937:23, 8020:20, 8063:16 elevated [1] - 7984:9 elevators [1] -7922:13 elicited [1] - 8038:1 eligibility [1] - 8127:2 eligible [4] - 7932:17, 7937:3, 8018:9, 8022:5 eliminate [2] - 7984:1, 7984:11 eliminated [1] -8:0008 **ELMO**[1] - 7857:12 email [28] - 7854:11, 7854:13, 7863:3, 7868:23, 7922:7, 8012:21, 8012:23, 8013:2, 8013:4, 8013:6, 8071:25, 8072:5, 8072:6, 8072:8, 8072:19, 8072:21, 8075:4, 8078:14, 8078:15,

8078:16, 8079:4,

8083:14, 8083:16, 8084:2, 8084:5, 8113:19, 8119:4, 8120:17 emailed [3] - 7859:24, 7895:9, 8066:15 emails [14] - 8008:6, 8072:2, 8072:4, 8072:8, 8072:16, 8072:17, 8073:5, 8075:9, 8088:12, 8089:2, 8120:13, 8120:20, 8120:25, 8138:2 employed [2] -8015:2, 8025:6 **employee** [7] - 7940:5, 7940:10, 7982:22, 8009:25, 8010:16, 8011:15, 8062:6 employee's [1] -8016:1 employees [11] -7931:17, 7932:2, 7956:16, 7959:24, 7960:4, 8009:22, 8061:14, 8064:3, 8106:9, 8124:25, 8132:17 employment [1] -7932:4 employs [1] - 8138:21 enacted [1] - 7885:24 encompass [1] -7879:15 encompasses [1] -7892:14 encourage [1] -7991:14 encouraged [2] -8154:1, 8154:2 end [40] - 7849:8, 7867:24, 7877:22, 7881:16. 7888:7. 7891:5. 7893:19. 7918:4, 7919:7, 7923:12, 7944:10, 7945:8, 7957:3, 7957:7, 7957:14, 7960:14, 7961:17, 7967:21, 7975:5, 7976:4, 7987:24, 8008:6, 8027:21, 8059:10, 8060:2, 8072:23, 8072:24, 8093:7, 8099:14, 8122:9, 8125:23, 8138:6, 8139:8, 8139:19, 8142:22, 8143:4, 8143:12,

8114:8, 8133:1,

8145:4, 8145:17 ended [5] - 7874:18, 7960:1, 7989:13, 7990:5, 8153:25 ending [1] - 7986:14 ends [1] - 7883:4 enforced [1] - 7961:4 enforcement [9] -7885:25, 7989:15, 8065:18, 8108:24, 8109:3, 8110:10, 8110:15, 8110:23, 8111:1 enforces [2] -8019:19, 8115:2 engage [3] - 7938:22, 7993:24, 8085:21 engaged [7] - 7920:4, 7939:18, 7939:23, 7983:17, 8060:8, 8085:15, 8142:25 engaging [4] -8006:24, 8024:11, 8130:19, 8139:20 engineer [1] - 7951:4 England [2] - 7972:10, 8116:17 enjoy [1] - 8030:4 enormous [1] -8066:21 enormously [2] -8087:9, 8087:10 ensure [5] - 7945:14, 7988:25, 8055:5, 8056:15, 8106:1 ensuring [1] - 7883:5 enter [3] - 7868:7, 7870:6, 7954:2 entered [4] - 7865:8, 8007:15, 8037:7, 8135:22 entering [1] - 7870:8 enters [4] - 7916:20, 8058:11, 8129:6, 8158:7 entire [17] - 7870:9, 7882:13, 7890:14, 7911:11, 7973:16, 7979:9, 7979:21, 7999:16, 8010:19, 8034:1, 8034:2, 8036:6, 8041:5, 8042:11, 8122:25, 8128:3 entirely [1] - 7935:10 entities [2] - 7880:14, 8122:6 entitled [5] - 7892:2, 7944:17, 8027:14, 8066:24, 8164:5

entity [5] - 7883:20, 7931:15, 7934:8, 7934:9, 7989:5 enveloped [1] -8000:9 environment[1] -7964:25 equation [2] - 7970:7, 8004:17 error [3] - 7850:17, 8001:20, 8099:6 Escobar [1] - 7887:25 escorted [2] -8155:22, 8156:6 especially [3] -7907:6, 8009:16, 8160:4 ESQUIRE [8] -7842:14, 7842:15, 7842:15, 7842:16, 7842:16, 7842:19, 7842:20, 7842:20 essentially [5] -7892:9, 8041:5, 8043:14, 8045:10, 8066.1 establish [2] -7864:10, 7904:2 established [3] -7929:9, 7942:11, 8148:13 estimate [1] - 7943:15 estimated [1] -8000:10 estimates [1] - 8000:4 estimation [1] -8025:5 et [5] - 7842:3, 7863:10, 7864:10, 7871:17, 7909:6 ethical [2] - 7989:1, 8075:23 ethics [1] - 8106:13 evaluate [6] - 7862:18, 7909:7, 8063:17, 8067:25, 8115:24, 8120:14 evaluated [3] - 8061:4, 8116:5, 8116:11 evaluating 131 -7892:6. 8096:13. 8126:22 evaluation [1] -8098:24 Evans [9] - 7989:19, 8007:22, 8065:3,

8106:2, 8107:5,

8118:19, 8119:17,

8123:24, 8124:10

eve [5] - 7865:1,

8034:10, 8038:21, 8041:17, 8113:19 evening [2] - 8129:10, 8154:21 event [5] - 8094:3, 8097:22, 8116:22 events [6] - 7924:18, 7979:13, 7990:24, 7990:25, 8119:8, 8144:25 eventually [3] -7969:7, 7977:14 everyday [2] -7924:17, 8116:6 everywhere[1] -7946:9 evidence [243] -7847:16, 7847:22, 7847:25, 7848:3, 7848:12, 7851:22, 7855:10, 7856:5, 7856:14, 7856:17, 7856:18, 7856:22, 7857:10, 7859:19, 7860:6, 7861:8, 7861:12, 7861:13, 7861:14, 7861:24, 7862:2, 7862:7, 7862:11, 7862:12, 7862:13, 7862:15, 7862:21, 7865:14, 7865:25, 7866:7, 7866:10, 7866:11, 7866:13, 7866:14, 7866:16, 7869:5, 7871:4, 7871:9, 7872:3, 7876:24, 7877:6, 7879:19, 7879:22, 7883:23, 7884:1, 7901:5, 7901:20, 7905:10, 7906:14, 7907:3, 7907:19, 7908:1, 7918:12, 7918:15, 7918:17, 7918:24, 7921:13, 7921:20, 7922:19, 7923:1, 7923:9, 7923:19, 7923:25, 7924:1, 7924:5, 7924:12, 7924:17, 7924:20, 7924:23, 7924:24, 7924:25, 7925:3, 7925:4, 7925:6, 7925:7, 7925:11, 7925:13, 7925:15, 7925:16, 7925:21, 7925:22, 7925:23, 7926:3, 7926:6, 7926:7, 7926:11,

7926:13, 7926:15, 7926:16, 7927:8, 7927:10, 7927:13, 7927:21, 7927:23, 7927:25, 7928:1, 7928:5, 7928:7, 7928:9, 7928:12, 7929:6, 7929:18, 7929:22, 7929:24, 7930:6, 7930:7, 7930:17, 7930:20, 7930:22, 7931:3, 7931:8, 7931:20, 7931:25, 7933:13, 7933:22, 7934:6, 7934:15, 7934:21, 7935:4, 7935:6, 7935:16, 7936:16, 7936:23, 7937:7, 7937:18, 7938:1, 7938:17, 7939:9, 7939:10, 7939:20, 7940:8, 7942:10, 7949:25, 7956:6, 7956:8, 7956:12, 7962:17, 7972:25, 7973:7, 7974:18, 7979:16, 7986:21, 8003:18, 8009:23, 8010:20, 8016:3, 8016:12, 8016:13, 8017:3, 8017:12, 8017:15, 8017:21, 8017:22, 8018:4, 8018:15, 8019:22, 8020:7, 8020:15, 8021:18, 8022:7, 8023:7, 8023:11, 8023:17, 8024:8, 8024:24, 8028:5, 8030:22, 8036:22, 8037:8, 8037:10, 8048:23, 8049:20, 8052:25, 8059:9, 8061:3, 8062:1, 8062:5, 8063:1, 8063:2, 8063:10, 8065:19, 8066:8, 8066:18, 8076:23, 8081:15, 8083:20, 8083:23, 8084:14, 8084:15, 8084:18, 8090:8, 8090:21, 8090:24, 8093:24, 8094:3, 8094:6, 8094:14, 8094:19, 8094:23, 8094:24, 8100:18, 8102:19, 8103:11, 8107:9, 8107:12, 8109:6, 8110:12, 8113:15,

8113:17, 8113:23, 8116:21, 8117:15, 8118:7, 8119:21, 8119:23, 8120:10, 8125:24, 8126:2, 8126:3, 8127:1, 8127:7, 8127:20, 8128:1, 8131:24, 8132:3, 8136:24, 8137:12, 8138:3, 8138:4, 8147:16, 8148:6, 8149:3, 8149:7, 8149:8, 8150:3, 8150:7, 8151:18 Evidence [4] -7925:20, 7927:17, 7929:12, 7929:20 evidenced[1] -7938:24 evidences [1] -7938:19 evidentiary [2] -7895:18, 7912:13 evolves [1] - 8103:15 exact [2] - 7943:13, 8043:9 exactly [12] - 7861:20, 7865:11, 7888:17, 7947:20, 7960:17, 7963:19, 7972:8, 7992:8, 8031:17, 8041:2, 8054:15, 8094:23 examination [1] -7851:23 examine [6] - 7877:7, 7994:21, 8013:1, 8016:7, 8016:11, 8149:6 examining [1] -8160:13 example [20] - 7860:8, 7863:9, 7871:22, 7873:18, 7892:8, 7922:25, 7925:23, 7929:25, 8011:3, 8011:13, 8032:10, 8047:9, 8074:1, 8120:17, 8130:16, 8131:23, 8134:22, 8151:9, 8154:21 examples [4] -7989:12, 8121:10, 8122:3, 8122:8 Excel [2] - 7973:3, 8116:6 except [11] - 7870:4, 7870:20, 7896:2, 7941:20, 7942:7,

7972:25, 8084:16, 8085:20, 8088:18, 8123:18, 8143:21 exceptional [1] -8160:2 exceptions [1] -7864:1 excerpts [1] - 8045:11 exchange [4] -7971:10, 7989:8, 8011:2, 8121:13 exchanged [2] -7866:20, 8121:16 exciting [1] - 8013:24 exclude [1] - 7883:25 excluded [6] -7883:17, 7925:16, 7932:23, 8000:20, 8004:8, 8107:11 excluding [1] - 8000:3 exclusion [1] -7883:21 exclusionary [1] -7883:22 **exclusive** [1] - 8153:5 excuse [10] - 7857:9, 7945:16, 8027:4, 8114:1, 8152:21, 8154:23, 8157:20, 8157:22, 8157:25, 8158:4 excused [4] - 8148:4, 8158:11, 8158:18, 8158:22 executive [1] -8010:21 executives [2] -8085:10, 8125:4 exercise [2] - 8112:17, 8143:13 exhausting [2] -8011:6 exhibit [13] - 7857:7, 7857:9, 7857:22, 7859:7, 7875:21, 7924:24, 7925:17, 7926:4, 7974:18, 8016:15, 8031:1, 8039:7, 8040:17 Exhibit [4] - 7973:8, 8016:12, 8016:14, 8032:6 exhibits [20] - 7859:2, 7859:5, 7859:10, 7859:13, 7859:14, 7859:23, 7860:16, 7860:17, 7862:19, 7862:21, 7863:24, 7865:15, 7868:15, 7868:24, 7924:4,

7928:11, 7929:13, 7930:3, 8151:18, 8156.7 exist [3] - 7854:5, 7909:15, 8108:16 existed [2] - 7873:6, 8083:25 existence [2] - 7926:4, 7939.5 exists [1] - 8091:1 exited [2] - 7945:20, 8128:9 exits [2] - 8026:2, 8156:11 expand [1] - 7896:22 expect [6] - 8044:1, 8067:19, 8069:24, 8071:5, 8073:24, 8121:4 expectation [1] -7968:16 expectations [1] -7965:16 expected [2] - 7985:5, 8157:12 experience [14] -7924:17, 7924:19, 7971:5, 7971:16, 7977:24, 7986:22, 7992:22, 8021:12, 8096:24, 8115:25, 8116:4, 8117:24, 8141:5, 8159:11 experienced [6] -7920:16, 7957:23, 7959:10, 7969:3, 7976:11, 8102:2 expert [37] - 7930:9, 7930:15, 7930:19, 7930:22, 7966:18, 7973:22, 7973:24, 7974:2, 7974:3, 7978:15. 7978:20. 7979:10. 7980:1. 7989:19. 7995:23. 7998:20, 8002:21, 8011:15, 8012:6, 8031:5, 8031:6, 8044:25, 8045:1, 8062:16, 8077:9, 8078:9, 8089:25, 8090:4, 8090:5, 8093:1, 8095:2, 8112:13, 8118:24, 8138:9, 8144:5 expert's [1] - 8119:21 **experts** [4] - 7965:5, 7973:1, 7975:18, 8100:21 explain [11] - 7885:23,

7919:2, 7929:23, 7933:2, 7936:19, 7943:4, 7967:9, 8070:16, 8134:21, 8141:21, 8147:18 explained [10] -7904:21, 7936:8. 7941:23, 7987:11. 8080:10, 8080:11, 8081:4, 8082:7, 8108:2, 8111:1 explaining [1] -8147.16 explains [3] - 8097:1, 8141:19, 8141:20 explanation [3] -7865:19, 7934:1, 8056:24 expressed[1]-7889:18 expressing [1] -8056:14 extended [1] -7952:25 extensive [2] -7895:22, 8106:1 extent [3] - 7853:12, 7917:22. 8056:13 extra [2] - 8118:16, 8162:19 extraordinarily [1] -8059:19 F

F.Supp [1] - 8043:8 fabrication [1] -8012:25 face [3] - 7951:15, 8049:22, 8106:3 Facebook [2] -7922:11, 8015:3 facing [1] - 8132:25 fact [30] - 7880:21, 7882:8. 7883:24. 7906:17, 7925:3, 7926:4, 7926:8, 7927:13, 7928:5, 7928:6, 7928:8, 7943:5, 7944:24, 8007:12, 8015:14, 8031:11, 8037:23, 8048:15, 8071:5, 8073:17, 8097:25, 8103:13, 8104:20, 8106:11, 8112:2, 8120:12, 8123:8, 8141:16, 8144:16, 8145:19 factor [7] - 7937:10,

8018:18, 8018:21, 8018:22, 8098:25, 8146:15 factored [1] - 7980:3 factors [4] - 7893:4, 7926:24, 7927:12, 7930:13 facts [24] - 7918:19, 7918:21, 7918:22, 7924:1, 7926:8, 7926:18, 7929:7, 7929:10, 7930:4, 7930:5, 7930:7, 7943:14, 8003:11, 8013:12, 8052:5, 8076:22, 8122:8, 8136:11, 8148:6, 8148:7, 8148:9, 8148:12 factual [1] - 8015:7 fail [1] - 7928:3 failed [4] - 7904:2, 7969:4, 8096:25, 8102:3 failure [1] - 7853:7 fair [18] - 7864:10, 7873:22, 7884:4, 7952:15, 7987:25, 8034:24, 8034:25, 8055:9, 8055:22, 8057:22, 8078:10, 8078:19, 8079:7, 8084:6, 8110:3, 8118:4, 8118:14, 8121:22 **Fair** [1] - 7876:8 fairly [3] - 8040:16, 8148:22, 8160:9 faith [1] - 7939:2 fake [1] - 7968:9 fall [1] - 8145:17 fallen [1] - 8134:19 false [72] - 7850:20, 7887:17, 7888:9, 7899:23, 7906:23, 7906:24, 7908:2, 7912:18, 7914:13, 7914:15, 7919:24, 7920:10, 7932:13, 7932:15, 7932:25, 7933:7, 7936:24, 7937:1, 7937:2, 7941:16, 7943:19, 7943:20, 7943:22, 7944:22, 7944:25, 7945:4, 7946:24, 7947:4, 7947:9, 7947:17, 7948:6, 7948:21, 7949:1,

7949:19, 7960:21,

7978:1, 7983:2, 7984:4, 7986:19, 7988:12, 7992:4, 7992:5, 7994:1, 8002:16, 8018:7, 8018:8, 8020:1, 8022:4, 8022:14, 8060:18, 8061:1, 8090:25, 8091:9, 8092:5, 8092:8, 8093:8, 8093:16, 8094:11, 8094:18, 8102:23, 8103:6, 8103:12, 8110:7, 8111:18, 8112:1, 8133:20, 8144:9, 8144:10, 8144:11 False [96] - 7850:21, 7852:8, 7888:16, 7888:23, 7899:12, 7900:10, 7900:13, 7901:12, 7902:13, 7904:4, 7904:5, 7904:21, 7905:15, 7907:11, 7908:24, 7909:25, 7910:6, 7912:18, 7919:10, 7919:12, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7919:23, 7931:24, 7932:8, 7932:9, 7932:11, 7933:7, 7933:9, 7936:7, 7936:9, 7936:13, 7936:15, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7940:20, 7941:2, 7941:6, 7941:12, 7941:13, 7941:14, 7941:18, 7941:20, 7941:23, 7942:2, 7942:5, 7942:7, 7942:9, 7942:18, 7943:1, 7943:17, 7943:24, 7944:6, 7944:13, 7944:20, 7944:21, 7945:3, 7947:16, 7949:21, 7949:25, 7952:1, 7956:3, 7988:23, 7989:9, 7989:25, 8001:19, 8002:2, 8002:16, 8006:24, 8017:21, 8017:23, 8018:12, 8019:23, 8020:4, 8020:16, 8022:8, 8022:22, 8022:24, 8023:12, 8103:10, 8126:1, 8127:22, 8136:20, 8136:21, 8136:22,

8136:25, 8137:2, 8137:4, 8137:15, 8137:17, 8137:19, 8141.9 falsity [10] - 7909:5, 7936:17, 7936:21, 7937:20, 7937:21. 7942:22. 7942:23. 8018:4, 8018:7, 8019:6 familiar [2] - 8074:5, 8081:24 families [1] - 7955:20 family [2] - 7922:3, 8009:9 fanciful [1] - 8003:5 fancy [5] - 7975:3, 7975:11, 8021:1, 8113:21, 8119:7 far [4] - 7964:23, 7968:11, 8055:21, 8098.5 fast [2] - 7962:7, 8100:15 fast-tracked [1] -8100:15 faster [1] - 8103:22 **favor** [3] - 8017:7, 8017:8, 8145:6 favorable [3] - 7869:3, 7928:1 favors [2] - 7956:8, 7956:15 FCA [2] - 7901:9, 7908:22 FCC [1] - 8043:16 FDA [76] - 7859:8, 7859:20, 7862:12, 7865:4, 7867:19, 7881:4, 7881:15, 7881:22, 7882:12, 7884:9, 7884:11, 7889:13, 7890:15, 7890:25, 7891:16, 7894:24, 7920:11, 7920:18, 7932:21, 7940:9, 7961:8, 7961:11, 7976:3, 7977:17, 7977:23, 7978:6, 7980:25, 7981:1, 7981:4, 7981:6, 7981:8, 7981:10, 7981:11, 7981:16, 7982:6, 7982:23, 7982:25, 7983:3, 7986:18, 7986:20, 8071:24, 8072:4, 8072:24, 8073:5, 8100:15, 8103:16, 8103:20,

8103:22, 8103:25, 8107:25, 8108:3, 8108:7, 8108:12, 8108:13, 8108:15, 8108:17, 8108:18, 8109:1, 8109:5, 8109:9, 8109:17, 8109:22, 8110:8, 8110:11, 8110:14, 8110:24, 8111:3, 8111:4, 8111:6, 8111:12, 8124:4, 8133:13, 8133:16, 8133:17, 8133:18 FDA's [9] - 7890:10, 7940:11, 7940:14, 7940:15, 7940:17, 7977:18, 7983:4, 7983:6, 8144:17 FDA-approved [8] -7881:4, 7881:15, 7881:22, 7882:12, 7920:18, 7932:21, 7986:18, 7986:20 FDA-defined [1] -8103:20 **FEDERAL** [1] - 8164:1 federal [85] - 7848:17, 7848:19, 7849:3, 7899:12, 7899:22, 7900:10, 7901:7, 7901:8, 7901:9, 7902:9, 7902:13, 7903:24, 7904:4, 7905:1, 7905:7, 7905:15, 7908:25, 7909:12, 7910:6, 7919:9, 7919:18, 7919:23, 7932:8, 7932:16, 7932:18, 7933:5, 7933:21, 7934:18, 7934:23, 7935:6, 7935:8, 7935:12, 7936:9, 7936:15, 7938:10, 7940:20, 7941:6, 7941:9, 7941:13, 7941:18, 7942:7, 7943:20, 7944:6, 7944:13, 7949:15, 7949:22, 7949:25, 7960:5, 7960:21, 7982:14, 7999:12, 7999:25, 8000:15, 8001:13, 8001:14, 8007:15, 8017:23, 8018:8, 8019:3, 8019:11, 8019:23, 8020:8, 8020:11, 8020:16, 8021:6,

8021:17, 8021:23, 8022:3, 8022:8, 8022:18, 8022:24, 8043:10, 8065:11, 8065:16, 8072:10, 8093:10, 8093:15, 8093:21, 8093:25, 8107:11, 8137:2, 8137:15, 8137:19, 8145:21 Federal [2] - 7877:5, 7935:2 feedback [6] -8109:11, 8109:22, 8110:18, 8110:22, 8110:23, 8111:2 feign [2] - 8027:12, 8028:18 feigned [1] - 8029:7 fell [1] - 7971:25 fellow [3] - 7921:16, 8149:4, 8149:9 felt [2] - 7925:25, 7990:21 few [20] - 7854:8, 7862:24, 7872:1, 7888:25, 7895:5, 7917:20, 7921:11, 7945:9, 7945:10, 7945:15, 7953:11, 7955:13, 8015:10, 8036:12, 8057:2, 8069:9, 8077:18, 8100:12, 8134:20, 8156:23 field [7] - 7992:6, 7992:15, 8074:10, 8089:3, 8089:6, 8089:10, 8111:9 fighting [1] - 8140:12 figure [14] - 7846:1, 7871:24, 7896:25, 7899:25, 7902:4, 7952:20, 7952:21, 8002:14, 8008:9, 8047:24, 8048:19, 8095:15, 8095:16, 8096:9 file [4] - 7906:11, 7907:7, 8012:20, 8142:2 filed [8] - 7904:9, 7927:20, 8068:22, 8123:4. 8141:24. 8141:25, 8142:1, 8142:3 filing [2] - 7868:23, 8113:20 filings [1] - 8038:11 fill [4] - 7862:7,

PageID: 24782

7872:4, 8013:16, 8151:24 filled [2] - 7845:1, 8025:5 fillers [2] - 7872:24, 8032:2 final [35] - 7843:25, 7844:13, 7854:3, 7861:6, 7865:1, 7867:1, 7876:20, 7878:2, 7878:3, 7890:5, 7890:18, 7895:7, 7898:17, 7898:23, 7915:6, 7915:13, 7916:3, 7916:11, 7916:23, 7916:25, 7917:17, 7917:18, 7917:24, 7918:6, 7945:5, 7945:8, 7950:8, 7950:15, 8031:4, 8038:22, 8038:25, 8045:5, 8045:11, 8147:15, 8148:18 Final [1] - 8040:20 finalize [2] - 7844:11, 7896:18 finalized [3] - 7843:24, 7914:7, 7947:8 finally [4] - 7964:2, 7983:14, 7988:11, 8081:2 financial [6] - 7893:6, 8014:23, 8052:8, 8068:6, 8123:3, 8123:5 findings [2] - 7948:6, 8085:16 fine [15] - 7845:24, 7854:21, 7858:13, 7877:15, 7877:23, 7903:17, 7911:22, 7912:15, 7949:11, 7952:4, 8028:5, 8040:4, 8140:18, 8140:25, 8157:4 fined [1] - 8065:7 fines [2] - 8065:6, 8065:8 finest [1] - 7974:24 finger [2] - 8052:11, 8054:24 finish [5] - 7956:2, 7976:5, 8084:19, 8146:25, 8147:3 finished [1] - 8151:11 finishing [1] - 8057:11 fired [4] - 7988:7, 8010:3, 8010:4, 8010:16

firing [2] - 8010:9, 8054:13 firm [2] - 7955:24, 8056:10 first [54] - 7844:7, 7845:20, 7851:12, 7852:10, 7857:18, 7858:10, 7858:21, 7860:19, 7860:24, 7861:21, 7868:4, 7869:9, 7869:10, 7874:19, 7878:19, 7880:4, 7899:23, 7916:10, 7921:11, 7932:15, 7933:9, 7933:13, 7934:5, 7936:22, 7947:14, 7949:18, 7958:14, 7966:14, 7997:16, 7998:4, 8001:19, 8001:21, 8003:7, 8030:22, 8037:19, 8045:8, 8060:8, 8072:1, 8072:13, 8085:5, 8092:12, 8108:7, 8121:12, 8130:4, 8130:21, 8140:8, 8140:13, 8140:20, 8142:2, 8142:3, 8147:20, 8148:5, 8152:13, 8159:6 first-to-file [1] -8142:2 first.. [1] - 7903:1 firsthand [1] - 8117:24 fiscal [1] - 8080:12 Fisher [1] - 7842:8 fishy [1] - 8142:7 fit [1] - 8050:13 fits [3] - 8081:6, 8095:3, 8096:7 five [16] - 7846:13, 7860:8, 7939:1, 7955:16, 7962:8, 7975:6, 8010:21, 8086:2, 8086:4, 8128:3, 8137:13, 8146:22, 8160:9, 8160:25, 8161:9, 8162:4 five-prescription [1] -7975:6 five-week [1] - 7962:8 fix [3] - 7946:11, 7950:18, 8011:12 fixed [3] - 7915:23, 7921:23, 7922:5 flag [2] - 7904:17, 8083:7

8112:22, 8113:9,

8125:19, 8131:1,

8139:9, 8139:10

flew [1] - 7963:18 flip [1] - 8009:14 FLOM [1] - 7842:19 Florida [1] - 7940:22 flows [1] - 7912:9 fly [1] - 8014:22 **FMAP** [5] - 7899:14, 7902:8, 7902:16, 7905:6 focus [7] - 7854:8, 7954:8, 7957:12, 8001:4, 8032:25, 8141:15, 8143:11 focused [4] - 7965:9, 7967:19, 8041:24, 8056:16 focusing [4] - 7854:2, 7959:6, 7970:5, 8052:25 folks [74] - 7843:6, 7843:12, 7843:19, 7846:8, 7858:4, 7863:5, 7865:21, 7870:14, 7895:5, 7895:10, 7896:3, 7898:5, 7898:14, 7899:5, 7906:5, 7915:19, 7916:21, 7944:9, 7945:5, 7947:6, 7952:4, 7952:18, 7954:3, 7954:17, 8025:14, 8030:20, 8042:13, 8049:19, 8050:21, 8057:1, 8057:23, 8058:2, 8058:12, 8058:13, 8059:5, 8063:2, 8065:12, 8067:5, 8067:13, 8088:22, 8089:22, 8095:6, 8096:16, 8097:20, 8097:22, 8098:7, 8115:24, 8124:25, 8128:7, 8128:17, 8128:22, 8129:7, 8147:23, 8150:25, 8153:21, 8154:11, 8156:5, 8156:12, 8156:14, 8157:6, 8157:9, 8158:19, 8158:23, 8158:24, 8161:1, 8161:7, 8161:14, 8161:16, 8161:25, 8162:9, 8162:10, 8162:14, 8162:25 follow [9] - 7880:9, 7904:4. 7919:4. 7925:12, 7942:6, 7956:14, 7961:10,

8130:3, 8148:16 followed [1] - 7888:17 following [13] -7883:2, 7924:2, 7924:5. 7926:24. 7933:13, 7936:15, 7941:17, 7986:24, 7989:22, 8018:4, 8023:13, 8117:7, 8120:23 follows [3] - 7903:24, 7908:23, 8001:9 font [1] - 8110:17 food [1] - 8028:13 **fool** [1] - 7967:18 footnote [1] - 8004:8 FOR [1] - 7842:1 for-profit [2] - 7957:8, 7960:13 force [22] - 7961:21, 7962:4, 7962:14, 7964:4, 7965:22, 7967:24, 7968:11, 7970:14, 7973:4, 7973:16, 7976:13, 7977:11, 7979:24, 7987:16, 7987:17, 7991:12, 8074:8, 8109:5, 8122:25, 8125:9, 8134:25, 8143:14 forecast [9] - 7956:18, 7957:16, 7957:25, 7964:9, 7968:17, 7968:24, 7970:13, 8080:7, 8138:2 forecasted [1] -8080:18 forecasting [2] -7958:5, 8080:13 forecasts [6] - 7958:8, 7962:13, 7964:7, 7965:18. 7969:8. 7976:12 foregoing [1] - 8164:4 foremost [1] - 8130:21 foreperson [17] -7899:2, 8146:8, 8147:22, 8148:1, 8150:12, 8150:21, 8151:24, 8152:12, 8152:18, 8154:22, 8154:24, 8155:5, 8155:16, 8156:8, 8157:14, 8158:9 foreseeable [2] -7937:13, 8018:25

forge [1] - 8079:19

forget [2] - 8036:3,

8099:4

forging [2] - 8079:18, 8080:1 forgive [1] - 8031:3 forgot [6] - 7860:9, 7866:10, 7866:13, 7875:12, 8038:15, 8088:14 forgotten [1] - 8072:9 form [45] - 7844:24, 7846:7, 7895:19, 7896:5, 7901:14, 7902:24, 7904:7, 7904:9, 7904:12, 7904:14, 7906:7, 7906:8, 7906:25, 7907:17, 7908:6, 7908:18, 7908:21, 7909:22, 7909:24, 7910:16, 7911:4, 7914:3, 7926:3, 7929:6, 7929:13, 7934:11, 7944:2, 7947:1, 7948:1, 7948:2, 7948:10, 7949:6, 7952:11, 7967:3, 8020:19, 8079:15, 8151:16, 8151:17, 8151:22, 8151:25, 8152:7, 8152:14, 8153:3, 8154:19 formally [2] - 7866:22, 8033:21 format [1] - 7896:2 former [10] - 7872:12, 7958:16, 7961:23, 7993:19, 8009:22, 8011:15, 8062:16, 8124:25, 8132:25, 8134:22 forms [5] - 7910:9, 7967:7, 8150:16, 8151:8, 8151:20 formulary [2] -8037:25, 8101:19 formulating [1] -7866:15 forth [2] - 7968:12, 8033:9 forward [9] - 7951:23, 7954:16, 7954:21, 7961:19, 7961:25, 8025:11, 8055:6, 8161:16, 8161:18 forwarded [1] - 8051:7 Foundation [1] -8093:11 four [11] - 7898:22, 7920:14, 7936:18, 7938:25, 7975:14,

PageID: 24783

fourth [4] - 7933:24, 7935:15, 7937:25, 8131:4 framework [1] -8046:11 France [1] - 8121:13 Frank [17] - 7992:7, 7992:11, 7997:2, 7997:5, 8078:17, 8079:4, 8086:5, 8086:24, 8087:16, 8087:18, 8087:21, 8088:9, 8089:4, 8089:9, 8096:11, 8097:9 frankly [2] - 7955:24, 8035:12 fraud [3] - 8005:21, 8006:22, 8111:15 Fraud [1] - 7899:17 fraudulent [3] -7941:16. 7944:22. 7947:17 free [4] - 7924:21, 7954:10. 8149:6. 8149:14 frequent [1] - 8112:25 frequently [1] - 7991:6 fresh [6] - 8155:1, 8156:16, 8157:21, 8157:23, 8158:15, 8159:1 friend [1] - 8115:10 friendly [28] - 7920:8, 7966:7, 7966:9, 7966:13, 7967:24, 7977:7, 7979:22, 7983:11, 7983:15, 7983:16, 7984:2, 7984:12. 7992:4. 7993:13. 7993:25. 8074:20. 8074:22. 8074:24, 8111:15, 8111:17, 8111:24, 8112:4, 8112:5, 8112:8, 8138:19, 8140:15, 8140:19, 8140.23 friendly' [1] - 7982:1 friends [8] - 7922:3, 8009:9, 8067:8, 8067:10, 8067:12, 8073:21, 8076:24, 8112:3 friends' [1] - 8067:3 front [11] - 7954:13, 7958:19, 8026:25,

8045:9, 8068:9, 8071:21, 8078:13, 8085:22, 8085:23, 8086:16, 8159:11 fulfill [1] - 7923:18 fulfilled [1] - 8115:21 full [15] - 7850:22, 7850:25, 7852:4, 7852:14, 7887:19, 7888:11, 7943:21, 7957:20, 7988:1, 8043:20, 8077:19, 8077:20, 8077:21, 8077:22, 8149:3 **fully** [2] - 7907:4, 7952:14 function [1] - 8135:10 fundamentally [3] -7962:22, 8027:2, 8147:1 funded [2] - 7935:10, 7988:17 funding [1] - 8020:11 funds [1] - 7941:9 funnel [1] - 7962:23 funneling [1] - 8145:8 funny [1] - 8016:6 furiously [1] - 8011:5 furnishing [2] -7942:15 future [1] - 7978:9 FYI [4] - 8088:6, 8088:13, 8089:3, 8089:20 G

gain [2] - 8066:21, 8124:15 gambled [1] - 8003:9 game [3] - 7961:9, 7971:9, 7972:4 gap [3] - 7872:5, 7872:24, 8032:2 gap-fillers [1] - 8032:2 gapped [1] - 8032:15 gaps [1] - 7862:7 gaslighter [1] -8027:25 gaslighting [6] -8009:7. 8009:15. 8013:23, 8026:12, 8028:6, 8052:14 gazillion [1] - 8105:25 general [3] - 7878:22, 7888:1, 8045:13 General [1] - 8139:5 generally [2] - 8097:9, 8147:13 generated [1] - 8135:1

7884:25, 7885:6

Grimes [1] - 8085:17

grooms [7] - 7996:13,

gentleman [1] -8001:22 gentlemen [16] -7956:5. 7962:17. 7985:8. 7990:8. 7999:4. 8001:25. 8007:2, 8010:20, 8014:21, 8017:25, 8019:25, 8022:23, 8024:17, 8132:14, 8145:23, 8147:7 Geoff [1] - 7843:15 GEOFFREY [1] -7842:20 Georgia [1] - 7940:22 get-go [6] - 7958:11, 7958:22, 7959:1, 7961:13, 7963:9, 8146:11 giant [1] - 8079:20 gist [1] - 8045:13 given [13] - 7876:22, 7877:21, 7892:8, 7909:4, 7926:15, 7929:3, 7929:7, 7930:16, 8025:21, 8075:1, 8121:20, 8145:19, 8147:15 Glatt [26] - 7973:21, 7978:21, 7979:9, 7980:16, 8006:11, 8006:13, 8007:4, 8092:18, 8092:19, 8093:3, 8095:1, 8095:8, 8095:21, 8095:24, 8096:2, 8100:1, 8101:2, 8104:17, 8104:24, 8105:8, 8112:10, 8112:14, 8143:24, 8144:6, 8145:3 glatt [1] - 7978:13 Glen [8] - 7965:8, 7966:15, 7970:7, 7989:16, 7994:5, 8007:12, 8134:22, 8134:24 Glenn [1] - 7958:16 goal [4] - 7957:7, 7958:1, 7964:23, 8120.1 goals [1] - 7958:11 God [2] - 7959:12, 7995:4 gold [1] - 8002:20 goodness [1] -8078:18 Gosh [1] - 7999:10 govern [1] - 7909:5 government [158] -

7848:17, 7848:21, 7849:3, 7850:19, 7850:24, 7851:1, 7851:12, 7851:13, 7851:19, 7851:25, 7852:7, 7852:15, 7864:8, 7874:15, 7875:15, 7875:18, 7887:19, 7888:11, 7901:9, 7909:12, 7919:13, 7920:1, 7921:1, 7937:8, 7938:11, 7938:13, 7939:2, 7941:4, 7943:18, 7943:22, 7978:11, 7982:14, 7984:15, 7985:1, 7985:22. 7988:22. 7989:6. 7989:21. 7989:23, 7990:6, 7997:12, 7997:23, 7997:24, 7999:6, 7999:9, 7999:12, 7999:16, 8000:1, 8000:15, 8001:24, 8002:6, 8003:13, 8003:20, 8004:24, 8005:17, 8006:2, 8007:15, 8008:7, 8018:6, 8018:17, 8019:3, 8019:11, 8019:14, 8019:15, 8020:2, 8020:8, 8020:13, 8022:2, 8022:18, 8022:22, 8024:14, 8033:17, 8033:19, 8037:20, 8040:11, 8040:14, 8041:15, 8042:23, 8042:25, 8043:10, 8045:25, 8059:13, 8059:14, 8060:5, 8060:12, 8061:8, 8062:20, 8063:3, 8063:8, 8063:18, 8063:23, 8064:12, 8064:14, 8064:20, 8064:23, 8065:1, 8065:3, 8065:25, 8067:2, 8072:14, 8091:21, 8093:10, 8093:15, 8093:21, 8094:1, 8099:10, 8099:16, 8099:17, 8099:22, 8100:16, 8100:18, 8101:8, 8101:12, 8101:15, 8103:9, 8103:13, 8104:2, 8104:12, 8104:13, 8104:15, 8105:18, 8106:18,

8106:22, 8106:23, 8107:6, 8107:9, 8107:16, 8107:19, 8107:22, 8108:23, 8108:25, 8111:16, 8114:17, 8114:21, 8114:24, 8115:2, 8122:6, 8122:16, 8126:5, 8126:7, 8126:10, 8126:13, 8127:12, 8127:18, 8129:17, 8129:25, 8131:7, 8133:22, 8134:6, 8135:23, 8141:16, 8142:25, 8145:22, 8146:3 Government [5] -7935:11, 8066:3, 8066:4, 8066:19, 8107:3 government's [9] -7887:18, 7888:10, 7893:15, 7921:8, 7938:3, 7943:9, 8043:3, 8043:4, 8094:13 governments [1] -8022:19 grab [1] - 7909:19 Graham [13] -7990:10, 7990:19, 8016:6, 8016:15, 8016:18, 8067:8, 8074:11, 8087:11, 8087:12, 8090:11, 8132:1, 8141:19, 8141:24 Graham's [1] - 8132:3 grand [1] - 8116:10 grant [2] - 7902:9, 7905:7 granted [1] - 7923:20 granting [1] - 7923:22 grants [1] - 8121:20 graph [4] - 8062:24, 8080:13, 8081:17, 8109:15 graphs [2] - 8080:5, 8109:7 great [7] - 7895:11, 7912:2, 8100:14, 8122:9, 8159:9, 8159:10, 8162:21 greater [4] - 7889:21, 7906:19, 8018:16, 8041:20 Greenfield [4] -7847:17, 7847:18, 7885:14, 7885:18 Greenfield's [2] -

Document 454

PageID: 24784

8067:10. 8074:4. 8132:9, 8132:10 Grooms [5] - 7972:22, 7990:11, 7993:4, 7993:8, 8141:20 gross [2] - 7985:4, 7985:18 grossly [1] - 8009:16 grounds [1] - 7853:9 group [14] - 7906:25, 7908:9, 7912:1, 7949:1, 7966:18, 7979:15, 7980:8, 8002:25, 8076:23, 8095:8, 8123:2, 8145:1, 8145:2 groups [2] - 8003:23, 8004:2 growth [1] - 7985:5 guess [17] - 7849:8, 7850:2, 7856:12, 7866:19, 7869:2, 7871:24, 7872:19, 7872:21, 7877:23, 7902:21, 7914:12, 7916:6, 7991:5, 7996:24, 8003:9, 8054:11 guesswork [1] -7943:12 guest [1] - 8121:12 guidance [6] -7956:17, 7958:25, 8005:9, 8005:19, 8006:8 guide [4] - 7867:14, 7917:25, 7919:3, 7931:5 guided [1] - 7931:10 guideline [2] - 7872:6, 7873:4 guidelines [35] -7860:8, 7862:24, 7863:8, 7864:7, 7865:21, 7869:12, 7870:21, 7871:3, 7871:4, 7871:13, 7871:20, 7871:22, 7872:1, 7872:3, 7872:7, 7872:11, 7872:14, 7872:17, 7872:18, 7873:5, 7980:15, 8031:22, 8032:2, 8044:25, 8100:18, 8100:19, 8100:24, 8101:2, 8103:13, 8103:16,

8114:9, 8119:10, 8142:22, 8143:20, 8143:23 gun [1] - 7990:21 guy [1] - 7965:17 guys [52] - 7845:7, 7845:20, 7845:22, 7846:3, 7846:6, 7855:7, 7856:3, 7856:16, 7859:8, 7859:11, 7860:5, 7862:10, 7866:12, 7867:13, 7867:23, 7895:20, 7895:21, 7897:10, 7897:15, 7898:14, 7899:2, 7899:3, 7900:5, 7905:22, 7997:11, 8014:20, 8029:1, 8029:15, 8046:15, 8048:20, 8048:22, 8051:13, 8051:21, 8051:23, 8053:15, 8069:10, 8075:18, 8079:3, 8085:13, 8088:10, 8099:12, 8100:1, 8100:13, 8122:10, 8153:9, 8154:20, 8156:1, 8156:24, 8159:6, 8159:19, 8160:24, 8162:10

Н

habit [1] - 8003:24 habits [2] - 7972:19, 7975:20 half [13] - 7915:21, 7915:22, 7964:22, 7968:20, 7998:21, 8014:13, 8039:1, 8137:13, 8146:22, 8160:9, 8160:25, 8161:9, 8162:4 hallway [1] - 7922:13 hammer [1] - 7984:24 hand [5] - 8068:24, 8077:10, 8086:10, 8142:6, 8145:10 handed [2] - 7914:7, 8088:4 handful [1] - 8118:25 handled [1] - 8059:2 hands [7] - 7967:8, 7975:9, 7988:11, 8008:6, 8077:13, 8078:1, 8147:11 happy [5] - 7868:20, 7869:14, 7913:7,

8042:19, 8121:1 hard [5] - 7898:14, 7903:4, 7912:4, 7970:17, 8130:11 harder [1] - 7970:20 harm [2] - 7997:12, 8144:21 harmful [1] - 7974:1 Harvard [1] - 8139:4 Hawaii [2] - 7940:23, 7975:14 HCC [2] - 7865:10, 8085:10 head [1] - 8072:20 heads [4] - 7951:8, 7970:14, 8001:21, 8159:5 heads-up [2] - 7951:8, 8159:5 health [29] - 7932:16, 7932:18, 7933:6, 7933:21, 7934:18, 7934:23, 7935:6, 7935:8, 7935:9, 7935:12, 7937:1, 7943:21, 7962:23, 7986:3, 8018:8, 8021:7, 8021:18, 8021:23, 8022:4, 8065:11, 8087:13, 8087:20, 8087:21, 8094:22, 8099:25, 8100:7, 8110:5, 8115:7 Health [1] - 7935:2 healthy [1] - 7968:9 hear [54] - 7844:7, 7846:16, 7847:7, 7851:8, 7856:10, 7857:6, 7860:2, 7860:12, 7876:21, 7882:5, 7882:15, 7886:20, 7887:7, 7889:24, 7890:21, 7892:21, 7893:7. 7901:2, 7903:2, 7904:18, 7906:6, 7908:11, 7908:12, 7919:7, 7924:10, 7924:13, 7924:14, 7927:1, 7954:23, 7954:24, 7963:2, 7981:4, 7981:8, 7991:11, 8004:23, 8005:11, 8009:23, 8012:4, 8025:8, 8026:21, 8033:2, 8045:7, 8050:22, 8056:21, 8063:6, 8067:20, 8127:20,

8136:23, 8137:9, 8149:12, 8151:5 heard [95] - 7856:24, 7877:18, 7878:17, 7917:17, 7921:13, 7923:16, 7926:1, 7928:14, 7928:25, 7930:9. 7931:2. 7931:7, 7931:11, 7938:8, 7940:10, 7940:13, 7959:12, 7963:16, 7965:10, 7967:10, 7968:5, 7969:17, 7969:19, 7982:22, 7982:25, 7983:3, 7983:13, 8009:21, 8028:18, 8028:20, 8047:9, 8056:12, 8056:13, 8061:15, 8062:7, 8062:13, 8062:16, 8062:17, 8062:18, 8063:7, 8063:21, 8065:3, 8065:22, 8066:7, 8066:8, 8066:10, 8066:13, 8066:15, 8069:2, 8069:10, 8071:14, 8073:12, 8073:20, 8074:12, 8074:21, 8076:13, 8081:23, 8085:21, 8091:5, 8091:14, 8092:1, 8092:10, 8095:5, 8095:8, 8095:9, 8095:14, 8096:16, 8097:3, 8098:16, 8099:8, 8101:8, 8101:20, 8103:23, 8104:24, 8106:12, 8111:18, 8112:2, 8113:24, 8115:10, 8117:6, 8117:9, 8119:11, 8119:13, 8120:20, 8123:7, 8124:10, 8124:17, 8124:25, 8125:3, 8126:8, 8130:18, 8138:15, 8140:18, 8140:21, 8148:7 hearing [4] - 7857:18, 7902:23, 7923:15, 7996:17 hearsay [4] - 7864:1, 8036:17, 8042:21,

8042:22

7980:6

heart [2] - 7979:6,

hearts [1] - 7959:20

heavily [1] - 7894:8

heck [2] - 8097:8, 8161:23 heed [1] - 8054:1 heels [1] - 8014:12 held [5] - 7843:1, 7906:4, 7974:13, 8119:1, 8142:13 help [10] - 7888:21, 7917:25, 7923:5, 7929:22, 7931:4, 7931:5, 7956:23, 7979:3, 7987:17, 8129:12 helped [3] - 7985:10, 7985:11 helpful [5] - 7897:5, 7897:7, 7918:15, 8018:11, 8073:22 helping [5] - 8063:3, 8066:19, 8066:20, 8067:2, 8159:18 helps [1] - 8135:10 herself [2] - 7964:22, 8140:1 hid [1] - 7986:13 hiding [1] - 8143:11 high [3] - 7964:25, 7965:3, 7995:17 high-stress [1] -7964:25 higher [4] - 7979:14, 7980:6, 8138:11, 8145:1 highest [7] - 7963:12, 7971:2, 7971:4, 8097:25, 8112:23, 8123:7, 8123:8 highlight [2] -7915:18, 8042:16 highlighted [2] -8013:17, 8042:17 highlighting [1] -7893:20 highlights [1] -7879:21 highly [3] - 7965:4, 7969:3, 7976:11 hill [1] - 7858:2 Hills [2] - 8004:14, 8004:18 himself [3] - 7965:18, 7968:8, 8097:1 hired [1] - 8062:16 hires [1] - 8131:7 history [3] - 7885:22, 7966:19, 8096:8 hit [2] - 7969:15, 7985:17 HIV [48] - 7919:25, 7921:5, 7959:10,

7959:11, 7961:6, 7963:11, 7965:5, 7966:13, 7969:21, 7974:3, 7978:23, 7978:24, 7979:20, 7980:5, 7980:8, 7980:10, 8007:8, 8045:23, 8059:14, 8059:15, 8060:18, 8060:19, 8060:20, 8093:12, 8095:6, 8095:7, 8095:11, 8095:23, 8097:15, 8099:20, 8099:21, 8099:22, 8100:2, 8100:21, 8101:9, 8101:23, 8102:20, 8102:21, 8103:14, 8103:15, 8104:3, 8104:21, 8114:4, 8125:9 HIV-related [1] -7921:5 Hoffmann [3] -8110:12, 8110:19, 8110:21 Hoffmann-L [1] -8110:19 Hoffmann-La[2] -8110:12, 8110:21 Hold [1] - 8072:2 hold [10] - 7845:9, 7851:5, 7885:10, 7889:22, 7890:2, 7902:19, 8013:9, 8043:13, 8118:15, 8119:7 holding [1] - 8025:22 Holshoe [5] - 7972:22, 7990:11, 7992:16, 8067:11, 8074:22 home [1] - 8075:7 homework [1] -7959:17 honest [2] - 8026:25, 8149:8 honestly [1] - 8161:13 Honor [193] - 7843:7, 7843:13, 7843:15, 7843:17, 7844:4, 7844:23, 7846:11, 7847:12, 7848:6, 7848:13, 7848:16, 7848:24, 7849:4, 7849:10, 7849:19, 7849:25, 7850:10, 7850:12, 7850:19, 7851:10, 7852:3, 7852:21, 7853:2, 7853:20, 7855:23,

7856:9, 7857:3, 7857:23, 7858:9, 7858:13, 7859:21, 7860:7, 7860:21, 7863:3, 7863:21, 7864:15, 7864:20, 7865:8, 7865:20, 7865:24, 7866:19, 7866:23, 7867:7, 7867:10, 7868:2, 7868:6, 7869:14, 7870:3, 7872:12, 7872:21, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7874:11, 7874:18, 7875:2, 7875:6, 7876:9, 7877:16, 7877:23, 7878:25, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:22, 7881:20, 7882:6, 7883:1, 7883:9, 7884:7, 7884:17, 7885:8, 7885:15, 7886:21, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7890:1, 7890:23, 7891:2, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7897:5, 7897:19, 7898:9, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7900:7, 7900:8, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7902:1, 7903:1, 7903:9, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7907:20, 7908:14, 7908:15, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7911:15, 7912:3, 7912:15, 7913:6, 7913:14, 7914:22, 7915:11, 7916:7, 7916:16, 7946:3, 7946:6, 7946:14, 7946:15, 7947:3, 7947:11, 7948:4, 7948:9, 7948:16, 7949:3, 7949:13, 7950:10, 7950:19, 7952:1, 7952:16, 7952:17, 7953:5, 7953:21, 7955:6, 7955:9, 8016:20, 8017:2, 8026:9, 8026:25, 8027:4, 8027:22, 8028:17, 8029:5, 8029:18, 8030:25, 8031:3, 8031:11,

PageID: 24786

7871:13, 7941:13,

8141:22, 8141:23,

identified [2] - 7902:5,

identify [10] - 7907:16,

7908:2, 7919:22,

7931:4, 7944:22,

7946:18, 7947:9,

7947:16, 7963:23,

7946:19, 7946:24,

7948:21, 7951:13,

7937:19, 8019:6

ignore [7] - 7876:25,

7925:9, 7961:16,

8004:16, 8132:7,

8143:17, 8145:19

illegal [4] - 7942:13,

7990:18, 8139:14

Illinois [1] - 7940:23

illness [1] - 7932:24

imagination [1] -

7947:2

8115:25

illustrate [1] - 7929:23

imagine [2] - 8001:21,

identification [1] -

identical [5] -

8142:4

7914:13

7948:13

8091.5

7963:10

ignorance [2] -

identifying [5] -

8031:19, 8034:8, 8035:5, 8035:20, 8038:6, 8038:24, 8039:5, 8039:23, 8040:5, 8040:21, 8042:1, 8042:4, 8042:7, 8044:8, 8044:11, 8044:23, 8045:16, 8048:9, 8049:6, 8050:17, 8052:1, 8052:2, 8052:8, 8054:11, 8055:15, 8055:23, 8055:25, 8056:3, 8056:21, 8057:5, 8057:7, 8058:4, 8058:8, 8058:17, 8128:5. 8128:12. 8128:16. 8128:21. 8129:2, 8129:3, 8133:16, 8156:18, 8158:1, 8158:2, 8159:8, 8162:21 Honor's [1] - 8041:2 Honorable [1] -7843:1 HONORABLE [1] -7842:11 honoraria [1] -8119:19 honorarium [1] -8118:20 hook [1] - 8005:17 hope [16] - 8022:9, 8056:6. 8056:8. 8057:18, 8058:19, 8058:20, 8085:13, 8126:18, 8127:12, 8137:11, 8146:22, 8147:1, 8147:3, 8162:3, 8162:8, 8162:9 hopefully [8] -7844:10, 7845:7, 7846:13, 7846:21, 7945:13, 8009:10, 8097:7 hospitals [2] -7974:13, 8139:3 hot [1] - 8052:21 hot-button [1] -8052:21 hotel [2] - 7920:22, 8054:25 hotels [1] - 7975:16 hotline [1] - 8068:14 hour [13] - 7844:10, 7845:8, 7895:16, 7895:17, 7954:24, 7962:9, 8025:15,

8026:6, 8034:13, 8039:1, 8057:20, 8128:3, 8157:2 hours [4] - 7898:22, 7953:11, 7953:12, 8057:17 housekeeping [3] -8033:20, 8033:23, 8033:25 **HR** [6] - 8068:15, 8069:21, 8071:4, 8085:6, 8125:3 Hsu [7] - 8059:18, 8059:25, 8093:11, 8096:11, 8112:24, 8113:3, 8117:24 Hsu's [1] - 8117:16 human [5] - 8069:6, 8069:12, 8069:15, 8069:23, 8141:5 hundred [10] -7991:22, 8002:25, 8003:2, 8003:4, 8010:5, 8010:10, 8011:2, 8043:20, 8099:8, 8101:5 hundreds [6] - 8083:6, 8090:23, 8091:23, 8093:4, 8093:17, 8122:1 hungry [1] - 8016:22 hurt [2] - 7888:21, 7957:13 hybrid [4] - 7895:23, 7896:7, 7897:1, 7902:3 hypercholesterolemi a [1] - 7978:3 hyperlipidemia [1] -7984:8 hypertriglyceridemia [1] - 7978:3 lacobellis [5] -7958:15, 7965:24, 8019:13, 8023:23, 8125:2 lan [2] - 8000:14,

8001:11

8024:19

8091:7

ice [4] - 7996:4.

7996:5, 7996:6,

idea [10] - 7912:2,

7980:15, 7991:11,

8012:7, 8016:10,

8034:12, 8054:15,

8076:15, 8076:16,

impact [32] - 7920:9, 7959:16, 7967:25, 7976:1, 7977:8, 7977:9, 7977:15, 7977:21, 7979:9, 7979:23, 7981:10, 7981:11, 7981:12, 7981:15, 7981:18, 7981:20, 7982:18, 7984:3. 7984:4. 7984:13, 7996:3, 7996:23. 8068:7. 8109:15, 8109:19, 8111:9, 8111:14, 8111:25 impacted [1] - 7980:8 impacts [1] - 8050:9 impartial [2] -7931:19, 8149:3 impasse [1] - 8029:16 impeach [1] - 7870:8 impeachment [4] -7855:18, 7855:25, 7856:15, 7858:1 impediment [1] -7976:5 implementing [1] -

8108:13 implements [1] -8047:11 implication [6] -8051:12. 8052:13. 8055:11. 8056:17. 8136:6. 8136:12 implicitly [1] - 8051:4 imply [1] - 8053:17 **implying** [1] - 7894:1 importance [1] -8045:25 important [33] -7888:3, 7923:17, 7927:15, 7945:7, 7956:7, 8001:1, 8009:1, 8013:12, 8017:1, 8017:11, 8018:5, 8019:10, 8019:14, 8023:21, 8038:8, 8056:4, 8056:7, 8056:9, 8065:23, 8081:25, 8082:9, 8086:8, 8100:16, 8101:10, 8101:14, 8102:21, 8106:4. 8109:11. 8148:20, 8150:2, 8160:8, 8162:5, 8162:19 importantly [3] -7921:19, 8059:5, 8114:17 **impose** [1] - 7941:14 impossibility [2] -7939:1, 8024:12 impossible [3] -8070:21, 8070:24, 8132:21 impression [1] -7993:8 improper [7] - 7925:7, 8002:5, 8026:14, 8028:8, 8028:9, 8053:1, 8118:19 improperly [4] -7921:7, 8001:5, 8060:22, 8107:15 improvement [1] -8089:15 **impugn** [1] - 8051:10 impugns [1] - 8051:24 in-depth [1] - 8085:24 inaccurate [1] -8150:6 inadmissible [1] -8049:3 inadvertently [1] -7852:11 inappropriate [6] -

7858:16, 7879:19, 7979:21, 8026:19, 8027:2, 8096:5 incentive [2] - 7893:6, 7959:3 incentivize [1] -8006:6 inclination [1] -7858:19 inclined [2] - 7906:8, 7908:12 include [8] - 7861:15, 7874:2, 7934:10, 7938:16, 7949:22, 7958:4, 8005:4, 8109:6 included [11] -7853:17, 7853:21, 7878:5, 7894:23, 7910:10, 8079:23, 8079:24, 8079:25, 8080:7, 8120:9, 8151:20 includes [3] - 7922:3, 8104:17, 8148:17 including [21] -7860:22, 7873:22, 7880:4, 7899:2, 7911:8, 7921:16, 7922:7, 7922:11, 7926:24, 7930:20, 7932:18, 7933:14, 7960:16, 8020:23, 8033:9, 8034:3, 8043:1, 8045:23, 8083:18, 8106:20, 8111:19 income [1] - 7930:23 incomplete [1] -8150:5 inconsistencies [1] -8142:11 inconsistent [4] -7910:13, 7910:22, 8072:1, 8073:25 inconvenience [1] -7929:17 incorporated [2] -7968:24, 7969:8 incorporates [1] -7958:24 incorporating [2] -7958:10, 7959:4 incorrect [1] - 7880:11 increase [6] - 7976:10, 7977:24, 7996:25, 8003:22, 8116:23, 8140:16 increased [1] -8117:21

8127:23 individualized [3] -8094:25, 8095:2, 8095:18 individuals [2] -7919:13, 7931:16 induce [9] - 7920:22, 7933:18, 7934:16, 7934:22, 7942:14, 7972:6, 7997:20, 8021:4, 8021:14 inducement [3] -7933:23, 7934:24, 7935.7 Inducement [1] -7934:13 inducing [4] -7937:11, 7971:11, 8018:18, 8018:22 industry [1] - 8118:21 ineligible [2] -7932:15, 8090:12 infectious [2] -7974:2, 7974:3 infer [2] - 7926:8, 7940:7 inferring [1] - 7871:7 influence [15] -7888:2, 7921:6, 7924:15, 7938:4, 7959:7, 7975:19, 8002:5, 8011:21, 8021:1, 8093:19, 8143:8, 8144:13, 8148:9, 8148:24, 8150:10 influenced [18] -7925:3, 7925:8, 7997:3, 7997:7, 7998:1, 7998:13, 7998:16, 7999:23, 8003:24, 8004:3, 8006:16, 8006:19, 8097:19, 8119:18, 8138:20, 8138:25, 8139:10 influences [1] -7960:9 influencing [1] -7938:4 inform [1] - 7897:23 information [47] -7872:9, 7902:10, 7904:13, 7923:4, 7923:6, 7923:17, 7930:12, 7937:21, 7942:20, 7942:22, 7942:24, 7966:19, 7967:4, 7991:4, 7992:1, 7993:11,

Case 3:12-cv-07758-ZNQ-JBD

7996:22, 8024:18, 8037:8, 8038:4, 8039:19, 8062:24, 8078:13, 8079:11, 8079:12, 8079:14, 8079:21, 8082:24, 8084:16, 8086:22, 8093:9, 8097:13, 8102:6, 8103:16, 8103:24, 8105:19, 8107:1, 8109:18, 8110:13, 8110:17, 8136:8, 8144:16, 8149:18, 8149:23, 8150:4, 8150:6, 8150:9 infraction [1] -8089:19 inhibitor [1] - 8100:25 inhibitors [1] -7963:23 initial [1] - 7886:8 initiated [1] - 7998:2 injuries [3] - 7979:14, 7980:6, 8145:1 injury [1] - 7932:24 inquired [1] - 7859:22 insert [4] - 7986:19, 7986:21, 8079:24, 8082:18 inserted [1] - 7849:17 insidious [2] - 7970:3, 8145:12 insinuate [1] -8012:25 insinuation [2] -8013:19, 8055:21 insinuations [1] -8053:12 inspect [1] - 8152:15 inspection [1] -8152:16 instance [4] -7847:17, 7848:19, 7851:13, 7899:21 instances [4] -7847:18, 7857:8, 7857:11, 8073:18 instant [3] - 7922:7, 7922:8, 8149:21 instead [10] - 7881:6, 7965:18, 7965:19, 7965:20, 7980:12, 8028:5, 8092:12, 8111:24, 8111:25, 8144:22 Instead [1] - 7881:15 institution [1] - 8139:2 institutions [1] -8122:24

instruct [13] -7858:21, 7866:9, 7880:11, 7918:13, 7921:12, 7933:5, 7935:11, 8025:20, 8029:3, 8047:6, 8126:8. 8128:25. 8148:14 instructed [20] -7858:10, 7917:14, 7925:11, 7925:14, 7928:10, 7936:24, 7937:1, 7982:21, 7983:5, 8002:2, 8015:24, 8017:2, 8018:7, 8067:24, 8074:2, 8074:9, 8074:21, 8088:5, 8125:14, 8137:1 instructing [10] -7887:4, 7890:9, 7891:12, 7891:15, 7896:11, 7940:16, 7943:5, 7944:25, 8038:21, 8076:9 instruction [118] -7845:20, 7847:4, 7849:5, 7849:22, 7850:8, 7850:15, 7853:7, 7853:11, 7853:16, 7853:21, 7855:10, 7855:16, 7857:14, 7857:24, 7858:3, 7858:6, 7858:17, 7860:14, 7861:2, 7861:3, 7861:4, 7861:19, 7861:24, 7869:16, 7876:23, 7877:11, 7877:15, 7877:17, 7878:4, 7878:7, 7878:8, 7878:11, 7878:14, 7878:17, 7878:20, 7878:23, 7879:4, 7879:15, 7879:16, 7879:19, 7879:20, 7879:24, 7879:25, 7883:11, 7883:15, 7885:5, 7886:13, 7888:7, 7889:3. 7889:13. 7889:18. 7889:21. 7890:6, 7890:7, 7890:14, 7890:21, 7891:2, 7891:8, 7891:21, 7891:25, 7892:8, 7892:13, 7893:3, 7893:12, 7893:13, 7893:19, 7893:23, 7896:14, 7896:15, 7898:17,

7898:22, 7898:24, 7898:25, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:25, 7911:9, 7918:1, 7918:11, 7919:6, 7925:12, 7934:3, 7934:12, 7935:1, 7935:13, 7935:18, 7935:23, 7936:7, 7936:20, 7937:4, 7937:15, 7937:23, 7938:6, 7939:24, 7946:10, 7946:23, 7946:25, 8025:22, 8026:20, 8027:23, 8079:5, 8107:13, 8108:11, 8108:16, 8123:10. 8123:12. 8123:21, 8124:22, 8133:16, 8136:17, 8136:19, 8137:5, 8137:17, 8147:25, 8156:25 Instruction [35] -7847:13, 7850:13, 7854:23, 7880:2, 7900:9, 7904:20, 7909:3, 7909:4, 7919:5, 7919:8, 7921:10, 7923:13, 7923:25, 7925:19, 7926:17, 7927:17, 7928:18, 7928:24, 7929:5, 7929:11, 7929:19, 7930:8, 7930:25, 7931:13, 7932:6, 7933:4, 7940:9, 7940:19, 7942:5, 7942:25, 7943:16, 7944:5, 7944:20, 7947:14, 8137:7 instructions [109] -7843:20, 7843:22, 7843:25, 7844:3, 7844:9, 7844:13, 7845:8, 7845:14, 7846:9, 7852:18, 7852:22, 7854:3, 7857:24, 7859:1, 7861:6, 7861:15, 7865:2, 7867:22, 7870:15, 7876:20, 7876:24, 7878:2, 7878:3, 7878:8, 7879:8, 7882:10, 7882:19, 7885:4, 7886:12, 7888:17, 7889:1, 7889:5, 7894:15, 7895:7, 7895:13, 7896:8,

7896:11, 7897:16, 7898:1, 7898:1, 7898:15, 7899:6, 7910:22, 7911:10, 7912:9, 7914:25, 7915:1, 7915:3, 7915:3, 7916:3, 7916:3, 7916:25, 7917:10, 7917:11, 7917:13, 7917:15, 7917:17, 7917:18, 7917:20, 7917:21, 7917:23, 7917:24, 7918:3, 7918:6, 7941:5, 7941:7, 7941:17, 7941:17, 7941:17, 7942:6, 7942:8, 7945:24, 7946:1, 7950:7, 7950:16, 8015:25, 8016:20, 8023:22, 8024:7, 8025:21, 8038:22, 8024:7, 8046:23, 8047:2, 8047:5, 8063:16, 8068:1, 8075:1, 8106:5, 8123:16, 8133:17, 8137:6, 8146:9, 8146:10, 8147:15,
insurance [1] -
8091:22
insurer [1] - 8005:16
Integrity [16] - 7938:6,
7938:9, 7938:11,
7938:17, 7939:6,
7939:16, 7939:17,
7946:8, 8007:22,
8024:5, 8063:21,
8063:22, 8063:25,
8064:15, 8065:5,
8065:21
integrity [14] - 7849:5,
7850:1, 7984:23,
7989:14, 8007:14,
8060:11, 8064:22,
8106:19, 8114:19,
8131:8, 8131:9,
8131:13, 8135:18,
8135:23
Intelence [66] -
7919:25, 7920:6,

```
7920:12, 7920:14,
 7920:23, 7921:2,
 7921:3, 7921:5,
 7921:7, 7933:19,
 7938:16, 7939:4,
 7940:6, 7950:1,
 7970:5, 7970:17,
 7972:7, 7972:11,
 7973:5, 7976:3,
 7976:17, 7976:19,
 7976:21, 7985:16,
 7985:23, 7987:5,
 7987:10, 7987:24,
 7988:13, 7994:7,
 7995:17, 7996:1,
 7996:17, 7997:16,
 7997:22, 7998:2,
 7998:23, 7999:2,
 7999:17, 8000:6,
 8000:7, 8000:13,
 8000:16, 8005:5,
 8016:2, 8017:24,
 8019:24, 8021:5,
 8060:18, 8060:22,
 8061:9, 8069:7,
 8074:8, 8074:10,
 8074:12, 8082:21,
 8083:11, 8083:18,
 8100:23, 8104:9,
 8105:13, 8105:23,
 8117:12, 8125:8,
 8125:11
intend [3] - 7865:4,
 7882:24, 7907:7
intended [4] - 7932:5,
 7936:5, 7982:12,
 8153:4
intending [1] - 7891:7
intent [8] - 7876:13,
 7997:20, 8018:5,
 8049:10, 8056:23,
 8056:24, 8107:14,
 8139:13
intention [4] - 7954:5,
 8089:5, 8149:1,
 8157:20
intentionally [2] -
 7935:22, 8106:8
intentions [2] -
 8056:14, 8056:15
intents [1] - 7937:22
interest [3] - 7927:5,
 7960:13, 8014:23
interested [3] -
 8042:8, 8044:5,
 8121:2
interesting [5] -
 7872:23, 7985:25,
 7986:14, 7987:21,
 7995:6
```

Intern [1] - 8 160: 14
internal [3] - 7964:6,
7964:7, 8137:24
internally [4] - 7897:4,
7968:3, 8109:4,
8111:5
internet [10] -
7921:24, 7922:9,
7922:25, 7923:3,
8097:14, 8149:20,
8149:21, 8149:22,
8150:4, 8150:6
interns [1] - 8160:15
interpret [2] - 7918:14,
8109:19
interpretation [2] -
8117:2, 8117:6
interpreters [1] -
8044:24
interrogatories [31] -
7859:2, 7860:16,
7860:23, 7861:7,
7861:11, 7861:13,
7861:14, 7861:24,
7862:1, 7862:6,
7862:16, 7862:25,
7864:3, 7864:5,
7864:18, 7868:4,
7860·13 7870·0
7869:13, 7870:9, 7870:18, 7870:24,
7875:8, 7876:3,
7876:19, 7876:23,
7877:14, 7877:20, 7877:24, 7878:6,
7879:5, 7894:22,
7929:2
Interrogatories [1] -
7928:24
interrogatory [7] -
7860:17, 7870:6,
7877:3, 7877:9,
7877:12, 7878:12,
8069:4
interrupt [1] - 8028:25
interruptions [1] -
7871:2
interview [9] -
8084:22, 8086:1,
8086:7, 8086:18,
8086:19, 8087:3,
8087:14, 8087:22,
8136:7
interviewed [9] -
8064:14, 8085:20,
8086:25, 8087:4,
8087:15, 8087:19,
8088:17, 8089:17
interviews [3] -
8084:25, 8085:24,

PageID: 24788

interfere [1] - 7967:5

8088:23 introduce [1] -7865:12 Introduction [1] -7918:11 inverted [2] - 7957:12, 7960:1 invested [2] - 8161:11 investigate [1] -8150:1 investigated [3] -8086:11, 8089:16, 8116:9 investigation [23] -7865:10, 7922:23, 7986:12, 8008:18, 8008:21, 8085:1, 8085:4, 8085:5, 8085:8, 8085:10, 8085:25, 8086:9, 8086:12, 8086:14, 8087:5, 8087:16, 8087:20, 8087:25, 8088:3, 8088:8, 8089:8 investment [2] -8117:7, 8120:18 invitation [1] -8119:12 inviting [2] - 8076:5, 8076:11 involved [9] - 7919:19, 7951:21, 7991:22, 8112:18, 8120:7, 8120:10, 8121:12, 8122:18, 8126:9 involvement [1] -8120:8 involving [1] - 8124:3 lowa [1] - 7940:23 iPad [1] - 8068:15 IRO [2] - 8114:24, 8131:24 irrelevant [3] - 7988:4, 8002:9 Island [1] - 7940:25 **Israel** [1] - 7998:6 issue [78] - 7848:3, 7851:11, 7851:21, 7854:21, 7859:3, 7861:23, 7861:25, 7868:17, 7869:2, 7870:5, 7870:19, 7872:25, 7874:14, 7875:11, 7875:14, 7876:20, 7879:21, 7879:24, 7880:15, 7881:2, 7886:13,

7891:13, 7892:11,

7893:22, 7894:2,

7897:13, 7899:22, 7906:19, 7907:4, 7908:17, 7908:20, 7913:24, 7914:1, 7915:18, 7915:20, 7916:5, 7916:18, 7917:3, 7939:14, 7943:6, 7944:25, 7951:7, 7952:5, 7952:12, 7954:4, 7954:18, 7980:11, 7980:17, 7980:21, 7983:25, 8006:9, 8030:22, 8033:20, 8034:5, 8035:5, 8035:6, 8038:15, 8039:3, 8040:9, 8045:24. 8048:10. 8050:20, 8053:15. 8053:17, 8065:6, 8075:10, 8075:15, 8087:8, 8106:19, 8118:22, 8118:24, 8121:22, 8127:15, 8129:18, 8132:7, 8134:21, 8144:2, 8159:2 issued [2] - 7882:19, 8110:14 issues [33] - 7866:7, 7878:4, 7884:18, 7893:2, 7893:11, 7894:5, 7895:18, 7897:24, 7898:4, 7904:12, 7911:5, 7916:12, 7921:5, 7945:18, 7950:15, 7980:22, 7994:6, 8029:22, 8030:2, 8030:8, 8047:12, 8051:18, 8052:10, 8064:3, 8085:6, 8085:7, 8093:2, 8095:16, 8110:25, 8144:21, 8154:12, 8161:12 item [5] - 7883:16, 7925:11, 7934:18, 7934:23, 7942:16 items [2] - 7846:12, 7860:10 itself [12] - 7962:19, 7965:7, 7978:2, 7978:23, 7995:7, 8008:12, 8009:3, 8036:9, 8046:10, 8080:8, 8099:4, 8126:4

J

J&J [1] - 8068:11 JANSSEN[1] - 7842:6 janssen [1] - 7999:9 Janssen [253] -7843:14, 7843:16, 7843:18, 7844:8, 7844:17, 7850:23, 7851:16, 7851:18, 7852:1, 7852:5, 7863:8, 7869:3, 7873:12, 7891:3, 7892:17, 7896:22, 7897:6, 7897:17, 7900:12, 7904:21, 7904:23, 7905:3, 7906:11, 7910:4, 7912:5, 7919:22, 7919:23, 7920:4, 7920:7, 7920:20, 7920:25, 7921:6, 7927:19, 7928:1, 7928:4, 7931:14, 7931:23, 7932:3, 7932:7, 7932:9, 7932:10, 7932:12, 7932:25, 7933:9, 7933:10, 7933:11, 7933:14, 7933:24, 7934:7, 7935:17, 7936:2, 7936:3, 7936:5, 7936:8, 7936:12, 7936:14, 7936:24, 7937:7, 7937:18, 7937:19, 7938:8, 7938:20, 7938:21, 7938:23, 7939:18, 7939:22, 7940:1, 7940:2, 7940:3, 7940:5, 7940:7, 7940:8, 7940:10, 7940:13, 7941:17, 7941:19, 7941:22, 7941:25, 7942:1, 7942:4, 7942:9, 7942:11, 7943:1, 7943:8, 7943:19, 7944:21, 7945:2, 7947:15, 7948:1. 7949:20. 7949:25, 7951:19, 7954:25, 7956:2, 7956:21, 7957:14, 7958:9, 7958:15, 7960:7, 7960:14, 7961:16, 7962:12, 7963:2, 7963:19, 7963:24, 7964:21, 7967:8, 7969:21,

7975:8, 7975:18, 7978:7, 7978:17, 7979:24, 7981:7, 7982:14, 7982:22, 7983:3, 7984:14, 7985:9, 7986:8, 7986:15, 7987:13, 7987:22, 7988:6, 7988:17, 7989:4, 7990:3, 7990:17, 7993:15, 7993:19, 7994:3, 7994:20, 7994:25, 7995:7, 7996:7, 7997:14, 7997:25, 7998:5, 7998:14, 7999:1, 7999:20, 8001:4, 8002:17, 8003:17, 8003:20, 8004:23, 8005:11, 8006:1, 8007:7, 8007:14, 8007:18, 8008:1, 8009:2, 8009:23, 8010:8, 8011:18, 8012:14, 8012:15, 8012:21, 8013:9, 8013:22, 8014:2, 8014:14, 8015:2, 8015:9, 8015:13, 8016:3, 8016:7, 8017:18, 8017:21, 8017:23, 8018:15, 8018:16, 8019:16, 8019:23, 8020:4, 8020:14, 8020:15, 8020:21, 8021:13, 8022:7, 8022:15, 8023:12, 8024:24, 8025:4, 8025:6, 8025:16, 8026:6, 8027:6, 8029:17, 8030:24, 8031:18, 8037:3, 8038:23, 8047:6, 8051:3, 8054:12, 8054:18, 8054:19, 8059:6, 8061:14, 8065:15, 8065:20, 8066:14, 8068:11, 8068:18, 8075:6, 8081:15, 8086:13, 8089:16, 8091:8, 8092:3, 8093:14, 8098:9, 8105:22, 8107:6, 8107:7, 8107:10, 8107:12, 8111:12, 8117:4, 8119:19, 8122:5, 8126:25, 8129:12, 8129:24, 8130:14, 8131:7, 8132:13, 8132:14,

8132:19, 8132:22, 8133:4, 8133:10, 8135:21, 8137:2, 8137:3, 8137:5, 8138:18, 8138:21, 8139:16, 8139:19, 8140:3, 8140:9, 8140:14, 8143:11, 8144:13, 8144:15, 8147:5, 8147:17, 8156:20 Janssen's [60] -7844:8, 7846:14, 7852:19, 7868:14, 7886:8, 7891:9, 7892:23, 7895:24, 7896:6, 7896:19, 7908:4, 7916:18, 7937:8, 7937:14, 7938:1, 7938:25, 7939:1, 7940:5, 7940:12, 7940:16, 7952:7, 7956:3, 7956:17, 7962:1, 7974:20, 7975:17, 7976:9, 7977:13, 7980:25, 7981:2, 7983:5, 7997:20, 7999:24, 8000:15, 8002:21, 8006:5, 8009:20, 8010:1, 8010:21, 8016:15, 8018:3, 8018:20, 8019:1, 8019:11, 8023:19, 8048:6, 8055:2, 8057:2, 8058:16, 8069:6, 8111:10, 8113:11, 8130:18, 8134:17, 8139:13, 8139:14, 8142:24, 8143:8, 8145:7 January [2] - 7970:5, 8069:9 jaundice [1] - 7980:21 Jena [13] - 7998:5, 8001:18, 8003:21, 8004:8, 8004:20, 8012:5, 8017:10, 8018:10, 8018:23, 8091:15, 8098:11, 8098:19. 8099:3 Jena's [3] - 8001:19, 8004:8, 8098:16 Jena-100-percent [1] -8017:4 JERSEY [1] - 7842:1 Jersey [6] - 7842:9, 7940:21, 7986:2, 8060:9, 8112:20,

8124:1 Jessica [11] - 7919:21, 7955:22, 7956:1, 7972:23, 7985:12, 7986:6, 7988:7, 7990:11, 7993:17, 8012:24. 8141:25 Jessica's [1] -8012:24 Joanne [13] - 7940:5, 7986:1, 7986:11, 7987:12, 7988:5, 7992:9, 7992:11, 8008:14, 8008:17, 8012:13, 8015:18, 8084:11 job [9] - 7956:2, 7990:18, 7992:11, 7993:2, 8067:25, 8087:19, 8134:19, 8148:8, 8148:14 jobs [1] - 7955:20 Joe [1] - 7972:22 Johnson [19] -7959:23, 7961:15, 7989:1, 7989:3, 7989:4. 7995:21. 8010:22, 8011:15, 8133:6, 8134:3, 8136:18, 8142:18 JOHNSON [2] -7842:6 Johnson's [1] -7959:23 joining [1] - 8139:4 joint [3] - 7854:10, 7901:24, 8085:5 jointly [1] - 7901:14 Joseph [1] - 7990:11 **JOSH** [1] - 7842:15 Josh [2] - 7843:9, 8129:13 joy [1] - 8012:18 **Joy** [1] - 8013:5 Judge [6] - 7843:2, 8049:2, 8155:1, 8156:21, 8159:17, 8162:23 JUDGE [1] - 7842:12 judge [7] - 7918:25, 8067:24, 8071:3, 8073:9, 8133:2, 8135:1, 8139:6 judges [6] - 7918:20, 7926:20, 8068:3, 8160:20, 8160:23 judging [2] - 7918:22, 8073:8 judgment [13] -7847:19, 7900:21,

7902:18, 8096:13, 8104:1, 8104:18, 8105:18, 8130:12, 8132:24, 8139:6, 8143:9, 8143:13, 8151:13 judicial [3] - 8160:14, 8160:15. 8160:18 July [2] - 7964:16, 7988:17 **jumping** [1] - 8011:4 June [9] - 7842:10, 7843:2, 7955:12, 7964:2, 7987:13, 8033:3, 8036:16, 8048:11, 8164:11 junk [1] - 7968:7 juries [1] - 8130:10 juror [4] - 7921:16, 7922:16, 8151:13, 8151:14 jurors [37] - 7844:19, 7856:9, 7858:15, 7895:16, 7897:9, 7897:23, 7899:6, 7906:21, 7911:9, 7912:12, 7914:8, 7915:13, 7915:20, 7916:10, 7921:11, 7945:17, 7947:8, 7952:24, 7953:7, 7954:2, 8025:17, 8030:3, 8037:23, 8045:7, 8057:10, 8058:3, 8067:25, 8133:2, 8148:5, 8148:25, 8149:4, 8149:9, 8153:7, 8153:16, 8155:21, 8156:15, 8158:25 Jury [2] - 7918:11, 7921:10 jury [151] - 7843:20, 7843:22, 7844:3, 7845:8, 7845:14, 7852:22, 7856:5, 7856:17, 7856:19, 7856:23, 7857:1, 7857:10, 7857:15, 7858:11, 7859:4, 7866:9, 7867:12, 7867:22, 7870:14, 7872:13, 7876:25, 7878:7, 7878:10, 7880:12, 7885:4, 7887:4, 7887:23, 7892:3, 7893:20, 7894:2, 7894:15, 7895:15, 7896:12, 7896:20, 7896:23,

7900:1, 7903:24, 7904:2, 7904:16, 7908:1, 7908:24, 7911:4, 7911:10, 7913:12, 7914:4, 7914:7, 7915:2, 7915:21, 7916:20, 7916:22, 7918:17, 7921:13, 7945:17, 7945:20, 7945:22, 7945:25, 7952:11, 7954:4, 7983:23, 8003:8, 8016:19, 8020:17, 8026:2, 8026:25, 8027:1, 8028:8, 8029:5, 8035:12, 8036:19, 8036:22, 8038:21, 8041:14, 8041:20, 8042:6, 8043:25, 8044:24, 8045:5, 8045:10, 8046:17, 8046:24, 8047:4, 8047:5, 8047:6, 8047:16, 8047:20, 8048:1, 8049:14, 8049:16, 8050:10, 8051:3, 8051:7, 8051:25, 8052:6, 8053:15, 8058:11, 8058:23, 8058:24, 8059:6, 8063:16, 8068:1, 8068:2, 8106:5. 8107:13. 8108:10, 8108:16, 8113:18, 8122:13, 8128:8, 8128:9, 8129:1, 8129:5, 8129:6, 8132:14, 8136:19, 8137:5, 8137:6, 8142:15, 8147:10, 8147:15, 8147:18, 8147:21, 8148:2, 8149:13, 8149:15, 8150:13, 8150:15, 8151:8, 8151:13, 8151:18, 8151:20, 8151:25, 8152:9, 8153:6, 8154:11, 8154:19, 8155:23, 8156:11, 8157:9, 8157:13, 8157:22, 8158:6, 8158:7, 8158:9, 8158:22, 8159:15, 8160:13, 8161:20, 8162:3, 8162:5 JURY [2] - 7842:5, 7954:20 jury's [1] - 8152:13 just.. [1] - 7914:24

Justice [5] - 7919:17, 7919:19, 7984:24, 8043:14, 8126:9 justice [4] - 7955:21, 7955:25, 8147:2, 8147:4

Κ K103 [1] - 8105:20 K103N [1] - 8105:15 Kaucher [14] -7974:21, 8004:25, 8005:20, 8008:13, 8015:5, 8015:8, 8084:19, 8085:2, 8085:9, 8085:23, 8086:8, 8086:17, 8086:18, 8086:24 Kaucher's [1] -7988:10 keep [24] - 7848:15, 7874:13, 7887:7, 7892:24, 7894:6, 7894:10, 7908:5, 7908:7, 7921:19, 7923:11, 7923:17, 7969:13, 7971:21, 8001:2, 8011:7, 8024:18, 8057:20, 8119:9, 8120:5, 8134:10, 8140:6, 8141:15, 8143:11, 8146:19 keeping [1] - 8011:10 keeps [1] - 8131:21 Kenworthy [15] -8080:9. 8081:1. 8082:6, 8082:25, 8083:14, 8083:24, 8084:2, 8117:3, 8120:6, 8125:1, 8134:21, 8135:8, 8135:11, 8135:12, 8135:15 Kenworthy's [1] -8083:13 kept [4] - 7992:11, 7996:12, 8115:18, 8115:21 key [3] - 7964:8, 7996:14, 8006:7 kick [5] - 7971:8, 7971:17, 7972:1, 8065:10, 8126:11 kickback [15] -7920:5, 7933:8, 7933:15. 7960:5.

7972:7, 7997:22,

7999:3, 7999:8,

8000:17, 8001:14, 8020:23, 8113:14, 8113:21, 8115:8, 8121:11 Kickback [47] -7932:12, 7933:1, 7933:2. 7933:4. 7933:7. 7933:10. 7933:12, 7934:3, 7934:5, 7934:12, 7934:14, 7935:1, 7935:3, 7935:13, 7935:15, 7935:18, 7935:21, 7935:23, 7936:5, 7936:11, 7936:25, 7938:1, 7941:6, 7941:9, 7942:12, 7956:4, 7988:23, 7989:8, 7989:25, 8006:23, 8020:14, 8020:17, 8021:15, 8022:8, 8022:11, 8023:13, 8107:18, 8115:5, 8116:20, 8118:8, 8121:7, 8121:14, 8122:5, 8126:4, 8137:22, 8143:1 kickbacks [21] -7885:22, 7900:17, 7920:20, 7938:14, 7939:21, 7984:25, 7997:14, 7997:15, 7999:7, 8000:19, 8000:23, 8004:25, 8015:4, 8022:18, 8024:11, 8059:17, 8060:6, 8131:12, 8135:17, 8145:8, 8146:2 kicked [1] - 8121:3 kicks [1] - 7966:17 kidding [4] - 7971:23, 7973:20, 7988:15, 8019:19 kidney [1] - 7979:7 kill [1] - 8131:20 Kim [6] - 7846:4, 7915:25, 7916:8, 7970:24, 8117:3, 8125:3 kind [25] - 7858:14, 7858:17, 7877:1, 7878:22, 7895:14, 7897:7, 7898:3, 7922:6, 7922:7,

8020:24, 8021:10, 8067:6, 8078:21, 8085:13, 8102:11, 8130:8, 8149:20 King [1] - 7842:21 Klein [2] - 7843:17, 8059:5 KLEIN [3] - 7842:20, 7843:17, 7913:24 knocks [1] - 8130:11 knowing [4] -7951:23, 7985:20, 8141:25, 8154:20 Knowingly [1] -8019:5 knowingly [17] -7919:24, 7933:24, 7935:17, 7937:18, 7938:20, 7941:15, 7942:11, 7942:18, 8021:7, 8021:24, 8059:13, 8060:5, 8106:7, 8107:15, 8118:9, 8126:1 Knowledge [2] -7935:19, 7937:15 knowledge [10] -7887:19, 7888:11, 7935:14, 7937:16, 7937:19, 7942:20, 7992:16, 8019:5, 8023:19, 8105:10 knowledgeable [1] -8082:20 known [1] - 7919:17 knows [9] - 7874:18, 7884:25, 7925:24, 7970:18, 7996:7, 8007:7, 8082:5, 8123:1, 8137:5 Kozub [1] - 8083:16 L

L.P [1] - 7842:7 lab [1] - 8096:24 label [235] - 7881:4, 7881:16, 7881:22, 7900:11, 7900:17, 7920:5, 7920:6, 7932:10, 7932:21, 7936:10, 7938:2, 7938:13, 7939:21, 7940:6, 7956:3, 7956:19, 7957:17, 7957:20, 7957:23, 7958:4, 7958:5, 7958:10, 7958:24, 7959:4, 7960:22, 7960:25, 7963:1,

7964:2, 7964:8, 7965:12, 7965:19, 7966:22, 7967:13, 7967:22, 7968:1, 7968:7, 7968:23, 7969:9, 7970:2, 7976:3, 7976:10, 7976:14, 7978:3, 7980:14, 7983:16, 7983:17, 7983:21, 7984:25, 7986:8, 7986:16, 7986:19, 7986:21, 7987:2, 7987:4, 7987:10, 7987:15, 7987:16, 7987:25, 7988:1, 7988:2, 7988:14, 7989:9, 7990:20, 7990:23, 7991:4, 7991:9, 7991:10, 7991:15, 7991:17, 7991:18, 7991:19, 7992:1, 7992:14, 7992:19, 7993:24, 7994:6, 7994:15, 7995:2, 7995:25, 7996:1, 7996:2, 7996:9, 7996:22, 7997:14, 7997:25, 7998:2, 7998:9, 7998:17, 7998:18, 7998:23, 7999:2, 7999:15, 7999:18, 7999:21, 7999:23, 7999:25, 8000:17, 8000:18, 8000:24, 8001:8, 8004:4, 8004:25, 8006:25, 8008:19, 8014:4, 8015:4, 8016:2, 8019:1, 8019:2, 8023:24, 8024:11, 8024:20, 8045:5, 8045:22, 8046:5, 8046:9, 8060:10, 8068:20, 8069:8, 8069:13, 8070:1, 8070:11, 8070:16, 8071:7, 8071:9, 8077:11, 8077:15, 8078:4, 8080:4, 8080:8, 8080:18, 8080:23, 8080:24, 8081:10, 8082:5, 8083:17, 8083:20, 8083:23, 8084:1, 8084:10, 8085:1, 8085:12, 8085:15, 8085:18, 8085:19, 8085:21, 8086:3, 8086:15, 8087:17,

7933:16, 7942:14,

8002:23, 8003:3,

8009:7, 8013:3,

8013:6, 8013:21,

0007-00 0007-00
8087:22, 8087:23,
8087:24, 8088:2,
8089:11, 8089:13,
8089:19, 8092:5,
8092:16, 8092:20,
8092:21, 8093:3,
8097:20, 8097:24,
8098:1, 8098:5,
8098:6, 8102:24,
8102:25, 8103:3,
8103:4, 8103:6,
8103:8, 8103:9,
8103:10, 8103:11,
8103:14, 8103:22,
8103:25, 8104:4,
8104:6, 8104:18,
8104:19, 8105:8,
8105:11, 8105:16,
8106:1, 8106:12,
8106:16, 8108:4,
8108:5, 8110:6,
8111:20, 8111:23,
8112:11, 8112:12,
8112:13, 8112:21,
8113:6, 8114:8,
8114:14, 8118:18,
8121:1, 8121:3,
8122:22, 8123:10,
8123:19, 8123:22,
8124:22, 8124:23,
8125:11 8125:15
8125:11, 8125:15,
8125:18, 8131:12,
8134:23, 8135:12,
8135:17, 8137:22,
8138:11, 8139:11,
8139:14, 8139:20,
8139:24, 8140:4,
8142:25, 8143:5,
8143:6, 8145:5,
8146:2, 8146:16
labeling [1] - 8103:17
labels [2] - 7920:18,
8125:17
laboratory [1] - 8110:2
ladies [16] - 7956:5,
7962:17, 7985:8,
7990:8, 7999:4,
8001:25, 8007:1,
8010:19, 8014:20,
8017:25, 8019:24,
8022:23, 8024:16,
8132:14, 8145:23,
8147:7
laid [1] - 7906:14
landed [1] - 8135:18
language [34] -
7847:6, 7847:16,
7847:6, 7847:16, 7848:7, 7852:14,
7847:6, 7847:16, 7848:7, 7852:14, 7880:2, 7881:7,
7847:6, 7847:16, 7848:7, 7852:14,

7881:23, 7882:24, 7883:9, 7883:13, 7883:16, 7887:7, 7887:16, 7887:22, 7888:5, 7888:7, 7888:18, 7888:20, 7889:1, 7889:7, 7890:19, 7891:11, 7892:24, 7893:18, 7894:6, 7894:8, 7894:9, 7894:23, 7901:15, 7947:21, 8006:7, 8031:7 laptops [1] - 7921:22 large [3] - 7930:23, 7985:21, 8082:25 largely [1] - 7864:6 last [23] - 7850:12, 7855:5, 7859:15, 7879:17, 7883:16, 7889:16, 7890:14, 7891:23, 7891:25, 7913:5, 7955:12, 7955:16, 8015:12, 8024:22, 8034:20, 8045:4, 8072:15, 8122:9, 8126:16, 8135:21, 8146:22, 8160:9, 8161:23 last-minute [1] -8045:4 lasting [2] - 7976:1, 7996:3 late [2] - 7862:3, 8038.8 late-submitted [1] -7862:3 latter [1] - 8161:7 laugh [1] - 8017:19 launch [3] - 7962:11, 7970:13 launched [3] -7963:10, 7964:1, 7964:16 laundry [1] - 7860:25 law [74] - 7851:14, 7863:6, 7879:20, 7880:10, 7880:11, 7882:20, 7885:9, 7885:11, 7886:2, 7886:22, 7886:24, 7888:19, 7890:24, 7890:25, 7891:12, 7904:24, 7905:5, 7906:9, 7906:15, 7908:22, 7909:7, 7910:4, 7910:5, 7912:19, 7918:13, 7918:19, 7918:25, 7919:4, 7919:9,

7923:20, 7926:14, 7936:1, 7936:3, 7941:5, 7942:1, 7942:4, 7942:12, 7943:4, 7948:23, 7951:6, 7952:13, 7955:23, 7956:15, 7972:5, 7982:21, 7989:1, 7997:18, 7997:24, 8016:21, 8019:19, 8043:14, 8047:1, 8047:3, 8047:4, 8047:6, 8047:10, 8047:11, 8101:13, 8115:2, 8115:3, 8115:8, 8118:8, 8136:6, 8136:20, 8146:10, 8146:12, 8147:16, 8148:11, 8148:14, 8160:17, 8160:18 Law [2] - 7940:19, 8137.7 laws [11] - 7919:11, 7941:10, 7957:1, 7957:4, 7957:6, 7960:5, 7960:21, 7960:24, 7961:4, 7966:21, 8145:5 lawsuit [40] - 7919:13, 7919:21, 7927:19, 7927:20, 7939:14, 7941:4, 7975:21, 7986:9, 8013:9, 8059:19, 8060:1, 8062:4, 8063:3, 8064:4, 8065:13, 8066:8, 8066:10, 8066:13, 8066:17, 8067:15, 8068:22, 8068:24, 8071:20, 8075:7, 8075:9, 8075:13, 8077:1, 8077:6, 8083:9, 8087:19, 8091:4, 8093:20, 8097:4, 8097:24, 8098:2, 8104:14, 8113:8, 8123:4, 8124:14, 8133:1 lawyer [15] - 7922:12, 7924:23, 7924:24, 7925:1, 8012:18, 8012:24, 8013:4, 8013:7, 8013:14, 8055:1, 8068:21, 8078:12, 8117:6, 8136:4 lawyer-driven [1] -8055:1

Document 454

PageID: 24791

lawyers [32] -7923:15, 7924:6, 7924:8, 7925:5, 7928:21, 8012:17, 8013:1, 8013:20, 8013:21, 8051:4, 8051:8. 8052:4. 8053:18. 8053:24. 8054:22, 8054:24, 8054:25, 8066:12, 8073:13, 8074:18, 8075:5, 8093:13, 8093:19, 8094:8, 8136:2, 8136:11, 8136:13, 8151:1, 8161.5 lawyers' [2] - 8051:12, 8054:25 layer [1] - 8118:16 lead [1] - 7979:7 leaders [1] - 7963:14 leadership [1] -8117:10 leads [3] - 7924:20, 8019:2, 8099:7 learn [2] - 7987:12, 7997:6 learned [6] - 7862:16, 7982:24, 7992:8, 8011:22, 8015:12, 8066:23 least [20] - 7846:4, 7846:6, 7854:17, 7854:20, 7880:2, 7880:9, 7886:16, 7891:14, 7896:7, 7898:14, 7909:17, 7913:11, 7951:12, 7953:1, 8026:19, 8043:23, 8056:13, 8123:19, 8146:23 leave [10] - 7845:19, 7852:18, 7883:13, 7889:3, 7889:10, 7891:8, 8122:12, 8132:19, 8156:1, 8156:9 lectures [1] - 7974:4 lecturing [1] - 8146:19 led [2] - 8068:9, 8115:1 left [14] - 7844:1, 7915:22, 7954:14, 7958:20, 7990:17, 7991:24, 7993:23, 8062:14, 8062:23, 8073:1, 8074:13, 8080:11, 8081:4, 8081:5 legal [16] - 7845:23,

7883:11, 7885:24, 7886:3, 7897:24, 7919:1, 7919:2, 7931:15, 7931:22, 8002:1, 8002:9, 8003:11, 8031:8, 8041:20, 8098:18, 8103:20 legislative [1] -7885:22 legitimate [1] -7885:25 legs [1] - 7979:8 length [6] - 7902:7, 8031:11, 8041:9, 8042:19, 8044:5, 8114:15 lengthy [4] - 7917:1, 8031:12, 8037:24, 8049:17 less [10] - 7846:13, 7876:6, 7998:12, 8011:24, 8028:13, 8053:2, 8057:19, 8101:6, 8146:4 letter [28] - 7879:16, 7879:18. 7884:12. 7982:13, 7982:17, 7983:1, 8010:3, 8010:9, 8010:14, 8033:3, 8036:16, 8044:17, 8044:20, 8048:11, 8079:25, 8108:15, 8108:20, 8108:23, 8109:2, 8109:3, 8110:10, 8110:15, 8110:20, 8110:23, 8110:25, 8111:1, 8144:9, 8144:14 level [3] - 7899:9, 7961:22, 7968:13 **level-set** [1] - 7899:9 **levels** [4] - 7959:17, 7971:14, 8004:3, 8140:17 liability [27] - 7906:16, 7907:5, 7908:21, 7909:17, 7910:24, 7911:7, 7911:11, 7911:13, 7911:17, 7912:2, 7912:6, 7912:9, 7912:10, 7912:14, 7912:17, 7913:7, 7913:12, 7914:11, 7941:14, 7943:7, 7945:2, 7948:12, 7948:25, 7951:11, 7951:24, 7952:13, 8022:25

U-1-1 7004 04
liable [20] - 7904:21,
7904:23, 7910:4,
7931:23, 7932:9,
7932:11, 7936:9,
7936 12 7936 14
7936:12, 7936:14, 7941:22, 7941:25,
7941.22, 7941.23,
7942:2, 7942:4,
7942:9, 7943:8,
7945:2, 8018:3,
8019:20, 8021:15,
8146:14
liaising [1] - 8108:13
liaison [1] - 8075:19
liars [2] - 7962:5,
8053:5
license [1] - 8006:22
life [7] - 7963:4,
= =
7995:8, 8094:10,
8116:5, 8130:11,
8131:22
lifesaving [1] -
8100:16
lifetime [1] - 7977:1
lifted [1] - 7956:14
light [5] - 7869:2,
7891:13, 7924:17,
7927:10, 7927:22
lighter [1] - 7890:7
lightly [1] - 7978:6
likelihood [1] - 8133:7
likely [19] - 7927:23,
7928:6, 7963:24,
7994:11, 8017:6,
8017:23, 8018:1,
8018:4, 8018:16,
8018:20, 8020:7,
8021:13, 8060:4,
8081:21, 8100:4,
8125:23, 8126:1,
8156:25
limit [2] - 7905:4,
8036:13
limited [7] - 7892:24,
7925:12, 7938:18,
7939:13, 7992:22,
8030:11 8105:5
8030:11, 8105:5
limits [1] - 7905:2
line [14] - 7846:21,
7846:23, 7848:17,
7849:11, 7854:25,
7865:15, 7960:2,
8038:14, 8039:4,
8053:11, 8054:4,
8146:25, 8147:4
lines [3] - 7880:1,
8050:25, 8132:8
link [10] - 7847:22,
7847:25, 7848:3,
7933:22, 7935:7,
8021:18, 8021:19,

8021:20, 8146:12, 8146:13
LinkedIn [1] - 7922:1
lipid [78] - 7920:8,
7964:18, 7966:7,
7966:9, 7966:13,
7967:24, 7968:13,
7973:25, 7977:7,
7977:8, 7977:19,
7978:20, 7979:1, 7979:14, 7979:20,
7979.14, 7979.20,
7979:21, 7979:22, 7980:2, 7980:8,
7980:2, 7980:0,
7980:21, 7980:22,
7981:23, 7982:15,
7983:11, 7983:15,
7984:2, 7984:9,
7984:12, 7992:3,
7992:4, 7993:13,
7993:25, 7995:16,
7996:13, 8006:9,
8065:1, 8074:20,
8074:22, 8074:24,
8083:11, 8092:16,
8092:20, 8092:22,
8101:4, 8101:6,
8102:7, 8105:3,
8109:7, 8110:13,
8110:23, 8111:10,
8111:14, 8111:25,
8112:3, 8112:5,
8112:8, 8112:12, 8138:19, 8140:15,
8140:19, 8140:22,
8140:23, 8143:15,
8144:2, 8144:8,
8144:21, 8144:25
lipid-friendly [1] -
8138:19
lipid-impacted [1] -
7980:8
lipid-lowering [1] -
7979:1
lipids [25] - 7920:9,
7940:13, 7959:18,
7964:10, 7967:25,
7977:8, 7977:9, 7977:15, 7979:3,
7977:15, 7979:3, 7979:23, 7981:11,
7081·12 7081·15
7981:12, 7981:15, 7981:20, 7982:18,
7984:3, 7984:4,
7984:9, 7984:13,
8000:6, 8109:7,
8111:14, 8111:25,
8144:16
lipids' [1] - 7981:18
line pretein m

lipoprotein [3] -

7977:25, 7978:4,

8140:17
list [28] - 7859:13,
7859:14. 7859:24.
7859:14, 7859:24, 7860:10, 7860:22,
7860:25, 7862:5,
7862:6, 7863:4,
7866:3, 7867:2,
7868:24, 7871:8,
7873:10, 7874:2,
7874:8, 7874:17,
7876:14, 7902:11,
7911:15, 8031:1,
8031:12, 8035:6,
8035:8, 8039:18,
8040:25, 8112:24,
8124:6
listed [4] - 7912:25,
7948:25, 8100:25,
8116:7
Listen [1] - 7956:23
listen [8] - 7922:18,
7922:22, 7931:5,
7956:20, 7994:20,
8041:1, 8069:18,
8149:4
listening [3] - 7872:8,
8010:20, 8099:12
lists [1] - 7963:15
literally [4] - 8058:25,
8060:12, 8143:15,
8144:5
literature [1] - 8011:19
litigated [1] - 7901:12
litigating [1] - 7952:5
litigation [2] -
7975:20, 7988:7
live [1] - 7911:3
lives [2] - 7955:21,
8104:4
living [3] - 7959:9,
7959:13, 7995:11
LLP [1] - 7842:19
lock [1] - 7897:7
locked [1] - 8118:14
logic [1] - 8012:1
Long's [1] - 7989:17
longest [1] - 8144:7
look [95] - 7845:16,
7850:18, 7853:19,
7858:4, 7860:1,
7864:22, 7870:5,
7870:11, 7870:17,
7874:11, 7874:14,
7874:21, 7876:23,
7882:23, 7885:16,
7886:22, 7887:5,
7895:25, 7896:6,
7896:16, 7897:1,
1030.10, 1031.1,
7898:20, 7902:23,
7898:20, 7902:23,
7898:20, 7902:23, 7907:22, 7918:1,

7947:25, 7953:5, 7972:15, 7975:7, 7985:4, 7990:9, 7995:15, 7995:17, 7998:8, 7998:10, 7998:16, 7999:3, 7999:15, 8000:7, 8004:12, 8004:18, 8005:10, 8007:4, 8008:1, 8010:24, 8011:4, 8013:5, 8016:16, 8018:10, 8024:6, 8025:11, 8029:12, 8030:23, 8036:15, 8041:9, 8041:12, 8047:14, 8049:24, 8050:4, 8050:19, 8052:19, 8052:20, 8052:24, 8053:7, 8057:9, 8063:15, 8064:1, 8064:3, 8072:3, 8079:10, 8080:11, 8082:7, 8082:10, 8088:24, 8092:19, 8097:25, 8098:5, 8098:11, 8098:20, 8105:1, 8106:25, 8109:12, 8120:17, 8121:11, 8121:19, 8129:11, 8131:16, 8140:13, 8142:23, 8159:21, 8161:16, 8161:18 **Look** [5] - 7987:23, 8005:21, 8052:22, 8080:22, 8117:16 looked [23] - 7974:4, 8004:7, 8037:5, 8064:5, 8064:7, 8064:8, 8064:12, 8064:24, 8064:25, 8065:12, 8065:14, 8071:24, 8073:5, 8077:18, 8077:20, 8083:2, 8083:5, 8083:6, 8085:11, 8101:2, 8107:7, 8115:2 looking [22] - 7846:20, 7847:22, 7860:25, 7869:16, 7871:10, 7876:6, 7887:3, 7888:7, 7947:14, 7969:23, 8026:7, 8030:24, 8060:13, 8072:2, 8072:8, 8072:18, 8083:9, 8106:18, 8107:4, 8110:8, 8114:24, 8160:13

looks [7] - 7860:21, 7861:5, 7862:2, 7862:6, 7903:5, 7915:21, 7915:22 Los [2] - 8004:14, 8004:17 lose [2] - 7990:18, 7993:2 lost [5] - 7852:3, 7954:4, 7969:18, 8018:10, 8035:9 loud [2] - 7965:25, 7966:2 loudly [1] - 7965:25 Louisiana [1] -7940:23 low [19] - 7977:8, 7977:15, 7977:25, 7978:4, 7981:10, 7981:15, 7982:18, 7984:3, 7984:4, 7984:13, 8109:14, 8109:19, 8111:9, 8111:13, 8111:25, 8140:17, 8143:15 low-density [2] -7977:25, 7978:4 lower [4] - 7977:21, 7979:3, 8003:13, 8101:6 lowering [1] - 7979:1 loyal [1] - 7962:24 loyalty [1] - 7973:1 lunch [29] - 7843:23, 7844:16, 7874:25, 7875:2, 7876:2, 7876:11, 7879:7, 7894:25, 7895:16, 7895:19, 7896:17, 7897:11, 7897:17, 7898:21, 7899:3, 7899:4, 7914:5, 7918:9, 7952:25, 7953:7, 7954:24, 8025:14, 8025:15, 8025:24, 8026:5, 8029:2, 8029:13, 8030:15, 8058:19 Luncheon [1] -8030:16 lying [2] - 7994:25, 8052:15

M

machine [1] - 7966:17 magician [1] -8004:21 magistrate [1] -8160:20

magnitude [1] -8024:17 mail [1] - 8079:20 main [3] - 7899:16, 7966:7, 8148:5 major [1] - 7969:21 majority [2] - 7980:16, 7995:17 makers [1] - 7961:2 manageable [1] -8119:5 management [3] -7961:22, 7965:6 manager [1] - 7973:10 managers [7] -7961:24, 7965:9, 7973:18, 7991:14, 8124:21, 8125:12 maneuvers [1] -8025:5 manner [6] - 7927:4, 7989:1, 8028:19, 8121:6, 8153:4, 8162:11 manual [13] - 7875:12, 7875:15, 7881:8, 7881:9, 7883:3, 8032:5, 8033:14, 8035:25, 8038:16, 8040:7, 8040:10, 8040:23, 8093:20 Manual [1] - 8033:1 manuals [2] -7883:10, 8019:12 manufactured [1] -8013:20 manufacturer [1] -8007:10 March [1] - 7962:10 Mark [6] - 7965:3, 7972:22, 7973:14, 7973:15, 7990:10, 8141:24 mark [1] - 8057:20 market [20] - 7864:10, 7873:22, 7957:22, 7963:22, 7965:20, 7975:22, 7996:15, 8069:7, 8080:5, 8080:6, 8080:14, 8080:16, 8081:23, 8118:4, 8118:14, 8121:23, 8135:16, 8138:21, 8139:24, 8140:4 marketed [2] - 7920:7, 8139:11 marketing [44] -7900:11, 7900:17, 7920:5, 7920:6,

7932:10, 7936:10, 7938:2, 7938:14, 7939:21, 7956:4, 7960:22, 7973:2, 7984:21, 7984:25, 7986:8, 7995:8, 7995:23, 7995:25, 7996:7, 7997:1, 7997:14, 7997:25, 7998:1, 7998:18, 7998:22, 7999:24, 8003:5, 8011:16, 8014:4, 8015:4, 8024:20, 8070:17, 8077:9, 8077:11, 8082:3, 8082:5, 8083:16, 8134:24, 8135:9. 8135:10. 8135:13. 8135:14. 8135:20, 8138:24 marketos [1] -7844:21 Marketos [45] -7843:8, 7855:21, 7858:8, 7860:19, 7861:11, 7864:13, 7867:8, 7868:5, 7869:22, 7872:17, 7875:20, 7877:11, 7879:9, 7883:7, 7889:25, 7890:20, 7890:22, 7952:19, 7955:4, 7955:24, 8025:13, 8027:3, 8028:10, 8030:24, 8037:5, 8039:7, 8039:8, 8039:13, 8039:25, 8040:17, 8041:10, 8044:9, 8047:15, 8050:24, 8051:19, 8052:22, 8054:9, 8056:12, 8056:22, 8058:7, 8128:11, 8128:20, 8129:9, 8156:17 MARKETOS [79] -7842:14, 7842:14, 7843:7, 7844:23, 7845:5, 7849:19, 7849:25, 7855:23, 7856:7, 7856:20, 7857:3, 7858:9, 7858:13, 7860:21, 7861:3, 7861:7, 7862:1, 7864:14, 7865:6, 7865:11, 7867:10, 7868:6, 7868:22, 7870:3, 7872:21, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:22, 7877:2, 7877:15,

7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:11, 7882:6, 7883:8, 7890:1, 7890:23, 7895:2, 7898:11, 7915:24, 7949:3, 7953:5, 7953:9, 7953:13, 7953:17, 7953:21, 7955:6, 7955:9, 8026:9, 8027:4, 8028:16, 8029:18, 8029:25, 8030:25, 8031:17, 8039:15, 8039:17, 8039:23, 8040:19, 8044:11, 8044:16, 8044:19, 8054:11, 8054:20, 8055:8. 8055:23, 8056:3, 8057:4, 8058:4, 8058:8, 8128:12, 8128:15, 8128:21, 8129:2, 8129:10, 8156:18, 8158:1, 8162:21 Marketos's [1] -7882:22 marketplace [3] -7977:7, 7979:24, 8009:5 maroon [1] - 8080:12 married [1] - 8067:9 Marsh [2] - 8120:25, 8121:1 Mass [1] - 8139:5 Massachusetts [1] -7940:23 mastermind [1] -8088:1 match [1] - 8002:7 material [12] -7887:18, 7888:10, 7888:22, 7921:8, 7938:2, 7938:3, 7939:3, 7985:1, 7990:6, 8022:2, 8024:15, 8088:20 materiality [14] -7887:11, 7887:17, 7887:24, 7887:25, 7888:4, 7888:18, 7888:22, 7889:5, 7909:6, 7936:18, 7937:24, 8018:5, 8019:9, 8045:25 materially [1] -7919:24 materials [14] -7923:3, 7978:9, 7980:25, 7981:1,

7981:5, 7982:25, 8060:14, 8086:3, 8107:1, 8107:24, 8107:25, 8108:3, 8143:15 math [2] - 8080:19, 8114:11 mathematically [1] -7976:25 Matt [5] - 7972:22, 7990:11, 7993:4, 7993:8, 8141:20 matter [18] - 7853:8, 7857:21, 7880:11, 7903:24, 7908:24, 7960:15, 7961:5, 7961:7, 7976:14, 7982:9, 7989:20, 8007:9, 8019:15, 8098:19, 8103:3, 8132:20, 8164:5 matters [2] - 7922:23, 7956:8 Mattes [14] - 7958:16, 7965:8, 7968:15, 7968:21, 7969:12, 7970:7, 7971:22, 7984:17, 7994:5, 8007:12, 8007:17, 8019:12, 8134:22, 8134:24 Mattes's [2] - 7957:25, 7989:16 McGrath [6] - 8069:6, 8070:15, 8070:19, 8070:20, 8071:2, 8125:3 McKay [2] - 7842:23, 8164:11 McKay-Soule [2] -7842:23, 8164:11 McMeeking[2] -8096:11, 8097:6 McSherry [11] -7968:7, 7992:13, 7993:22, 7994:2, 8076:13, 8079:16, 8088:11, 8088:23, 8089:4, 8124:17, 8124:19 MEAGHER [1] -7842:19 meals [1] - 7920:22 mean [86] - 7851:21, 7853:15, 7856:16, 7856:23, 7856:25, 7857:18, 7859:17, 7863:14, 7863:15, 7863:22, 7864:18, 7864:19, 7864:21,

7866:5, 7866:11, 7866:19, 7870:5, 7870:11, 7871:18, 7888:24, 7891:8, 7895:22, 7897:15, 7900:13, 7901:3, 7909:10, 7910:21, 7911:1, 7911:23, 7913:3, 7922:19, 7943:6, 7945:1, 7949:5, 7950:3, 7963:17, 7966:14, 7978:14, 7991:7, 7992:24, 7996:24, 8009:19, 8011:25, 8028:11, 8033:22, 8033:24, 8034:22, 8036:1. 8036:15. 8036:19. 8036:23. 8037:6, 8038:7, 8041:7, 8042:8, 8044:5, 8044:13, 8044:14, 8045:17, 8046:5, 8048:18, 8048:20, 8049:1, 8049:8, 8049:9, 8049:15, 8051:2, 8052:11, 8053:5, 8054:17, 8055:13, 8081:7, 8081:10, 8084:9, 8100:12, 8101:12, 8101:17, 8102:1, 8103:6, 8103:11, 8103:21, 8130:23, 8131:22, 8136:5, 8139:22, 8143:14 meaning [8] -7855:11, 7889:20, 7890:5, 7890:17, 7891:7, 8052:21, 8096:4, 8140:16 means [38] - 7881:3, 7881:15, 7881:17, 7881:21, 7881:24, 7888:4, 7890:11, 7890:15, 7891:17, 7904:15, 7906:17, 7912:10, 7923:16, 7925:1, 7926:21, 7927:22, 7932:21, 7934:7, 7935:9, 7935:25, 7936:17, 7937:18, 7938:3, 7940:17, 7942:18, 7950:8, 7983:7, 7994:12, 8017:3, 8046:15, 8082:9, 8099:22, 8101:15, 8102:1, 8122:20, 8149:18, 8150:1,

8161:13 meant [6] - 7868:16, 7887:25, 8049:5, 8078:8, 8119:15, 8148:9 meantime [1] - 8151:4 Measure [1] - 7943:16 measure [6] -7851:16, 7851:19, 7852:12, 7943:17, 7971:20, 8028:24 measured [1] -7998:25 measures [1] - 7852:8 measuring [1] -7975:17 mechanical [1] -7842:25 mechanisms [1] -7984:18 MedForce [1] - 7973:4 media [3] - 8149:19, 8150:5, 8150:7 median [1] - 7998:8 Medicaid [57] -7848:20, 7880:5, 7880:6, 7880:8, 7880:20, 7899:13, 7899:17, 7899:22, 7901:8, 7902:6, 7902:7, 7902:8, 7902:15, 7902:16, 7904:3, 7904:22, 7904:25, 7905:6, 7905:11, 7907:12, 7908:4, 7910:1, 7910:7, 7912:20, 7920:2, 7932:19, 7935:12, 7937:9, 7941:8, 7941:11, 7941:24, 7942:2, 7948:19, 7949:9, 7949:10, 7949:15, 7985:20, 7985:21, 7985:23, 7990:1, 8000:12, 8001:10, 8001:13, 8001:16, 8001:17, 8021:22, 8022:20, 8023:3, 8023:9, 8023:10, 8023:18, 8045:2, 8061:6, 8065:10, 8090:17, 8094:22 medical [16] -7885:21, 7966:18, 7967:1, 7980:1, 8075:18, 8075:21, 8075:25, 8079:10, 8096:8, 8096:13, 8096:14, 8104:18,

8105:17, 8107:1, 8143:9, 8143:13 Medical [1] - 7942:17 medically [21] -7880:10, 7881:3, 7881:6. 7881:17. 7883:6, 7883:8, 7920:18, 7932:20, 8006:6, 8006:8, 8006:13, 8006:14, 8006:18, 8006:19, 8096:5, 8102:24, 8103:3, 8104:17, 8104:18, 8105:2, 8105:7 Medically [1] - 7881:9 Medicare [35] -7875:12, 7880:5, 7880:10, 7883:20, 7905:2, 7905:4, 7905:7, 7920:1, 7932:19, 7935:11, 7937:9, 7949:8, 7949:14, 7949:16, 7990:1, 8001:13, 8005:1, 8020:10, 8021:21, 8032:5, 8033:1, 8040:7, 8040:20, 8040:23, 8045:2, 8061:2, 8061:17, 8065:11, 8065:15, 8094:22, 8102:23, 8103:2, 8126:11, 8142:16 Medicare's [1] -8033:9 medication [2] -7978:23. 8007:8 medications [5] -7959:13, 8001:4, 8005:2, 8046:9, 8096:24 medicine [22] -8045:22, 8091:16, 8092:21, 8093:12, 8095:11, 8095:19, 8099:22, 8099:24, 8100:2, 8100:7, 8100:8, 8101:1, 8102:2, 8103:5, 8104:19, 8104:23, 8114:2, 8117:18, 8118:6, 8120:2, 8125:17, 8127:6 medicines [43] -7871:17, 7919:25, 7921:9, 8007:19, 8059:14, 8061:10, 8063:4, 8063:5,

8063:12, 8064:21,

8064:23, 8065:23, 8090:12, 8095:7, 8095:9, 8097:10, 8097:15, 8099:11, 8099:19, 8100:11, 8100:14, 8100:16, 8101:9, 8101:16, 8101:17, 8101:19, 8101:22, 8101:23, 8101:24, 8102:11, 8102:13, 8102:17, 8102:19, 8102:20, 8102:24, 8104:3, 8104:9, 8105:22, 8113:25, 8125:9, 8125:19 meet [17] - 7876:5, 7897:6, 7897:22, 7928:3, 8061:7, 8061:19, 8064:2, 8064:16, 8076:9, 8076:12, 8076:15, 8076:17, 8076:20, 8153:10, 8162:14, 8162:15, 8162:19 meeting [16] -7964:25, 7965:10, 7965:11, 7966:4, 7966:14, 7969:21, 7969:22, 7987:13, 8059:16, 8059:17, 8060:3, 8060:7, 8060:13, 8070:10, 8126:6, 8162:18 meetings [3] - 7968:3, 7992:24, 7992:25 Megan [5] - 7842:23, 7915:16, 8069:6, 8125:3, 8164:11 Megan McKay [1] -7842:24 Megan_McKay-Soule@njd. uscourts.gov [1] -7842:24 melting [2] - 7996:6, 8024:19 member [2] - 7965:21, 8147:21 members [9] -7857:10, 7857:15, 7916:21, 7954:3, 7961:20, 7983:22, 8020:17, 8133:1, 8147:10 Members [1] -7878:10 memory [5] - 7887:1, 7927:3, 7946:25, 8008:24, 8113:3

Document 454

mention [7] - 7854:9, 7903:21, 7904:8, 8001:2, 8114:2, 8114:5, 8159:21 mentioned [5] -7871:1. 7930:13. 7969:22. 8028:1. 8159:23 merely [3] - 7872:23, 8042:20, 8043:3 mess [1] - 8020:23 message [22] -7968:1, 7975:1, 7977:16, 7977:19, 7981:18, 7981:23, 7981:25, 7982:11, 7982:15, 7984:3, 7993:7, 7994:1, 7995:2, 7995:19, 7996:13, 7996:18, 8073:1, 8075:12, 8082:5, 8138:19, 8140:23, 8144:8 messages [32] -7922:7, 7922:8, 7964:4, 7967:24, 7977:12, 7979:23, 7981:9, 7982:3, 7983:1, 7995:8, 7996:1, 7996:3, 7996:10, 8006:17, 8006:20, 8009:4, 8009:5, 8081:24, 8086:6, 8087:22, 8109:4, 8109:6, 8111:22, 8112:11, 8113:2. 8133:13. 8138:24. 8144:12. 8145:4. 8150:11. 8151:7 messaging [5] -7922:8, 7966:5, 8110:13, 8149:21 messed [1] - 7965:22 messenger[3] -7994:23, 8014:12, 8027:16 met [5] - 8059:11, 8065:20, 8069:5, 8074:18, 8096:18 method [3] - 7938:23, 7962:1, 8024:10 methodical [1] -7956:9 methodically [2] -7956:6, 7962:6 methodology [2] -8092:2, 8097:21 metric [2] - 7967:12, 8135:1

metrics [1] - 7969:11 Mexico [1] - 7940:24 Michigan [1] -7940:24 microcosm [3] -7966:20, 8009:3, 8140:10 mid [1] - 7963:13 mid-volume [1] -7963:13 middle [4] - 7986:5, 8010:12, 8082:15, 8084:13 Midwest [1] - 7972:14 might [38] - 7845:15, 7861:1, 7889:8, 7906:18, 7910:12, 7912:18, 7915:4, 7918:22, 7925:17, 7934:19, 7943:11, 7980:20, 7997:7, 7997:11, 8008:6, 8021:11, 8028:25, 8037:10, 8046:23, 8049:4, 8049:14, 8050:13, 8054:1, 8056:17, 8064:13, 8068:7, 8072:25, 8075:18, 8077:9, 8078:15, 8080:5, 8095:17, 8096:2, 8096:25, 8097:12, 8150:5, 8150:6 Mike [2] - 7958:15, 8125:2 million [27] - 7968:19, 7968:20, 7969:11, 7975:9, 7976:18, 7976:19, 7985:16, 7999:13, 7999:17, 7999:22, 8000:8, 8000:21, 8000:23, 8000:24, 8001:12, 8001:13, 8003:14, 8003:15, 8022:15, 8022:20, 8023:9, 8061:16, 8089:24, 8090:2 millions [9] - 7975:10, 7975:11, 8002:14, 8090:23, 8091:3, 8091:24, 8093:5, 8093:18 Mills [2] - 7969:19, 8096:11 mills [4] - 7970:1, 7976:6, 8112:15, 8112:24 mind [21] - 7846:4, 7870:18, 7873:4,

7921:19, 7923:11, 7928:17, 7936:18, 7947:12, 7958:1, 7976:7, 7976:9, 8010:13, 8019:4, 8025:4, 8035:18, 8072:12, 8079:19, 8081:25, 8083:4, 8149:10, 8157:3 mindful [3] - 7917:19, 7945:9, 8121:6 minds [2] - 8037:9, 8149:14 mine [3] - 7903:13, 8148:8 minimal [8] - 7967:25, 7977:9, 7979:23, 7981:11, 7981:20, 8111:9, 8111:14, 8111:24 minimize [5] -7966:12, 7979:17, 7982:12, 8134:24, 8144:1 minimizes [2] -7977:22, 7983:16 minimizing [1] -7985:14 minimum [1] - 7912:7 Minnesota [1] -7940:24 minor [3] - 7946:7, 7950:13, 7978:5 minute [14] - 7844:13, 7844:17, 7848:24, 7887:12, 7995:14, 8007:24, 8009:12, 8022:6, 8035:20, 8045:4, 8058:6, 8128:7, 8129:16, 8140:18 minutes [26] -7846:13, 7895:6, 7898:5, 7905:24, 7905:25, 7953:9, 7953:10, 7953:11, 7953:19, 7962:9, 8029:23, 8029:24, 8030:1, 8030:2, 8030:7, 8038:25, 8057:3, 8057:10, 8057:13, 8096:25, 8128:11, 8128:14, 8128:15, 8130:13, 8156:23, 8162:19 MIR [11] - 7966:17, 7967:6, 7967:13, 7967:23, 8078:5, 8078:21, 8079:4, 8079:8, 8079:11,

8079:23, 8134:25 mirror [1] - 8161:13 mirrors [1] - 8052:23 MIRs [8] - 7990:15, 8077:12, 8077:22, 8077:25, 8078:17, 8078:18, 8079:5, 8079:17 miscommunication [1] - 8152:3 misconduct [1] -8019:10 mislead [1] - 8011:7 misleading [12] -7868:11, 7977:21, 7983:2, 7986:19, 8009:4, 8009:16, 8110:7, 8110:16, 8144:10, 8144:11, 8144:17, 8145:4 misremembering [1] -8032:10 misrepresentation [1] - 8081:19 misrepresented [3] -8076:25, 8077:5, 8080.17 misrepresenting [1] -7989:10 miss [2] - 8015:19, 8127:12 missed [5] - 7846:1, 7908:20, 7965:18, 8066:3, 8127:18 missing [2] - 7872:1, 8077:5 mistake [4] - 7881:20, 7935:22, 7938:25, 8024:12 misunderstanding [1] - 7887:23 mitigation [1] -8064:20 mix [1] - 7985:19 MO [1] - 8024:9 mocking [1] - 7993:17 model [12] - 7855:10, 7957:16, 7987:25, 8089:21, 8089:23, 8091:12, 8092:2, 8092:6, 8092:15, 8093:16, 8094:15 modeled [1] - 7941:12 modest [2] - 7974:22, 7974:23 modified [1] - 7942:8 mom [2] - 8012:16,

8012:21

moment [4] - 7858:5,

7986:13, 8013:25,

8063:5 moments [1] -7945:15 money [71] - 7850:19, 7899:13, 7902:13, 7902:16, 7904:25, 7905:1, 7905:4, 7905:7, 7905:8, 7905:11, 7905:15, 7905:18, 7938:5, 7943:18, 7944:13, 7952:2, 7952:3, 7957:24, 7959:7, 7960:8, 7960:12, 7961:1, 7961:14, 7967:19, 7971:10, 7973:13, 7973:21, 7975:3, 7975:18, 7979:10, 7985:2, 7990:3, 7990:7, 7999:10, 7999:11, 7999:16, 8001:5, 8001:7, 8001:23, 8005:6, 8006:3, 8006:21, 8007:1, 8007:11, 8015:22, 8019:20, 8021:14, 8021:16, 8023:2, 8066:21, 8066:23, 8066:25, 8090:2, 8090:6, 8104:14, 8119:9, 8119:19, 8124:14, 8139:1, 8141:11, 8141:12, 8141:13, 8141:14, 8141:16, 8143:2, 8145:3, 8145:6, 8145:24, 8146:14, 8146:17, 8147:6 monitor [9] - 7915:19, 7917:5, 7917:6, 7917:7, 7952:22, 7954:5, 7954:8, 7954:11, 7954:13 monitored [1] -8106:24 monitoring [10] -8060:12, 8063:24, 8066:4, 8106:22, 8127:13, 8129:17, 8130:1, 8130:18, 8131:2 monitors [1] - 7917:3 Montague [2] -7955:23, 8012:19 Montana [1] - 7940:24 month [2] - 8091:17, 8114:12 months [2] - 7963:9, 8069:9

PageID: 24795

morning [52] - 7843:5, 7843:7, 7843:9, 7843:10, 7843:12, 7843:13, 7843:15, 7843:17, 7843:19, 7843:21, 7845:4, 7853:25, 7859:10. 7859:24, 7865:5. 7867:12, 7867:16, 7868:13, 7876:20, 7878:2, 7916:25, 7953:2, 7954:24, 7955:10, 8034:6, 8034:13, 8038:12, 8038:15, 8038:17, 8038:23, 8038:25, 8039:14, 8041:21, 8062:7, 8064:10, 8077:1, 8077:6, 8080:6, 8093:18, 8098:16, 8104:25, 8153:15, 8153:20, 8153:23, 8155:2, 8155:3, 8156:16, 8157:23, 8158:15, 8158:17, 8159:1, 8159:3 most [24] - 7847:18, 7857:25, 7860:2, 7862:21, 7869:3, 7921:19, 7941:12, 7962:19, 7963:11, 7963:23, 7966:18, 7984:1, 7984:2, 7984:12, 8033:17, 8033:19, 8059:5, 8066:22, 8081:20, 8096:9, 8098:2, 8112:4, 8112:8 mostly [1] - 7905:1 motion [6] - 7906:12, 7906:13, 7907:7, 7911:6, 7950:4, 7951:24 motivation [2] -8052:8, 8052:10 motive [6] - 7892:7, 7893:6, 7927:6, 7938:22, 8024:9, 8028:8 Mount [2] - 7974:3, 8144:6 move [31] - 7859:3, 7859:6, 7859:11, 7859:13, 7860:3, 7860:5, 7860:10, 7860:17, 7860:25, 7862:11, 7863:13, 7863:19, 7864:2, 7864:23, 7866:14,

7884:6, 7884:17, 7954:16, 8029:17, 8035:1, 8035:4, 8035:11, 8038:2, 8039:2, 8039:20, 8042:11, 8044:3, 8044:4, 8048:23, 8081:5 moved [12] - 7860:8, 7863:12, 7863:21, 7865:23, 7866:2, 7866:17, 7866:22, 8007:17, 8032:12, 8033:21, 8034:4, 8119:23 movie [3] - 7954:7, 8131:21 moving [15] - 7859:7, 7861:12, 7861:13, 7862:21, 7863:17, 7867:24, 7868:15, 7869:5, 7872:18, 7954:21, 8032:24, 8037:10, 8048:22, 8055:6, 8103:22 MR [321] - 7843:7, 7843:9, 7843:10, 7843:15, 7843:17, 7844:4, 7844:23, 7845:5, 7846:11, 7846:17, 7846:20, 7846:25, 7847:5, 7847:12, 7847:15, 7847:24, 7848:1, 7848:6, 7848:13, 7848:16, 7848:24, 7849:1, 7849:4, 7849:9, 7849:14, 7849:19, 7849:25, 7850:5, 7850:10, 7850:12, 7850:15, 7850:17, 7850:25, 7851:3, 7851:10, 7851:23, 7852:3, 7852:21, 7853:1, 7853:5, 7854:7, 7854:19, 7854:22, 7855:2, 7855:4, 7855:20, 7855:23, 7856:7, 7856:20, 7857:3. 7857:18. 7858:9. 7858:13. 7858:23, 7859:1, 7859:12, 7860:15, 7860:21, 7861:3, 7861:7, 7861:9, 7861:17, 7862:1, 7862:17, 7863:2, 7863:3, 7863:7, 7863:20, 7864:6,

7864:14, 7864:20,

7865:6, 7865:11, 7867:10, 7868:2, 7868:6, 7868:22, 7869:10, 7869:14, 7869:17, 7870:17, 7871:1, 7871:15, 7871:21, 7872:12, 7872:21, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:1, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:2, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:16, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7881:20, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7886:10, 7886:10, 7886:10, 7886:10, 7886:10, 7886:11, 7897:12, 7897:12, 7897:12, 7897:12, 7897:12, 7897:12, 7897:12, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:14, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7911:15, 7911:21, 7911:25, 7912:15,	
7867:10, 7868:2, 7868:6, 7868:6, 7868:22, 7869:10, 7869:14, 7869:17, 7869:20, 7870:3, 7870:17, 7871:1, 7871:1, 7871:15, 7871:21, 7872:21, 7872:21, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:6, 7874:1, 7875:1, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:1, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7880:25, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:6, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7889:12, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7890:1, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7005.6 7005.44
7868:6, 7868:22, 7869:10, 7869:14, 7869:17, 7869:20, 7870:3, 7870:17, 7871:1, 7871:15, 7871:21, 7872:21, 7872:21, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7875:1, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:1, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7880:25, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:6, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:10, 7884:14, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7889:12, 7890:4, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:24, 7909:23, 7909:	
7869:10, 7869:14, 7869:17, 7869:17, 7869:20, 7870:3, 7870:17, 7871:11, 7871:15, 7871:21, 7872:21, 7872:21, 7873:9, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7880:25, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:16, 7883:10, 7884:14, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:18, 7885:18, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7899:12, 7890:13, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23, 79	
7870:3, 7870:17, 7871:1, 7871:1, 7871:15, 7871:21, 7872:12, 7872:21, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:23, 7885:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:12, 7889:15, 7889:12, 7899:14, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:19, 7896:11, 7896:10, 7896:11, 7899:1, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 79	
7870:3, 7870:17, 7871:1, 7871:1, 7871:15, 7871:21, 7872:12, 7872:21, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:23, 7885:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:12, 7889:15, 7889:12, 7899:14, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:19, 7896:11, 7896:10, 7896:11, 7899:1, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 79	7009.10, 7009.14,
7871:1, 7871:7, 7871:11, 7871:15, 7871:21, 7872:12, 7872:21, 7873:7, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:2, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7880:25, 7881:2, 7881:15, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:8, 7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7885:18, 7886:17, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7899:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:9, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7809:17, 7809:20,
7871:11, 7871:15, 7871:21, 7872:12, 7872:21, 7873:7, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7880:25, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:18, 7885:18, 7885:18, 7885:18, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:19, 7896:11, 7899:12, 7890:13, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7890:17, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7871:21, 7872:12, 7872:12, 7872:21, 7873:7, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:1, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:2, 7881:15, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7883:1, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7886:10, 7886:17, 7886:10, 7886:17, 7886:10, 7886:17, 7886:10, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:11, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:19, 7895:19, 7896:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:2	
7871:21, 7872:12, 7872:12, 7872:21, 7873:7, 7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:1, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:2, 7881:15, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7883:1, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7886:10, 7886:17, 7886:10, 7886:17, 7886:10, 7886:17, 7886:10, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:11, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:19, 7895:19, 7896:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:2	/8/1:11, /8/1:15,
7873:9, 7873:13, 7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:2, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:23, 7884:41, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7899:17, 7890:4, 7899:12, 7895:11, 7896:21, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23,	/8/1:21, /8/2:12,
7873:16, 7874:2, 7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7881:20, 7884:24, 7882:3, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7882:18, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7889:15, 7880:12, 7899:12, 7899:14, 7899:17, 7896:11, 7899:17, 7896:11, 7899:17, 7896:11, 7899:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7874:6, 7874:11, 7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:1, 7880:17, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7884:4, 7884:20, 7884:4, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7875:1, 7875:6, 7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:2, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7882:18, 7884:41, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7896:10, 7896:11, 7896:11, 7896:11, 7896:11, 7896:11, 7896:11, 7896:11, 7896:12, 7896:11, 7896:12, 7896:11, 7896:12, 7896:11, 7896:2, 7896:11, 7896:2, 7896:11, 7896:2, 7896:11, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:17, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:23, 790	
7875:11, 7875:22, 7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:23, 7876:13, 7878:24, 7879:2, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:16, 7882:15, 7882:16, 7882:15, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7885:18, 7886:17, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7891:23, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7896:9, 7888:11, 7896:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7874:6, 7874:11,
7876:9, 7876:12, 7877:2, 7877:15, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:2, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:16, 7882:15, 7882:14, 7883:16, 7884:4, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7891:23, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7	
7877:2, 7877:15, 7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:2, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:8, 7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7891:23, 7891:23, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7877:23, 7878:13, 7878:24, 7879:2, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:6, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7896:10, 7898:11, 7899:12, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7876:9, 7876:12,
7878:24, 7879:2, 7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7881:24, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7882:18, 7883:16, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:14, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7891:23, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7896:10, 7898:11, 7897:12, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7877:2, 7877:15,
7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7880:25, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:6, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7896:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:24, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7877:23, 7878:13,
7879:11, 7879:13, 7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:22, 7880:25, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:6, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7896:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:24, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7878:24, 7879:2,
7880:17, 7880:19, 7880:25, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:6, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:10, 7894:11, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:23, 790	7879:11, 7879:13,
7880:22, 7880:25, 7881:2, 7881:2, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:6, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:1, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7880:17, 7880:19,
7881:2, 7881:15, 7881:24, 7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:6, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:1, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23,	7880:22, 7880:25,
7881:20, 7881:24, 7882:3, 7882:6, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:8, 7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7881:2, 7881:15,
7882:3, 7882:6, 7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:8, 7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7895:10, 7894:11, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23, 7909:23,	
7882:15, 7882:18, 7883:1, 7883:1, 7883:8, 7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:1, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7883:1, 7883:8, 7883:10, 7883:10, 7884:6, 7884:14, 7884:14, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7909:23,	
7883:16, 7883:20, 7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:7, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7883:1. 7883:8.
7884:4, 7884:6, 7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:7, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:5, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7884:10, 7884:14, 7884:17, 7884:23, 7885:8, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:7, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:14, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7885:8, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:7, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:5, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:9, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7885:8, 7885:14, 7885:18, 7886:7, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:5, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:9, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7884:17 7884:23
7885:18, 7886:7, 7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:5, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7885:8 7885:14
7886:10, 7886:15, 7886:17, 7886:19, 7886:21, 7887:5, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7894:11, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:15, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7886:21, 7887:5, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7886:10, 7886:15
7886:21, 7887:5, 7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7886:17 7886:10
7887:11, 7887:14, 7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:4, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:11, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:15, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7886·21 7887·5
7887:16, 7888:9, 7888:15, 7889:12, 7889:15, 7890:1, 7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7895:11, 7895:11, 7895:11, 7895:11, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7887:11 7887:1 <i>1</i>
7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7007.11, 7007.14, 7007:16 7000:0
7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7007.10, 7000.9,
7890:4, 7890:23, 7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7000.13, 7009.12,
7891:23, 7893:10, 7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:17, 7908:14, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7009.10, 7090.1,
7894:11, 7894:18, 7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:9, 7898:10, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:26, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7894:19, 7895:2, 7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:9, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7091.23, 7093.10,
7895:11, 7895:19, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:9, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7094.11, 7094.10,
7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:9, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:5, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7094.19, 7095.2,
7897:19, 7898:9, 7898:10, 7898:11, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7897:5, 7897:12,
7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7897:19, 7898:9,
7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7898:10, 7898:11,
7900:25, 7901:3, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7899:7, 7899:12,
7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	
7902:25, 7903:8, 7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7900:25, 7901:3,
7903:16, 7903:22, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7901:25, 7902:6,
7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7902:25, 7903:8,
7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7903:16, 7903:22,
7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7904:19, 7905:24,
7908:14, 7909:17, 7909:21, 7909:23,	7907:9, 7907:18,
7909:21, 7909:23,	
7909:21, 7909:23, 7911:15, 7911:21, 7911:25, 7912:15,	
7911:15, 7911:21, 7911:25, 7912:15,	7909:21, 7909:23,
7911:25, 7912:15,	7911:15, 7911:21,
	7911:25, 7912:15,

7912:25, 7913:6, 7913:14, 7913:24, 7914:15, 7914:18, 7914:21, 7915:11, 7915:14, 7915:24, 7916:16, 7946:3, 7946:5, 7946:14, 7946:15, 7947:3, 7947:11, 7947:22, 7947:24, 7948:4, 7948:9, 7948:16, 7949:3, 7949:5, 7949:13, 7949:19, 7949:24, 7950:10, 7950:19, 7950:22, 7952:1, 7952:16, 7952:17, 7953:5, 7953:9, 7953:13, 7953:17, 7953:21, 7955:6, 7955:9, 8026:9, 8026:11, 8026:24, 8027:4, 8027:22, 8028:16, 8029:5, 8029:18, 8029:25, 8030:25, 8031:17, 8031:19, 8032:4, 8032:9, 8032:16, 8032:24, 8033:5, 8033:8, 8033:13, 8034:8, 8034:20, 8034:25, 8035:5, 8035:16, 8035:20, 8035:22, 8035:25, 8036:7, 8036:12, 8037:18, 8038:6, 8038:19, 8039:4, 8039:15, 8039:17, 8039:23, 8040:4, 8040:19, 8042:1, 8042:4, 8042:7, 8042:14, 8042:22, 8043:2, 8043:20, 8044:4, 8044:8, 8044:11, 8044:16, 8044:18, 8044:19, 8045:16, 8045:19, 8046:7, 8046:14, 8046:17, 8046:25, 8047:8, 8048:9, 8049:5, 8049:9, 8050:6, 8050:17, 8052:1, 8052:7, 8053:2, 8053:4, 8054:11, 8054:20, 8055:8, 8055:15, 8055:23, 8055:25, 8056:3, 8056:21, 8057:4, 8057:7, 8058:4, 8058:8, 8128:12, 8128:15, 8128:21,

8156:18, 8158:1, 8159:8, 8162:21 MS [21] - 7843:13, 7859:21, 7860:7, 7865:18, 7866:19, 7867:7, 7884:20, 7898:16, 7916:7, 8057:14, 8057:17, 8057:21, 8058:17, 8058:19, 8074:20, 8076:8, 8129:3, 8156:21, 8158:2, 8159:16, 8162:22 **mud** [1] - 8051:21 mudslinging [2] -8050:22, 8051:19 multiple [21] -7932:13, 7974:10, 8016:8, 8026:13, 8051:14, 8066:25, 8069:1, 8069:14, 8078:16, 8080:17, 8080:21, 8081:12, 8084:23, 8084:25, 8086:7, 8099:3, 8111:18, 8114:13, 8116:8, 8118:1 multiple-paragraph [1] - 8016:8 Murphy [27] - 7987:20, 7992:7, 7992:11, 8078:17, 8079:4, 8085:14, 8085:17, 8085:19, 8085:21, 8086:3, 8086:22, 8086:24, 8087:2, 8087:3. 8087:6. 8087:7, 8087:16, 8087:18, 8087:21, 8088:4, 8088:9, 8088:16, 8088:20, 8089:5, 8089:9 must [50] - 7853:7, 7891:1, 7900:12, 7908:25, 7911:13, 7919:3, 7919:16, 7921:25, 7924:12, 7925:12, 7928:4, 7929:9, 7931:10, 7931:19, 7932:18, 7933:12, 7934:6, 7934:15, 7935:4, 7935:16, 7936:15, 7936:22, 7937:6, 7937:17, 7937:25, 7939:22, 7941:18, 7943:2, 7943:9, 7943:13, 7943:25, 7944:22, 7947:16,

Document 454

8129:2, 8129:10,

PageID: 24796

7954:16, 7961:10, 7966:18, 7982:11, 8099:20, 8123:16, 8137:3, 8137:16, 8143:22, 8149:2, 8149:17, 8150:9, 8150:12, 8151:12, 8151:14, 8151:15 mutation 131 -8105:14, 8105:16, 8105:21 mutations [1] -8095:16 myriad [1] - 7863:14 Ν N-A-M-F-C-U [1] -7915:15 naive [30] - 7920:11, 7920:12, 7920:15, 7959:10, 7966:6, 7966:12, 7969:8, 7969:10, 7969:24, 7969:25, 7976:7, 7976:20, 7976:21, 7976:22, 8000:6, 8000:9, 8074:3, 8105:15 8028:1, 8114:2

8074:4, 8074:9, 8074:10, 8074:12, 8082:22, 8083:11, 8104:10, 8105:7, 8105:10, 8105:13, name [3] - 7970:23, names [5] - 7962:6, 7963:15, 7996:20, 8016:17, 8114:5 NAMFCU[5] -7899:16, 7902:18, 7913:17, 7913:20, 7915:15 Nancy [11] - 7983:12, 7992:13, 7993:22, 7995:1, 8075:24, 8075:25, 8076:3, 8076:17, 8096:17, 8124:17, 8140:24 narrow [5] - 7870:18, 7957:23, 7965:19, 7976:10, 7987:25 nation [2] - 7967:20, 8005:24 national [9] - 7958:16, 7964:24, 7992:24, 8061:9, 8099:18, 8099:20, 8099:22, 8109:25, 8138:1 National [1] - 7899:17

nationwide [29] -7907:22, 7990:8, 7990:9, 7991:21, 8023:24, 8060:8, 8060:9, 8062:9, 8066:2, 8066:6, 8069:25. 8071:4. 8074:3. 8074:6. 8074:25, 8075:14, 8083:6, 8083:8, 8112:18, 8113:6, 8122:15, 8124:2, 8127:13, 8129:20, 8131:11, 8135:12, 8137:1, 8137:14, 8137:22 natural [5] - 7888:1, 7937:13, 7938:4, 7968:25, 8019:1 navigate [1] - 8100:21 nearly [5] - 7974:8, 8061:16, 8092:4, 8104:8, 8114:9 necessarily [5] -7927:14, 8047:15, 8054:3, 8054:9, 8072:7 necessary [10] -7884:1, 7920:18, 7923:14, 7923:18, 7932:24, 7973:24, 8142:20, 8143:7, 8143:23, 8143:25 need [98] - 7843:24, 7844:19, 7844:24, 7844:25, 7845:6, 7849:7, 7853:23, 7856:1, 7857:13, 7859:3, 7862:12, 7864:14, 7866:6, 7866:10, 7870:5, 7870:11, 7874:21, 7876:19, 7883:23, 7884:8, 7886:21, 7894:2, 7895:6, 7895:17, 7895:18, 7896:17, 7897:3, 7897:13, 7897:21, 7899:5, 7903:18, 7909:19, 7914:6, 7915:3, 7915:4, 7915:12, 7915:19, 7915:23, 7915:25, 7917:5, 7917:22, 7921:19, 7931:24, 7934:24, 7945:13,

7945:14, 7945:23,

7947:13, 7949:10,

7950:18, 7951:7,

7952:7, 7952:19,

November [1] -

8069:12

7952:21, 7954:9, 8002:24, 8003:4, 8026:4, 8029:22, 8030:3, 8030:9, 8057:1, 8058:2, 8066:9, 8080:23, 8095:1, 8099:19, 8099:21, 8099:22, 8100:8, 8114:24, 8125:17, 8142:12, 8147:4, 8147:20, 8147:25, 8154:16, 8155:12, 8155:13, 8156:1, 8156:9, 8156:14, 8157:3, 8157:24, 8158:5, 8158:20, 8159:2, 8159:3, 8159:6, 8159:15, 8159:16, 8161:4. 8162:15. 8162:18 needed [10] - 7901:5, 7911:18, 7916:24. 7946:25, 7987:10, 7991:16, 8035:10, 8048:12, 8064:22, 8145:18 needs [7] - 7844:19, 7855:23, 7873:20, 7879:24, 8061:11, 8075:24, 8114:22 negotiate [1] - 8119:4 negotiated [1] -8119:9 net [1] - 7851:13 networking [2] -7922:10, 8149:22 neutral [9] - 7920:8, 7967:25, 7979:23, 7992:4, 7993:13, 7995:16, 7996:13, 8140:15, 8140:23 Nevada [1] - 7940:24 never [40] - 7866:22, 7866:23, 7976:4, 7981:15, 7981:22, 7981:25, 7982:25, 7995:1, 8001:22, 8028:17, 8033:20, 8058:25, 8070:8, 8071:2, 8071:18, 8071:19, 8073:3, 8074:12, 8074:23, 8077:14, 8085:21, 8093:14, 8097:19, 8097:23, 8098:3, 8101:20, 8109:14, 8110:20, 8113:1, 8116:3, 8117:23, 8124:10, 8124:19,

8129:19, 8132:24, 8133:15, 8151:7, 8161:3 NEW [1] - 7842:1 new [8] - 7871:16, 7966:16, 8080:13, 8130:13, 8134:4, 8134:9, 8159:2 New [17] - 7842:9, 7940:21, 7940:24, 7968:11, 7972:9, 7986:2, 8060:9, 8112:20, 8116:17, 8124:1, 8124:6, 8124:12, 8124:15, 8124:18, 8124:20 news [1] - 8122:9 newspaper [1] -7922:20 next [17] - 7849:4, 7850:1, 7852:20, 7858:25, 7870:25, 7871:16, 7881:2, 7883:15, 7884:20, 7887:10, 7891:22, 7894:24, 7936:11, 7962:8, 7972:3, 8137:20, 8142:8 nice [4] - 7845:15, 7891:3, 8021:12, 8135:8 nicest [1] - 7974:16 night [5] - 7855:6, 7859:15, 7860:1, 7879:17, 7974:14 nilly [1] - 8119:7 nine [2] - 7956:12, 7974:8 NNRTIs [2] - 7987:5, 8083:18 nobody [24] - 7867:17, 7981:9, 7981:11, 7981:12, 7985:11, 7987:11, 8001:25, 8002:16, 8008:9, 8020:21, 8063:12, 8068:24, 8073:1, 8075:22, 8085:2, 8093:8, 8100:11, 8100:14, 8104:13, 8104:14, 8120:10, 8121:22, 8142:17, 8143:8 non [3] - 7902:16, 7998:8, 7998:16 non-FMAP[1] -7902:16

non-influenced [1] -

non-speakers [1] -

7998:16

7998:8 noncompliance [3] -7887:20, 7888:12, 8068:12 noncontroversial [1] -7860:11 None [1] - 8020:22 none [9] - 7926:23, 7960:10, 7982:3, 8034:11, 8065:19, 8086:16, 8115:12, 8119:11, 8131:6 noninfluenced [3] -8003:24, 8004:3, 8004:11 normal [1] - 7850:20 normally [6] - 7870:3, 7870:7, 7870:9, 7877:3, 8153:10 North [1] - 7940:25 note [1] - 7889:10 notebooks [1] -7921:22 noted [7] - 7852:19, 7854:5, 7869:7, 7883:14, 7891:9, 7891:10, 7952:10 notes [18] - 8011:10, 8084:21, 8084:22, 8084:23, 8084:24, 8085:3, 8085:22, 8086:5, 8086:7, 8086:18, 8087:3, 8092:7, 8129:11 nothing [45] - 7905:1, 7946:5, 7986:12, 7988:9, 8004:12, 8008:19, 8008:20, 8014:3, 8037:6, 8057:4, 8057:7, 8062:17, 8086:25, 8092:6, 8092:21, 8094:5, 8096:22, 8097:4, 8098:7, 8104:7, 8104:11, 8109:3, 8109:16, 8112:11, 8113:25, 8116:16, 8118:19, 8120:15, 8124:15, 8138:15, 8138:17, 8147:24, 8148:8, 8153:2, 8153:3, 8157:11, 8159:16, 8161:10, 8161:11, 8161:13, 8162:13 notice [5] - 7906:16, 8146:9, 8146:12, 8155:4 **noting** [1] - 8160:7

nowhere [1] - 7958:12 nth [1] - 7975:24 nullification [1] -8045:11 nullify [1] - 8045:24 NUMBER [1] - 7842:3 Number [44] -7847:13, 7848:7, 7848:16, 7850:13, 7854:23, 7900:9, 7904:20, 7918:11, 7919:5, 7919:8, 7921:10, 7923:13, 7923:25, 7925:19, 7926:17, 7927:17, 7928:18, 7928:24, 7929:5, 7929:11, 7929:19, 7930:8, 7930:25, 7931:13, 7932:6, 7933:4, 7935:1, 7935:13, 7935:18, 7935:23, 7936:20, 7938:6, 7939:24, 7940:9, 7940:19, 7942:5. 7942:25, 7943:16, 7944:5, 7944:20, 7947:15, 8085:14, 8137:7 number [68] -7846:19, 7846:21, 7849:7, 7864:7, 7900:2, 7900:18, 7902:12, 7906:22, 7906:24, 7907:16, 7908:2, 7913:1, 7913:2, 7919:6, 7926:24, 7927:14, 7944:22, 7944:25, 7945:4, 7946:19, 7946:24, 7947:3, 7947:9, 7947:17, 7948:6, 7948:21, 7949:1, 7949:6, 7949:7, 7949:16, 7949:19, 7951:13, 7967:13, 7968:19, 7970:22, 7971:1, 7971:2, 7971:4, 7981:8, 8020:1, 8020:3, 8022:11, 8031:1, 8042:23, 8043:6, 8063:7, 8064:6, 8065:8, 8085:10, 8096:20, 8098:6, 8105:5, 8112:23, 8114:14,

8118:25, 8119:15,

8121:13, 8121:17, 8132:4, 8141:1, 8151:10, 8157:10, 8157:13, 8157:14, 8158:10 numbers [7] -7851:24, 7862:23, 7907:13, 7996:10, 7996:23, 8031:2, 8038:14 numerous [2] -7876:24, 8038:10

Ο

o'clock [4] - 7952:25, 7953:14, 7953:18, 8162:20 oath [15] - 7877:21, 7928:20, 7929:3, 7961:25, 7973:11, 7983:22, 8015:9, 8067:4, 8069:3, 8069:5, 8069:11, 8070:7, 8077:17, 8136:10, 8148:15 object [6] - 7875:23, 8027:20, 8039:9, 8051:23, 8052:24, 8054:23 objected [4] - 7853:8, 7875:13, 7925:1, 8040:8 objecting [4] -7853:16, 7889:25, 7903:11, 8039:25 objection [52] -7847:3, 7847:5, 7848:1, 7849:1, 7849:14, 7851:6, 7852:19, 7853:9, 7858:20, 7858:23, 7860:2, 7860:12, 7860:20, 7866:21, 7869:7, 7869:23, 7869:25, 7874:3, 7875:18, 7877:1, 7878:13, 7878:22, 7878:24, 7879:2, 7883:14, 7889:11, 7891:9, 7891:10, 7894:7, 7894:8, 7911:1, 7913:9, 7925:4, 7925:8, 7925:9, 7946:13, 7946:14, 7948:3, 7951:22, 7952:9, 8026:22, 8028:22, 8030:12, 8033:23, 8039:14, 8039:16, 8040:13, 8041:1,

notion [1] - 8027:24

8044:6, 8048:16, 8049:9, 8049:14 objections [23] -7853:20, 7854:2, 7854:4, 7862:19, 7867:5, 7867:8, 7867:16. 7870:4. 7870:22. 7874:9. 7874:12, 7874:15, 7874:16, 7894:15, 7894:16, 7903:18, 7924:8, 7925:4, 7925:6, 7947:25, 7948:11, 8037:5 objects [1] - 7853:6 obligated [2] - 7940:2, 8124:4 obligation [2] -7853:12, 7925:5 observe [3] - 8064:7, 8160:21, 8160:24 obstruct [1] - 8046:9 obtain [3] - 7923:4, 7958:9, 8150:9 **obtained** [1] - 8000:15 obtaining [1] -8149:10 obvious [2] - 7951:15, 8016:4 obviously [8] -7845:5, 7890:23, 7950:20, 8004:17, 8029:18, 8044:20, 8044:21, 8137:12 occurred [6] -7898:12, 7906:2, 7953:23, 8057:24, 8128:19, 8157:7 October [1] - 8069:8 OF [2] - 7842:1, 7842:3 off-label [195] -7900:11, 7900:17, 7920:5, 7920:6, 7932:10, 7936:10, 7938:2, 7938:13, 7939:21, 7940:6, 7956:3, 7956:19, 7957:17, 7958:4, 7958:5, 7958:10, 7958:24, 7959:4, 7960:22, 7960:25, 7963:1, 7965:12, 7966:22, 7967:13, 7967:22, 7968:1, 7968:7, 7968:23, 7970:2, 7976:14, 7983:16, 7983:17, 7983:21, 7984:25,

7986:8, 7987:2,

7987:4, 7987:10, 7987:15, 7987:16, 7988:2, 7988:14, 7989:9, 7990:20, 7990:23, 7991:4, 7991:9, 7991:10, 7991:17, 7991:19, 7992:1, 7992:14, 7992:19, 7993:24, 7994:6, 7994:15, 7995:2, 7995:25, 7996:1, 7996:2, 7996:9, 7996:22, 7997:14, 7997:25, 7998:2, 7998:9, 7998:17, 7998:18, 7998:23, 7999:2, 7999:15, 7999:18, 7999:21, 7999:23, 7999:25, 8000:17, 8000:18, 8000:24, 8001:8, 8004:4, 8004:25, 8006:25, 8008:19, 8014:4, 8015:4, 8016:2, 8019:1, 8019:2, 8023:24, 8024:11, 8024:20, 8045:5, 8045:22, 8046:5, 8046:9, 8060:10, 8068:20, 8069:8, 8069:13, 8070:1, 8070:11, 8070:16, 8071:7, 8071:9, 8077:11, 8077:15, 8078:4, 8080:4, 8080:8, 8080:18, 8080:23, 8080:24, 8081:10, 8082:5, 8083:17, 8083:20, 8083:23, 8084:1, 8084:10, 8085:1, 8085:12, 8085:15, 8085:18, 8085:19, 8085:21, 8086:3, 8086:15, 8087:17, 8087:23, 8087:24, 8088:2, 8089:11, 8089:19, 8092:5, 8092:16, 8092:20, 8093:3, 8097:20, 8097:24, 8098:1, 8098:5, 8098:6, 8102:24, 8102:25, 8103:3, 8103:6, 8103:8, 8103:9, 8103:10, 8103:11, 8103:14, 8104:4, 8104:6, 8104:18, 8104:19, 8105:16, 8106:12, 8106:16,

8112:11, 8112:13, 8112:21, 8113:6, 8121:1, 8121:3, 8122:22, 8123:10, 8123:19, 8123:22, 8124:22, 8124:23, 8125:11, 8125:15, 8125:18, 8131:12, 8134:23, 8135:12, 8135:17, 8137:22, 8138:11, 8139:11, 8139:14, 8139:20, 8139:24, 8140:4, 8142:25, 8143:5, 8143:6, 8145:5, 8146:2, 8146:16 offended [3] -8144:11, 8144:22, 8145:2 offensive [2] -8059:20, 8059:23 offer [3] - 7865:16, 7865:18, 7942:13 offered [9] - 7856:2, 7924:23, 7925:6, 7933:14, 7933:17, 7934:7, 7939:11, 8020:21, 8044:23 offering [1] - 7862:7 offhand [1] - 7969:20 office [3] - 8043:14, 8095:12, 8113:2 officer [7] - 8008:9, 8015:9, 8149:16, 8150:13, 8150:23, 8155:25, 8156:2 OFFICER [1] - 8156:4 officers [3] - 7931:17, 7932:2, 8154:5 offices [3] - 7974:14, 8112:20, 8143:16 Official [1] - 7842:23 official [3] - 7978:12, 7982:4, 7982:7 **OFFICIAL** [1] - 8164:1 Oklahoma [1] -7940:25 omissions [2] -7932:2, 7932:5 omitted [2] - 7949:2, 8013:12 on-label [7] - 7976:14, 7986:16, 7991:15, 8087:22, 8089:13, 8106:1, 8118:18 once [25] - 7893:22, 7920:13, 7970:10, 7970:16, 7970:18, 7976:4, 7976:7, 7977:2, 7996:18,

7999:5, 8000:7, 8082:1, 8082:14, 8082:22, 8084:19, 8086:4, 8091:17, 8093:6, 8093:14, 8102:5, 8104:9, 8152:21, 8153:2 once-a-day [2] -7920:13, 7996:18 once-daily [4] -7970:16, 8000:7, 8082:1, 8082:14 one [274] - 7845:9, 7847:17, 7848:24, 7849:4, 7849:17, 7850:12, 7850:13, 7851:5, 7851:9, 7851:10, 7855:17, 7855:22, 7857:22, 7858:25, 7859:20, 7860:16, 7861:5, 7864:21, 7866:5, 7867:20, 7867:25, 7868:4, 7868:15, 7869:3, 7869:6, 7870:20, 7871:15, 7874:7, 7874:15, 7874:18, 7874:22, 7875:11, 7875:17, 7875:24, 7876:3, 7876:24, 7879:17, 7880:8, 7881:4, 7884:10, 7884:20, 7886:3, 7887:10, 7887:17, 7889:22, 7890:7, 7891:20, 7891:23, 7893:5, 7893:18, 7896:1, 7900:6, 7900:15, 7902:1, 7902:15, 7903:16, 7903:23, 7906:13, 7907:9, 7908:17, 7910:14, 7913:14, 7915:14, 7915:18, 7915:22, 7917:3, 7917:7, 7920:7, 7925:22, 7926:7, 7932:22, 7933:17, 7934:8, 7934:16, 7934:21, 7936:16, 7937:10, 7938:20, 7941:10, 7945:14, 7946:7, 7946:16, 7948:11, 7948:17, 7949:11, 7949:16, 7951:2, 7951:8, 7953:1, 7954:12, 7954:14, 7956:20, 7956:22, 7961:18, 7965:9, 7965:21, 7966:16,

7967:9, 7969:4, 7969:20, 7971:14, 7971:23, 7972:5, 7973:18, 7977:19, 7977:20, 7978:17, 7979:12, 7983:1, 7985:6, 7985:17, 7985:18, 7987:4, 7987:9, 7987:21, 7993:16, 7993:21, 7994:21, 7995:1, 7997:19, 7997:20, 8001:19, 8001:21, 8002:25, 8005:20, 8006:12, 8009:13, 8010:23, 8011:19, 8012:2, 8012:12, 8014:16, 8014:25, 8016:7. 8016:8. 8016:11, 8016:18, 8021:1, 8021:2, 8021:4, 8022:9, 8022:21, 8023:4, 8024:21, 8024:22, 8025:2, 8025:19, 8025:22, 8026:11, 8027:10, 8029:25, 8030:22, 8031:7, 8032:10, 8032:18, 8032:25, 8033:2, 8033:14, 8034:20, 8035:11, 8035:12, 8035:20, 8038:15, 8039:19, 8040:13, 8041:13, 8042:16, 8044:11, 8046:18, 8047:7, 8048:10, 8050:11, 8051:11, 8054:23, 8055:1, 8056:5, 8056:7, 8056:8, 8061:16, 8061:19, 8062:10, 8062:18, 8063:15, 8064:14, 8067:18, 8070:10, 8073:10, 8073:19, 8074:16, 8081:20, 8081:22, 8084:4, 8088:7, 8090:1, 8092:7, 8092:13, 8093:22, 8094:2, 8094:3, 8095:3, 8096:7, 8096:10, 8097:21, 8097:22, 8098:13, 8098:24, 8099:8, 8100:14, 8101:2, 8102:18, 8108:1, 8109:14, 8110:9, 8112:2, 8112:21, 8114:12, 8115:25, 8116:6, 8120:13,

8121:19, 8122:12, 8122:22, 8124:3, 8124:7, 8124:13, 8126:24, 8127:1, 8130:15, 8131:10, 8131:25, 8132:1, 8132:9, 8134:21, 8136:1, 8136:16, 8138:14, 8139:2, 8141:11, 8141:12, 8141:25, 8142:6, 8142:16, 8144:23, 8145:23, 8147:21, 8148:5, 8148:23, 8149:12, 8151:7, 8151:10, 8153:24, 8154:11, 8154:23, 8155:10 One [1] - 7842:21 one's [1] - 8009:17 one-size-fits-all [1] -8095:3 ones [7] - 7871:10, 7894:13, 7901:25, 7991:3, 8032:12, 8106:20, 8125:16 open [6] - 7843:1, 7921:19, 7923:11, 8048:11, 8147:23, 8152:7 opening [25] -7866:20, 7874:3, 7874:9, 7875:13, 7875:14, 7918:16, 7930:1, 7980:24, 7981:2, 7981:6, 8009:18. 8009:19. 8009:20. 8009:21. 8010:1. 8010:15. 8033:15, 8036:1, 8036:4, 8040:8, 8040:9, 8073:8, 8133:4, 8133:8, 8133:24 openly [3] - 7933:15, 8020:24, 8020:25 operated [1] - 8108:8 operates [1] - 7941:8 operation [2] -7938:23, 8024:10 Opinion [1] - 7930:8 opinion [10] -7923:23, 7930:2, 7930:10, 7981:16, 7981:22, 8090:5, 8090:6, 8096:2, 8105:9. 8149:6 opinions [6] - 7930:9, 7930:11, 7930:13, 7930:14, 7930:18,

8149:9 opioids [1] - 8102:13 opponent [5] - 7870:2, 7988:1. 8042:24. 8043:18, 8047:16 opponents [1] -7855:25 opportunities [3] -7987:5, 7987:10, 8083:18 opportunity [11] -7844:9, 7845:1, 7853:24, 7863:13, 7895:20, 7926:25, 7938:22, 8001:8, 8024:9, 8024:22, 8161:6 oppose [3] - 7859:16, 7901:3, 7951:4 opposed [7] -7849:13, 7850:13, 7855:5, 7911:23, 8044:21, 8052:24, 8153:24 opposes [1] - 7851:3 opposing [6] - 7859:4, 7903:14, 8026:12, 8027:1. 8049:10. 8056:6 opposite [10] -7882:10, 7928:2, 7983:8, 8037:12, 8046:2, 8076:11, 8077:18, 8078:20, 8094:23, 8130:14 option [2] - 8108:21, 8108:24 options [1] - 8100:3 orally [1] - 7922:4 oranges [1] - 8099:3 order [10] - 7866:7, 7919:14, 7920:22, 7929:16, 7929:22, 7934:17, 7934:22, 7958:10, 8151:13, 8153:1 ordered [3] - 7865:8, 7918:9, 7925:13 ordinary [1] - 8028:6 organization [15] -7962:4, 7965:4, 7970:11, 8008:8, 8008:11, 8063:23, 8064:11, 8106:25, 8130:17, 8131:2, 8131:5, 8133:9, 8133:12, 8133:22, 8134:2

original [1] - 7850:18

originally [2] -

7855:18, 7889:8, 7904:11. 7928:10. 7985:12, 8017:15, 8105:19, 8152:23, 8159:3 ought [2] - 8145:12, 8145.13 ounce [1] - 8025:8 ourselves [2] -8059:7, 8131:16 outcome [3] - 7927:5, 7979:13, 8144:24 outlined [1] - 8047:14 outset [3] - 7917:13, 7958:3, 7981:14 outside [18] - 7862:25, 7870:1, 7923:6, 7924:11, 7924:14, 7926:2, 7955:21, 8032:22, 8052:21, 8068:5, 8068:23, 8071:19, 8073:3, 8073:16, 8087:18, 8089:18, 8150:19, 8156:3 outstanding [1] -8161:4 outward [1] - 7962:21 overall [2] - 7847:6, 7885:20 overlap [9] - 7899:10, 7899:24, 7904:25, 7907:11, 7914:16, 8000:5, 8000:8, 8000:18, 8000:20 overlapping [2] -7899:21, 7900:2 overruled [1] -7925:10 overseeing [2] -8007:17, 8014:4 overseen [1] - 8120:6 oversight [3] -7970:10, 8063:24, 8065:25 overt [1] - 7934:10 overview [2] -7879:16, 7942:25 Overview [1] - 7932:6 overwhelm [1] -8017:15 overwhelming[1] -8017:12 own [44] - 7865:15, 7880:7, 7880:20, 7882:8, 7908:4, 7919:12, 7922:23,

7882:11, 7902:1

otherwise [9] -

originate [1] - 8113:16

7925:25, 7956:15, 7956:17, 7958:25, 7975:17, 7975:18, 7977:13, 7978:2, 7986:15, 7989:12, 8005:9, 8005:19, 8006:5, 8006:7, 8009:17, 8012:5, 8012:6, 8012:18, 8019:11, 8019:12, 8066:5, 8078:9, 8095:1, 8096:13, 8112:2, 8112:13, 8118:24, 8119:20, 8123:4, 8127:23, 8136:14, 8138:14, 8138:16, 8141:24, 8142:24, 8149:6, 8160:22 owned [1] - 8140:2 Ρ

p.m [12] - 7842:10, 8030:16, 8030:17, 8153:25, 8154:1, 8154:3, 8154:4, 8154:10, 8154:17, 8155:12, 8158:22, 8163:2 P/I [1] - 7985:4 package [5] - 7986:18, 7986:20, 8079:20, 8079:24, 8082:18 packaged [1] - 8109:8 packs [1] - 7966:10 page [19] - 7846:22, 7847:13, 7848:8, 7848:16, 7849:5, 7850:14, 7850:15, 7854:25, 7855:1, 7855:2, 7884:21, 7887:12, 7887:14, 7889:13, 7891:24, 7900:14, 8044:5, 8044:8, 8055:5 pages [15] - 7879:14, 7900:22, 8036:11, 8036:12, 8042:8, 8043:21, 8044:4, 8044:7, 8044:14, 8045:13, 8047:9, 8047:22, 8047:24, 8049:17, 8084:24 paid [59] - 7850:20, 7850:22, 7850:24, 7850:25, 7851:12, 7851:16, 7851:19, 7851:25, 7852:4, 7852:6, 7852:10,

7852:15, 7887:19, 7888:11, 7920:20, 7920:21, 7930:21, 7933:14, 7933:18, 7934:7, 7934:18, 7934:23, 7938:14, 7939:21, 7943:18, 7943:22, 7955:18, 7960:12, 7971:7, 7971:22, 7971:25, 7973:1, 7975:14, 7985:20, 7991:20, 7997:17, 7997:19, 7999:5, 7999:14, 7999:16, 8001:17, 8006:23, 8020:21, 8020:25, 8022:15, 8059:17, 8059:21, 8060:5, 8061:8, 8061:12, 8090:6, 8090:17, 8091:13, 8091:21, 8097:3, 8104:7, 8104:15, 8119:25, 8121:23 painful [1] - 8017:14 pale [1] - 8053:8 paper [5] - 7895:13, 7979:11, 8144:23, 8150:12, 8150:14 papers [1] - 7967:17 parade [2] - 7993:20, 7995:12 paradigm [1] - 7960:1 paragraph [9] -7850:22, 7858:11, 7858:21, 7858:22, 7861:21, 7877:13, 7878:19, 7947:14, 8016:8 parent [1] - 7959:23 parity [1] - 7964:10 Part [27] - 7875:12, 7879:15, 7879:17, 7879:25, 7880:5, 7883:4, 7883:5, 7883:23, 7883:24, 7905:2, 7905:8, 7932:19, 7990:1, 8005:1, 8005:10, 8005:15, 8005:16, 8005:21, 8033:1, 8037:24, 8040:7, 8046:8, 8046:11, 8102:23, 8103:2, 8142:16, 8143:21 part [73] - 7877:2, 7877:4, 7885:20, 7886:10, 7901:17, 7901:23, 7902:8, 7904:6, 7908:14,

7918:21, 7926:23, 7942:17, 7948:23, 7949:6, 7949:18, 7952:7, 7959:5, 7983:25, 7992:16, 7993:4, 7994:16, 8016:7, 8036:22, 8037:8, 8046:6, 8046:7, 8050:8, 8051:24, 8052:9, 8053:16, 8060:11, 8063:20, 8064:14, 8064:22, 8066:4, 8066:8, 8066:12, 8067:25, 8068:1, 8074:6, 8074:17, 8077:4, 8077:19, 8078:7, 8080:16, 8084:25, 8085:8. 8087:15, 8087:19, 8087:21, 8088:3, 8090:6, 8091:3, 8091:12, 8091:18, 8092:14, 8106:19, 8107:3, 8107:16, 8109:9, 8114:18, 8115:12, 8116:9, 8119:25, 8120:22, 8125:7, 8126:22, 8132:20, 8138:4, 8138:5, 8162:2 participants [5] -8119:24, 8119:25, 8120:2, 8120:15, 8120:19 participate [2] -8065:15, 8065:16 participated [3] -8085:19, 8112:18, 8119:14 participating [1] -8121:2 participation [4] -8117:22, 8117:25, 8118:5, 8121:17 particular [26] -7855:24, 7872:6, 7873:3, 7876:4, 7891:13, 7892:24, 7893:14, 7894:6, 7904:22, 7910:2, 7918:1, 7925:2, 7941:24, 7942:3, 7959:25, 7960:1, 8026:15, 8040:1, 8048:21, 8049:25, 8050:25, 8086:20, 8103:17, 8104:3, 8105:14, 8121:17 particularities [1] -

7867:17 particularly [5] -7893:14, 8095:6, 8097:13, 8103:4, 8128:3 Parties [1] - 7944:5 parties [17] - 7878:16, 7878:18, 7878:21, 7884:16, 7891:24, 7897:2, 7901:15, 7907:4, 7924:7, 7927:18, 7929:13, 8042:13, 8051:7, 8055:20, 8066:9, 8085:8, 8150:8 parties' [2] - 7901:17, 7929:25 partners [2] - 7959:25, 7973:1 parts [3] - 7961:12, 8110:16, 8138:3 party [27] - 7853:6, 7855:25, 7870:1, 7877:19, 7891:20, 7922:12, 7927:19, 7928:21, 7928:25, 8042:24. 8043:1. 8043:7, 8043:18, 8047:16, 8049:10, 8054:18, 8055:7, 8055:12, 8062:2, 8063:23, 8064:1, 8064:11, 8107:4, 8114:20, 8118:15, 8122.7 pass [1] - 7922:13 passage [1] - 8042:17 passed [1] - 7965:17 passing [2] - 8011:4, 8011:9 past [7] - 7853:20, 7984:6, 8015:21, 8154:2, 8154:10, 8160:25, 8161:9 pasted [1] - 8073:18 Patel [19] - 7891:14, 7940:11, 7977:19, 7981:15, 7982:23, 8008:10, 8064:13, 8064:17, 8064:21, 8108:2, 8108:13, 8108:19, 8109:17, 8109:21, 8110:12, 8110:20, 8111:1, 8111:19, 8133:14 Patel's [2] - 7889:19, 7986:15 patience [1] - 7945:5

patient [33] - 7959:18,

7959:24, 7966:6,

7976:8, 7977:1, 7977:2, 7985:20, 7987:1, 8002:12, 8002:13, 8006:9, 8006:10, 8006:14, 8059:2, 8059:4, 8062:8, 8062:12, 8081:6, 8081:7, 8081:8, 8082:22, 8095:19, 8096:1, 8096:3, 8096:23, 8096:24, 8100:6, 8104:1, 8105:7, 8105:10, 8105:15, 8117:21 patient's [2] - 8096:8, 8140:16 patients [59] -7920:11, 7920:12, 7920:15, 7920:16, 7956:15, 7957:24, 7959:9, 7959:10, 7959:20, 7960:3, 7961:2, 7966:11, 7966:13, 7968:10, 7969:4, 7969:9, 7969:24, 7969:25, 7974:1, 7976:11, 7976:22, 7977:24, 7979:20, 7980:8, 7980:9, 7980:10, 7982:10, 7984:7, 7984:9, 7984:11, 7985:10, 7985:11, 7985:15, 7988:18, 7991:15, 7999:20, 8000:2, 8001:2, 8005:24, 8059:15, 8060:18, 8060:19, 8062:7, 8080:13, 8080:15, 8080:24, 8095:1, 8095:3, 8096:14, 8096:15, 8098:9, 8099:21, 8099:24, 8102:21, 8105:6, 8144:2, 8144:20 pattern [2] - 7938:24, 8024:10 Patti [1] - 8128:22 Patty [3] - 8035:18, 8155:24, 8156:6 Paul [1] - 7842:17 pause [11] - 7848:10, 7848:25, 7851:7, 7858:7, 7887:13, 7889:23, 7914:20, 7946:22, 7953:25, 7988:10, 8035:21 pay [26] - 7880:5,

7917:19, 7932:19, 7942:13, 7961:12, 7961:14, 7975:3, 7990:7, 8004:24, 8005:6, 8007:11, 8015:22, 8015:23, 8025:8, 8063:4, 8063:13, 8093:22, 8094:1, 8103:9, 8118:4, 8126:23, 8135:17, 8142:19, 8143:2, 8143:21, 8160:10 payers [1] - 8003:20 paying [15] - 7955:15, 7960:16, 7973:12, 7985:21, 7991:8, 7995:12, 8017:16, 8019:17, 8019:18, 8021:14, 8024:10, 8059:14, 8116:22, 8118:20, 8160:12 payment [14] -7887:18, 7888:2, 7888:10, 7933:20, 7935:5, 7938:3, 7938:5, 7941:16, 7942:16, 7984:25, 8003:5, 8021:6, 8063:12, 8146:13 payments [11] -7905:6, 7908:4, 7959:7, 7961:1, 7971:10, 7972:6, 8021:17, 8021:19, 8021:20, 8022:13, 8115.7 payor [2] - 7950:2, 7985:19 payors [1] - 7985:22 payroll [1] - 7978:19 pays [5] - 7975:18, 8000:12, 8102:25, 8103:2, 8104:4 Pecini [8] - 8075:17, 8075:20, 8075:23, 8075:25, 8076:6, 8076:9, 8076:11, 8076:18 peers [1] - 8133:2 penalized [1] - 7988:1 penalties [3] -7900:19, 8107:9, 8107:10 pending [6] - 7859:8, 7862:13, 7862:21, 7869:4, 7876:21, 7878:4 Penelow [38] -7919:21, 7941:3,

7955:22, 7988:7, 7990:11, 7992:12, 7996:10, 8009:21, 8010:18, 8012:15, 8013:23, 8066:14, 8066:20, 8067:7, 8067:8, 8067:12, 8067:21, 8069:2, 8070:3, 8070:25, 8071:3, 8071:6, 8073:14, 8074:1, 8075:5, 8076:14, 8111:7, 8113:3, 8113:4, 8113:16, 8123:18, 8124:19, 8127:19, 8139:24, 8140:3, 8140:11, 8141.17 Penelow's [1] -7869:21 people [56] - 7924:18, 7956:24, 7957:1, 7957:12, 7961:17, 7962:2, 7962:3, 7965:2, 7965:22, 7966:18, 7970:2, 7983:21, 7991:22, 7992:17, 7993:21, 7997:2, 8003:6, 8004:15, 8013:5, 8014:17, 8014:21, 8052:14, 8076:15, 8079:25, 8081:12, 8083:4, 8084:7, 8084:25, 8086:7, 8086:13, 8089:6, 8089:17, 8098:2, 8098:3, 8099:13, 8099:19, 8100:5, 8100:8, 8100:10, 8101:12, 8101:16, 8101:23, 8103:5, 8111:18, 8113:9, 8116:11, 8119:5, 8122:17, 8123:2, 8124:13, 8124:14, 8128:4, 8135:7, 8142:8, 8145:18, 8145.19 people's [2] - 8104:3, 8130:12 per [3] - 7906:23. 7977:1, 8119:9 perceive [1] - 7892:3 perceived [1] -8119:12 percent [30] - 7905:12, 7905:13, 7980:22, 7984:7, 7984:8, 7985:20, 7985:24,

7988:13, 7996:25, 8000:12, 8000:14, 8001:10, 8001:12, 8001:16, 8003:1, 8003:2, 8003:4, 8022:20, 8023:9, 8025:21, 8071:11, 8098:6, 8098:7, 8099:8, 8101:5, 8125:25 percentage [5] -7908:3, 7968:18, 7980:19, 7985:19, 7991:3 percentages [1] -8098:5 perception [2] -7984:2, 7984:11 perfect [3] - 7893:12, 8011:13, 8067:12 perfectly[1] - 8116:14 perform [2] - 7990:22, 8148-22 performance [8] -7965:15, 7967:12, 7972:10, 7972:14, 7972:15, 7975:24, 8089:15, 8135:2 performances [1] -8161:8 performed [2] -7932:3, 8001:23 perfunctory [1] -8085:25 perhaps [5] - 7865:18, 7998:5, 7998:6, 8033:14, 8066:22 period [28] - 7872:20, 7873:6, 7877:22, 7885:1, 7943:21, 7947:23, 7950:9, 7967:21, 7979:22, 7980:2, 7980:13, 7999:17, 8032:19, 8032:20, 8060:11, 8061:18, 8063:19, 8063:20, 8064:12, 8067:11, 8069:12, 8070:20, 8070:23, 8106:18, 8114:15, 8122:16, 8127:16, 8146.1 peripheral [1] - 7979:7 permit [3] - 7943:14, 8154:4, 8155:19 permitted [6] -7924:25, 7940:7, 7969:2, 7973:25, 7978:16, 8044:23 permutation [1] -

7973:4 person [13] - 7934:8, 7934:9, 7942:14, 7942:15, 8014:17, 8059:22, 8062:10, 8092:22, 8093:1, 8119:10. 8147:22. 8153:19. 8156:2 personal [3] -8066:15, 8096:23, 8157:4 personally [3] -7897:22, 7990:25, 8148:17 persons [1] - 7931:18 perspective [1] -8009:3 persuasion [2] -8009:1, 8009:8 pertaining [1] - 7940:4 Pete [1] - 7843:8 PETE [1] - 7842:14 Peterson [2] -7987:21, 8088:21 Petratos [1] - 7887:21 pharmaceutical [15] -7959:9, 7960:6, 7960:8, 7960:12, 7960:24, 7962:23, 7973:21, 7975:22, 7979:10, 7995:23, 7996:6, 8007:10, 8011:16, 8011:20, 8145:3 pharmaceuticals [1] -7957:9 pharmacies [1] -8062:17 pharmacy [3] -8062:21, 8091:13, 8091:20 phases [1] - 7969:6 phone [5] - 7922:5, 8076:4, 8149:20 phones [2] - 7921:21 phrases [2] - 8054:6, 8112:7 physically [2] -8070:21, 8070:24 physician [9] - 7981:4, 7996:15, 8006:20, 8091:7, 8092:12, 8094:21, 8105:9, 8119:18 physician's [1] -8096:1 physicians [18] -7921:7, 7967:15,

7968:18, 7975:17,

7995:25, 8004:11,

8061:14, 8085:15, 8085:17, 8085:18, 8092:11, 8097:19, 8098:13, 8098:15, 8119:11, 8119:21, 8125:1 pick [14] - 7888:20, 7889:6. 7967:16. 7967:18, 7971:1, 7974:12, 8049:17, 8146:8, 8147:25, 8154:22, 8154:24, 8155:5, 8155:16 picked [2] - 7967:16, 8083:12 picking [1] - 7899:2 picture [1] - 8084:7 pictures [3] - 8015:3, 8113:22, 8118:25 piece [15] - 7856:18, 7981:3, 8062:14, 8108:6, 8109:11, 8109:12, 8109:13, 8110:2, 8110:5, 8110:22, 8111:2, 8111:21, 8111:23, 8150:12, 8150:14 pieces [14] - 7860:6, 7862:15, 7866:10, 7866:11, 7866:16, 8047:5, 8064:25, 8071:10, 8091:19, 8109:10, 8110:1, 8110:23, 8111:5, 8111.8 **PILM** [3] - 8046:18, 8119:22, 8120:8 PILMs [1] - 8120:11 pioneers [1] - 7972:17 place [35] - 7929:15, 7955:15, 7956:11, 7957:6, 7960:6, 7962:5, 7966:21, 7974:22, 7977:17, 7984:18, 7984:19, 7984:22, 7986:7, 8014:1, 8014:5, 8014:25, 8016:18, 8023:25, 8024:4, 8024:5, 8063:11, 8064:11, 8064:21, 8070:25, 8102:5, 8106:8, 8121:4, 8122:6, 8127:16, 8131:13, 8131:17, 8137:13, 8137:14, 8138:3, 8162:12 placed [2] - 7869:3. 7928:20 places [5] - 7974:16,

7974:19, 7974:24, 8119:1, 8119:7 plaintiff [1] - 8024:22 Plaintiffs [1] - 7842:4 plaintiffs [7] -7900:20, 7901:11, 7905:19, 7908:9, 8061:7, 8061:13, 8125:21 Plaintiffs' [1] - 7973:7 plan [18] - 7859:2, 7883:23, 7883:24, 7887:3, 7897:15, 7935:9, 7958:18, 7959:3, 7975:1, 7984:17, 7994:16, 7994:17, 8005:15, 8005:16, 8023:20, 8034:21, 8064:20, 8089:15 Plan [11] - 8061:1, 8061:2, 8061:4, 8061:22, 8062:12, 8062:18, 8062:21, 8090:4, 8101:20, 8127:4 planned [2] - 7964:14, 7984:21 plans [2] - 8064:23, 8106:24 plant [4] - 7991:4, 7991:10, 7991:16, 7996:11 plants [2] - 7991:13, 7993:10 plausible [1] -8023:23 play [8] - 7857:5, 7857:9, 7918:21, 7961:9, 7969:6, 8011:22, 8079:18 playbook [2] -7960:19, 8024:4 played [9] - 7855:15, 7855:25. 7856:2. 7857:12, 7857:20, 7994:19, 8074:19, 8076:7, 8096:19 playfield [1] - 7969:1 playing [2] - 7855:19, 8078:12 pleasant [1] - 7964:22 **pledge** [1] - 8106:13 pledged [1] - 8106:14 plenty [3] - 7971:16, 8028:16, 8028:17 PLM [1] - 8117:1 plural [4] - 7849:16, 7850:3, 7850:9,

plus [5] - 7899:18, 7973:4, 8044:5 pocket [1] - 7851:13 pockets [1] - 8022:16 point [39] - 7857:7, 7864:22, 7864:24, 7868:25, 7877:25, 7882:8, 7882:22, 7884:9, 7885:3, 7885:13, 7890:14, 7891:4, 7892:14, 7893:18, 7897:10, 7898:3, 7904:13, 7913:15, 7914:2, 7931:6, 7950:7, 7951:6, 7969:14, 7976:20, 7993:16, 8010:24, 8028:5, 8129:23, 8131:15, 8132:16, 8134:11, 8138:14, 8140:4, 8141:4, 8152:4, 8152:11, 8153:24, 8154:9 pointed [3] - 7978:2, 8052:12, 8088:7 pointing [3] - 8052:11, 8054:24, 8138:17 points [1] - 7894:12 policies [15] - 7876:7, 8033:9, 8105:24, 8106:1, 8106:3, 8106:4, 8106:8, 8106:11, 8106:15, 8106:16, 8107:20, 8118:10, 8121:6, 8122:6, 8122:7 policy [30] - 7862:22, 7863:8, 7863:10, 7864:8, 7870:21, 7873:11, 7873:12, 7873:15, 7873:17, 7875:8, 7875:12, 7875:15, 7881:8, 7881:9, 7883:22, 8031:21, 8032:11, 8032:13, 8032:14, 8033:13, 8038:16, 8040:7, 8040:10, 8064:8, 8068:11, 8099:18, 8099:20, 8115:4, 8115:23 policy-related [1] -7875:8 **poll** [1] - 8155:14 poorly [1] - 7970:11 popped [1] - 8072:20 population [4] -

7956:25, 7985:21,

7987:1, 8117:22

7946:9

portable [3] - 7921:22, 7921:23, 7922:5 portion [15] - 7899:14, 7899:15. 7900:11. 7902:16, 7902:20, 7905:6, 7905:9, 7905:11, 7905:12, 7907:21, 7930:23, 7944:16, 7985:21, 8005:1, 8044:3 portions [2] - 7856:4, 7899:18 posed [1] - 8151:6 position [14] - 7855:7, 7857:19, 7908:8, 7908:15, 7908:23, 7948:21, 7950:5, 7950:24, 7951:2, 7993:1, 8054:17, 8123:9, 8131:19 positions [1] -7918:15 positive [3] - 7965:8, 7965:14, 8082:20 possibility [3] -7892:2. 7892:6. 7944:18 possible [13] - 7887:5, 7943:11. 8002:9. 8004:6, 8023:23, 8023:25, 8024:2, 8024:3, 8024:4, 8130:13, 8134:11, 8147:19, 8151:4 possibly [4] - 7962:20, 8129:19, 8134:10, 8146:9 Post [2] - 8011:5, 8011:25 Post-its [1] - 8011:5 postings [1] - 7922:7 posttrial [2] - 7877:8, 7911:5 potential [4] -7893:16, 8000:5, 8005:21, 8068:12 potentially [2] -7857:25, 7897:6 power [7] - 8063:24, 8064:15, 8065:4, 8065:6, 8065:9, 8065:10, 8107:23 powers [1] - 8065:16 practice [15] -7865:19, 7866:5, 7866:6, 7866:12, 7867:13, 7867:15, 7874:16, 7990:21, 8009:16, 8013:4,

8116:11, 8118:11,

8120:13, 8120:18 practiced [1] -8108:12 pre [1] - 7874:20 pre-admit [1] -7874:20 preadmitting [1] -7874.19 precisely [1] - 7990:5 preclude [1] - 7911:11 precluded [2] -8035:2, 8037:13 prediction [2] -8025:9, 8025:10 preference [1] -7895:3 preferences[1] -8096:23 preferred [5] - 7980:2, 7980:16, 8100:25, 8101:1, 8101:4 prejudice [4] - 7927:6, 8045:8, 8047:20, 8148:23 premeditated [1] -8026:14 preparation [1] -8010:6 prepare [1] - 7945:15 prepared [4] - 7955:4, 8039:12. 8151:16. 8160:3 Preponderance [1] -7927:17 preponderance [29] -7927:21, 7928:7, 7928:9, 7931:25, 7933:13, 7934:6, 7934:15, 7934:20, 7935:4, 7935:16, 7936:16, 7936:22, 7937:6, 7937:17, 7937:25, 7942:10, 7949:24, 8017:3, 8017:20, 8017:22, 8018:15, 8019:22, 8020:7, 8020:15, 8022:7, 8023:7, 8023:11, 8023:17, 8024:23 prerequisites [1] -8002:23 prerogative [2] -8154:14, 8155:19 prescribe [21] -7920:23, 7921:7, 7995:25, 7996:2, 7998:12, 7998:22, 7999:2, 8006:6,

8006:8, 8046:5,

8060:22, 8098:15, 8104:19, 8105:3, 8105:7, 8105:10, 8105:16, 8118:6, 8119:15, 8119:20, 8120:3 prescribed [18] -7881:11. 7881:25. 7882:1, 7882:3, 7882:4, 7883:6, 7980:17, 8059:15, 8060:19, 8092:5, 8095:7, 8097:19, 8097:23, 8098:2, 8102:20, 8138:11, 8143:22 prescriber [3] -8092:12, 8098:4 prescribers [7] -7920:21, 7963:13, 7963:21, 8097:1, 8097:25, 8104:7, 8104:8 prescribers' [1] -7920:22 prescribing [29] -7962:25, 7963:1, 7971:14, 7972:7, 7972:19, 7975:20, 7976:1, 7980:4, 7980:9, 7980:11, 7995:9, 7996:4, 7998:4, 7998:17, 8002:25, 8003:6, 8003:23, 8004:4, 8091:6, 8094:25, 8095:18, 8096:12, 8096:21, 8097:2, 8105:17, 8119:13, 8127:9. 8138:14. 8138:16 Prescribing [1] -8092:19 prescription [33] -7937:3, 7972:11, 7975:6, 7976:15, 7977:2, 7977:4, 7988:22, 7989:7, 7989:21, 7989:24, 8002:13, 8002:14, 8005:2, 8006:19, 8018:9, 8022:5, 8032:5, 8092:13, 8092:17, 8093:12, 8093:15, 8094:1, 8094:13, 8094:21, 8095:13, 8096:5, 8116:15, 8116:18, 8116:19, 8127:7, 8143:5, 8146:13

Prescription [2] -8040:20, 8040:23 prescriptions [55] -7933:18, 7933:20, 7935:5, 7963:11, 7964:14, 7967:21, 7970:22, 7970:25, 7971:10, 7971:18, 7971:20, 7972:20, 7973:6, 7973:24, 7996:17, 7997:16, 7997:21, 7997:23, 7999:6, 7999:18, 7999:19, 7999:23, 8000:1, 8000:12, 8000:19, 8019:2, 8020:2, 8021:5, 8021:15, 8021:17, 8021:19, 8021:21, 8022:12, 8092:10, 8093:7, 8093:22, 8095:23, 8098:6, 8098:8, 8104:6, 8104:9, 8104:12, 8104:13, 8104:16, 8116:13, 8116:23, 8117:13, 8117:21, 8117:25, 8119:16, 8120:21, 8121:13, 8121:17, 8126:24 presence [1] -8161:20 present [8] - 7865:14, 7918:13, 7928:22, 8035:6, 8048:20, 8048:21, 8049:2, 8120:1 presentation [2] -7844:25, 7921:20 presentations [4] -7978:10, 7992:14, 8116:9, 8118:15 presented [14] -7906:10, 7907:25, 7910:3, 7918:12, 7923:1, 7923:19, 7926:14, 7929:6, 7929:13, 7941:15, 7952:11, 8050:10, 8077:24, 8150:3 presenting [4] -7992:13, 8034:3, 8052:5, 8160:25 presents [1] - 7941:15 preserved [2] -7868:7, 7894:17 preside [1] - 8147:22 president [7] -7958:14, 7958:17, 7964:23, 8019:12,

8134:23, 8135:4, 8137:25 pressed [1] - 8162:11 pressure [4] -7964:15. 7968:17. 7969:15. 8069:7 pressured [1] -7992:20 presume [8] -7872:20, 7910:24, 7913:3, 7914:9, 8034:17, 8054:9, 8056:19, 8155:9 presumed [1] - 7912:7 presupposes [1] -7877:24 presupposing [1] -7878:1 pretending [1] -8066:9 pretty [3] - 7894:21, 7988:20, 8008:1 prevail [1] - 8147:3 prevailing [1] -8145:13 prevails [1] - 8147:2 prevalent [1] -8045:22 previously [8] -7873:25, 7882:19, 7889:18, 7930:13, 8031:22, 8032:6, 8032:7, 8033:10 Prezista [96] -7919:25, 7920:6, 7920:8, 7920:10, 7920:23, 7921:2, 7921:3, 7921:5, 7921:7, 7933:18, 7938:16, 7939:4, 7940:6, 7940:13, 7950:1, 7957:18, 7962:11, 7963:10, 7967:19, 7968:13, 7968:18, 7969:23, 7969:25, 7970:13, 7970:23, 7971:2, 7971:20, 7972:7, 7972:11, 7976:17, 7977:1, 7977:19, 7977:21, 7977:23, 7978:13, 7979:15, 7980:2, 7980:7, 7980:9, 7980:12, 7980:15, 7980:18, 7980:20, 7981:23, 7982:1, 7983:11, 7983:15, 7985:5, 7992:3, 7993:13, 7994:7, 7995:25,

7984:9, 7985:13,

8001:24, 8041:8,

8075:13, 8106:3,

8068:23, 8075:11,

8162:17 problematic [1] -8053:2 problems [5] -7979:14, 8047:23, 8083:11, 8109:1, 8144:25 procedurally [1] -7853:5 Procedure [2] -7870:10, 7877:5 procedures [1] -7929:9 proceed [8] - 7954:6, 7954:22, 7955:7, 7969:7, 8057:13, 8058:15, 8129:9, 8155:17 proceedings [1] -8164:5 Proceedings [1] -7842:25 PROCEEDINGS [1] -7843:1 process [15] - 7959:8, 7961:3, 7967:5, 8025:23, 8079:8, 8099:1, 8102:3, 8108:18, 8110:18, 8115:19, 8140:5, 8143:9, 8147:12, 8152:8, 8153:20 produced [4] -7842:25, 7928:12, 8070:8, 8084:13 product [15] -7873:22, 7963:9, 7965:12, 7986:17, 7986:20, 7989:10, 8013:1, 8064:19, 8082:12, 8103:17, 8111:20, 8114:8, 8115:25, 8116:3, 8119:20 product-specific [1] -8064:19 Products [1] - 7919:22 products [8] -7938:14, 7938:15, 7938:16, 7939:22, 7989:7, 7989:22, 7989:24, 8084:1 PRODUCTS[1] -7842:7 products' [1] -7989:12

Professional [3] -

professional [2] -

8052:4

8026:17, 8050:25,

8053:10, 8160:4 professor [2] -7995:21, 7998:6 Professor [21] -7851:24, 7902:7, 7998:3, 7998:19, 7999:22, 8000:3, 8000:20, 8001:11, 8001:20, 8003:14, 8003:19, 8003:25, 8004:5, 8004:13, 8004:21, 8011:14, 8011:24, 8012:2, 8092:1, 8093:4, 8099:6 proffer [1] - 7854:14 proffered [1] -7857:20 profile [11] - 7964:18, 7966:9, 7968:13, 7977:9, 7978:20, 7982:15, 8065:1, 8109:7, 8111:10, 8111:25, 8143:16 profile' [1] - 7981:23 profiles [2] - 7973:25, 8101:4 profit [6] - 7957:4, 7957:8, 7957:25, 7960:13, 7961:11, 7963:24 profitability [1] -8081:16 profits [5] - 7956:15, 7957:11, 7958:2, 7960:2, 8145:6 Program [4] - 7920:2, 7935:2, 7942:17, 8020:11 program [40] -7873:20, 7901:8, 7907:12, 7920:21, 7932:16, 7933:6, 7933:21, 7934:18, 7934:24, 7935:6, 7935:9, 7937:2, 7941:8, 7943:21, 7949:15, 7962:18, 7962:20, 7963:3, 7963:5, 7964:5, 7971:8, 7971:21, 7998:15, 8018:8, 8021:7, 8021:18, 8022:4, 8065:11, 8065:16, 8107:20, 8113:24, 8114:12, 8114:15, 8117:8, 8120:14. 8120:16. 8123:25, 8126:6, 8160:14

programs [29] -7920:1, 7921:4, 7932:18, 7935:12, 7938:15, 7939:22, 7941:11, 7963:8, 7974:5, 7975:25, 7984:22. 7991:8. 7993:10. 7995:10. 8021:23, 8063:11, 8064:4, 8064:7, 8081:17, 8094:22, 8107:19, 8114:1, 8114:3, 8114:7, 8114:10, 8114:12, 8114:14, 8114:24, 8121.5 prohibit [2] - 7960:22, 7966:21 prohibited [1] -8106:12 prohibits [1] - 8139:3 projected [1] -7985:18 promote [27] -7962:15, 7964:13, 7966:11, 7983:21, 7986:24, 8023:24, 8060:10, 8068:20, 8070:1, 8071:7, 8071:9, 8074:2, 8074:3, 8077:15, 8080:4, 8084:9, 8088:2, 8106:15, 8113:5, 8123:10, 8123:22, 8124:22, 8124:23, 8125:14, 8135:12, 8143:14, 8144:1 promoted [9] -7921:3, 7989:16, 8089:11, 8105:22, 8105:23, 8112:21, 8122:21, 8125:18, 8140:14 promoting [18] -7950:1, 7966:24, 7982:10, 7999:21, 8017:24, 8019:24, 8074:8, 8083:23, 8086:15, 8087:17, 8087:23, 8121:1, 8123:19, 8125:8, 8125:9, 8125:11, 8139:14, 8143:4 promotion [29] -7863:10, 7873:22, 7940:6, 7956:20, 7958:4, 7983:16, 7983:17, 7990:23, 7993:25, 7994:7,

7994:15, 8006:25, 8016:2, 8019:1, 8024:11, 8024:20, 8070:11, 8083:20, 8084:1, 8085:2, 8085:12, 8089:19, 8106:2, 8106:12, 8139:13, 8142:25, 8145:6, 8146:3, 8146:16 promotional [28] -7890:11, 7891:17, 7940:12, 7940:18, 7962:16, 7963:4, 7966:24, 7975:2, 7978:9, 7980:25, 7981:1, 7983:7, 7987:9, 7997:17, 8006:20, 8009:4, 8060:14, 8064:25, 8075:12, 8106:20, 8107:1, 8107:24, 8108:2, 8115:4, 8119:24, 8127:14, 8139:4, 8145:7 Promotional [1] -8111:11 prompted [1] -8079:15 promptly [1] - 7921:17 proof [10] - 7926:7, 7928:14, 7928:15, 7930:4, 7937:22, 7939:18, 8024:23, 8094:4, 8125:22, 8148:13 proper [4] - 7851:16, 7851:19. 7931:3. 8106:2 properly [6] - 7866:1, 7921:3, 7921:4, 8105:23, 8152:17, 8154.8 property [1] - 7938:5 proportion [1] -8145:25 proposal [14] -7849:11, 7850:18, 7884:10, 7886:9, 7886:12, 7895:24, 7896:7, 7900:5, 7900:24, 7901:24, 7903:18, 7911:15, 7914:19 proposal's [1] -7886:11 propose [8] - 7845:11, 7881:5. 7883:2. 7885:5, 7887:16, 7902:1, 7910:15,

8043:21 proposed [31] -7844:5, 7845:22, 7845:23, 7851:11, 7853:22, 7854:12, 7879:15, 7880:1, 7882:11. 7886:8. 7888:5. 7888:6. 7888:16, 7888:25, 7889:4, 7890:8, 7890:20, 7895:25, 7897:2, 7901:25, 7902:15, 7902:22, 7904:11, 7905:3, 7905:21, 7913:4, 7947:20, 7948:10, 7949:17, 8109:13 proposing [1] -7903:12 proposition [2] -7885:15, 7964:13 protease [2] -7963:22, 8100:25 protect [2] - 7959:24, 8041:3 protected [13] -7892:16, 7961:7, 7989:15, 8005:5, 8005:7, 8005:23, 8006:22, 8007:7, 8007:10, 8101:9, 8101:11, 8101:14, 8101:18 protectors [1] -7972:18 protects [1] - 7913:6 **proud** [1] - 8013:4 prove [39] - 7927:13, 7927:22, 7933:12, 7934:6, 7934:15, 7935:4, 7935:16, 7936:4, 7936:15, 7936:22, 7937:6, 7937:17, 7937:25, 7943:9, 7943:12, 7949:20, 7949:24, 8002:22, 8017:5, 8017:22, 8018:3, 8018:14, 8019:22, 8020:6, 8020:15, 8022:6, 8023:6, 8023:11, 8023:16, 8059:11, 8093:25, 8094:20, 8125:22, 8125:25, 8137:14, 8137:21, 8138:7, 8139:15, 8141:2 proved [6] - 7926:4, 7928:7, 7928:8, 7929:10, 7931:25,

8007:25 proven [10] - 7961:1, 7981:12, 7982:15, 8018:1, 8019:7, 8065:1, 8109:7, 8111:10, 8111:14, 8111:24 provide [10] - 7876:4, 7934:1, 7941:20, 7944:15, 7982:6, 8064:2, 8088:21, 8109:24, 8149:18, 8150:18 provided [12] -7854:3, 7861:6, 7874:8, 7903:15, 7989:24, 8034:11, 8036:5, 8041:21, 8046:23, 8143:24, 8150:15, 8152:15 provider's [1] - 8110:5 providers [6] -7937:11, 7962:24, 8018:18, 8018:22, 8087:21, 8115:7 provides [2] - 7935:9, 7997:18 providing [2] -7997:14, 7997:25 proving [9] - 7927:20, 7983:20, 8003:3, 8059:13, 8059:17, 8060:4, 8062:3, 8133:20 provision [2] -7880:10, 7885:23 provisions [5] -7900:12, 7941:14, 7941:19, 8137:3, 8137:16 psychological [1] -8012:8 public [5] - 7854:20, 8036:18, 8099:25, 8100:7, 8133:1 published [4] -7872:13, 7979:12, 8116:8, 8144:23 pull [1] - 8136:17 pulled [3] - 8077:20, 8078:6, 8145:12 pulling [2] - 7845:25, 8135:6 punch [1] - 8039:4 punish [4] - 8065:4,

8065:17, 8107:6,

7934:17, 7934:22

purpose [25] - 7892:4,

8107:23

purchase [2] -

7925:12, 7933:17, 7934:25, 7935:25, 7938:19, 7939:9, 7939:11, 7972:5, 7973:9, 7973:19, 7997:19, 7997:20, 8021:2, 8021:3, 8021:4, 8045:23, 8088:6, 8149:10 purposely [1] -8115:21 purposes [24] -7845:17, 7855:16, 7855:18, 7856:5, 7856:15, 7858:2, 7876:19, 7894:3, 7896:15, 7906:8, 7919:20, 7934:16, 7934:21, 7935:20, 7938:18, 7939:13, 7945:23, 7950:14, 7951:12, 7952:11, 8077:6, 8088:5, 8088:25, 8143:22 purse [1] - 8076:4 pursue [2] - 7919:18, 7957:20 pursued [1] - 8065:17 purview [1] - 7894:4 push [6] - 7984:5, 8006:16, 8162:8 pushback [2] -7964:18 pushed [4] - 8006:17, 8140:23, 8161:25, 8162:10 pushing [2] - 7985:13, 7988:15 put [90] - 7846:7, 7863:5, 7865:20, 7865:24, 7872:1, 7872:5, 7876:22, 7894:13, 7901:5, 7901:20, 7903:6, 7903:8, 7903:12, 7905:15, 7907:10, 7907:21, 7927:25, 7928:17, 7950:9, 7953:16, 7958:19, 7963:8, 7963:12, 7975:9, 7978:21, 7980:15, 7982:14, 7984:18, 7984:19, 7984:21, 7991:9, 7991:13, 7993:1, 7993:20, 7993:21, 7996:11, 8009:14, 8013:5, 8014:10, 8014:12, 8015:17, 8016:11, 8016:13,

8019:9, 8022:15, 8028:14, 8028:21, 8031:14, 8043:3, 8048:4, 8048:18, 8051:3, 8051:7, 8051:14, 8051:23, 8053:15, 8063:11, 8064:11, 8064:20, 8080:16, 8083:21, 8085:22, 8085:23, 8086:16, 8088:13, 8088:15, 8089:14, 8089:23, 8090:21, 8091:19, 8092:2, 8093:18, 8095:25, 8101:23, 8102:4, 8109:25, 8110:1, 8110:5, 8110:17, 8115:16, 8125:24, 8133:5, 8133:14, 8134:11, 8134:12, 8136:24, 8137:12, 8138:4, 8145:10, 8147:11 putting [8] - 7860:9, 7877:12, 7968:17, 8024:3, 8048:1, 8090:3, 8101:19, 8157:17 Q 8082:1, 8082:9, 8083:12 8114:21 8146:5 8084:20

QD 161 - 7970:10. 7995:16, 7995:18, qualification [1] qualifications [2] -7930:11, 8116:8 quality [1] - 7927:2 quantity [1] - 8102:15 quarter [2] - 8146:4, questioned [1] -Questions [1] -7907:13 questions [39] -7877:19, 7877:20, 7878:18, 7899:24,

7900:1, 7900:16, 7902:11, 7904:6, 7904:15, 7905:2, 7913:7, 7924:6, 7925:4, 7928:21, 7928:22, 7929:1, 7929:2, 7955:13, 8007:6, 8008:15, 8008:16, 8013:2,

8013:17, 8059:3, 8075:19, 8077:23, 8078:22, 8078:23, 8078:24, 8082:11, 8082:17, 8083:4, 8086:6, 8087:24, 8116:3, 8126:19, 8150:11, 8151:22 quick [1] - 8026:11 quicker [1] - 7846:15 quickly [8] - 7884:17, 7887:5, 7887:11, 7903:1, 7903:9, 7908:18, 7957:21, 8001:18 quite [3] - 7866:19, 8003:14, 8083:15 quote [1] - 8097:18 QURAISHI[2] -7842:11, 7843:2

R

race [1] - 8003:22 radar [1] - 7995:18 radio [1] - 7922:20 raincoat [1] - 7926:9 raining [3] - 7926:2, 7926:3, 7926:12 raise [6] - 7908:17, 8048:13, 8068:17, 8070:11, 8118:21, 8161:12 raised [18] - 7859:21, 7862:20, 7880:15, 7882:25, 7907:6, 7946:2, 7950:15, 7952:5, 8041:13, 8043:10, 8048:11, 8048:16, 8049:9, 8049:14, 8050:20, 8068:24, 8085:6, 8086:10 raising [1] - 8075:13 ramp[1] - 7964:14 ramped [1] - 7976:13 ramping [1] - 7968:22 ran [3] - 8114:16, 8116:7, 8117:9 random [1] - 8150:14 rank [3] - 7963:20, 7967:20, 7970:21 ranking [2] - 7970:24, 8123:7 rapidly [1] - 8103:15 rate [1] - 8138:11 rates [4] - 7989:13, 7998:9, 7998:17, 8004:4

rather [5] - 7874:19,

8058:6, 8128:7,

7905:16, 8055:12, 8078:3, 8090:3 ratio [3] - 7998:8, 8004:10 Ray [3] - 8075:17, 8076:15, 8076:18 RDR [1] - 8164:11 re [1] - 8149:6 re-examine [1] -8149:6 reach [4] - 7923:8, 7924:21, 7985:5, 8162:7 reached [7] - 7861:1, 8151:23, 8152:1, 8152:4, 8152:6, 8152:10, 8152:24 reaching [4] -7925:22, 8066:9, 8073:20, 8149:1 reactions [1] -7978:13 read [28] - 7848:2, 7848:11, 7849:9, 7851:6, 7852:14, 7855:14, 7859:9, 7881:13, 7889:19, 7895:13, 7899:6, 7908:18, 7909:3, 7917:12, 7922:18, 7922:21, 7944:12, 7946:1, 7947:12, 7950:22, 8013:11, 8081:2, 8081:4, 8085:14, 8111:20, 8111:21, 8132:4, 8144:9 reading [8] - 7887:22, 7895:15, 7915:8, 7915:10, 7945:22, 7946:19, 7950:15, 8045:14 reads [4] - 7847:21, 7880:4, 7881:21, 8157:14 ready [14] - 7950:18, 7955:8, 7962:14, 7964:3, 8012:20, 8057:12, 8058:15, 8118:23, 8128:20, 8129:9. 8129:12. 8129:13, 8129:14, 8129:15 real [16] - 7898:20, 7968:9, 7973:1, 7975:20, 7975:21, 7978:22, 7979:4, 7995:8, 8041:1, 8041:8, 8097:3, 8106:4, 8116:5,

8117:16, 8121:9, 8121:11 realize [4] - 7966:6, 8071:23, 8071:24, 8072:14 realized [5] - 7860:9, 7866:16, 7957:17, 8072:2, 8142:2 realizing [1] - 7997:3 really [53] - 7855:8, 7860:7, 7864:14, 7864:16, 7865:19, 7870:20, 7872:2, 7873:4, 7875:15, 7888:1, 7888:3, 7894:2, 7895:21, 7951:1, 7960:23, 7961:25, 7966:4, 7966:5, 7987:21, 7997:3, 8008:21, 8008:23, 8009:11, 8012:16, 8015:22, 8015:23, 8016:25, 8023:21, 8032:19, 8036:14, 8040:10, 8042:8, 8071:22, 8074:5, 8083:10, 8084:7, 8089:24, 8102:10, 8113:7, 8113:12, 8128:4, 8129:15, 8142:7, 8146:25, 8158:14, 8160:1, 8160:2, 8161:4, 8161:5, 8162:2 reap [1] - 7963:24 rearque [1] - 7904:10 rearview [1] - 8161:13 reason [26] - 7850:20, 7863:17, 7865:7, 7892:12, 7892:16, 7893:13, 7901:4, 7934:19, 7943:18, 7957:5, 7957:18, 7957:19, 7966:8, 8017:11, 8021:11, 8026:22, 8034:5, 8034:17, 8040:2, 8077:21, 8077:25, 8081:9, 8100:8, 8115:8, 8141:17 reasonable [18] -7884:1, 7920:19, 7927:9, 7928:15, 7932:23, 7943:10, 7943:14, 7973:23, 8003:3, 8017:9, 8018:21, 8026:16, 8031:8, 8092:14, 8142:20, 8143:7,

8143:23, 8143:25 reasonably [3] -7924:20, 7937:12, 8018:25 reasons [16] -7902:15, 7909:1, 7921:18, 7930:11, 7984:8, 7996:2, 8042:22, 8047:14, 8096:21, 8097:1, 8099:25, 8101:3, 8102:12, 8102:16, 8119:20, 8161:1 rebate [2] - 7851:21, 7852:5 rebates [5] - 7851:14, 7851:18, 7851:22, 7851:25, 7852:6 reboot [1] - 7844:15 rebuttal [14] -7844:18, 7897:18, 7898:24, 7954:25, 8026:21, 8027:23, 8038:7, 8050:13, 8054:10, 8056:15, 8058:6, 8090:3, 8126:15, 8129:8 recalled [1] - 8039:11 receipt [1] - 7938:5 receipts [2] - 7962:6, 8016:17 receive [4] - 7930:15, 8016:20, 8123:3, 8152:9 received [26] -7851:17, 7852:9, 7857:15, 7924:4, 7924:22, 7925:11, 7928:12, 7929:22, 7981:15, 7981:22, 7981:25, 7982:17, 7998:11, 7998:12, 7998:14, 7998:22, 7999:24, 8001:4, 8001:6, 8118:14, 8119:19, 8152:10, 8152:13, 8157:9, 8157:13, 8158:9 receiving [3] -8000:19, 8006:20, 8125:13 recess [22] - 7895:6, 7897:15, 7897:21, 7898:5, 7898:7, 7898:12, 7906:1, 7906:2, 7950:17, 7952:19, 7953:3, 7953:6, 7953:23, 8030:16, 8057:3, 8057:23, 8057:24,

8128:19, 8156:23, 8157.7 reckless [1] - 7942:23 recklessly [2] -7937:20, 8019:6 recognize [2] -8103:13, 8162:3 recognized [1] -8160:23 recognizes [4] -8045:25, 8056:7, 8056:8, 8100:16 recognizing [1] -8045:21 recollection [2] -8056:22, 8084:3 recommend [2] -7971:13, 8115:15 recommendation [2] -7934:17, 7934:22 recommendations [4] - 8083:25, 8100:20, 8103:21, 8115:19 recommended [3] -8100:23, 8101:3, 8101:8 reconvene [1] -8025:15 record [63] - 7847:15, 7847:19, 7847:23, 7847:24, 7853:8, 7853:16, 7853:25, 7854:1, 7854:11, 7854:18, 7864:1, 7868:14, 7868:18, 7869:1, 7869:4, 7870:16, 7882:25, 7885:24, 7894:13, 7894:16, 7903:16, 7904:10, 7905:10, 7906:3, 7906:4, 7906:5, 7906:14, 7907:7, 7907:10, 7907:19, 7908:13, 7908:19, 7913:15, 7913:19, 7915:14, 7917:12, 7925:14, 7948:17, 7951:19, 7952:9, 8003:18, 8028:14, 8029:7, 8040:4, 8040:6, 8041:3, 8048:4, 8056:14, 8056:22, 8084:14, 8090:24, 8094:19, 8128:25, 8129:14, 8149:13, 8156:14, 8157:18, 8159:22, 8161:10, 8161:21, 8162:12,

8164:5 Recorded [1] -7930:25 recorded [7] -7842:25. 7856:10. 7931:2, 7994:23, 7995:4, 8070:4. 8096:19 recording [13] -7931:7, 7931:8, 7931:10, 7931:11, 7983:12, 7983:13, 7994:22, 8076:2, 8076:5, 8096:17, 8138:18, 8140:22, 8140:24 recordings [3] -7931:4, 7931:5, 8066:16 records [6] - 7864:11, 7928:22, 7929:23, 7977:10, 7995:1, 8002:12 **Recover** [1] - 7944:5 recover [2] - 7891:24, 7952:2 recovered [2] -7944:6, 7944:13 recovery [10] - 7892:3, 7892:6, 7892:19, 7893:16, 7893:25, 7908:10, 7932:7, 7944:16, 7944:19, 8066:21 red [4] - 7846:21, 7846:23, 7854:25, 8083:7 redirect [1] - 7877:7 reduced [1] - 8020:10 reduction [1] -8000:24 Reese [1] - 7955:24 **REESE** [1] - 7842:14 refer [5] - 7882:9, 7883:10, 7917:22, 7942:14, 8054:13 reference [4] -7847:15, 7850:7, 7923:3, 8087:2 referenced [9] -7859:23, 7873:23, 8028:2, 8033:15, 8035:24, 8035:25, 8036:3, 8036:8, 8151:21 references [1] -7850:8 referral [2] - 7934:17, 7934:22 referred [1] - 7920:2

referring [6] -7853:13, 7853:19, 7868:22, 7885:19, 8028:6, 8039:18 refers [1] - 7881:10 reflect [5] - 7848:6, 7852:5, 7896:14, 7930:5, 7970:11 reflected [1] - 7851:25 reflects [1] - 7851:18 refused [1] - 8071:9 regard [1] - 7920:6 regarding [16] -7849:5, 7849:23, 7854:23, 7863:9, 7920:20, 7938:21, 7938:24, 7939:14, 7940:12, 7986:17, 8048:2, 8064:9, 8069:7, 8076:3, 8147:12, 8147:16 regardless [5] -7928:11, 7928:12, 7958:2, 7982:12, 8049:14 regimen [1] - 7977:5 regimes [1] - 8108:2 region [1] - 7972:9 regional [5] - 7961:24, 7973:10, 7973:17, 7992:24, 8123:9 regular [1] - 8113:11 regularly [1] - 7930:23 regulation [17] -7859:8, 7859:20, 7862:12, 7865:4, 7875:14, 7879:23, 7884:9, 7890:10, 7891:1, 7891:16, 7894:24, 7940:17, 7983:6, 8036:17, 8040:9, 8108:17 regulations [7] -7846:1, 7867:19, 7890:24, 8033:18, 8044:24, 8047:12, 8124:5 reimbursable [3] -8061:5, 8062:22, 8090:19 reimburse [5] -7939:4, 8063:6, 8091:16, 8093:10, 8094:13 reimbursed [6] -8000:1, 8006:2, 8093:15, 8104:12, 8143:7, 8145:21 reimbursement [37] -7910:1, 7910:7,

7919:25, 7921:1, 7921:8, 7932:16, 7932:17, 7933:23, 7935:8, 7937:2, 7937:3, 7937:10, 7937:11, 7937:12, 7989:12, 7999:9, 7999:11, 7999:12, 7999:25, 8005:13, 8018:9, 8018:10, 8018:19, 8018:23, 8020:2, 8022:4, 8022:5, 8061:25, 8090:10, 8090:13, 8091:18, 8099:11, 8099:15, 8099:17, 8127:3, 8127:21, 8146:17 reimbursements [4] -7904:22, 7941:24, 7942:3, 8107:11 reimburses [4] -7997:24, 7999:6, 8005:2, 8105:18 reiterate [1] - 7908:16 rejected [2] - 7853:21, 8043:12 related [10] - 7875:8, 7920:5, 7921:5, 7922:18, 7938:12, 7938:15, 7943:4, 7994:6, 8031:6, 8033:3 relates [5] - 7903:19, 8036:16, 8108:18, 8117:11, 8117:12 relating [6] - 7865:9, 7922:21, 7922:24, 8015:13, 8022:11, 8039:19 relationship [1] -8076:19 Relator [4] - 7893:2, 7919:18, 7935:16, 7973:19 relators [1] - 8046:4 Relators [143] -7842:18, 7843:6, 7843:8, 7843:9, 7843:11, 7844:7, 7844:15, 7851:11, 7852:15, 7855:5, 7858:20, 7859:9, 7862:18, 7863:13, 7863:15, 7863:21, 7864:19, 7869:7, 7869:19, 7872:3,

7875:9, 7876:1,

7877:7, 7877:8,

7877:9, 7878:16,

7880:13, 7882:5, 7890:7, 7890:21, 7892:2, 7892:6, 7892:7, 7892:20, 7893:8, 7893:16, 7894:18, 7896:2, 7897:16, 7901:4, 7904:16, 7906:7, 7906:16, 7914:9, 7919:14, 7919:21, 7920:4, 7920:7, 7920:10, 7920:17, 7920:20, 7927:18, 7927:20, 7927:22, 7928:1, 7928:2, 7928:3, 7931:25, 7932:7, 7932:8, 7932:10, 7932:12, 7933:12, 7934:6, 7934:15, 7935:4, 7936:4, 7936:8, 7936:15, 7936:22, 7937:6, 7937:17, 7937:21, 7937:25, 7938:21, 7938:23, 7938:25, 7940:20, 7941:3, 7942:10, 7943:9, 7943:12, 7943:13, 7944:15, 7949:12, 7949:20, 7949:24, 7950:18, 7950:21, 7950:24, 7951:20, 7955:1, 7955:22, 7956:9, 7977:13, 7985:12, 8012:12, 8014:23, 8017:5, 8017:22, 8019:22, 8020:6, 8020:15, 8022:6, 8023:6, 8023:11, 8023:16, 8024:23, 8034:16, 8037:2, 8038:7, 8038:22, 8043:5, 8047:17, 8047:21, 8050:12, 8050:14, 8051:8, 8051:11, 8051:17, 8052:6, 8053:19, 8053:23, 8059:12, 8060:2, 8060:3, 8060:7, 8066:1, 8066:5, 8066:7, 8067:7, 8067:15, 8076:25, 8104:11, 8125:21, 8126:16, 8145:25, 8147:17, 8148:13, 8159:6, 8159:9 Relators' [34] -7844:2, 7850:17,

7880:8, 7891:10, 7893:22, 7895:25, 7896:21, 7908:23, 7915:23, 7916:12, 7932:6, 7940:1, 7944:18, 7954:23, 8017:7, 8017:8, 8033:24, 8034:6, 8035:2, 8037:14, 8037:16, 8039:1, 8046:3, 8046:4, 8051:21, 8051:25, 8053:14, 8067:3, 8073:7, 8086:24, 8161:8 release [2] - 8010:5, 8010:11 relevance[1] -7873:21 relevant [6] - 7872:19, 7873:6, 8032:20, 8042:18, 8044:23, 8046:10 reliability [1] -7930:12 rely [4] - 7885:14, 7917:6, 7930:18, 8097:5 relying [1] - 7872:8 remain [9] - 7898:13, 7953:24, 8030:18, 8057:23, 8057:25, 8157:6, 8157:8, 8158:19, 8162:25 remaining [2] -7873:10, 7894:25 remains [1] - 7847:10 remarkable [2] -8003:7, 8061:13 remarks [2] - 7955:5, 8147:12 remedies [1] -7949:12 remedy [3] - 8026:18, 8027:19, 8029:3 remember 1451 -7851:21, 7868:9, 7886:23, 7892:11, 7917:23, 7922:14, 7931:8, 7965:2, 7969:18, 7970:2, 7971:14, 7974:22, 7975:6, 7980:24, 7981:17, 7994:5, 7996:16, 8002:4, 8004:1, 8008:15, 8008:23, 8013:24, 8015:6, 8064:13, 8067:19, 8069:25,

8070:2, 8071:5,

8072:25, 8074:13, 8074:15, 8074:16, 8074:17, 8075:18, 8076:2, 8077:9, 8078:15, 8080:5, 8083:14, 8088:11, 8089:1, 8090:9, 8091:8, 8110:11, 8114:23 remembered [1] -8072:4 remembering [1] -7886:11 remembers [2] -8074:23 remind [6] - 7858:16, 7911:9, 7917:9, 7978:10, 8152:21, 8153:2 reminded [3] - 8029:6, 8071:16, 8074:18 reminder [2] -8025:19, 8058:5 reminding [1] -7968:15 reminds [1] - 7899:1 remiss [1] - 8159:21 removal [1] - 7848:19 **remove** [1] - 7858:20 removed [4] -8081:18, 8081:20, 8117:17, 8120:21 remuneration [12] -7933:14, 7933:17, 7934:7, 7934:9, 7934:16, 7934:20, 7934:21, 7934:25, 7960:16, 8020:21, 8021:9 Remuneration [1] -7934:4 renew [1] - 7972:3 rep [13] - 7990:17, 7997:10, 8005:14, 8062:16, 8083:5, 8089:10, 8093:23, 8094:2, 8094:12, 8097:21, 8113:11, 8138:18 repeat [1] - 7974:10 repeated [1] - 7917:15 repeatedly [3] -8077:1, 8112:20, 8118:25 repeating [2] -7874:13, 8159:25 repetitive [2] - 7945:7, 7974:6 report [13] - 7922:20, 7922:22, 8004:2,

7876:5, 7879:16,

8004:8, 8008:4, 8011:18, 8068:12, 8068:16, 8069:13, 8069:25, 8070:4, 8072:10, 8123:25 reported [13] -7965:21, 7965:23, 7986:8. 8069:3. 8069:14, 8069:23, 8070:8, 8071:17, 8071:18, 8071:19, 8073:3, 8089:15, 8124:4 Reporter [2] -7842:23, 8164:12 reporter [5] - 7915:15, 7928:22, 8045:15, 8045:18, 8045:19 REPORTER'S [1] -8164:1 reporting [7] - 7988:8, 7995:15, 8069:21, 8071:4, 8071:15, 8114:21, 8124:11 reports [2] - 8064:2, 8086:1 represent [7] - 7866:1, 7874:6, 7929:16, 8051:8, 8103:16, 8146:23, 8151:12 representation [4] -7873:1, 7874:8, 7913:16, 8040:3 representative [13] -7966:22, 7966:24, 7986:7, 7999:1, 8061:22, 8082:15, 8082:16, 8083:7, 8086:2, 8092:3, 8097:7, 8097:8, 8098:10 representatives [25] -7921:3, 7959:3, 7961:24, 7965:4, 7983:10, 7986:2, 7991:13, 7991:19, 7991:23, 7993:19, 8011:20, 8085:25, 8097:5, 8097:16, 8106:14, 8106:25, 8111:19, 8112:19, 8112:23, 8113:5, 8115:15, 8115:18, 8132:25, 8138:20, 8138:21 represented [3] -7872:23, 8013:7, 8040:22 representing [2] -

8073:14, 8161:5

reps [19] - 7993:12, 7993:18, 8075:19, 8076:9, 8079:17, 8082:20, 8083:6, 8083:10, 8085:20, 8088:5, 8088:17, 8088:18. 8088:25. 8096:22. 8097:12. 8112:25, 8123:17, 8138:15, 8138:17 reputation [1] -8056:9 request [15] - 7889:15, 7923:21, 7923:22, 8044:3, 8064:16, 8079:9, 8079:11, 8079:14, 8079:21, 8093:4, 8111:7, 8134:25, 8140:8, 8140:13, 8140:20 requested [4] -7925:2, 8047:6, 8066:12, 8079:21 requesting [1] -8029:17 Requests [1] - 7929:5 requests [7] - 7929:8, 7940:2, 7966:19, 7967:13, 7967:23, 8091:24, 8107:1 require [1] - 7947:8 required [14] - 7880:9, 7912:1, 7937:22, 7940:7, 7943:12, 8063:25, 8064:20, 8065:5, 8110:6, 8111:19, 8111:21, 8111:22, 8152:25, 8154:2 requirement [6] -7880:7, 7887:24, 7905:17, 7975:6, 8090:16, 8090:24 requirements [18] -7880:12, 7880:14, 7904:3, 7909:10, 7909:12, 8037:25, 8064:5, 8065:20, 8090:10, 8090:14, 8090:20, 8090:22, 8091:2, 8107:13, 8118:18, 8127:3, 8127:24, 8144:6 requires [1] - 7913:15 requiring [1] - 8118:5 reread [1] - 7858:5 research [4] -7922:23, 7922:25, 7969:22, 8149:24

reserve [3] - 7876:10,

7887:8, 7898:23 reserved [1] - 8026:23 reserving [2] - 7860:4, 7906:10 resistances [1] -7969:5 resistant [4] - 8096:3, 8100:3, 8100:4, 8100:5 resolution [2] -7904:1, 7938:12 resolve [2] - 7898:4, 8030:2 resolving [2] -7859:14, 7990:5 resource [4] -8078:22, 8079:1, 8079:2, 8097:13 resources [5] -8069:6, 8069:13, 8069:15, 8069:23, 8078:20 respect [17] - 7873:21, 7879:14, 7881:2, 7889:2, 7892:18, 7893:2, 7893:25, 7894:14, 7906:14, 7939:22, 8022:19, 8022:25, 8024:8, 8046:17, 8050:20, 8055:18, 8055:19 respectfully [1] -8027:24 respond [6] - 7859:9, 7863:13, 7903:22, 8048:15, 8079:11, 8150:24 responded [4] -7913:13, 8042:17, 8043:5, 8084:8 responding [2] -7978:25, 8048:17 responds [3] -8042:16, 8108:25, 8109:1 response [19] -7859:15, 7876:7, 7877:19, 7878:18, 7878:22, 7929:1, 7929:8, 7940:11, 7940:14, 7977:18, 7982:23, 7983:4, 7985:9, 8042:18, 8043:3, 8043:4, 8044:20, 8083:24, 8129:11 responses [7] -7844:8, 7860:18, 7862:5, 7869:18, 7869:20, 7869:21,

8079:23 responsibility [9] -7897:24, 7923:19, 7931:22, 8053:10, 8068:11, 8068:13, 8117:3, 8123:24, 8153:6 Responsibility [1] -7931:13 responsible [2] -7883:5, 7999:20 responsive [1] -7940:1 rest [14] - 7852:17, 7860:4, 7861:21, 7862:2, 7868:15, 7870:14, 8025:3, 8036:18, 8094:9, 8094:10, 8094:14, 8135:6, 8148:4, 8162:18 restaurant [1] -8113:22 restaurants [2] -7975:3, 8021:1 rested [6] - 7859:11, 7859:14, 7859:19, 7859:22, 7866:23, 7866:24 resting [2] - 7862:20, 8037:18 restrict [1] - 8102:11 restrictions [3] -8088:19, 8101:23, 8101:24 restroom [2] -8153:18, 8157:4 result [8] - 7941:9, 7943:22, 7949:21, 7957:22, 7997:12, 8020:9, 8022:14, 8023:8 resulted [2] - 7939:15, 8007:14 resulting [5] - 7933:6, 7936:25, 7943:2, 8098:22, 8098:25 results [1] - 8004:11 retire [1] - 7918:17 retired [1] - 7921:13 retroactively [1] -8131:14 retrospective[1] -7885:13 retrovirus [2] -7979:12, 8144:24 return [17] - 7985:2, 7989:8, 8001:4, 8001:6, 8006:3.

8006:21, 8020:12,

8021:16, 8029:13, 8030:1, 8117:7, 8120:18, 8146:17, 8147:6, 8151:14, 8152:11, 8152:17 returning [1] -8141:16 returns [1] - 8153:19 reveal [1] - 8152:23 reverse [1] - 7951:4 review [25] - 7849:22, 7857:1, 7869:2, 7870:16, 7875:9, 7876:1, 7882:25, 7885:12, 7891:11, 7895:1, 7895:21, 7899:2, 7907:3, 7908:18, 7914:6, 8115:9, 8130:17, 8131:2, 8131:5, 8133:9, 8133:11, 8133:22, 8134:1, 8136:9, 8138:4 Review [1] - 8111:11 reviewed [5] -7888:25, 7906:7, 7980:25. 8064:24. 8083:2 reviewer [3] - 8109:21, 8109:22, 8109:23 reviewing [3] -7930:21, 8060:13, 8125:1 revise [3] - 7852:14, 7914:10, 7950:12 revised [5] - 7890:20, 7903:14, 7906:7, 7914:4, 7915:19 revising [1] - 8044:2 revision [6] - 7845:22, 7845:23, 7902:23, 7905:22, 7947:21, 7948:3 revisions 131 - 7844:5. 7950:13. 7952:6 revisit [1] - 7882:24 revisited [1] - 7914:2 revisiting [2] -8031:23, 8031:25 reward [10] - 7920:24, 7933:18, 7934:17, 7934:22, 7972:6, 7997:21, 8021:4, 8021:14, 8123:3, 8123:5 rewarded [1] - 7959:4 rewarding [1] -7971:11 Reyataz [26] - 7920:9, 7959:19, 7964:10,

7966:8, 7968:13, 7977:9, 7979:15, 7980:7, 7980:12, 7980:13, 7980:15, 7980:20, 7984:2, 7984:6, 7992:4, 7996:14, 8006:12, 8095:25, 8096:1, 8096:3, 8096:4, 8096:6, 8101:3, 8144:22, 8145:1 Rhode [1] - 7940:25 Ricky [5] - 8059:18, 8059:25, 8093:11, 8117:15, 8117:24 rid [1] - 8004:19 riddled [1] - 8142:10 ride [1] - 7922:13 ridiculous [1] -8059:23 rife [1] - 8005:21 rigged [1] - 8004:11 right-hand [1] -8077:10 rightly [1] - 8109:17 rights [2] - 8010:25, 8011:1 ripped [1] - 7966:15 rise [10] - 7843:4, 7916:19, 7945:19, 7954:1, 8026:1, 8058:10, 8129:5, 8155:22, 8158:6, 8163:1 risk [4] - 7978:22, 7978:23, 7978:25, 8064:19 risked [1] - 7984:16 risks [1] - 7977:22 Risperdal [8] - 7970:6, 7989:13, 8005:4, 8005:8, 8007:5, 8007:21, 8135:16, 8135:19 ritonavir [2] - 8101:5, 8101:6 river [1] - 8161:16 road [1] - 8092:11 robust [1] - 8084:25 Roche [3] - 8110:12, 8110:19. 8110:21 Rodney [1] - 7842:21 Role [1] - 7918:11 role [6] - 7918:25, 8063:18, 8085:9, 8115:21, 8117:10 roll [1] - 8003:20 room [20] - 7918:17, 7921:13, 7922:10,

7945:17, 8036:23,

8068:2, 8113:18, 8147:2, 8147:14, 8147:19, 8147:21, 8149:13, 8149:22, 8151:19, 8153:14, 8153:17, 8155:23, 8156:3, 8157:22, 8158:14 Rosenberg [5] -8095:8, 8095:14, 8095:21, 8100:1, 8105:20 rounds [1] - 8116:10 route [1] - 8050:3 routinely [2] -7863:23, 7872:13 row [1] - 7954:10 rows [1] - 8116:8 Rule [10] - 7853:6, 7877:4, 7906:11, 7909:11, 7911:6, 7913:24, 7950:4, 7951:6, 7951:24, 8040:20 rule [17] - 7864:1, 7877:4, 7880:20, 7883:25, 7885:6, 7925:2, 7936:3, 8017:7, 8031:4, 8045:5, 8045:11, 8048:23. 8049:3. 8093:9, 8093:21, 8142:21 ruled [6] - 7853:20, 7884:24, 7889:4, 8032:1, 8032:3, 8032:22 rulemaking [3] -7879:17, 7879:18, 8042:14 rules [9] - 7924:22, 7924:25, 7925:7, 7961:9, 8044:24, 8046:8, 8053:9, 8120:23, 8121:4 Rules [5] - 7870:10, 7877:5, 8026:17, 8050:25, 8052:3 ruling [5] - 7852:16, 7925:8, 7950:23, 8031:24, 8031:25 rumors [1] - 7924:13 run [9] - 7955:25, 7966:5, 7974:18, 8075:7, 8095:15, 8121:5, 8146:24, 8146:25, 8147:2

running [2] - 7866:3,

rushed [1] - 7957:20

8008:11

rushing [1] - 7965:20 RUSS [75] - 7842:15, 7843:9, 7844:4, 7846:11, 7846:17, 7846:20, 7846:25, 7847:12, 7847:15, 7847:24, 7848:6, 7848:13, 7848:16, 7849:4, 7849:9, 7850:5, 7850:10, 7850:12, 7850:15, 7850:17, 7850:25, 7851:3, 7852:3, 7852:21, 7863:3, 7885:8, 7886:21, 7887:5, 7888:15, 7893:10, 7894:18, 7897:5, 7897:12, 7897:19, 7898:9, 7899:7, 7899:12, 7900:4, 7900:6, 7900:25, 7901:25, 7902:6, 7902:25, 7903:8, 7904:19, 7905:24, 7907:9, 7907:18, 7908:3, 7908:7, 7909:21, 7909:23, 7911:15, 7912:25, 7913:6, 7913:14, 7914:15, 7914:18, 7914:21, 7915:11, 7916:16, 7946:3, 7946:14, 7947:22, 7947:24, 7948:9, 7948:16, 7949:13, 7949:19, 7949:24, 7950:10, 7950:19, 7952:1, 7952:16, 8159:8 Russ [24] - 7843:9, 7844:2, 7845:19, 7846:10, 7847:11, 7848:5, 7849:21, 7852:2, 7852:20, 7855:21, 7859:25, 7886:20, 7887:7, 7888:14, 7892:21, 7893:7, 7903:6, 7904:18, 7907:1, 7909:18, 7910:11, 7916:14, 7950:4, 8129:13 russ [1] - 7901:23

SAFE [2] - 8115:9, 8115:20 safe [2] - 7961:1, 8103:19 Saint [1] - 7842:17 sake [1] - 8032:24 Saladana [5] -7970:24, 8117:4, 8117:9, 8117:11, 8125:3 sale [2] - 7976:10, 7976:14 sales [129] - 7921:2, 7956:19, 7957:16, 7957:17, 7958:10, 7958:11, 7958:16, 7958:23, 7958:24, 7959:2, 7959:4, 7961:21, 7961:23, 7962:4, 7962:13, 7962:14, 7964:4, 7964:17, 7964:24, 7965:4, 7965:22, 7966:22, 7967:12, 7967:24, 7968:3, 7968:11, 7968:23, 7970:14, 7972:10, 7972:14, 7972:24, 7973:4, 7973:9, 7973:11, 7973:16, 7973:20, 7976:12, 7976:13, 7977:10, 7979:24, 7983:10, 7985:4, 7985:5, 7985:18, 7986:1, 7986:7, 7987:15, 7987:17, 7987:24, 7991:12, 7991:13, 7991:19, 7991:22, 7993:12, 7993:18, 7995:10, 7996:12, 7996:23, 7997:10, 7998:14, 7999:1, 7999:17, 7999:24, 8002:12, 8005:14, 8062:15, 8062:16, 8074:8, 8075:19, 8076:9, 8079:17, 8080:8, 8080:18, 8083:5, 8083:6, 8083:10, 8085:16, 8085:20, 8085:24, 8088:5, 8088:17, 8088:18, 8088:25, 8089:10, 8092:3, 8092:7, 8093:23, 8094:2, 8094:12, 8096:22, 8097:5, 8097:6, 8097:8, 8097:12, 8097:15, 8097:21, 8098:9, 8106:13, 8106:24, 8109:5, 8111:19, 8112:19, 8112:23,

8112:25, 8113:5, 8113:11, 8115:15, 8115:18, 8122:25, 8123:17, 8125:2, 8125:9, 8131:12, 8132:25, 8134:25, 8138:1, 8138:15, 8138:17, 8138:18, 8138:20, 8138:21, 8143:14, 8143:15 Samachia [2] -8123:18, 8123:19 sample [1] - 8083:7 sandbag [1] - 7876:13 SANDERSON[1] -7842:16 sanity [1] - 8009:15 Sara [14] - 7964:21, 7965:2, 7966:3, 7966:14, 7971:24, 7972:22, 7973:9, 7973:15, 7990:10, 7991:2, 7996:21, 8013:24, 8014:13, 8120:12 sat [1] - 8055:1 satchel [1] - 8145:10 satisfied [3] -7853:12, 7932:1, 8002:4 satisfies [1] - 8001:24 save [3] - 7854:6, 7854:7, 7929:16 saving [1] - 8104:3 saw [29] - 7926:1, 7931:11, 7978:15, 8009:18, 8011:4, 8044:19, 8066:13, 8066:15, 8075:4, 8088:10, 8100:13, 8105:24, 8109:10, 8111:7, 8114:23, 8115:23, 8116:5, 8116:11, 8118:1, 8118:10, 8118:25, 8119:1, 8120:20, 8120:25, 8121:8, 8122:4, 8144:14, 8148:6 scale [2] - 7894:1, 8125:24 scales [3] - 7928:2, 7928:3, 8017:6 **scanned** [1] - 8133:5 scenario [2] -7855:17, 8049:24 schedule [3] - 7987:1, 8153:25, 8162:1 scheme [11] -7983:21, 7991:21,

safer [1] - 8006:11

7991:24, 7997:13, 8014:19, 8023:24, 8074:3, 8113:7, 8129:20, 8135:12, 8137.23 schemes [1] - 7920:5 school [1] - 8130:10 science [4] - 7959:14, 8075:18, 8103:15, 8145:13 scienter [2] - 7936:17, 8018:5 scientific [3] -8079:24, 8111:4, 8114:5 scope [1] - 7932:4 score [2] - 8066:22, 8094:5 scrambling [1] -7845:2 screen [14] - 7873:17, 7873:24, 7915:21, 7954:7, 8005:13, 8008:3, 8019:10, 8053:5, 8064:10, 8077:2, 8077:7, 8077:20, 8083:22, 8133:5 script [1] - 8018:11 scripts [3] - 7970:23, 7971:2, 7971:5 scroll [1] - 8133:24 scrolled [1] - 8133:7 scrutinized [1] -7868:17 scrutiny [1] - 8115:7 search [1] - 7923:3 seat [10] - 7843:5, 7916:21, 7945:21, 7954:3, 8003:8, 8026:3, 8128:10, 8129:7, 8156:12, 8158:23 seated [11] - 7898:8, 7898:13, 7953:24, 8030:14, 8030:18, 8057:23, 8057:25, 8058:12, 8157:6, 8157:8, 8162:25 second [27] - 7845:9, 7848:17, 7849:11, 7850:21, 7851:5, 7852:11, 7858:11, 7858:20, 7877:13, 7889:22, 7893:21, 7893:23, 7903:23, 7922:18, 7932:25, 7933:17, 7934:14, 7937:6, 7946:16, 7968:19, 8090:1,

8121:19, 8130:9, 8130:25, 8131:4, 8148.11 secondhand [1] -8004:16 secondly [1] - 8030:10 secret [6] - 8066:16, 8076:2, 8076:5, 8096:16, 8116:15, 8151:11 secretly [3] - 7933:15, 8020:24, 8096:19 **Section** [1] - 8036:13 section [3] - 8083:17, 8118:3, 8144:7 security [5] - 8150:23, 8154:5, 8154:7, 8155:25, 8156:2 SECURITY [1] -8156:4 see [68] - 7845:17, 7855:13, 7863:4, 7875:16, 7879:7, 7891:19, 7905:21, 7910:15, 7910:22, 7917:5, 7924:10, 7924:13, 7924:14, 7926:25, 7929:25, 7951:20, 7954:11, 7954:19, 7955:1, 7958:13, 7960:19, 7963:20, 7970:1, 7971:3, 7971:4, 7974:19, 7989:3, 7996:23, 8004:12, 8009:1, 8010:23, 8012:15, 8013:16, 8023:21, 8028:24, 8030:7, 8039:17, 8039:19, 8040:2, 8040:12, 8053:25, 8078:21, 8080:12, 8080:14, 8082:10, 8083:7, 8085:14, 8087:2, 8098:9, 8116:16, 8117:5, 8118:11, 8119:3, 8125:13, 8128:17, 8133:24, 8135:19, 8146:25, 8147:3, 8150:6, 8152:14, 8158:11, 8158:17, 8160:12, 8161:3, 8161:4, 8161:17, 8162:24 seedy [1] - 8145:14 seeing [5] - 7903:4, 8002:24, 8083:15, 8089:2, 8161:18 seek [3] - 7867:2,

7932:7, 8150:9 seeking [2] - 7860:17, 8026:18 seeks [3] - 7937:2, 8018:9, 8022:4 seem [5] - 8014:19, 8014:20, 8017:17, 8023:25 sees [1] - 8052:17 segment [4] -7956:24, 7969:3, 7998:25, 8080:22 segmentation [1] -8080:20 segmented [1] -7972:15 segments [5] -7972:17, 7976:23, 7987:6, 8000:4, 8081:10 select [1] - 8147:21 selected [4] - 8119:4, 8157:14, 8157:16, 8158:9 selecting [2] -7967:15, 8119:7 selection [5] -7962:10, 7970:21, 7971:3, 8115:13, 8117:14 selective [1] - 7889:7 self [3] - 7863:25, 8037:20 self-admitting [1] -7863:25 self-authenticating [2] - 7863:25, 8037:20 sell [13] - 7957:8, 7961:11, 7962:12, 7965:12, 7969:2, 7970:18, 7970:20, 7987:11, 7988:2, 7991:15, 7991:18, 8135:10, 8135:16 selling [6] - 7985:7, 7985:14, 7989:9, 7992:18, 7993:13, 8089:1 send [20] - 7863:3, 7898:25, 7964:3, 7967:3, 8010:12, 8010:13, 8061:25, 8069:17, 8075:23, 8075:25, 8076:17, 8079:11, 8088:12, 8108:23, 8146:21, 8151:25, 8152:5, 8152:6, 8155:4, 8155:16

PageID: 24809

sending [6] - 7857:4, 8010:9, 8075:9, 8079:17, 8110:11, 8121:12 sense [32] - 7845:12, 7845:21, 7852:6, 7857:2, 7858:3, 7863:16, 7898:22, 7924:16, 7952:24, 8043:13, 8057:15, 8076:16, 8076:18, 8080:2, 8096:9, 8101:13, 8107:17, 8117:19, 8120:4, 8122:13, 8122:14, 8122:17, 8123:23, 8124:5, 8124:8, 8125:7, 8125:12, 8130:23, 8134:15, 8138:22, 8138:23, 8143:18 senses [1] - 7925:25 sent [24] - 7854:11, 7859:13, 7864:15, 7867:7, 7868:23, 7874:17, 7982:24, 8010:3, 8012:20, 8062:20, 8062:21, 8062:23, 8075:4, 8080:1, 8088:24, 8089:4, 8089:5, 8109:4, 8109:8, 8109:10, 8110:12, 8110:21, 8140:9, 8140:13 sentence [13] -7850:1, 7850:18, 7852:10, 7852:11, 7881:16, 7883:3, 7883:18, 7887:17, 7889:16, 7890:15, 8016:8, 8016:11 sentences [1] -7891:25 separate [14] - 7857:7, 7859:3, 7870:22, 7886:4, 7893:3, 7894:20, 7901:16, 7904:6, 7904:14, 7908:21, 7910:19, 7941:20, 8024:5, 8053:20 separated [3] -7951:11, 7951:12, 7951:13 separately [2] -7904:7, 7911:24 September [1] -7986:1 series [1] - 8151:22

serious [3] - 7978:13, 8065:16, 8144:3 seriously [2] -7984:16, 8089:16 serve [1] - 7920:21 serves [1] - 7887:1 service [10] - 7934:18, 7934:23, 7942:16, 7955:19, 8058:23, 8059:6, 8122:13, 8149:21, 8149:23 services [2] - 7922:8, 7922:11 session [3] - 7965:11, 8030:19, 8058:1 set [14] - 7865:12, 7870:9, 7899:9, 7899:14, 7909:10, 7958:1, 7958:23, 7968:15, 7969:12, 8063:25, 8064:6, 8083:1, 8131:11, 8154:16 sets [2] - 7900:16, 8033:8 setting [1] - 7887:22 Settlement [9] -7938:7, 7938:10, 7938:11, 7938:18, 7939:6, 7939:16, 7939:17, 7946:9, 7946:10 settlement [7] -7849:11, 7849:12, 7849:16, 7849:23, 7850:2, 7850:8, 7946:8 settlements [1] -8065:24 seven [3] - 7900:22, 7961:23, 8123:2 several [9] - 7863:8, 7872:17, 7876:24, 8032:13, 8043:20, 8085:15, 8085:17, 8086:17, 8087:24 severance[2] -8010:4, 8010:11 **shake** [1] - 8005:11 Shaked [20] - 7851:24, 7902:7, 7998:3, 7998:7, 7998:19, 7999:22, 8000:3, 8000:20, 8001:11, 8001:20, 8003:25, 8004:5, 8004:13, 8004:21, 8061:15, 8091:5, 8091:14, 8092:13, 8093:6, 8099:6

Shakad's rea
Shaked's [6] -
8003:14, 8003:19,
8092:2, 8092:15,
8093:4, 8097:19
shall [2] - 8008:4
share [10] - 7892:3,
7892:6, 7901:8,
7916:17, 7944:18,
7945:10, 7976:20,
7976:21, 8008:5,
8080:5
shared [4] - 7950:19,
8086:3, 8086:21,
8086:22
shares [2] - 7901:10,
7996:15
sharing [2] - 7908:9, 8086:23
shark [2] - 7996:4,
7996:5
sheet [47] - 7843:23,
7845:3, 7845:10,
7845:11, 7845:16,
7895:21, 7896:10,
7896:14, 7896:18,
7896:19, 7897:1,
7897:9, 7897:23,
7898:6, 7899:7,
7900:23, 7906:18,
7906:20, 7907:2, 7909:16, 7910:25,
7909:16, 7910:25,
7912:7, 7913:10,
7914:4, 7914:6,
7914:10, 7914:23,
7915:7, 7915:10,
7916:15, 7946:17,
7947:7, 7947:19,
7948:2, 7948:22,
7949:18, 7950:6,
7950:8, 7950:12,
7951:6, 7951:12,
7951:16, 7951:23,
7952:6, 7952:20,
8151:16, 8153:3
sheets [2] - 7845:9,
7899:10
shift [1] - 7954:12
shiny [1] - 8052:24
shocking [1] -
8066:22
shooter [1] - 7993:9
short [11] - 7898:12,
7906:2, 7917:1,
7945:13, 7953:3,
7953:23, 8057:8,
8057:24, 8070:20,
8128:19, 8157:7
shorter [1] - 8057:18
shortly [2] - 7897:17,
8155:4

shot [3] - 8050:2, 8056:6, 8056:9
shoulder [1] - 7994:2
show [33] - 7872:13,
7880:13, 7902:22,
7922:16, 7937:22, 7943:13, 7954:16,
7956:12, 7968:12,
7985:19, 7986:11,
8003:23, 8008:21,
8009:24, 8017:13,
8027:14, 8056:23,
8064:15, 8085:24,
8086:12, 8087:12, 8099:5, 8117:13,
8119:2, 8133:15,
8136:16, 8137:24,
8138:1, 8138:10,
8139:16, 8140:8,
8145:23
showed [12] - 7856:25, 7880:6,
7880:24, 7958:8,
7958:9, 8073:17,
8080:7, 8081:1,
8099:3, 8099:7,
8116:21
showing [3] -
7968:22, 8019:10, 8078:12
shown [18] - 7873:24,
7906:25, 7925:18,
7929:22, 7930:5,
7954:9, 7962:17,
8033:10, 8058:24,
8063:2, 8066:18, 8084:17, 8086:7,
8086:18, 8088:14,
8116:25, 8120:12,
8144:21
shows [18] - 7873:18,
7978:3, 7979:13,
7987:21, 8076:10, 8076:11, 8080:8,
8080:10, 8080:19,
8081:18, 8086:9,
8089:24, 8097:17,
8107:13, 8113:15,
8113:19, 8117:5,
8144:24 shred [1] - 8061:3
shrug [1] - 7994:2
shut [1] - 8156:13
side [40] - 7874:8,
7877:20, 7888:21, 7895:25, 7924:24,
7895:25, 7924:24,
7928:3, 7929:1, 7959:11, 7959:15,
7966:12, 7970:6,
7978:5, 7979:18,

	7000 0 7000 40
	7980:3, 7982:12,
	7983:16, 7985:14,
	8024:19, 8026:15,
	8037:9, 8037:11,
	8045:1, 8049:1,
	8062:14, 8062:23,
	8077:10, 8080:11,
	0000.00 0000.11,
	8080:23, 8081:8,
	8096:9, 8129:1,
	8134:24, 8144:1,
	8145:12, 8145:14,
	8145:15, 8147:1,
	8148:23, 8156:18
s	idebar [8] - 7852:4,
_	7859:22, 7859:23,
	7862:20, 7879:21,
	7002.20, 7079.21,
	7923:16, 8035:12,
	8037:5
s	idebars [1] - 8059:3
s	ides [8] - 7874:12,
	7906:6, 7928:2,
	8050:5, 8050:23,
	8100:12, 8160:1,
	8161:5
	ign [6] - 8010:4,
	8073:21, 8079:9,
	8079:12, 8150:13,
	8151:25
s	ignature [1] -
	8079:19
٥	ignatures [2] -
_	8079:18, 8080:1
_	igned [5] - 8073:15,
	8090:11, 8106:14,
	8136:4, 8150:22
S	ignificant [6] -
	7979:5, 7996:24,
	8065:4, 8107:6,
	8123:3, 8123:5
s	ignificantly [3] -
	7872:9, 7984:9,
	8049:16
_	
	igning [1] - 8079:13
5	ilence [13] - 7890:10,
	7890:15, 7890:25,
	7891:17, 7940:11,
	7890:15, 7890:25, 7891:17, 7940:11, 7940:14, 7940:17,
	7982:23, 7983:4,
	7983:6, 8108:17,
_	7983:6, 8108:17, 8133:17, 8133:18
	8133:17, 8133:18
3	8133:17, 8133:18 illup [12] - 7995:21,
3	8133:17, 8133:18 illup [12] - 7995:21, 8011:14, 8011:24,
3	8133:17, 8133:18 illup [12] - 7995:21, 8011:14, 8011:24, 8012:3, 8077:8,
3	8133:17, 8133:18 iillup [12] - 7995:21, 8011:14, 8011:24, 8012:3, 8077:8, 8078:3, 8078:14,
3	8133:17, 8133:18 illup [12] - 7995:21, 8011:14, 8011:24, 8012:3, 8077:8, 8078:3, 8078:14, 8078:17, 8080:3,
3	8133:17, 8133:18 iillup [12] - 7995:21, 8011:14, 8011:24, 8012:3, 8077:8, 8078:3, 8078:14,
3	8133:17, 8133:18 illup [12] - 7995:21, 8011:14, 8011:24, 8012:3, 8077:8, 8078:3, 8078:14, 8078:17, 8080:3,
	8133:17, 8133:18 iillup [12] - 7995:21, 8011:14, 8011:24, 8012:3, 8077:8, 8078:3, 8078:14, 8078:17, 8080:3, 8081:14, 8081:22, 8082:4
	8133:17, 8133:18 iillup [12] - 7995:21, 8011:14, 8011:24, 8012:3, 8077:8, 8078:3, 8078:14, 8078:17, 8080:3, 8081:14, 8081:22, 8082:4 imilar [7] - 7917:12,
	8133:17, 8133:18 iillup [12] - 7995:21, 8011:14, 8011:24, 8012:3, 8077:8, 8078:3, 8078:14, 8078:17, 8080:3, 8081:14, 8081:22, 8082:4

7978:9, 8071:15 simple [6] - 8021:22, 8031:20, 8080:19, 8143:3, 8146:18 simplification [1] -8081:8 simply [9] - 7876:21, 7877:11, 7882:18, 7883:2, 7886:12, 7912:7, 7925:1, 8094:16, 8152:5 Sinai [2] - 7974:3, 8144:6 single [25] - 7866:2, 7874:7, 7876:7, 7888:19, 7978:19, 7981:3, 8019:13, 8020:22, 8060:23, 8061:2, 8061:11, 8061:20, 8061:22, 8062:6, 8062:8, 8065:8, 8100:20, 8100:24, 8108:1, 8114:10, 8126:21, 8127:9, 8134:17, 8136:12 sit [2] - 7994:20, 8126:15 sitting [8] - 7918:10, 7955:14, 8010:18, 8010:19, 8011:14, 8037:10, 8154:24, 8155:21 situation [6] -8082:11, 8089:1, 8095:4, 8095:12, 8105:15, 8115:6 **six** [12] - 7860:8, 7863:7, 7900:22, 7939:2, 8007:8, 8060:2, 8072:15, 8079:3, 8125:23, 8128:4, 8159:18, 8161:23 size [2] - 8095:3, 8096:7 **Skadden** [1] - 8161:16 SKADDEN[1] -7842:19 **skip** [1] - 7870:23 **skipped** [2] - 7960:3 skirt [1] - 7957:4 **SLATE** [1] - 7842:19 slide [8] - 7865:21, 7866:2, 7974:9, 7981:2, 8077:10, 8080:16, 8137:20, 8144:15 slides [3] - 7914:23, 7992:14, 8026:13

slightly [3] - 7947:1, 8017:7, 8018:16 slipped [2] - 7987:7, 8072:11 slower [2] - 7881:19, 7888:6 **slowly** [1] - 8134:3 **small** [5] - 7950:13, 7969:13, 7978:4, 8085:13, 8145:25 **smaller** [1] - 7905:9 smart [3] - 7921:21, 7922:5, 8149:20 smoke [1] - 8052:23 **smoking** [1] - 8143:21 social [4] - 7922:10, 8149:22, 8150:5, 8150:7 socked [1] - 7986:9 softer [1] - 7890:19 **sold** [1] - 7976:16 sole [3] - 7926:20, 7957:11, 8153:5 solely [4] - 7931:10, 7943:12, 8079:13, 8150:3 solicit [2] - 8078:18, 8079:5 solicited [3] - 7967:4, 7967:13, 8078:22 soliciting [1] -7990:15 someone [19] -7916:1, 7922:16, 7926:8, 7991:16, 8009:16, 8067:20, 8071:5, 8084:8, 8086:10, 8086:15, 8092:20, 8093:22, 8095:11, 8105:3, 8113:10, 8116:2, 8136:3, 8142:19 something's [2] -7847:8, 8068:25 sometime [1] -8072:15 sometimes [8] -7885:25, 7907:23, 7972:11, 7987:6, 8103:14, 8103:21, 8103:24, 8136:16 somewhat [2] -7902:2, 7928:3 somewhere [3] -7845:23, 7905:13, 8131:20 soon [1] - 8150:24 sorry [24] - 7850:14, 7855:21, 7859:6, 7860:13, 7861:3,

7863:18, 7875:11, 7877:15, 7881:19, 7882:15, 7887:12, 7944:8, 7947:5, 7988:3, 8011:6, 8016:23, 8026:11, 8027:22, 8029:6, 8045:19, 8051:5, 8085:12, 8152:22, 8155:24 sort [19] - 7854:15, 7863:9, 7864:9, 7873:16, 7887:22, 7887:24, 7902:11, 7912:16, 7951:7, 8033:8, 8052:9, 8072:19, 8073:2, 8102:22, 8112:15, 8117:2, 8117:9, 8123:8, 8123:14 **Soule** [2] - 7842:23, 8164:11 Soule@njd.uscourts .gov [1] - 7842:24 sound [2] - 8033:22, 8033:25 sounded [1] - 8072:25 sounds [4] - 7861:12, 7861:13, 8055:4, 8108:20 sour [1] - 8037:2 **source** [1] - 7923:6 **Speaker** [1] - 8118:12 speaker [79] -7863:10, 7920:21, 7921:4, 7938:15, 7939:22, 7962:10, 7962:15, 7962:16, 7963:4, 7963:8, 7963:15, 7964:5, 7970:21, 7971:3, 7971:7, 7971:17, 7971:21, 7972:3, 7972:6, 7972:10, 7972:14, 7972:24, 7973:4, 7973:6, 7973:12, 7974:5, 7975:2, 7975:7, 7975:25, 7984:21, 7990:23, 7993:10, 7995:10, 7997:17, 7997:20, 7997:21, 7998:9, 7998:15, 7999:5, 8060:14, 8064:4, 8064:5, 8064:6, 8064:7, 8064:9, 8081:16, 8093:6, 8094:10, 8097:22, 8107:19, 8107:21, 8113:10,

8113:24, 8114:6, 8114:22, 8114:25, 8115:4, 8115:16, 8115:17, 8115:24, 8116:22, 8117:7, 8117:10, 8117:17, 8117:20, 8118:17, 8119:1, 8119:12, 8119:25, 8120:1, 8120:16, 8121:3, 8121:17, 8126:5, 8139:4, 8145:7 speaker's [1] - 8093:7 speakers [45] -7873:19, 7931:4, 7963:25, 7967:15, 7970:21, 7970:24, 7971:24, 7972:10, 7974:8, 7974:17, 7975:3, 7975:9, 7997:15, 7998:8, 7998:10, 7998:11, 7998:12, 7999:13, 8022:13, 8022:14, 8024:11, 8081:15, 8081:16, 8081:18, 8081:21, 8114:11, 8114:22, 8115:11, 8115:12, 8115:15, 8116:7, 8116:17, 8116:18, 8116:19, 8117:7, 8118:2, 8118:12, 8118:20, 8120:9, 8120:20, 8121:23, 8122:2 speakers' [3] - 7864:9, 7873:17, 8114:3 speaking [10] -7962:21, 7990:25, 8025:11, 8031:18, 8035:2, 8097:22, 8109:23, 8116:2, 8118:24, 8128:4 speaks [3] - 8024:25, 8093:7, 8093:14 special [3] - 7844:24, 8020:19, 8029:18 specialist [1] -8080:10 specializes [1] -7989:19 specific [15] -7870:17, 7892:18, 7894:12, 7901:5, 7914:13, 7936:3, 7937:22, 7951:14, 7960:7, 7979:15, 7996:1, 8040:16, 8064:19, 8064:22, 8082:11

specifically [26] -7853:13, 7861:2, 7875:21, 7910:1, 7930:3, 7936:5, 7939:19, 7940:3, 7956:21, 7979:13, 7980:19. 7987:13. 7989:20, 7990:4, 7995:24, 7999:19, 8007:4, 8039:7, 8054:13, 8103:19, 8113:3, 8113:4, 8118:11, 8139:23, 8142:24, 8144:24 Specifically [1] -7977:23 specify [2] - 7878:16, 7944:3 speculate [1] -7925:16 speculative [1] -7943:11 speech [2] - 7975:4, 7997:17 speeches [3] - 7974:8, 7975:15, 7991:8 spend [3] - 7975:23, 8135:6, 8160:11 spent [3] - 7975:11, 8119:9, 8134:16 spirits [2] - 8162:4, 8162:7 **split** [3] - 7913:17, 8066:23, 8066:25 splitting [1] - 7844:16 spoken [3] - 7971:17, 8036:6, 8036:8 sponsor[11] -7883:24, 8005:15, 8005:16, 8061:1, 8061:2, 8061:22, 8062:12, 8062:21, 8090:4. 8127:4. 8127:5 **sponsors** [5] - 7883:5, 7883:23, 8061:4, 8062:18, 8101:20 spontaneous [2] -7968:24, 7976:24 spot [1] - 7849:21 spots [1] - 8069:14 spouse [1] - 8121:15 spread [3] - 7960:8, 7967:25, 8100:4 spreadsheet [1] -7973:3 spreadsheets [1] -8116.6 spring [1] - 8014:11 Square [1] - 7842:21

staff [2] - 7958:24, 8159:17 stage [1] - 7987:2 stairstepped [1] -7998:24 stake [1] - 8051:12 stamp [1] - 8135:25 stamped [1] - 8088:25 stand [41] - 7857:7, 7950:5, 7958:18, 7963:18, 7974:20, 7978:20, 7981:15, 7988:9, 7993:20, 7995:12, 7995:24, 8002:15, 8003:10, 8003:25, 8008:13, 8010:7, 8010:21, 8011:14, 8012:10, 8014:24, 8015:6, 8015:9, 8015:17, 8016:7, 8017:16, 8054:7, 8054:15, 8066:20, 8077:16, 8087:15, 8123:2, 8128:8, 8131:6, 8132:2, 8133:15, 8135:8. 8139:3. 8140:22, 8144:19, 8151:8, 8156:1 standard [27] -7886:3, 7888:4, 7912:13, 7928:15, 7978:16, 7979:19, 7980:10, 7980:12, 8002:1, 8002:2, 8002:8, 8002:10, 8002:15, 8002:20, 8003:3, 8003:11, 8006:15, 8017:8, 8017:10, 8017:21, 8018:12, 8018:24, 8021:5, 8021:8, 8022:23, 8118:21 standards [6] -7886:5, 7904:21, 7941:23, 8023:1, 8090:19, 8103:20 standing [4] -7871:23, 7879:9, 7892:22, 8078:10 start [20] - 7844:1, 7853:5, 7869:14, 7869:15, 7923:11, 7955:2, 7958:1, 7969:11, 7970:8, 7976:4, 8002:24, 8009:11, 8009:12, 8058:22, 8067:15, 8128:14, 8149:15, 8155:1, 8156:16,

8159:1 started [17] - 7957:15, 7958:13, 7966:4, 7966:6, 7970:4, 7984:20, 7990:23, 7996:21, 8009:18, 8010:2. 8071:8. 8071:15. 8072:3. 8129:18, 8130:25, 8133:10 starting [2] - 7854:22, 7883:10 starts [1] - 7959:8 State [5] - 7842:9, 7940:19, 7941:2, 8019:4, 8137:7 state [89] - 7848:21, 7878:21, 7885:6, 7896:3, 7896:4, 7896:8, 7896:12, 7896:22, 7899:15, 7899:18, 7900:13, 7901:5, 7901:10, 7901:12, 7903:25, 7904:5, 7904:23, 7904:24, 7905:11, 7905:12, 7905:18, 7906:9, 7906:15, 7906:17, 7906:21, 7906:23, 7907:6, 7907:12, 7907:21, 7908:1, 7908:2, 7908:22, 7909:1, 7909:16, 7910:2, 7910:5, 7910:17, 7910:23, 7911:8, 7912:6, 7912:19, 7914:11, 7914:12, 7914:13, 7919:23, 7932:8, 7936:18, 7941:4, 7941:9, 7941:14, 7941:24, 7942:3, 7943:2, 7943:24, 7944:7, 7944:14, 7946:20, 7947:9, 7948:13, 7948:22, 7948:24, 7949:9, 7951:5, 7951:11, 7951:14, 7951:25, 7952:10, 7952:13, 7960:21, 7963:20, 8001:13, 8001:15, 8022:19, 8022:22, 8023:12, 8090:15, 8090:19, 8090:22, 8091:1, 8092:23, 8107:11, 8114:10, 8114:12, 8136:20, 8136:22, 8136:25

state's [5] - 7909:7, 7910:4, 7912:19, 7942:1, 7942:4 state-specific [1] -7901:5 statement [20] -7890:6, 7890:18, 7980:24, 7981:2, 7981:7, 8009:19, 8009:20, 8010:1, 8010:15, 8016:9, 8036:4, 8043:18, 8047:16, 8069:20, 8073:8, 8077:8, 8119:17, 8133:4, 8133:8, 8133:24 statements [13] -7864:19, 7870:1, 7918:16, 7924:6, 7930:1, 7937:20, 8043:11, 8043:15, 8045:23, 8046:7, 8073:15, 8073:16, 8150:22 states [62] - 7891:1, 7892:1, 7896:5, 7896:13, 7899:18, 7900:15, 7901:17, 7902:17, 7902:19, 7904:3, 7905:16, 7906:24, 7907:23, 7909:9, 7909:11, 7911:16, 7911:19, 7911:24, 7912:23, 7912:24, 7912:25, 7913:17, 7913:21, 7919:11, 7920:25, 7921:6, 7941:8, 7944:1, 7944:4, 7944:7, 7944:11, 7944:14, 7944:15, 7944:17, 7944:23, 7947:18, 7948:7, 7948:18, 7949:1, 8022:25, 8023:2, 8023:7, 8023:13, 8023:14, 8023:17, 8090:7, 8090:9, 8090:12, 8090:13, 8127:20, 8127:21, 8127:22, 8127:23, 8136:17, 8136:24, 8137:10, 8151:25, 8152:5 **States** [29] - 7843:2, 7892:1, 7899:14, 7905:8, 7935:11, 7940:21, 7944:1, 7944:4, 7944:8, 7944:14, 7944:15,

7944:17, 7944:23, 7947:18, 7947:22, 7950:9, 7952:3, 7973:17, 8020:8, 8043:7, 8043:8, 8043:15, 8064:12, 8066:3, 8066:19, 8099:20, 8107:3, 8114:11 STATES [3] - 7842:1, 7842:3, 7842:12 states' [4] - 7941:7, 7941:19, 8137:4, 8137:17 States' [3] - 7902:9, 7941:12, 7952:3 stating [3] - 7853:8, 7854:1, 7868:14 statistically [1] -8138:10 statistician [1] -8004:21 statistics [2] -7998:20, 8138:8 status [1] - 7980:16 Statute [39] - 7932:12, 7933:1, 7933:3, 7933:7, 7933:11, 7933:12, 7934:5, 7934:14, 7935:3, 7935:15, 7935:21, 7936:6, 7936:11, 7936:25, 7938:1, 7941:7, 7941:10, 7942:12, 7956:4, 7988:23, 7989:8, 7989:25, 8006:24, 8020:14, 8020:17, 8021:16, 8022:9, 8022:12, 8023:13, 8107:18, 8115:6, 8116:20, 8118:9, 8121:7, 8121:14, 8122:5, 8126:5, 8137:22, 8143:1 statute [12] - 7881:8, 7883:9, 7888:18, 7889:3, 7890:10, 7891:16, 7899:15, 7908:25, 7940:17, 7983:6, 8047:11, 8133:18 statutes [4] - 7846:1, 7909:1, 7949:10, 8106:7 statutory [4] -7887:22. 7888:17. 7888:22. 8065:8

stay [8] - 7855:23,

7891:21, 7971:13,

7971:21, 8118:18, 8151:11, 8155:15, 8156:24 stayed [2] - 8054:24, 8054:25 staying [1] - 7975:15 stays [3] - 7920:22, 8087:22, 8089:13 stemming [2] -7936:9, 7936:10 stenography [1] -7842:25 step [6] - 7960:14, 8101:24, 8102:3, 8102:4, 8157:5 steps [2] - 7961:19, 8153:18 Steve [2] - 8123:18, 8123:19 stick [2] - 8156:9, 8157:5 sticking [2] - 8157:1, 8157:3 still [34] - 7854:5, 7854:25, 7862:9, 7863:16, 7864:25, 7869:15, 7909:6, 7909:8, 7909:14, 7909:15, 7910:10, 7910:19, 7911:13, 7913:4, 7923:10, 7952:10, 7970:14, 7970:15, 7980:3, 7993:22, 8008:10, 8009:24, 8019:17, 8019:18, 8029:16, 8029:22, 8048:4, 8048:6, 8048:11, 8054:14, 8089:9, 8089:13, 8153:7, 8154:7 Stillman [1] - 7863:4 stipulated [2] -8107:8, 8107:10 stipulation [1] -8037:7 stomachs [1] -8028:13 stop [6] - 7953:15, 7957:6, 8130:9, 8131:9, 8153:18, 8155:3 stopped [3] - 7971:8, 8063:11, 8131:14 stops [1] - 7965:17 stories [2] - 8071:15, 8134:11 story [22] - 7956:11, 7961:17, 7962:5, 7962:7, 7967:21,

7970:10, 7985:25, 7998:18, 8014:19, 8014:24, 8015:18, 8017:13, 8025:3, 8071:23, 8072:25, 8073:4, 8131:25, 8132:1, 8133:3, 8140:7, 8140:24, 8141:3 straight [4] - 7887:20, 7960:2, 7993:9 strand [10] - 8013:25, 8067:9, 8067:10, 8071:14, 8072:23, 8123:7, 8123:11, 8131:25, 8132:10, 8132:11 Strand [10] - 7964:21, 7965:2, 7966:3, 7971:24, 7972:22, 7990:10, 7996:21, 8013:24, 8014:13, 8120:12 strangers [1] -8067:12 strategic [1] - 7901:20 strategies [2] -7956:18, 7958:8 strategy [15] -7957:16, 7964:6, 7964:7, 7964:12, 7965:6, 7965:19, 7970:15, 7984:1, 8061:10, 8077:11, 8077:15, 8078:4, 8080:4, 8099:23, 8138:1 stray [1] - 7860:10 streamline [3] -7857:24, 7900:15, 7900:22 streamlined [1] -7899:20 streamlines [1] -7907:10 Street [3] - 7842:9, 7842:17, 7842:21 strengthen [1] -7885:21 strenuous [1] -8033:23 stress [1] - 7964:25 stricken [1] - 7847:8 stricter [1] - 7928:15 strike [6] - 7847:23, 7847:24, 7849:2, 7861:21, 7896:9, 8003:5 stroke [2] - 7979:7, 7980:6

strong [1] - 8160:4 strongly [1] - 8027:24 struck [2] - 7925:14, 7925:16 struggled [1] - 7890:6 studied [1] - 7998:21 studies [15] - 7967:23, 7968:1, 7968:7, 7987:15, 7987:17, 7990:20, 7992:1, 7992:2, 7992:6, 8079:24, 8086:20, 8087:8, 8088:4, 8088:12, 8089:12 study [6] - 7968:7, 7968:9, 7968:10, 7988:17, 7988:18, 7990:17 stuff [11] - 7865:22, 7978:4, 7993:1, 7993:21, 8011:3, 8065:2, 8080:1, 8110:8, 8110:11, 8130:8, 8131:6 style [1] - 8009:10 stylistic [2] - 7845:24, 7846:13 **sub** [1] - 7886:15 sub-argument [1] -7886:15 subject [16] - 7847:5, 7859:14, 7859:19, 7859:23, 7866:24, 7880:9, 7903:18, 7938:8, 7989:14, 7989:20, 7995:6, 8006:13, 8007:22, 8035:8, 8087:4, 8151:5 subjected [2] -8107:9, 8115:7 submission [8] -7891:18, 7932:13, 7937:9, 7937:12, 7940:18, 7983:7, 8047:15, 8110:24 submissions [7] -7854:10, 7885:3, 7901:18, 7940:12, 7940:15, 7983:4 submit [11] - 7854:14, 7862:4, 7862:15, 7880:13, 7937:11, 8018:18, 8018:23, 8022:3, 8042:7, 8061:1, 8109:2 submitted [44] -7848:17, 7848:20, 7862:3, 7877:19, 7878:19, 7884:13,

7901:14, 7920:1, 7921:1, 7929:1, 7929:9, 7933:5, 7933:21, 7933:23, 7935:5, 7935:8, 7936:23, 7937:7, 7943:19, 7943:20, 7944:23, 7947:17, 7949:7, 7949:20, 7999:8, 7999:25, 8018:17, 8019:2, 8020:2, 8020:5, 8021:6, 8021:17, 8021:21, 8042:11, 8061:16, 8090:25, 8091:9, 8094:21, 8107:25, 8108:3, 8111:4, 8111:11, 8127:7 submitting [3] -8042:20, 8047:10, 8126:3 subpart [4] - 8107:25, 8108:3, 8108:6, 8108:8 subparts [2] -7917:10, 7918:4 subsequent [1] -7999:5 subsidiary [1] -7959:25 substance [2] -7873:19, 8015:7 substantial [10] -7937:10, 7986:21, 7986:22, 8018:17, 8018:18, 8018:20, 8018:22, 8021:3, 8098:24, 8146:15 substantiate [1] -8015:6 substantiated [6] -7987:19, 7988:10, 7992:10, 8008:24, 8015:16 substantive [6] -7855:9, 7862:19, 7871:18, 7871:21, 7896:1, 8032:18 substantively [1] -7871:19 substitute [2] -7881:22, 7939:18 substituting [1] -7878:20 suck [1] - 8159:10 sudden [2] - 7962:4, 8004:2 sued [2] - 8062:3, 8126:14

suffered [2] - 7943:25, 8020:8 suffers [1] - 7997:23 sufficient [5] -7853:18, 7853:19, 7853:25, 7854:6, 7943:13 suggest [14] - 7880:1, 7883:10, 7892:5, 7998:5, 7998:6, 8078:7, 8081:12, 8089:22, 8103:6, 8105:19, 8117:1, 8119:18, 8121:9, 8153:4 suggested [3] -7977:23, 8083:19, 8083:22 suggesting [4] -7852:16, 8080:18, 8086:25, 8103:7 suggestion [8] -8001:3, 8079:4, 8079:17, 8080:7, 8112:15, 8114:6, 8125:16, 8136:3 suitable [3] - 7920:11, 7920:13, 7920:14 Suite [1] - 7842:17 Summaries [2] -7929:11, 7929:19 summaries [7] -7929:14, 7929:15, 7929:17, 7929:21, 7929:25, 7930:2, 7930:7 summarize [2] -7918:14, 7962:8 summary [2] -7847:19, 8079:25 **Summary** [2] - 7919:5, 7919:8 sun [2] - 7996:6, 8024:19 super [1] - 8001:1 superfluous [1] -7878:7 superiors [1] -7987:14 supervisors [1] -8068:15 supply [1] - 7892:8 support [11] -7845:23, 8061:20, 8062:8, 8076:25, 8084:1, 8122:20, 8124:9, 8124:16, 8125:5, 8127:1,

8127:11

supported [6] -

7881:4, 7932:21, 7986:21, 8001:10, 8059:9, 8062:4 supporting [3] -7930:12, 8085:9, 8113:12 supposed [7] -7872:22, 7899:1, 7922:14, 7971:12, 7974:22, 7991:9, 8123:22 supposedly [2] -8014:2, 8134:6 **Supreme** [1] - 7887:25 surprise [5] - 7872:2, 7975:25, 7997:6, 7998:13, 7998:24 surprised [3] - 7860:2, 7860:12, 7951:3 survey [1] - 8082:3 surveys [6] - 7995:16, 8081:23, 8082:8, 8082:23, 8083:1, 8083:2 suspicions [1] -7924:14 sustained [3] -7925:9. 8023:7. 8023:17 **swear** [3] - 8136:9, 8136:14, 8155:24 swears [1] - 7928:20 switch [2] - 7977:6, 7980:20 switching [2] -7984:7, 8081:9 sword [1] - 8047:13 swore [2] - 8069:4, 8142.5 sworn [8] - 7857:13, 7858:18, 7928:19, 8015:8, 8016:9, 8069:10, 8069:20, 8156:2 **SWORN** [1] - 8156:4 sympathy [1] -8148:23 synonymous [1] -8103:20 system [3] - 7955:21, 7957:12, 7957:21

Т

table [1] - 7917:25 tablets [1] - 8082:14 tacit [2] - 7940:15, 7983:5 tacked [1] - 7895:18 tactic [2] - 8009:15,

7945:16

ten [13] - 7844:13,

7844:17, 7963:19,

8062:25 tactical [1] - 8028:24 tactics [2] - 7997:1, 8028:3 tags [1] - 7922:16 tailored [2] - 7855:17, 8115.5 talks [5] - 7865:21, 8077:12, 8078:21, 8096:20, 8096:23 tangential [1] - 7963:6 tape [9] - 8069:15, 8069:17, 8069:18, 8069:21, 8070:8, 8076:10, 8096:21, 8096:25 taped [2] - 8069:15, 8076:4 target [1] - 7968:20 targeted [3] - 7969:9, 7976:21, 7976:22 targets [5] - 7956:19, 7958:23, 7959:2, 7969:15, 7976:13 tat [1] - 8051:20 taught [1] - 8011:16 taxpayers [2] -8001:6, 8067:2 teaches [1] - 8011:16 teaching [1] - 7998:20 team [6] - 7914:18, 8115:19, 8124:12, 8125:2, 8159:9 technical [4] -7916:12, 7917:2, 7954:4, 7954:18 technically [1] -7918:5 technique [6] -8009:1, 8014:15, 8015:2, 8027:5, 8027:7, 8027:11 techniques [6] -8011:17, 8012:9, 8027:9, 8027:14, 8028:6, 8135:20 technology [3] -7921:24, 7945:14, 7950:18 Telegraph [1] - 8043:8 Telephone [1] -8043:8 television [1] -7922:20 tempered [1] -7894:23 temporarily [1] -

8057:10, 8057:12, 8058:6, 8092:4, 8092:6, 8098:14, 8116:17, 8128:7, 8128:13, 8128:15 ten-minute [4] -7844:13. 7844:17. 8058:6. 8128:7 tend [1] - 7911:1 tendency [2] - 7888:2, 7938:4 Tennessee [1] -7940:25 tens [1] - 7975:11 term [21] - 7885:23, 7889:6, 7890:20, 7923:16, 7928:14, 7935:8, 7935:25, 7942:17, 8052:20, 8052:22, 8053:8, 8054:5, 8054:9, 8054:18, 8074:22, 8074:24, 8098:17, 8098:18, 8098:20, 8103:19 terminology [3] -8029:4. 8029:6. 8112:6 terms [4] - 7889:5, 7939:5, 8112:4, 8112:24 terrific [5] - 8058:20, 8058:24, 8100:11, 8122:10, 8162:22 test [4] - 7850:21, 7885:10, 8001:24, 8096:7 testified [23] - 7902:7, 7926:1, 7927:14, 7958:19, 7979:19, 7998:3, 8006:13, 8036:21, 8061:3, 8072:23, 8074:1, 8076:24, 8084:2, 8085:11, 8087:11, 8089:25, 8096:12, 8106:11, 8115:10, 8120:7, 8120:15, 8123:18, 8127:24 testifies [2] - 7925:24, 7927:1 testify [21] - 7930:22, 7940:13, 7965:10, 7972:21. 7973:23. 7973:25, 7978:16, 7978:19, 7983:3, 7983:22, 7990:9, 7990:13, 8063:12, 8067:6, 8067:23, 8068:10, 8071:21,

8112:3, 8131:24,
8144:5
testifying [8] -
7857:16, 7927:4,
7930:22, 7930:24,
7962:2, 8008:24,
8013:25, 8134:25
Testimony [1] -
7930:8
testimony [83] -
7856:2, 7857:4,
7857:6, 7857:13,
7857:15, 7858:1, 7858:18, 7865:25,
7873:24, 7889:19,
7891:13, 7924:3,
7924:9, 7925:13,
7925:15, 7926:19,
7927:9, 7927:16,
7928:10, 7928:19,
7929:24, 7930:1,
7930:9, 7930:10,
7930:14, 7938:8,
7939:7, 7940:10,
7956:13, 7962:2,
7980:18, 7981:17, 7982:22, 7988:11,
7982:22, 7988:11,
8014:11, 8015:8, 8015:12, 8018:13,
8036:5, 8037:25,
8041:16, 8046:12,
8048:2, 8049:18,
8062:13, 8062:15,
8062:23, 8067:3,
8068:6, 8068:7,
8068:9, 8068:25,
8069:11, 8069:22,
8070:7, 8070:21,
8071:6, 8071:11,
8071:13, 8071:16,
8072:25, 8073:3,
8075:2, 8081:3,
8081:11, 8084:19,
8093:20, 8095:5, 8095:25, 8099:4,
8105:1, 8105:20,
8108:10, 8111:18,
8112:9, 8117:16,
8120:20, 8125:10,
8129:13, 8143:24,
8147:16
testing [1] - 8095:10
tests [2] - 8095:15,
8096:24
Texas [11] - 7842:17,
7940:25, 7941:21,
7941:25, 7942:5,
7942:6, 7942:9,
7942:11, 7942:12, 7942:18, 8161:14
1342.10, 0101.14

7878:1 7878:15
7878:1, 7878:15, 7879:1, 7879:3,
7879:12, 7880:15,
7880:18, 7880:21,
7880:23, 7881:1, 7881:14, 7881:19,
7881:21, 7882:2,
7882:5, 7882:14,
7882:17, 7882:21,
7883:7, 7883:13,
7883:19, 7884:2,
7884:5, 7884:8, 7884:12, 7884:15,
7884:19, 7884:22,
7884:19, 7884:22, 7885:16, 7886:4,
7886:8, 7886:14,
7886:16, 7886:18,
7886:20, 7887:3, 7887:6, 7887:15,
7888:5, 7888:13,
7888:24, 7889:14,
7889:22, 7889:24,
7890:2, 7890:5,
7891:6, 7892:11,
7893:17, 7894:14,
7894:20, 7895:4, 7895:12, 7895:20,
7895:12, 7895:20, 7897:10, 7897:13,
7897:20, 7898:13,
7898:14, 7898:17,
7899:11, 7900:3, 7900:5, 7900:24,
7901:2, 7901:22,
7902:3, 7902:21,
7903:2, 7903:10,
7903:20, 7904:18,
7905:21, 7905:25,
7906:3, 7906:5, 7907:15, 7907:25,
7908:5, 7908:11,
7909:14, 7909:18,
7909:22, 7910:8,
7911:18, 7911:23,
7912:4, 7912:21, 7913:3, 7913:8,
7913:32, 7913:25,
7914:17, 7914:25,
7915:12, 7915:16, 7915:25, 7916:8,
7915:25, 7916:8,
7916:17, 7916:19,
7916:21, 7945:19, 7945:21, 7946:4,
7946:7, 7946:16,
7946:23, 7947:5,
7947:12, 7947:23,
7947:25, 7948:10,
7948:20, 7949:4, 7949:17, 7949:23,
7949.17, 7949.23, 7950:3, 7950:11,
7951:10, 7952:4,
7952:18, 7953:8,

7953:10, 7953:14, 7953:19, 7953:22, 7953:24, 7954:1, 7954:3, 7954:20, 7954:21, 7955:7, 8025:13, 8026:1, 8026:3, 8026:10, 8026:22, 8027:3, 8027:17, 8028:10, 8028:20, 8029:9, 8029:21, 8030:1, 8030:18, 8030:20, 8031:15, 8031:18, 8031:24, 8032:7, 8032:12, 8032:17, 8033:2, 8033:6, 8033:11, 8033:22, 8034:10, 8034:22, 8035:1, 8035:14, 8035:17, 8035:23, 8036:2, 8036:11, 8036:15, 8037:22, 8038:17, 8038:20, 8039:13, 8039:16, 8039:21, 8039:24, 8041:10, 8042:2, 8042:5, 8042:10, 8042:21, 8042:25, 8043:17, 8043:22, 8044:7, 8044:9, 8044:13, 8045:13, 8045:18, 8046:3, 8046:12, 8046:15, 8046:20, 8047:3, 8047:13, 8048:18, 8049:7, 8049:12, 8050:7, 8050:18, 8052:3, 8052:19, 8053:3, 8053:7, 8054:17, 8055:4, 8055:9, 8055:16, 8055:24, 8056:1, 8056:11, 8056:25, 8057:6, 8057:9, 8057:15, 8057:19, 8057:22, 8057:25, 8058:2, 8058:5, 8058:9, 8058:10, 8058:12, 8058:18, 8128:6, 8128:8, 8128:10, 8128:13, 8128:17, 8128:20, 8128:22, 8129:4, 8129:5, 8129:7, 8147:9, 8156:5, 8156:12, 8156:20, 8156:22, 8157:8, 8157:9, 8158:3, 8158:6, 8158:8, 8158:23, 8159:12, 8159:19, 8162:24,

8163:1 theirs [2] - 8045:2, 8133:2 themselves [15] -7890:24, 7930:4, 7958:9, 7961:20, 7964:7, 7967:4, 7967:14, 7972:23, 7973:12, 7995:16, 7997:8, 8027:15, 8031:12, 8054:21, 8100:9 theory [5] - 7882:7, 7882:12, 8002:7, 8005:18, 8093:6 therapy [3] - 8095:9, 8101:25, 8102:4 therefore [5] -7912:11, 7933:8, 7936:11, 7941:16, 8011:24 Therefore [1] - 8137:1 they've [17] - 7865:13, 7866:25, 7867:1, 7906:13, 7915:2, 7951:10, 7956:24, 7959:21, 7972:15, 8009:5, 8022:1, 8038:9, 8046:1, 8059:11, 8066:23, 8066:24, 8067:1 thinking [3] - 7866:9, 7879:23, 8136:5 thinks [3] - 7997:9, 8104:25, 8112:3 third [17] - 7884:15, 7886:5, 7933:20, 7935:3, 7937:17, 8042:13, 8043:1, 8051:7, 8063:23, 8064:1, 8064:11, 8066:9, 8107:4, 8114:20, 8118:15, 8122:7, 8131:4 Third [3] - 7886:2, 7886:23, 7887:2 third-party [3] -8043:1, 8063:23, 8064:11 THIS [1] - 8156:4 thousand [4] - 8010:5, 8010:10, 8011:2, 8118:22 thousands [6] -8004:9, 8083:2, 8091:24, 8122:1, 8124:3 three [10] - 7880:3, 7920:1, 7920:12, 7936:17, 7938:23,

7953:9, 7963:9, 7964:17, 8112:22, 8123.5 threshold [1] -7861:23 throughout [11] -7850:8, 7921:20, 7959:6, 7990:21, 8008:3, 8013:17, 8027:7, 8096:20, 8121:8, 8122:4, 8161.9 throw [1] - 8113:22 throwing [1] - 8051:21 thump [1] - 8038:3 Tibotec [7] - 7960:7, 7984:20, 8007:18, 8072:4, 8072:8, 8072:22 Tibotec-Janssen [2] -7960:7 tied [5] - 7950:2, 7964:8, 7999:13, 8146.2 TikTok[2] - 8011:22, 8015:3 **Tim** [7] - 7992:13, 7993:22, 7994:2, 8076:13, 8079:16, 8088:11, 8124:17 **TIME** [1] - 8156:4 timeliness [2] -8035:6, 8039:3 timely [2] - 7970:12, 8059:2 timing [5] - 7869:25, 7952:24, 8016:23, 8048:10, 8153:24 tiny [1] - 8004:7 tip [2] - 7928:3, 8017:6 tips [1] - 7894:1 tired [1] - 8016:22 tit [1] - 8051:20 title [4] - 7946:7, 7946:10, 7946:12, 8044:5 today [21] - 7843:6, 7846:12, 7887:4, 7898:1, 7898:2, 7916:23, 7952:5, 7987:6, 8009:25, 8038:19, 8038:21, 8063:5, 8067:25, 8078:6, 8097:14, 8128:3, 8146:8, 8147:15, 8147:24, 8155:13, 8155:17 Todd [1] - 7996:19 toes [1] - 8011:11 together [26] - 7846:7,

7860:9, 7865:20, 7865:24, 7902:18, 7903:7, 7903:8, 7903:13, 7911:20, 7912:1, 7953:16, 7963:9, 7973:16, 8014:18, 8067:11, 8067:13, 8091:19, 8132:10, 8132:11, 8132:12, 8132:16, 8132:19, 8145:10, 8148:21, 8162:6 tolerability [1] -7984:8 tomorrow [6] -8153:9, 8158:12, 8158:17, 8158:25, 8159:3, 8159:5 ton [2] - 8063:21, 8069:10 tone [1] - 8054:2 Tony [3] - 7969:19, 7992:13, 8070:16 took [34] - 7956:11, 7960:17, 7960:18, 7962:5, 7963:18, 7965:13, 7965:22, 7977:17, 7988:9, 8002:15, 8003:7, 8003:10, 8008:13, 8010:7, 8014:5, 8014:7, 8014:25, 8015:5, 8016:17, 8050:3, 8066:14, 8070:25, 8074:17, 8087:15, 8089:16, 8127:15. 8129:11. 8135:8. 8137:13. 8137:14. 8138:3. 8142:1, 8148:15 tools [5] - 7921:23, 7922:1, 7923:4, 8101:18, 8102:10 top [18] - 7850:18, 7958:18, 7962:3, 7963:19, 7963:20, 7963:21, 7965:6, 7967:15, 7967:19, 7968:15, 8077:3, 8077:23, 8078:21, 8112:24, 8116:17, 8134:2, 8137:25 top-down [1] -7958:18 Topamax [10] -7970:7, 7984:19, 7984:24, 7989:13,

8005:4, 8005:8,

8007:5, 8007:13,

8007:17, 8007:21

topic [1] - 8122:9 topics [3] - 7873:21, 8068:8, 8114:5 Toronto [1] - 8077:25 torture [2] - 8045:14, 8045.16 total [6] - 7970:23, 8000:9, 8000:21, 8000:22, 8001:12, 8098:5 totally [2] - 8075:10, 8083:3 touch [2] - 7899:16, 7969:12 touched [1] - 7926:1 toward [2] - 8028:8, 8148:23 towards [2] - 7944:10, 8099:14 track [7] - 7881:7, 7892:9, 7967:20, 7969:18, 7972:9, 7972:10, 7975:24 Track [1] - 7908:19 tracked [3] - 7985:22, 8031:1, 8100:15 tracking [8] - 7972:14, 7972:18, 7972:19, 7972:24, 7973:3, 7973:6, 8115:12, 8120:16 tracks [1] - 7883:9 trail [1] - 8071:25 trained [1] - 7965:4 training [7] - 8064:8, 8068:11, 8087:7, 8107:20, 8114:25, 8119:1, 8125:14 transcript [11] -7842:25, 7856:11, 7857:4, 7857:5, 7880:23, 7931:6, 7931:9, 7931:10, 7931:12, 8038:14, 8164:4 Transcript[1] -7930:25 transcription [1] -7842:25 transcripts [3] -7855:19. 7856:13. 7931:4 transfer [1] - 7934:8 travel [1] - 7920:22 traveling [1] - 7975:14 treat [8] - 7925:10, 7929:9, 7978:24, 8059:15, 8060:18, 8060:20, 8095:3,

treating [1] - 8100:21 treatment [25] -7920:11, 7920:12, 7920:15, 7920:16, 7932:24, 7957:23, 7969:3, 7969:8, 7969:10, 7969:24, 7969:25, 7976:11, 8000:6, 8074:3, 8074:4, 8074:9, 8074:10, 8074:12, 8100:3, 8100:19, 8100:21, 8102:2, 8103:14, 8104:10 treatmentexperienced [5] -7920:16, 7957:23, 7969:3, 7976:11, 8102:2 treatment-naive [12] -7920:11, 7920:12, 7920:15, 7969:8, 7969:10, 7969:24, 7969:25, 8074:3, 8074:4, 8074:9, 8074:10, 8104:10 tremendous [2] -8063:24 Trenton [1] - 7842:9 trial [103] - 7851:15, 7852:17, 7854:14, 7855:16, 7856:24, 7856:25, 7857:4, 7858:1, 7862:23, 7863:9, 7864:9, 7864:15, 7866:14, 7866:22, 7868:23, 7870:7, 7872:10, 7874:17, 7877:3, 7877:22, 7880:20, 7882:13, 7886:10, 7887:1, 7891:14, 7906:10, 7917:15, 7917:16, 7918:23, 7919:2, 7919:20, 7921:12, 7923:2, 7923:14, 7927:8, 7928:20, 7929:4, 7929:8, 7930:3, 7939:25, 7940:3, 7945:8, 7962:8, 7963:16, 7969:23, 7986:6, 7992:8, 8008:3, 8009:18, 8010:2, 8010:6, 8010:12, 8031:13, 8036:2, 8036:6,

8036:10, 8036:25,

8038:2, 8041:5,

8048:2, 8050:22,

8053:14, 8058:23, 8063:7, 8065:23, 8069:19, 8071:12, 8071:14, 8071:22, 8073:12, 8077:2, 8077:10, 8078:16, 8080:25, 8083:15, 8084:5, 8084:13, 8085:24, 8090:1, 8096:20, 8105:25, 8121:9, 8122:4, 8126:9, 8128:3, 8129:18, 8129:23, 8133:10, 8133:25, 8135:6, 8148:9, 8148:15, 8148:18, 8153:8, 8153:25, 8159:10. 8160:2. 8160:17, 8160:21, 8160:24, 8161:4, 8161:12, 8162:1 TRIAL [1] - 7842:5 **Trial** [1] - 7973:7 trial's [1] - 7874:21 trick [1] - 8113:17 tricks [1] - 8078:12 tricky [1] - 7918:5 tried [6] - 7892:12, 7892:24, 8003:23, 8004:22, 8078:7, 8103:7 triglyceride [2] -7959:17, 8140:17 triglycerides [1] -7977:25 triple [2] - 7978:14, 7978:22 trips [1] - 8121:16 trouble [3] - 8121:11, 8130:1, 8143:2 troubles [1] - 7979:8 troubling [1] -7866:18 true [43] - 7909:1, 7909:11, 7964:11, 7968:14, 7971:22, 7977:15, 7981:21, 7981:24, 7982:2, 7982:11, 7983:9, 7990:12, 7992:10, 7997:1, 7997:2, 8007:25, 8011:1, 8014:19, 8053:23, 8055:8, 8060:6, 8060:15, 8060:17, 8060:21, 8060:24, 8060:25, 8061:7, 8062:11, 8070:21, 8080:19, 8081:13,

8082:6, 8086:16,

8102:21

8090:18, 8093:25, 8094:15, 8095:6, 8103:11, 8113:8, 8122:23, 8125:18, 8126:12, 8136:9 truly [1] - 8124:14 trust [4] - 8134:12, 8140:5, 8141:5 truth [26] - 7857:20, 7928:21, 7929:7, 7937:20, 7937:21, 7942:21, 7942:23, 7955:24, 7983:14, 8011:12, 8012:10, 8013:22, 8019:6, 8019:7, 8062:4, 8063:1, 8067:20, 8067:21, 8067:23, 8069:5, 8069:25, 8073:25, 8078:12, 8089:18, 8113:23, 8134:13 truthful [2] - 8067:4, 8124:24 try [15] - 7888:20, 7921:15, 7922:22. 7923:5, 7956:11, 7956:12, 7966:6, 7967:8, 7968:12, 7998:5, 8012:24, 8031:5, 8081:12, 8117:1, 8162:11 trying [41] - 7856:12, 7857:23, 7859:11, 7862:2, 7862:7, 7862:10, 7862:17, 7863:13, 7865:16, 7870:6, 7871:24, 7871:25, 7872:4, 7872:5, 7874:20, 7882:7, 7899:20, 7899:25, 7902:4, 7902:10, 7905:19, 7910:8, 7912:16, 7923:17, 7949:14, 7973:11, 8001:23, 8012:8, 8012:13, 8016:7, 8031:4, 8031:10, 8039:20, 8041:3, 8048:17, 8063:16, 8078:23, 8131:20, 8159:10, 8160:10 Turett [2] - 8076:12, 8096:17 turn [5] - 7936:19, 7940:2, 8013:23, 8141:8, 8142:18 turned [5] - 8012:4, 8014:8, 8014:9,

8024:18, 8052:11 turns [3] - 7964:10, 7993:25, 8006:23 tweaked [2] - 7945:23, 7947:1 twice [11] - 7920:14, 7970:19, 7970:20, 7976:3, 7976:11, 8005:7, 8086:4, 8089:25, 8091:17, 8102:5, 8129:21 twice-a-day [1] -7920:14 twice-daily [1] -7976:11 Twitter [1] - 7922:9 two [76] - 7859:12, 7860:16, 7861:18, 7870:20, 7871:11, 7875:7, 7875:25, 7876:10, 7878:4, 7880:3, 7886:4, 7889:12, 7900:16, 7901:25, 7903:22, 7912:17, 7919:25, 7920:10, 7925:21, 7936:17, 7937:12, 7938:9, 7938:21, 7945:22, 7950:13, 7953:11, 7953:12, 7958:14, 7960:22, 7962:14, 7966:10, 7969:4, 7969:5, 7975:15, 7976:3, 7993:21, 8003:23, 8004:2, 8005:7, 8007:7, 8007:22, 8010:2, 8024:5, 8029:16, 8030:8, 8038:6, 8041:13, 8042:8, 8042:22, 8044:4, 8044:7, 8044:14, 8045:11, 8045:13, 8047:22, 8049:17, 8057:17, 8057:20, 8082:14, 8098:14, 8108:2, 8112:22, 8114:7, 8123:2, 8123:3, 8125:15, 8125:19, 8127:15, 8132:25, 8148:5, 8154:23, 8161:1 two-hour [1] - 8057:20 type [13] - 7873:14, 7896:13, 7905:4, 7922:24, 7925:22,

7926:6, 7962:18,

7962:19, 8019:10,

8046:21, 8075:12,

8098:13, 8134:7 typed [1] - 8073:14 types [9] - 7925:21, 7926:13, 7960:22, 7974:19, 8046:1, 8063:9, 8067:19, 8069:24, 8101:24 typical [2] - 7998:9, 7998:15 typing [1] - 8011:5 typos [1] - 7950:20 U **U.S** [1] - 7842:8 UCLA[1] - 8139:5 ultimately [5] -7851:17, 7857:23, 7878:5, 7900:21, 8002:8 umbrella [1] - 7926:10 unanimous [3] -8151:15, 8151:23, 8152:13 unapproved [1] -8086:3 unavailable [1] -7855:15 unbelievable [2] -7955:15, 8008:25 unbelievably [5] -7896:20, 8041:14, 8043:25, 8047:20, 8049:16 unbridled [1] -8003:16 uncontested [1] -8120:10 under [92] - 7851:14, 7853:6, 7870:10, 7875:19, 7877:21, 7883:20, 7893:1, 7899:12, 7901:9, 7901:12, 7904:21, 7904:23, 7907:11. 7908:25, 7909:7, 7910:4, 7911:17, 7912:18, 7912:19, 7919:9, 7919:10, 7919:14, 7919:15, 7923:20, 7925:7, 7928:20, 7929:3, 7929:9, 7931:24, 7932:7, 7932:9,

7942:17, 7943:17, 7944:6, 7944:13, 7945:3, 7949:9, 7950:23, 7961:25, 7972:5, 7973:11, 7983:22, 7990:21, 8015:9, 8021:15, 8022:25, 8027:17, 8028:11, 8028:21, 8029:12, 8030:11, 8040:14, 8042:23, 8065:5, 8065:20, 8067:3, 8068:11, 8069:3, 8069:4, 8069:11, 8070:7, 8077:17, 8090:17, 8093:16, 8107:13, 8107:25, 8108:6, 8108:8. 8108:15. 8109:2, 8110:9, 8110:14, 8115:8, 8123:25, 8136:10, 8143:22, 8148:12 undergo [1] - 8115:9 underlie [1] - 8092:1 underlies [3] - 8093:4, 8093:17, 8094:15 underlines [4] -8091:10, 8091:23, 8094:15 underlying [3] -7929:15, 8082:8, 8082:23 understandably [1] -7859:25 understood [7] -7889:12, 7894:11, 8048:9, 8050:6, 8056:21, 8109:23, 8123:20 undisputed [2] -8062:19, 8095:20 undisputedly [1] -8094:18 undue [1] - 8011:21 unexpectedly [1] -7876:15 unfair [3] - 7863:15, 8017:18, 8050:14 unfavorable [2] -7940:8, 8016:3 unfettered [2] -8065:2, 8099:21 unfortunate [1] -8087:10 unfortunately [1] -8145:14 union [2] - 7944:7, 7944:11 unique [2] - 7873:3,

7938:24 unit [1] - 8145:15 Unit [1] - 7899:17 UNITED [3] - 7842:1, 7842:3, 7842:12 United [30] - 7843:2, 7892:1, 7899:14, 7902:9, 7905:8, 7935:11, 7944:1, 7944:4, 7944:8, 7944:14, 7944:15, 7944:17, 7944:23, 7947:18, 7947:22, 7950:9, 7952:3, 7973:17, 8020:8, 8043:7, 8043:8, 8043:15, 8064:12, 8066:2, 8066:3, 8066:19, 8099:20, 8107:2, 8114:11 universal [1] - 7850:6 university [1] -8130:11 unjustifiable [1] -7936:2 unknowingly [1] -7935:20 unlawful [2] -7934:24, 8143:5 unlawfully [4] -7920:7, 7950:1, 8017:24, 8019:24 unless [7] - 7928:9, 7930:2, 7988:2, 8037:7, 8142:14, 8152:23, 8153:1 unnecessary [7] -7878:8, 7929:16, 8006:6, 8006:8, 8006:9, 8006:14, 8006:18 unnumbered [1] -7849:4 unpack [2] - 8130:13, 8131:15 unreasonable [4] -8006:10, 8006:14, 8006:19, 8017:18 unrelated [1] -8161:17 unrestricted [2] -8121:19, 8122:1 unsolicited [5] -8078:22, 8078:24, 8079:6, 8079:9, 8079:14 unsubstantiated [2] -8008:19, 8085:16 untimeliness [1] -8035:3

7932:11, 7932:16,

7936:12, 7936:14,

7941:1, 7941:22,

7941:25, 7942:2,

7942:4, 7942:9,

7933:7, 7936:9,

untimely [3] - 7859:5, 8028:24, 8041:13 untruthful [3] -8087:13, 8087:14 **up** [115] - 7845:8, 7845:25, 7849:21, 7850:4, 7850:5, 7851:23, 7859:12, 7862:2, 7874:18, 7879:21, 7887:1, 7887:23, 7888:15, 7892:22, 7893:19, 7896:24, 7901:4, 7904:7, 7913:18, 7915:2, 7951:8, 7957:14, 7960:1, 7962:9, 7964:14, 7965:3, 7965:22, 7966:17, 7968:23, 7969:12, 7974:9, 7976:13, 7976:20, 7978:21, 7985:16, 7986:22, 7987:22, 7989:14, 7990:5, 7995:18, 7996:10, 7996:12, 7999:9, 8004:10, 8005:12, 8008:2, 8008:6, 8010:15, 8010:25, 8011:4, 8011:7, 8011:11, 8011:12, 8012:24, 8014:11, 8019:9, 8019:17, 8020:23, 8023:20, 8023:22, 8032:11, 8034:5, 8034:9, 8036:9, 8038:13, 8048:17, 8051:14, 8052:5, 8053:4, 8054:23, 8057:11, 8058:24, 8063:25, 8064:9, 8064:15, 8065:9, 8066:23, 8066:25, 8073:13, 8073:14, 8077:2, 8077:7, 8077:23, 8078:10, 8078:16, 8078:21, 8079:4, 8080:6, 8080:16, 8080:21, 8081:24, 8083:12, 8083:21, 8088:8, 8093:13, 8093:25, 8109:8, 8115:20, 8125:24, 8129:24, 8132:8, 8133:5, 8134:8, 8134:10, 8136:11, 8136:17, 8139:17, 8140:2, 8142:4, 8144:8, 8149:7, 8154:7, 8159:5,

8159:10, 8162:16 updated [1] - 7871:16 updates [1] - 8106:24 upper [1] - 7961:22 upper-level [1] -7961:22 **upwards** [1] - 7907:23 useful [1] - 7872:22 uses [7] - 7920:17, 7966:23, 7991:15, 8098:17, 8102:24, 8102:25, 8104:9 utilization [2] -8063:11, 8101:18

V

vacuum [2] - 7903:4, 8050:2 vague [1] - 7878:22 value [17] - 7864:10, 7873:22, 7934:8, 7934:11, 7957:12, 7960:17, 7961:2, 7963:7, 7964:13, 7974:7, 7976:25, 7977:1, 7989:8, 8021:10, 8118:4, 8118:14, 8121:23 values [1] - 8110:2 variation [2] -7901:15, 7931:9 varies [2] - 7885:9, 7886:25 various [6] - 7862:23, 7864:7, 7943:24, 8033:9, 8045:22, 8071:9 vascular [1] - 7979:7 Vega [1] - 8086:17 vehicle [1] - 8145:8 veil [2] - 7956:14, 8145:11 venues [2] - 7975:12, 8114:22 verbal [2] - 8089:14, 8123:12 verbatim [3] - 7892:9, 7917:21, 8045:14 verdict [112] -7843:23, 7844:24, 7845:3, 7845:9, 7845:10, 7845:11, 7845:16, 7846:7, 7895:19, 7895:21, 7896:4, 7896:10, 7896:14, 7896:18, 7896:19, 7897:1, 7897:9, 7897:22, 7898:6, 7899:7,

7899:10, 7900:21, 7900:23, 7901:14, 7902:19, 7902:24, 7904:7, 7904:9, 7904:12, 7904:14, 7906:7, 7906:8, 7906:16, 7906:18, 7906:20, 7906:25, 7907:2, 7907:5, 7907:16, 7908:6, 7909:16, 7909:22, 7909:24, 7910:9, 7910:13, 7910:16, 7910:25, 7911:4, 7912:7, 7913:10, 7914:2, 7914:4, 7914:6, 7914:10, 7914:23, 7915:6, 7915:10, 7916:14, 7918:18, 7918:25, 7923:23, 7925:22, 7928:4, 7944:2, 7946:17, 7947:7, 7947:19, 7948:1, 7948:2, 7948:22, 7949:18, 7950:6, 7950:8, 7950:12, 7951:6, 7951:12, 7951:16, 7951:23, 7952:6, 7952:11, 7952:20, 8020:19, 8029:19, 8136:19, 8137:6, 8149:1, 8149:11, 8149:25, 8151:12, 8151:14, 8151:15, 8151:16, 8151:17, 8151:22, 8151:24, 8152:1, 8152:4, 8152:6, 8152:7, 8152:10, 8152:13, 8152:14, 8152:19, 8152:25, 8153:3, 8153:4, 8153:5, 8153:6, 8161:11, 8162:6 verdicts [1] - 8147:19 version [9] - 7846:7, 7896:21, 7898:19, 7903:14, 7904:11, 7911:3, 7911:19, 7913:5, 7919:12 versions [1] - 7941:2 versus [1] - 7912:24 vessels [2] - 7979:6, 7979.8 vetted [1] - 8119:2 video [3] - 7856:19, 8011:22, 8074:19 videos [1] - 8015:4

7886:3, 7891:4, 7943:7, 7945:1, 7954:15, 8009:6, 8031:13, 8052:16, 8082:19. 8118:5 views [3] - 8099:11, 8099:17, 8149:6 violate [6] - 7936:5, 7988:23, 7989:7, 7989:22, 8107:18, 8122:5 violated [37] -7900:12, 7905:14, 7908:21, 7919:23, 7932:25, 7933:9, 7933:10, 7933:11, 7941:18, 7941:19, 7942:11, 7943:1, 7944:21, 7947:16, 7949:25, 7980:9, 7980:12, 8006:23, 8006:24, 8017:21, 8017:23, 8019:23, 8020:14, 8020:16, 8022:7, 8022:22, 8023:12, 8106:7, 8118:8, 8126:1, 8126:4, 8127:22, 8137:1, 8137:2, 8137:3, 8137:15, 8137:16 violates [2] - 8006:15, 8026:17 violating [7] - 7936:4, 8020:16. 8022:8. 8023:13, 8115:5, 8118:7, 8121:7 violation [20] -7900:10, 7902:12, 7903:25, 7904:4, 7908:25, 7910:6, 7933:6, 7936:25, 7943:3, 7956:3, 7989:25, 8052:3, 8053:9, 8115:1, 8116:19, 8118:21, 8121:14, 8136:21, 8136:22, 8136:24 violations [14] -7903:25, 7904:5, 7910:5, 7932:11, 7936:11, 7938:2, 7949:21, 7956:4, 7986:3, 7986:4, 8023:8, 8121:11, 8137:22, 8143:1 Virginia [3] - 7941:1, 7989:19, 8007:22

8074:15 virology [1] - 8144:7 view [11] - 7885:13, virtue [1] - 8131:13 virus [3] - 8095:16, 8096:8, 8100:4 visit [7] - 7922:14, 8093:22, 8094:2, 8094:12, 8097:21, 8113:11, 8125:20 visited [4] - 8087:8, 8122:19, 8124:7, 8124:21 visits [1] - 8112:23 visualize [1] - 7902:24 volume [2] - 7963:13, 7971:24 **VOLUME** [1] - 7842:5 voluntarily [1] -7935:21 vote [1] - 8151:10 votes [2] - 8149:10, 8151:9 voting [1] - 8151:10 W wait [16] - 7860:4, 7870:15, 7879:7, 7958:13, 7975:5, 8009:12, 8028:25, 8058:25, 8059:1, 8129:16, 8147:13, 8155:8, 8156:13, 8156:15, 8157:10, 8158:25 waited [4] - 8027:20, 8028:23, 8048:7, 8049:11 8154:25

videotaped [1] -

Washington [2] -7941:1, 8011:25 waste [1] - 8012:7 wasting [1] - 7953:15 watch [4] - 7922:21, 7995:6, 8008:5, 8160:17 watching [5] -8129:25, 8130:7, 8133:9, 8133:12, 8133:22 water [1] - 7926:10 ways [8] - 7880:3, 7900:7, 7932:14, 8066:7, 8067:14, 8103:1, 8104:10 wearing [1] - 7926:9 website [5] - 7875:16, 7922:9, 8037:21, 8040:11, 8149:22 websites [2] -7922:10, 7923:3 wedding [3] -8014:16, 8015:1, 8015:3 week [5] - 7856:16, 7962:8, 8015:12, 8091:17, 8114:13 weekends [1] -7974:15 weeks [19] - 7889:9, 7955:16, 7964:17, 7984:5, 7984:13, 8010:2, 8010:21, 8015:10, 8060:3, 8079:3, 8125:23, 8137:14, 8146:22, 8159:18, 8160:9, 8160:25, 8161:9, 8161:24, 8162:4 weigh [1] - 8130:12 weighing [3] -7924:16, 7930:10, 7930:14 weight [7] - 7924:18, 7926:14, 7926:16, 7927:13, 7927:16, 7930:15, 7939:12 welcome [2] -7916:22, 8161:15 WENDEL [1] -7842:16 Wendel [2] - 8011:10, 8129:12 Western [1] - 7973:17 wet [1] - 7926:10 whammy [2] -7978:14, 7978:22 whatsoever [2] -

7934:11, 8047:18

wheels [1] - 7966:4 whiffed [1] - 7969:16 whistleblower [6] -7885:21, 7961:19, 8010:24, 8133:1, 8141:9, 8141:10 whistleblowers [1] -8007:13 whitewash [1] -8085:25 WHITNEY [1] -7842:16 Whitney [1] - 8129:12 whole [9] - 7865:12, 7942:16, 7960:9, 7981:7, 7990:15, 8011:5, 8011:10, 8044:13, 8148:21 whoops [1] - 8010:13 wide [1] - 7968:11 widely [1] - 7967:7 wife [1] - 8074:11 wilfully [1] - 8126:4 Wilhelm [13] -7963:17, 7965:3, 7972:22, 7973:14, 7990:10, 7991:21, 8067:9, 8074:7, 8090:15, 8091:1, 8123:14, 8141:24 willfully [15] -7933:25, 7935:17, 7935:25. 7936:1. 7938:20, 8021:7, 8021:24, 8060:5, 8106:7, 8107:15, 8107:18, 8107:21, 8118:7, 8118:9, 8118:10 Willfulness [1] -7935:14 willfulness [1] -7935:24 willy [1] - 8119:7 willy-nilly [1] - 8119:7 Wilmington [1] -7842:22 wined [1] - 8020:25 wining [1] - 7974:16 wink [4] - 8123:15, 8123:17, 8123:18, 8123:20 Wirmani [3] - 7843:10, 8015:11, 8129:14 WIRMANI [2] -7842:15, 7843:10 wishes [1] - 8146:21 withdraw [1] -8031:20

Withheld [1] - 7939:24

withheld [9] - 7940:1, 7940:4, 7940:7, 7988:6, 8012:14, 8015:13, 8015:25, 8016:1, 8024:16 withhold [1] - 8015:17 witness [80] -7855:15, 7857:6, 7857:11, 7863:22, 7870:8, 7880:19, 7880:21, 7882:8, 7892:13, 7893:3, 7894:6, 7922:12, 7925:17, 7925:23, 7925:24, 7925:25, 7926:1, 7926:21, 7926:22, 7926:25, 7927:1, 7927:5, 7927:7, 7927:8, 7928:19, 7928:20, 7930:14, 7939:7, 7939:8, 7963:18, 7974:20, 7978:20, 7989:20, 7994:23, 8006:12, 8010:20, 8011:14, 8011:16, 8014:24, 8015:17, 8017:14, 8019:13, 8025:6, 8031:14, 8036:4, 8036:10, 8036:20, 8038:1, 8041:4, 8041:7, 8045:12, 8048:13, 8048:22, 8048:24, 8048:25, 8049:4, 8049:25, 8050:1, 8061:11, 8062:15, 8062:16, 8068:4, 8068:5, 8068:6, 8077:16, 8094:5, 8108:11, 8112:2, 8112:9, 8117:2, 8120:7, 8123:8, 8126:21, 8127:24, 8132:2, 8133:19, 8136:11, 8144:18, 8160:13 witness's [4] -7855:15, 7927:2, 7927:4, 7927:9 witnessed [1] -8132:17 witnesses [50] -7862:23, 7866:25, 7880:8, 7893:14, 7924:3, 7926:21, 7927:14, 7927:15, 7928:10, 7930:2, 7930:10, 7930:15, 7930:19, 7930:22,

Document 454

PageID: 24818

7956:10, 7958:15, 7967:11, 7968:5, 7972:21, 7977:13, 7977:14, 7993:20, 8024:1, 8028:5, 8044:25, 8048:5, 8049:22, 8051:14, 8053:16, 8067:17, 8067:18, 8069:2, 8073:9, 8073:23, 8075:16, 8080:17, 8080:21, 8083:19, 8083:22, 8099:4, 8106:11, 8136:2, 8136:13, 8136:14, 8137:23, 8139:15, 8139:21, 8139:22, 8140.22 Witnesses [1] -7926:17 won [1] - 8114:15 wonder [2] - 7960:11, 8009:12 word [17] - 7846:1, 7847:2, 7847:19, 7847:23, 7847:24, 7848:19, 7849:2, 8020:22, 8027:10, 8039:25, 8052:14, 8055:1, 8077:14, 8111:20, 8111:21 words [9] - 7890:13, 7902:23, 7921:11, 7923:2, 7965:25, 8054:1, 8079:5, 8152:6, 8155:2 works [19] - 7844:11, 7905:5. 7975:23. 7993:22, 7996:7, 7998:18, 7999:8, 8005:16, 8067:8, 8108:11, 8108:14, 8108:22, 8110:18, 8135:9, 8136:6, 8138:12, 8143:19, 8145:11 world [1] - 7978:18 worried [7] - 7959:20, 7960:23, 7970:15, 7980:21, 8139:6, 8144:14, 8144:20 worry [1] - 7959:15 worrying [1] - 7961:3 worse [2] - 7966:3, 8135:24 worth [2] - 7977:4, 8160:7 worthy [1] - 7926:21 **Wow** [1] - 8077:11 write [14] - 7984:14,

8013:13, 8021:20, 8094:14, 8094:21, 8096:5, 8098:8, 8136:7, 8136:8, 8136:14, 8150:12, 8151:7, 8151:9, 8157:17 writers [1] - 7967:19 writes [2] - 8093:11, 8094:1 writing [18] - 7877:21, 7891:1, 7929:3, 7929:8, 7968:18, 7971:6, 7971:8, 7971:16, 7971:18, 7971:20, 7972:2, 7982:4, 7982:6, 8089:20, 8092:13, 8123:11, 8123:13, 8143:5 written [40] - 7877:19, 7877:20, 7877:21, 7878:18, 7893:12, 7900:9, 7905:2, 7917:20, 7929:1, 7929:2, 7929:3, 7945:9, 7945:24, 7947:1, 7948:1, 7950:7, 7967:17, 7977:18, 7978:11, 7981:16, 7981:22, 7981:25, 7999:19, 7999:23, 8002:14, 8022:12, 8062:20, 8066:5, 8073:13, 8073:16, 8088:9, 8089:14, 8092:10, 8093:22, 8095:23, 8104:6, 8123:21, 8126:24, 8136:4, 8146:14 wrongly [1] - 7884:25 wrote [12] - 7859:8, 7927:8, 7963:11, 8000:18, 8008:7, 8044:20, 8092:14, 8104:8, 8104:12, 8132:9, 8142:4, 8157:18 WYATT [168] -7842:20, 7843:15, 7847:5, 7848:1, 7848:24, 7849:1, 7849:14, 7851:10, 7851:23, 7853:1, 7853:5, 7854:7, 7854:19, 7854:22, 7855:2, 7855:4, 7855:20, 7857:18, 7858:23, 7859:1,

7859:12, 7860:15,	8042:22, 8043:2,	years [43] - 7865:23,
7861:9, 7861:17,	8043:20, 8044:4,	7871:5, 7871:6,
7862:17, 7863:2,	8044:8, 8044:18,	7871:14, 7872:2,
7863:7, 7863:20,	8045:16, 8045:19,	7872:4, 7872:19,
7864:6, 7864:20,	8046:7, 8046:14,	7956:1, 7956:12,
7868:2, 7869:10,	8046:17, 8046:25,	7974:4, 7986:6,
7869:14, 7869:17,	8047:8, 8048:9,	7986:12, 7990:13,
7869:20, 7870:17,	8049:5, 8049:9,	7998:21, 8010:6,
7871:1, 7871:7,	8050:6, 8050:17,	8011:17, 8012:12,
7871:11, 7871:15,	8052:1, 8052:7,	8014:1, 8014:22,
7871:21, 7872:12,	8053:2, 8053:4,	8015:1, 8016:9,
7873:7, 7873:9,	8055:15, 8055:25,	8032:3, 8032:14,
7873:13, 7873:16,	8056:21, 8057:7	8061:16, 8068:20,
7874:2, 7874:6,		8072:15, 8077:18,
7875:6, 7875:11,	wyatt [2] - 8026:10,	· ·
	8057:6	8092:4, 8092:6,
7876:9, 7876:12,	Wyatt [59] - 7843:15,	8124:20, 8125:3,
7879:2, 7879:13,	7847:1, 7847:3,	8130:10, 8131:1,
7880:17, 7880:19,	7847:8, 7847:16,	8131:17, 8131:18,
7880:22, 7880:25,	7848:18, 7848:23,	8141:18
7881:2, 7881:15,	7851:3, 7851:8,	yell [2] - 7964:23,
7881:20, 7881:24,	7852:24, 7853:15,	7964:24
7882:3, 7882:15,	7855:13, 7857:17,	yelled [1] - 7965:6
7882:18, 7883:1,	7858:19, 7860:14,	yelling [1] - 7966:1
7883:16, 7883:20,	7861:4, 7861:16,	yeses [1] - 7900:14
7884:4, 7884:6,	7863:4, 7864:22,	yesterday [13] -
7884:10, 7884:14,	7867:21, 7871:25,	7844:1, 7845:20,
7884:17, 7884:23,	7873:2, 7875:4,	7859:12, 7859:22,
7885:14, 7885:18,	7875:10, 7879:1,	7859:24, 7862:20,
7886:7, 7886:10,	7879:12, 7881:19,	7864:16, 7880:6,
7886:15, 7886:17,	7883:14, 7884:8,	7969:19, 8034:8,
7886:19, 7887:11,	7885:12, 7889:24,	8034:9, 8035:7,
7887:14, 7887:16,	7890:3, 7891:22,	8112:15
7888:9, 7889:12,	7892:13, 7893:17,	York [8] - 7940:24,
7889:15, 7890:4,	7893:18, 7899:8,	7968:11, 8124:6,
7891:23, 7894:11,	7901:2, 7903:2,	8124:12, 8124:15,
7894:19, 7895:11,	7903:11, 7908:11,	8124:18, 8124:20
7895:19, 7898:10,	7911:1, 7912:5,	yourself [7] - 7906:19,
7901:3, 7903:16,	7913:8, 7946:4,	8009:11, 8059:24,
7903:22, 7908:14,	7948:12, 7951:17,	8135:19, 8142:23,
7909:17, 7911:21,	8027:5, 8027:20,	8149:2, 8155:20
7911:25, 7912:15,	8031:18, 8032:23,	yourselves [2] -
7915:14, 7946:5,	8046:22, 8050:19,	7921:15, 7945:11
7946:15, 7947:3,	8051:2, 8055:14,	YouTube [1] - 7922:11
7947:11, 7948:4,	8055:24, 8056:12,	
7949:5, 7950:22,	8059:5, 8159:14	Z
7952:17, 8026:11,	Wyatt's [2] - 7858:15,	_
8026:24, 8027:22,	8030:12	ZAHID [2] - 7842:11,
8029:5, 8031:19,	Wyoming [2] -	7843:2
8032:4, 8032:9,	8137:13, 8137:19	zealously [1] - 8160:6
8032:16, 8032:24,		zombie [1] - 8131:21
8033:5, 8033:8,	Υ	zoomed [1] - 8077:4
8033:13, 8034:8,		
8034:20, 8034:25,	year [19] - 7866:2,	
8035:5, 8035:16,	7871:20, 7872:6,	
8035:20, 8035:22,	7886:6, 7968:22,	
8035:25, 8036:7,	7972:3, 7976:16,	
8036:12, 8037:18,	7985:6, 7985:18,	
8038:6, 8038:19,	8033:15, 8080:12,	
8039:4, 8040:4,	8100:24, 8101:1,	
8042:1, 8042:4,	8124:7, 8124:13	
■	veerly 7070.10	i
8042:7, 8042:14,	yearly [1] - 7872:10	
8042:7, 8042:14,	yeariy [1] - 7672.10	